[RADIOCARBON, VOL. 19, NO. 3, 1977, P. 355-363]

Radiocarbon

1977

DISCUSSION REPORTING OF ¹⁴C DATA

MINZE STUIVER* and HENRY A POLACH**

INTRODUCTION

Count rates, representing the rate of ¹⁴C decay, are the basic data obtained in a ¹⁴C laboratory. The conversion of this information into an age or geochemical parameters appears a simple matter at first. However, the path between counting and suitable ¹⁴C data reporting (table 1) causes headaches to many. Minor deflections in pathway, depending on personal interpretations, are possible and give end results that are not always useful for inter-laboratory comparisons. This discussion is an attempt to identify some of these problems and to recommend certain procedures by which reporting ambiguities can be avoided.

1. RADIOCARBON DATING STANDARD

It is widely recognized that all laboratories should report their results either directely related to NBS oxalic acid or indirectly by using a substandard which is directly related to the NBS oxalic acid (Olsson, 1970). The internationally accepted radiocarbon dating reference value is 95 percent of the activity, *in* AD 1950, of the NBS oxalic acid normalized to $\delta^{13}C = -19$ per mil with respect to (wrt) PDB (Olsson, 1970; R, 1961, v 3). Although the ¹⁴C activity of oxalic acid is changing with time, the activity of the international standard defined above does not change. It will be named the *absolute international standard activity* (AISA) in further discussion.

Most laboratories, in their calculations, use an activity value A_{ON} that is 95 percent of the measured net oxalic acid activity (count rate) A_{Ox} today, normalized for ¹³C fractionation according to

$$A_{ON} = 0.95 A_{OX} \left(1 - \frac{2(19 + \delta^{13}C)}{1000} \right).$$

Measurements of δ^{13} C are relative to the PDB standard. The activity A_{ON} depends on the year of measurement (y) and has to be corrected for decay between 1950 and year (y) of actual counting date. The *absolute international standard activity* is given by

$$A_{abs} = A_{ON} e^{\lambda(y-1950)} \qquad \text{where } \lambda = \frac{1}{8267} \text{ yr}^{-1},$$

eg, the measured A_{ON} is today about 0.3 percent lower than A_{abs} .

* University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

^{**} Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

2. ISOTOPIC FRACTIONATION CORRECTION

It is strongly desirable that all workers report δ^{13} C values, either measured or estimated relative to PDB. By convention, the ¹³C isotopic fractionation in all samples, irrespective of environment, is taken into account by normalizing to -25 per mil wrt PDB, the postulated mean value of terrestrial wood. The normalized sample activity $A_{\rm SN}$ relates to the measured sample activity $A_{\rm S}$ as follows:

$$A_{SN} = A_{S} \left(1 - \frac{2(25 + \delta^{13}C)}{1000} \right)$$

where δ^{13} C is measured or estimated in per mil wrt PDB. This equation is a generally used approximation of the more precise $A_{SN} = A_8 0.975^2 / (1 + \delta^{13}C/1000)^2$ (Stuiver and Robinson, 1974).

3. RADIOCARBON AGE CALCULATION

The radiometric age of a sample is calculated by assuming a timeindependent atmospheric ¹⁴C level in all past times. The specific activity (activity per gram C) of this hypothetical atmospheric carbon level, after normalizing to -25 per mil for ¹³C, is by definition equal to the specific *activity of the absolute international standard* A_{abs}. For a Libby halflife of 5568 yrs, and when measured in 1950, the age (t) of a sample before 1950 AD is therefore given by

$$t = -8033 \ln \frac{A_{sn} (in 1950)}{A_{on} (in 1950)}$$

The actual measurements of sample and oxalic acid activities were, of course, not made in 1950. The measured ratio A_{SN}/A_{ON} , however, does not change with time. It stays equal to the 1950 ratio because both sample and oxalic acid lose their ¹⁴C at the same rate. Thus the calculated age (t), given by $t = -8033 \ln A_{SN}/A_{ON}$, is a fixed number independent of the year of measurement. It always implies an age prior to AD 1950 (ie, AD 1950 equals 0 yrs BP).

