

Utah Ranches—An Economic Snapshot

John P. Workman and Scott G. Evans

A Continuing Problem

Traditionally, rates of return on ranch investments have been lower than rates on many other investment opportunities (Workman 1986). This applies to family-sized Utah cattle ranches (Workman 1981) and recent economic trends have made the situation worse. From 1979 to 1991 beef cattle prices increased by only 11% while the costs of ranch inputs went up by 59% (Table 1). Meanwhile, land appreciation that supplemented meager livestock profits (by providing opportunities to borrow against growing ranch equity) during the 1970's and early 1980's has been negative in recent years (Table 2). We used investments, costs, and returns for a representative family-sized ranch to assess the 1990 economic situation.

A Typical Utah Ranch

Data from 96 Utah cow-calf ranches with 100 to 300 brood cows (Evans 1992) were used to construct a profile of a 1990 typical ranch. A typical ranch ran 196 brood cows and replaced 14% of the cows annually. Replacement heifers were bred at 14 months of age to calve as 2-year olds. Eight bulls were used to breed the cows and yearling replacement heifers, a cow to bull ratio of 27:1. Calf crop (calves weaned divided by cows in the calving herd) was 77 percent. Thirty-six of the 150 calves weaned were retained for sale as yearlings. Death loss was 3.9 percent on mature cows and 2.3 percent on replacement heifers.

Private land holdings typically consist of 1,331 acres native foothill range, 30 acres desert range, 111 acres meadow grazing land, 545 acres foothill crested wheat-grass, 101 acres alfalfa hay, 15 acres grass hay, 31 acres barley, and 46 acres wheat. Grazing leases typically included 415 AUMs from the US Forest Service, 707 AUMs from the Bureau of Land Management, and 11 AUMs from the State of Utah.

The Current Situation

Just how bad is the current economic situation faced by Utah ranches? Table 3, a "modified" ranch income statement (Workman 1981) for a typical Utah ranch, helps answer this question. Costs and returns are reported in 1990 dollars, as are values of real estate (land, buildings, and improvements) and personal property (livestock and machinery).

Table 1. Beef cattle price index and prices paid index, 1964–1991^a.

| Data Year | Beef Cattle Price Index (BCPI) ^b | Prices Paid Index (PPI) ^c |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Indexes for Base Years | | |
| 1964–68 | 100 | 100 |
| Indexes for 1964–1990 | | |
| 1964 | 87 | 95 |
| 1965 | 94 | 97 |
| 1966 | 104 | 99 |
| 1967 | 105 | 103 |
| 1968 | 109 | 107 |
| 1969 | 123 | 113 |
| 1970 | 127 | 118 |
| 1971 | 134 | 124 |
| 1972 | 167 | 130 |
| 1973 | 195 | 140 |
| 1974 | 178 | 168 |
| 1975 | 160 | 198 |
| 1976 | 164 | 215 |
| 1977 | 163 | 230 |
| 1978 | 216 | 246 |
| 1979 | 294 | 275 |
| 1980 | 291 | 319 |
| 1981 | 268 | 359 |
| 1982 | 262 | 378 |
| 1983 | 256 | 387 |
| 1984 | 262 | 395 |
| 1985 | 243 | 397 |
| 1986 | 235 | 388 |
| 1987 | 272 | 381 |
| 1988 | 297 | 386 |
| 1989 | 306 | 402 |
| 1990 | 326 | 419 |
| 1991 | 327 | 436 |

^aSource: Torell et al. (1989) and USDA, NASS (1989, 1990a, 1990b, 1991).

^bThe annual beef cattle price divided by the 1964–68 base price, \$22.04/cwt., multiplied by 100.

^cIndex of prices paid by ranchers for beef production inputs as reported by USDA, NASS (1989, 1990a, 1990b, 1991).

Annual cash returns from livestock and crop sales totaled \$71,334 (Table 3), which might appear to be a substantial return on \$574,926 owned ranch capital (\$452,000 real estate equity and \$122,926 cattle and machinery equity) except that it represents a gross return before expenses are subtracted. Annual variable cash costs (costs that change with herd size and production level) were \$54,791 for purchased feed, grazing fees, hired labor, gasoline, etc. The \$16,543 that remained (net variable cash ranch income) is only about 3% of \$574,926 ranch equity. We then subtracted the fixed costs (costs that do not change with herd size and production level) including \$3,189 property taxes and \$11,371 building and

Authors are professor, Range Science Department, Utah State University, Logan 84322-5230 and resource manager/economist, Pioneer Environmental Consulting Services, Inc., Logan, Utah 84321. At the time of the work, Evans was a graduate research assistant at Utah State University.

Supported by the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Utah State University, Logan, 84322-4845. Approved as journal paper 4510.

