

Elk feeding on mountain big sagebrush on big game winter range near Gardiner, Montana. Past ungulate browsing has resulted in very significant declines of sagebrush taxa on this important winter range. (photo by Carl Wambolt)

# Natural Regulation and Yellowstone National Park—Unanswered Questions

## Kurt Alt and Michael R Frisina

atural regulation is the practice of allowing elk population size in Yellowstone National Park to be controlled by natural or non-human influenced processes. The concept has been controversial since it's development during the late 1960's and implementation in the 1970's. Much of the controversy has centered around the degree of impact natural regulation has had on vegetation inside the Park and on big game winter ranges adjacent to the Park. The effect of browsing, or impact, by ungulates on woody plant species has been interpreted in a variety of ways by different authors. In 1998, Congress directed the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to review the National Park Service policy of natural regulation in Yellowstone Park. As a result, the NAS recently appointed a 13-member committee on Ungulate Management. Congress chartered the committee to conduct an unbiased science-based investigation that portrays both positive and negative aspects of natural regulation.

The popular press often defines controversial issues in a sensational vein. Individuals or organizations tend to define issues based on their view of the world. In this case, the "natural regulation" debate has been portrayed as a livestock industry or cowboy versus environmentalist debate. How can elk be allowed to "overgraze" the range; "if my cows did the same they would be removed from my Federal grazing allotment." Proponents of natural regulation often argue that it must be working, as the policy has been in place since 1967 and the elk population is doing fine. "The policy is successful because the elk population has fluctuated in numbers as influenced by the environment yet has not dramatically declined during the years of natural regulation." In our view, these are not the correct parameters for measuring the effectiveness of natural regulation. In his oral testimony to the NAS Committee, Dr. Fred Wagner indicated that elk herd effects on the ecosystem under natural regulation policy poses questions of scientific fact, subject to tests of evidence. In our view it is this question of effect on the ecosystem that has not been fully explored or evaluated.

Yellowstone National Park is not a livestock grazing allotment managed under the Multiple Use concept, nor are elk the only resource of value associated with Yellowstone National Park. Using such limited or narrow parameters to judge the effectiveness of Yellowstone Park policy is not appropriate or acceptable. We offer our viewpoint for consideration by groups like the National Academy of Science, as their effort will set the stage for future land management events in and around the boundaries of Yellowstone National Park. Findings of the National Academy of Science Committee may serve as the basis by which land managers evaluate the interaction of large ungulates with their habitats on all public lands throughout the western United States.

# BIODIVERSITY

Yellowstone National Park is much more than its elk population. An approach that gauges the success or failure of National Park Service policy by how well the elk population sustains itself does a disservice to the citizens of our country and the ecological integrity of the lands they manage. A monitoring approach, without regard to the effects on the array of flora and fauna associated with Yellowstone National Park is not acceptable; it does not reflect what the Park's founders intended. The National Parks Organic Act passed in 1916, established the purpose of our National Parks to conserve natural and historic elements and wildlife of our nation for future generations to enjoy. We recognize the importance of the ongoing research efforts that take place in National Parks, but also believe it is important to recognize that our National Park System was not created just to provide experimental research areas for scientists to perform experiments. If Park policy is to be responsibly monitored, the effect of natural regulation on the array of potential plants and animals in the Park must be a key element of research. How the many years of natural regulation has affected the biodiversity of Yellowstone National Park is key to understanding if the policy has been effective. An understanding of effects on biodiversity is fundamental to determining if natural regulation has enhanced or degraded the values the Park was established to conserve.

A number of authors have published reports and articles describing changes in woody vegetation that have occurred due to intensive forage use by the "naturally" regulated elk population These changes are most noticeable for many woody or browse species, and raises the issue of how intensive browsing has affected the biotic community. The effect on Park biodiversity should be central to the National Academy of Science analysis of natural regulation.

## Aspen

What are the consequences on winter ranges in and around the Park where, due to browsing by elk, there are essentially only two aspen size classes contributing to stand structure? At many locations, on winter ranges in and around the Park, tall mature aspen and aspen stems 20 inches or shorter in height are all that exist (Figure 1). There are almost no aspen between 20 and 80 inches in height. Essentially, all young aspen are held within the 7 to 8 foot browse zone of elk





Fig. 1. Lamar Exclosure YNP, established 1957. Photo at the top of the page was taken in 1958 shortly after the exclosure was established, protecting the area inside from browsing by large ungulates. The lower photo was taken in 1995. Note the recovery of woody species, especially aspen. Upper photo NPS, lower photo by Carl Wambolt.

and other large ungulates by browsing. If this trend continues, as tall aspen die, the stands may be converted to shrub type aspen. How does this altering of aspen stand structure effect the survival and species richness of neotropical migrant birds and small mammals that occur in such habitat types? Several different authors have described the negative effect of altered woody species stand structure, due to browsing, on birds. Measuring the effect of natural regulation on overall landscape biodiversity should be a fundamental component of any objective review of Park policy.

### Willow

# What are the consequences on winter ranges in and around the Park where, due to browsing by elk, the structur al component of willow communities have been modified to varying degrees by herbivory?

On portions of the Northern and Gallatin winter ranges, due to browsing, the only available willow is the current years growth. Over most of these winter ranges the tall willow component has been removed by browsing. The further one travels outside the Park on these winter ranges, into Montana, a more complex structural component of woody vegetation becomes discernible. On portions of the winter range outside of the Park, young woody stems within the browse zone are achiev-

ing a growth form that will allow them to develop to their typical stature. As with aspen, an important issue regarding loss of structural diversity is the effect on neotropical song birds and small mammals which can be expected to occur in such potentially diverse habitats. Where willow communities occur along stream courses, the effect on water quality and water-dependent wildlife species becomes an important consideration.