Ages (yrs) calculated in the above manner (t = $-8033 \ln A_{SN}/A_{ON}$) are called *conventional radiocarbon ages* (years BP). This term implies:

- A. the use of the 5568 yr half-life (mean life 8033 y),
- B. the assumption of constancy of ¹⁴C atmospheric level during the past,
- C. the use of oxalic acid (direct or indirect) as a standard,
- D. isotopic fractionation normalization of all sample activities to the base of $\delta^{13}C = -25$ per mil (relative to the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio of PDB),
- E. the year 1950 is automatically the base year, with ages given in years BP (*ie*, present is AD 1950).

4. RESERVOIR EFFECT

The calibration of the oxalic acid standard (in 1958) was based on the age corrected ¹⁴C activity of AD 1890 tree-rings in equilibrium with 1890 atmospheric CO₂. When reservoirs differ in specific ¹⁴C content Discussion: Reporting of ¹⁴C Data

from the atmosphere, an age adjustment is needed. A conventional radiocarbon age, as defined in section 3, does take into account ¹³C fractionation but not differences in ¹⁴C specific activity of reservoirs which arise from effects other than isotopic fractionation. It is recommended that a conventional radiocarbon age is always reported without adjustment; a reservoir corrected age BP should be given separately. The adopted reservoir corrections should be given (and referenced) with the sample description.

The above procedure necessitates a reservoir correction, for example, for marine shell ages because the conventional radiocarbon age takes only ¹³C fractionation into account. The corrections for ¹³C fractionation and reservoir ¹⁴C deficiency cancel each other more or less for shells from non-polar regions and many laboratories have adopted the convenient practice of forgetting — and not mentioning — the two corrections. The recommended procedure should eliminate possible ambiguity: all conventional radiocarbon ages are to be ¹³C corrected, and estimates of reservoir corrections, when needed, are to be given separately.

5. STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTY OF AGE DETERMINATION

The conventional ${}^{14}C$ age is accompanied by a standard error, based on the number of accumulated counts of the sample, oxalic acid, and background, plus additional errors caused by inaccuracies in voltage, pressure, temperature, dilution, et cetera. The standard error should include the error in ¹³C ratios. For measured ¹³C/¹²C ratios the contribution to the standard error is small, but it may be significant for estimated isotope ratios. The spread in isotope ratios, and the influence on standard errors when δ^{13} C ratios are estimated, are given in figure 1 (reproduced by permission from Polach, 1976). The error in the conventional ¹⁴C halflife should NOT be included in the standard error. The statistical uncertainty of the age determination, the standard error of the conventional ¹⁴C age, is given as \pm one standard deviation.

6. DEPLETION OR ENRICHMENT WIT STANDARD

To give the relative differences between either uncorrected or ¹³C corrected sample activity (count rate) and measured oxalic acid activity, one can define

$$d^{14}C = \left(\frac{A_s - A_{ON}}{A_{ON}}\right) 1000 \text{ per mil} = \left(\frac{A_s}{A_{ON}} - 1\right) 1000 \text{ per mil}$$

and

$$D^{14}C = \left(\frac{A_{SN} - A_{ON}}{A_{ON}}\right) 1000 \text{ per mil} = \left(\frac{A_{SN}}{A_{ON}} - 1\right) 1000 \text{ per mil}.$$

Thus the conventional radiocarbon age, as defined in section 3, is given by

$$t = -8033 \ln \left(1 + \frac{D^{14}C}{1000} \right)$$
 .



δC¹³ relative to PDB STANDARD

Fig. 1. C variation in nature. The suggested mean values, to be used when estimating $\delta^{13}C$ of samples are given as (x) and the suggested appropriate error of estimation (never less than $\pm 2\%$) is given by solid lines. The variations in ¹⁴C standard are due to laboratory fractionation only. The large range in $\delta^{13}C$ of some datable materials does not always allow to apply effectively a correction based on an estimate of the mean value. Note that the \pm error of the age correction due to spread of δ^{13} C values is often of the same order of magnitude or larger than the common statistical uncertainty (\pm error) of the age determination. When a measured δ^{13} C correction is not applied, the AGE CORRECTION is to be added (arithmetically) to the isotopic fractionation uncorrected radiocarbon age determination and the error of estimation of mean δ^{13} C value (due to their spread in nature) must be correctly combined with the statistical uncertainty of the age determination. The isotopic fractionation corrected age is therefore the sum of the radiocarbon age and its error (A \pm a) and the isotopic fraction age correction and its error (B \pm b). The corrected age (A \pm a) + (B \pm b) equals (A + B) \pm (a² + b²)^{1/2}. This correction, within limits given by solid lines, is necessary when valid comparison of reported ages of a variety of organic materials is sought. (After Polach, 1976, reproduced by permission of the editor).

