Feed and feeding is the number one cost of maintaining a cow herd. Producing, harvesting, baling, stacking, and then unstacking and feeding hay is not inexpensive. If the amount of hay fed could be reduced, the profitability of many ranches could be improved.

Feeding too much hay can often put you in a bind financially. Producing cattle that wean large calves often means selecting bulls and cows on the basis of what they can produce. This generally means a larger framed cow and/or higher milk production.

But eventually, both of these traits may come up dry or have related health problems. This translates into lower weaning percentages (the number one economic indicator for a cow/calf or ewe/lamb operator).

Are we spending big dollars for bulls that over the long term produce replacements that require more nutrients than our rangeland is able to provide year in and year out?

If profit equals production times price minus costs how do we optimize production at the least cost? (OPTIMIZE is the key word.) We need to conduct nutritional assessments of our least expensive and most abundant feed source—rangeland.

Knowing what nutrition is being provided to our range livestock by season is essential in order to improve ranch income and sustainability. Conducting nutritional assessments is key.

Nutrition Is Key

Managing feed resources to attain a consistently high reproductive rate at a low cost is essential in order to maintain profitability. Nutrition is the most important factor in fertility, good health, and high reproductive rates. Most problems with reproduction are associated with insufficient nutrition, particularly protein and energy (Spitzer 1986).

In the spring of 1995 we began assessing the nutritional value of the least expensive and most abundant feed source available to Western ranchers—rangeland.

We sought to examine if feed costs could be cut and sufficient nutrition provided by shifting calving dates and conducting nutritional assessments.


Livestock performance was then evaluated based on the forage quality, environmental conditions, breed type, age, sex, physiological stage, body condition, and performance goals using the Nutritional Balance Analyzer computer program (NUTBAL) (Ranching Systems Group 1993). With this information a rancher could make more informed feeding or supplementation decisions or adjust his or hers grazing program to improve the overall economic and resource conditions on their ranch.

The sedimentary plains are characterized by rolling grassland intermixed with occasional rocky bluffs and ponderosa pine. Precipitation in
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Location of ranches participating in rangeland quality assessments. The yellow represents the foothills region and the purple is the sedimentary plains region.

this region is between 12" to 14" on average. The characteristic plants include bluebunch wheatgrass, western and thickspike wheatgrass, needleandthread grass, blue grama, prairie junegrass, green needlegrass, fringed sagewort, dotted gayfeather, and scattered Wyoming big sage.

The Northern Rocky Mountain Foothills are characterized by steeply to gently sloping grassland leading up to the mountains. Precipitation in this region is between 15" to 20" on average. The characteristic plants include bluebunch wheatgrass, Idaho fescue, cussicks bluegrass, thickspike wheatgrass, green needlegrass, prairie junegrass, sandberg bluegrass, lupine, and daisy species, and scattered pockets of mountain big sage.

Both regions receive 70% of their total precipitation in the growing season, with 35% falling during the months of May and June. Temperatures vary between -35 degrees F in the winter to 95 degrees F in the summer for both regions.

We plotted the fecal sample results for protein and TDN (Total Digestible Nutrients), and compared them to livestock requirements.

Consider Matching Calving Dates To Forage

Overall TDN, or energy, was not deficient. However, protein was deficient at various times of the year.

In the Sedimentary Plains, IP (Intake Protein) could be less than optimum at any time of the year with winter being the most likely time to experience deficits, followed by summer, fall, and then spring.

Many ranchers in the plains are calving in March. If calving dates were shifted two to four weeks to mid-March or April, feeding costs could be cut significantly, and profitability improved by matching the nutrients available in the forage with the cow's nutrient requirements.

Energy was not a problem as long as sufficient standing forage was available and protein and energy were properly balanced in the diet.

The digestible organic matter / crude protein ratio is the ratio of digestible organic matter (or energy) to protein in the animal’s diet. Animal performance is generally affected negatively when the ratio falls below four or rises above eight. In the plains we found it to rise above eight quite often. This was associated with dry periods and protein deficiencies. It almost never fell below four (a washy forage condition with high rumen degradable protein) which may account for Montana's so-called 'hard grass'.