Table 2. Index of changes in Utah real estate values for land, buildings, and improvements (base year 1977 = 100)^a.

| Year | All Farm Real Estate |
|------|----------------------|
| 1960 | 28 |
| 1961 | 28 |
| 1962 | 29 |
| 1963 | 30 |
| 1964 | 30 |
| 1965 | 31 |
| 1966 | 33 |
| 1967 | 35 |
| 1968 | 37 |
| 1969 | 42 |
| 1970 | 47 |
| 1971 | 53 |
| 1972 | 60 |
| 1973 | 64 |
| 1974 | 75 |
| 1975 | 80 |
| 1976 | 90 |
| 1977 | 100 |
| 1978 | 106 |
| 1979 | 127 |
| 1980 | 169 |
| 1981 | 182 |
| 1982 | 189 |
| 1983 | 180 |
| 1984 | 181 |
| 1985 | 159 |
| 1986 | 141 |
| 1987 | 131 |
| 1988 | 122 |
| 1989 | 122 |
| 1990 | 116 |
| 1991 | 122 |

^aValues derived from USDA/ERS (1985) and USDA/ERS (1991).

machinery depreciation. The resulting net ranch income is only \$1,983. We then subtracted loan service including a \$13,704 principal and interest payment on the real estate loan and a \$16,725 principal and interest payment on the working capital (livestock and machinery) loan to calculate the true net return, a *negative* \$28,446.

Loan Service Calculations

The calculations of loan service, principal payment and real estate equity are shown in Table 4. Starting with the \$543,952 real estate (land, buildings, and improvements) value for 1990 (Evans 1992), we calculated the real estate value at the time the typical ranch loan was initiated (1970) by multiplying \$543,952 times the ratio of 1970 and 1990 real estate index values, 47/116 (Table 2). The resulting \$220,394 represents the total real estate purchase price in 1970. Loan terms prevailing in 1970 were 30% down payment, 30 year life, and 8% interest, resulting in a typical ranch loan of \$154,276 in 1970.

Next we calculated the loan service (principal and interest) payment by applying the present worth of one per period (PWOP) for 30 years at 8 percent, 11.258 (Workman, 1986, p. 128). Loan service represents an annual annuity, R, calculated for the typical loan as $\frac{154,276}{11.258} = \$13,704$.

$$\frac{154,276}{11.258}$$

Table 3. Ranch income statement for a typical Utah ranch (196 brood cows), 1990.

| Item | Dollars |
|---|---------|
| Annual cash returns ^a | |
| Livestock ^b : | 65,022 |
| Crops ^c : | 6,312 |
| Total | 71,334 |
| Annual variable cash costs | -54,791 |
| Net variable cash ranch income | 16,543 |
| Property taxes | -3,189 |
| Net cash ranch income | 13,354 |
| Depreciation | -11,371 |
| Net ranch income | 1,983 |
| Loan service | |
| Real estate (30 year, 8%) | 13,704 |
| Working capital (10 year, 10%) | 16,725 |
| Total | -30,429 |
| Net return available for family living expenses | -28,446 |
| Land appreciation (1.15%/year, 1977-1990) | 6,255 |
| Payment to mortgage principal | |
| Real estate | 5,879 |
| Working capital | 9,433 |
| Total | 15,312 |
| Gross proceeds to ranch investment | -6,879 |
| Value of operator and family labor | -15,000 |
| Net proceeds to owned ranch capital | -21,879 |
| Percent return on \$574,926 owned ranch capital (\$452,000 real estate equity + \$122,926 working capital equity) | -3.81% |

^aDeath loss removed.

^bPrices from Cattle Fax Resources, Inc. (1988, 1989, 1990) weekly reports.

^cPrices from Utah Department of Agriculture (1991).

We then partitioned the 1990 loan service payment into principal and interest. After the 1989 loan payment, the remaining loan balance was \$97,833 (the present value at 8% of 11 more payments of \$13,704 per year). Similarly, after the 1990 loan payment, the remaining loan balance was \$91,954 (the present value at 8% of 10 more payments of \$13,704 per year). The difference between the two years, \$97,833 - \$91,954 = \$5,879, represented the *principal* portion of the 1990 payment. Subtracting this amount from the total loan payment resulted in a 1990 *interest* portion of \$7,825.

Finally, real estate equity (the owned portion of land, buildings, and improvements) was calculated as the difference between 1990 real estate value (\$543,952) and 1990 loan balance (\$91,954) or \$451,998.

How Do Ranchers Stay In Business?

Given the \$28,446 *negative* net return for family living (Table 3), how do ranchers stay in business? Clearly, they could not if this negative return occurred every year. But in better economic times there have been modest *positive* returns (Workman 1981). And even if net return for family living was *temporarily* zero, the typical Utah ranch could survive. There are several perquisites ("perks") associated with owning and managing a ranch, including home-grown food (meat, milk, eggs), housing, and most important, the fact that some of the annual variable cash

Table 4. Calculation of loan service, principal payment, and real estate equity, typical Utah ranch, 1990.