As discussed, the measured activity ratios A_S/A_{ON} and A_{SN}/A_{ON} do not change with time because both sample and oxalic acid lose ¹⁴C at the same rate. As a result d¹⁴C and D¹⁴C values are independent of the year of measurement.

7. PERCENT MODERN

Percent Modern is seldom used in chronological studies, and it is now recommended that in such studies only the δ^{13} C ratio and the conventional ¹⁴C age BP be given. The 8th International Conference on Radiocarbon Dating (Proceedings, 1972) accepted the replacement of D¹⁴C per mil by percent Modern, equated to the activity ratio A_{SN}/A_{ON} × 100 percent. We consider this term superfluous. However, for geochemical and ¹⁴C equilibria (distribution of ¹⁴C in nature) studies, the new term "absolute" percent Modern (pM) is desirable. It is defined as follows

$$pM = \frac{A_{SN}}{A_{abs}} \times 100 \text{ percent} = \frac{A_{SN}}{A_{ON}e^{\lambda(x-1950)}} \times 100 \text{ percent},$$

where (y) is the year of Oxalic measurement, and $\lambda = 1/8267 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is based on the 5730 yr half-life. When the years of collection and measurement are not identical, A_{SN} has to be corrected for the decay that took place between year of collection and measurement (table 1).

The measured and δ^{13} C-normalized oxalic acid activity A_{ON} declines with age, and if the reservoir activity has remained constant, then the measured activity ratio A_{SN}/A_{ON} is dependent upon the year of measurement of Oxalic acid. The "absolute" *percent Modern* makes this ratio constant and meaningful for many specialized studies.

When relating a *conventional radiocarbon age* to "absolute" *percent Modern* (pM) as defined above, the year of measurement (y) becomes important. Thus,

$$t + \frac{y - 1950}{1.03} = -8033 \ln \frac{pM}{100}$$
.

8. Age correction, δ^{14} C, Δ , and Δ^{14} C

When comparing sample ¹⁴C activities with oxalic acid activity, an age correction may be needed. For instance, for a tree-ring grown in year (x) and measured during year (y), the age corrected activity is $A_s e^{\lambda(y-x)}$. The age correction is based on the 5730 yr half-life ($\lambda =$ 1/8267 yr⁻¹). In the first proposed use of δ^{14} C and its associated Δ^{14} C (Broecker and Olson, 1959), it was also clearly stated that the comparison of sample activity should be with the activity of an age corrected international standard. As the actual intercalibration measurements of wood/ oxalic were made in 1958, it was in the first instance suggested by Broecker and Olson (1959) that the oxalic activity should be corrected back to AD 1958. Since then it has become the custom to use the year 1950 as the base year for all radiocarbon measurements (Godwin, 1962). The age correction of oxalic acid standard has been made to the base year of 1950 for the calculation of the activity of the *absolute international standard* (Olsson, 1970), referred to in section 1.