# Shrub/gGassland Plant Communities

### What are the consequences resulting from changes in shrub/grassland plant communities?

A decline of tree and shrub communities has caused an expansion of grassland communities. The shrub component has



in the lower right photo as a result of protection from browsing. The photos may not fully reflect the site's potential as they only reflect 37-years of protection from browsing. Upper photos NPS, lower photos by Carl Wambolt.

been removed or significantly altered, by browsing, in favor of grassland communities over large portions of the winter ranges associated with the Park (Figure 2.). The increase of woody species, within the exclosure, in Figure 2 may not fully reflect the potential of the site as the photos only reflect protection from browsing for a 37-year period. As with the aforementioned woody species, the effect on overall landscape level biodiversity becomes a key issue.

# **Other Ungulates**

Elk are the dominant ungulate on rangelands associated with Yellowstone National Park. When it comes to using a variety of forage species and habitats, elk are the most adaptable of the large ungulates. As a result, elk may be the least sensitive indicator of environmental health. Other ungulates in the Park include bison, Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep, shiras moose, pronghorn antelope, Rocky Mountain goat, and mule deer. How natural regulation has affected the survival of ungulates other than elk within and adjacent to the Park is also central to considering issues related to biodiversity within the Yellowstone ecosystem.

#### SUMMARY

An objective analysis of natural regulation Policy must include a landscape level investigation, considering the effects on overall biodiversity. Yellowstone National Park is one of our largest National Parks and represents a significant portion of the largest intact natural area in the lower 48 states. Maintaining biodiversity in and around the Park as part of our cultural heritage assures Yellowstone Park will continue to fulfill its intended purpose for future generations. The findings of the NAS Committee may provide a series of guidelines for assessing the effect of grazing and browsing by large ungulates (both domestic and wild) on our public lands throughout the west.

## References

- Chadde, S. W. and C. E. Kay. 1996. Tall-willow communities on Yellowstone's Northern Range: A test of the "Natural-regulation" paradigm. Effects of Grazing by Wild Ungulates in Yellowstone National Park, Technical Report NPS/NRYELL/NRTR/96-01; 165–181.
- Jackson, S. G. 1992. Relationships between birds, willows, and native ungulates in and around northern Yellowstone National Park. Utah State University. M. S. Thesis.
- Jackson, S. G. 1993. The effect of browsing on bird communities. Utah Birds 9:53–62.
- **Kay, C. E. 1990.** Yellowstone's northern elk herd: a critical evaluation of the "Natural Regulation" Paradigm. Utah State University. PhD Dissertation. 490 pages.
- Keigley, R. B. and M. R. Frisina. 1998. Browse evaluation by analysis of growth form. Volume 1, Methods for evaluating condition and trend. Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks, Helena. 153 pages.

- Singer, F. J. 1996. Differences between willow communities browsed by elk and communities protected for 32 years in Yellowstone National Park. Effects of Grazing by Wild Ungulates in Yellowstone National Park, Technical Report NPS/NRYELL/NRTR/96-01; 279-289.
- Singer, F. J., L. C. Mack and R. C. Cates. 1996. Ungulate herbivory of willows on Yellowstone's Northern Range. Effects of Grazing by Wild Ungulates in Yellowstone National Park, Technical Report NPS/NRYELL/NRTR/96–01; 265–278.
- Singer, F. J. and R. R. Renkin. 1996. Effects of browsing by native ungulates on the shrubs in Big Sagebrush communities in Yellowstone National Park. Effects of Grazing by Wild Ungulates in Yellowstone National Park, Technical Report NPS/NRYELL/NRTR/96-01; 85-94.
- Singer, F.J., D. Swift, M. Coughenour, and J. Varley. 1998. Thunder on the Yellowstone revisited: an assessment of management of native ungulates by natural regulation, 1968–1993. Wildlife Society Bulletin 26(3):375–390.
- Singer, F.J., L. Zeigenfuss, R. Gates, and D Branett. 1998. Elk, multiple factors, and persistence of willows in national parks. Wildlife Society Bulletin 26(3):419–428.
- **USDI–NPS. 1988.** Management policies. Chapters 1 and 4. National Park Service, Washington, D. C.
- **USDI–NPS. 1997.** Yellowstone's northern range: complexity and change in a wildland ecosystem. National Park Service, Mammoth Hot Springs, Wyoming. 148 pages.
- Wambolt, C. L. 1996. Mule deer and elk foraging preference for 4 sagebrush taxa. Journal of Range Management 49:499–503.
- Wambolt, C.L. 1998. Sagebrush and ungulate relationships on Yellowstone's northern range. Wildlife Society Bulletin 26(3):429–437.
- Wambolt, C. L. and H. W. Sherwood. 1999. Sagebrush response to ungulate browsing in Yellowstone. J. Range Manage. 52: 363–369.
- Wagner, F. H., R. Foresta, R. B. Gill, D. R. McCullough, M. R. Pelton, W. F. Porter, and H. Salwasser. 1995. Wildlife Policies in the U. S. National Parks. Island Press, Washington D. C. & Covelo California. 242 pages.
- Wagner, F. H. 1999. Statements to the NAS/NRC Committee, January 14–15, 1999. Yellowstone Science 7(3):12–14.
- White, C.A., C. Olmsted, and C. Kay. 1998. Aspen, elk, and fire in the Rocky Mountain national parks of North America. Wildlife Society Bulletin 26(3):449–462.

Kurt Alt, Wildlife Biologist, Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks, Region Three, 1400 South 19th, Bozeman, MT 59715 Michael P. Ericine Rener Coordinates Montana Fish, Wildlife &

Michael R. Frisina, Range Coordinator, Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks, 1330 West Gold Street, Butte, MT 59701