The typical cow body condition score (BCS) for the plains was between 4 to 6. On the 1 to 9 scale,
were much less of a problem than in the plains.

The typical cow body condition score was between 5 to 8. Many ranchers are maintaining some expensive body condition on their cows, and could cut their feed bills with nutritional assessments and still maintain herd productivity.

In Nebraska a reduction in the cost of keeping a cow by synchronizing feed resources and cow requirements has been achieved (Proceeding of the Range Beef Cow Symposium 1997). This reduction in costs was more than enough to offset the reduced income from lighter weaning weights.

Shifting calving two to four weeks later in the spring would better match livestock nutrient requirements with forage nutrient availability in the sedimentary plains. (The graph reflects a March calved 20# peak milk yield cow).

with 1 being extremely thin and 9 sloppy fat. a 5 or 6 at calving time is recommended to maintain a productive herd (healthy calves and timely breed back) (Richards et al. 1986).

In the foothills, intake protein could also be less than optimum at any time of the year with winter being the most likely time, followed by early spring, fall and summer.

Many ranchers are calving in February in the foothills. If calving dates were shifted eight weeks to April, feeding costs could be cut significantly in this region.

Again, energy was not a problem as long as sufficient standing forage was available and protein and energy were properly balanced in the diet. Tall statured forages are necessary when snow cover is deep to prevent energy shortages.

The digestible organic matter/ crude protein ratio never fell below four and rose only on occasion above eight. Protein deficiencies were much less of a problem than in the plains.

Utilize The Forages Available

Knowing the quality of the different forages by season growing on your ranch can help to match grazing and calving dates so as to optimize the use of the available forage protein (Ricketts 1994) and still maintain high reproductive rates and weaning percentages.

When range condition declines, animal performance is impacted.

Range producing closer to its potential provides a greater total amount of energy and protein than range that is far below its potential.

In the Montana foothills rough fescue can dominate (high TDN and about 5% crude protein in the winter). Bluebunch wheatgrass can also dominate in the foothills or plains (high TDN and between 3 to 4% crude protein in the winter). Both of these species are tall statured and can provide excellent winter forage.

The hayland once being used to raise winter feed could be shifted to summer pastureland. Expensive machinery, as it wears out, may not have to be replaced, saving money.

Shrubs are important nutritionally in the plains. Palatable shrubs help maintain protein at proper levels in the animal’s diet when grasses and forbs are deficient in protein. This
enables plains rangelands (that have these shrubs) to maintain animal performance at similar levels compared to the foothills rangelands.

Greasewood is a palatable shrub that contains about 12% crude protein (CP) in the winter. Nuttall's saltbush is around 13% CP, and winterfat is around 13% CP in the winter. Both are very palatable.

Forbs are also important. Purple prairie clover and dotted gayfeather are palatable warm season forbs containing around 25% CP when green. They are like little protein blocks scattered on the landscape.

Sampling for intake protein and energy intake can help with strategically supplementing protein. Fecal sampling (Stuth et al. 1989) is a cost and time effective means for assessing protein intake of range livestock. By not over or under supplementing protein, optimum herd productivity can be maintained, while cutting wintering costs and optimizing summering costs, and while maintaining adequate forage intake.

### Five Strategies To Consider

In summary, to graze longer and feed less, five management areas should be considered:

1. Cattle should be bred for moderate frame and moderate milk production, and conditioned to rustle (work the hills, not the feed bunk). The cows have to fit the environment, the resources, and the management practices on the ranch.
2. Shift calving later to about mid-March or April.
3. Conduct nutritional assessments on a regular basis.
4. Balance forage availability with livestock requirements.
5. Overall, good range management that promotes a productive, vigorous, and diverse plant community can improve the long-term profitability and sustainability of livestock operations.

Matthew J. Ricketts is Area Rangeland Management Specialist USDA-NRCS, Livingston, Montana.
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