| | |
|--|---|
| Land, buildings, and improvements value (1990): \$543,952 (\$3,775/brood cow) | |
| 1970 value: $\frac{1970}{220,394}$ | $\leftarrow \text{-----} 47/116^a \text{-----} \right.$ 1990 \$543,952 |
| 1970 loan: (30% down, 30 years, 8%) | |
| \$220,394 | |
| * 0.70 | |
| \$154,276 | |
| Loan service payment: $R = PV/PWOP^b$ (30 yr, 8%) | |
| = \$154,276/11.258 | |
| = \$13,704/year | |
| Real estate mortgage principal: | |
| Loan balance 1989 (year 19, 11 years remaining) | |
| $PV = R * PWOP$ (11 yr, 8%) | |
| = \$13,704 * 7.139 | |
| = \$97,833 | |
| Loan balance 1990 (year 20, 10 years remaining) | |
| $PV = R * PWOP$ (10 yr, 8%) | |
| = \$13,704 * 6.710 | |
| = \$91,954 | |
| Year 20 principal payment: | |
| \$97,833 - \$91,954 = \$5,879 | |
| Year 20 interest payment: | |
| \$13,704 - \$5,879 = \$7,825 | |
| Real estate equity in year 20 (1990): | |
| \$543,952 1990 value | |
| - \$91,954 1990 loan balance | |
| \$451,998 1990 equity | |

^aIndex values from Table 2.

^bPWOP = Present Worth of One per Period (Workman 1986, p. 128).

costs in Table 3 are family living expenses (home utilities, family auto expenses). Similarly, depreciation of the machinery and improvements is an accounting technique that converts future replacement expenses into more manageable annual costs. However, depreciation costs can be temporarily *postponed* (the ranch family can "live on depreciation") by delaying replacement of some items. Table 3 also fails to include any off-ranch job earnings by family members. Finally, when real estate values are increasing, as in 1961–1982 of Table 2, ranch owners may refinance the ranch ("borrow against equity") to raise needed cash.

Why Continue Ranching?

Faced with the *negative* net return for family living and the need to subsidize the ranch with off-ranch income, why would a rational investor *want* to own a cattle ranch? Table 3 helps answer this question. Land appreciation for 1990 (\$6,255 in increased ranch equity) was based on the 1.15% average annual increase in Utah land value for 1977–1990 when land values first increased and then decreased (Table 2). Mortgage principal payments (\$5,879 real estate mortgage and \$9,433 working capital loan) also add to equity. These amounts are actually payments from the landowner to her/himself (\$1 paid to principal reduces debt by \$1 and thus adds \$1 to owned ranch

capital). Together, land appreciation and principal payments reduce negative net return from -\$28,446 to -\$6,879. Finally, we subtracted the value of operator and family labor (\$15,000) to obtain net proceeds to owned ranch capital (-\$21,879). This amount is the net return due strictly to ranch ownership and may be expressed as a rate of return on owned ranch capital (-3.81%).

Back to our original question: Why would anyone *want* to own an asset that generates a net *loss*? Clearly they would not if this 3.81% loss was viewed as a *permanent* situation. But net return on owned ranch capital has sometimes reached a *positive* 8% (Workman 1981). Even if net return was only one-half of that rate (4%), it would be better than some alternative investments (e.g., certificates of deposit that currently earn 3%).

Summary

Rates of return earned in ranching have traditionally been lower than those offered by other investment opportunities. Lagging cattle prices, increased operating costs, and declining land values combined to make the 1990 economic picture for Utah ranches especially bleak. However, perquisites, temporary depreciation deferral, off-ranch income, land appreciation, and mortgage principal payments allow Utah ranches to survive during most years and to be viewed as economically rational investments during some.

Literature Cited

- Cattle Fax Resources, Inc. 1988.** Cattle Fax. Englewood, Colorado. Vol. XX.
- Cattle Fax Resources, Inc. 1989.** Cattle Fax. Englewood, Colorado. Vol. XXI.
- Cattle Fax Resources, Inc. 1990.** Cattle Fax. Englewood, Colorado. Vol. XXII.
- Evans, S.G. 1992.** Optimization of ranch management alternatives in Utah. Ph.D. Diss., Utah State Univ., Logan.
- Torell, L.A., S. Ghosh, and J.M. Fowler. 1989.** Economic considerations for setting grazing fees on New Mexico state trust lands. New Mexico Agr. Exp. Sta. Spec. Rep. 81. New Mexico State Univ., Las Cruces.
- USDA, Economic Research Service. 1985.** Farm real estate market developments. CD-90. Washington, D.C.
- USDA, Economic Research Service. 1991.** Agricultural Resources, situation and outlook report. AR-22. Resources and Tech. Div., Washington, D.C. June.
- USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1989.** Agricultural Prices, 1988 summary. Agr. Stat. Board, Washington, D.C. June.
- USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1990a.** Agricultural prices, 1989 summary. Agr. Stat. Board, Washington, D.C. June.
- USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1990b.** Agricultural prices. Agr. Stat. Board, Washington, D.C. December.
- USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1991.** Agricultural Prices. Agricultural Statistics Board, Washington, D.C. December.
- Utah Department of Agriculture. 1991.** Utah agricultural statistics—1991. Utah Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Workman, J.P. 1981.** Analyzing ranch income statements—a modified approach. Rangelands 3(4):146–148.
- Workman, J.P. 1986.** Range economics. Macmillan Publishing Co., N.Y.