carturation procedure for Construction betainteers	OXALIC ACID	×o _v	$A_{ON} = .95A_{OX} \left[1 - \frac{2(19 + 6^{13}C)}{1000} \right]$	$A_{ABS} = A_{ON}e^{\lambda}(y-1950)$	ays $\frac{1}{8267}$ r-1	MPLES GEOCHEMICAL SAMPLES BRECTION WITH AGE CORRECTION	*		*	$\Delta = \left[\frac{A_{SN}}{A_{SN}} \frac{\lambda(1950-x)}{-1} \right] 1000^{0} / 00$	$(1+25)\left(1+\frac{\delta^{14}C}{1000}\right) \qquad \Delta = \delta^{14}C - 2(\delta^{13}+25)\left(1+\frac{\delta^{14}C}{1000}\right)$		$1 \delta^{13}C$ Δ , $\delta^{14}C$ and $\delta^{13}C$	*
	SAMPLE	S v	$A_{SN} = A_S \left[1 - \frac{2(25 + \delta^{1/3}\Omega)}{1000} \right]$		λ in Table always $\frac{1}{8267} y^r^{-1}$	GEOCHEMICAL SAMPLES WITHOUT AGE CORRECTION	$\delta^{14}C = \left[\frac{A_S}{A_{BS}} - 1\right]$ 1000 °/00		$\Delta^{1} u_{C} = \left[\frac{A_{SN}}{A_{ABS}} - 1 \right] 1000^{\circ} / \infty$		$\int \Delta^{14} c = \delta^{14} c - 2(\delta^{13} c + 25) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^{14} c}{1000}\right)$		$\Delta^{14}C$, $\delta^{14}C$ and	$p, M = \frac{A_{SN}}{2}$
						GEOCHRONOLOGICAL SAMPLES	d^{1} ^L C = $\begin{bmatrix} A_{S} \\ A_{ON} \\ - 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 1000 °/00		$D^{1} u_{C} = \left[\frac{\Lambda_{SN}}{\Lambda_{ON}} - 1 \right] 1000 \ ^{\circ} / 00$		$D^{14}C = d^{14}C - 2(\delta^{13}+25)\left(1 + \frac{d^{14}C}{1000}\right)$	$\frac{5.5}{100} t = -80331n \frac{A_{SN}}{A_{ON}}$	<pre>ô13C and conventional radiocarbon age</pre>	altan and Dlan
Calcula		NET ACTIVITY, NORMALIZED FOR COUNTING VOLUME, MASS CHANGE, DILUTION, IMPURITIES, ETC. ACTIVITY IN COUNTS PER MINUTE	NORMALIZATION FOR ISOTOPE FRACTIONATION. ALL 6 ¹³ C VALUES WITH REGARD TO PDB	ABSOLUTE INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ACTIVITY (OXALIC ACID ACTIVITY) CORRECTED FOR DECAY SINCE 1950	x = YEAR OF GROWTH y = YEAR OF MEASURDAENT		PER MIL DEPLETION OR ENRICHMENT WITH RECARD TO STANDARD	Equivalent equation	PER MIL DEPLETION OR ENRICINENT RELATIVE TO TO STANDARD NORMALIZED FOR ISOTOPE FRACTIONATION	Equivalent equation	APPROXIMATE RELATIONSHIP	Conventional radiocarbon age BP, based on 5568 yr. half life $\lambda = \frac{1}{8033} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	ALWAYS REPORT	OPTIONAL

TABLE 1 Calculation procedure for ¹⁴C ages and geochemical parameters When year of collection z differs from year of measurement y, either A_s or $A_{s,x}$ should be replaced by respectively $A_{s}e^{(T-2)}$, $A_{sx}e^{(T-2)}$, $A_{sx}e^{(T-2)}$, or A_s and A_{sN} kept unchanged but oxalic acid corrected for decay between year of collection and 1950 only. This procedure is applicable only when marked in the table by an asterisk.

360

Minze Stuiver and Henry A Polach

Thus δ^{14} C is here defined as the relative difference between the *absolute international standard* and sample activity corrected for age, but not for δ^{13} C.

$$\delta^{14}C = \left(\frac{A_{s}e^{\lambda(y-x)}}{A_{abs}} - 1\right) 1000 \text{ per mil},$$

where (y) is the year of measurement and (x) the year of growth.

The δ^{14} C term has also often been used in instances where sample age correction is not possible. According to the 8th International Radiocarbon Conference in Wellington, New Zealand, the δ^{14} C (and its associated Δ term) should be used only when samples have been age corrected (Proc., 1972). When age correction is impossible, one can use d¹⁴C and D¹⁴C, as defined in the previous section. However, δ^{14} C, Δ , and Δ^{14} C values have been and are used extensively in oceanography where age corrections are not possible. Both age-corrected and non-age corrected δ^{14} C, Δ , and Δ^{14} C values seem to be with us for an indefinite period, and therefore the radiocarbon dater must clearly define their meaning each time he uses these terms. Age corrected oxalic acid activity (the *absolute international standard activity* A_{abs}) should always be used when calculating the δ^{14} C, Δ^{14} C values. For oceanographic samples

$$\delta^{14}\mathrm{C} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{abs}}} - 1\right) 1000.$$

When the years of collection and measurement are not identical, A_s has to be corrected for the decay that took place between year of collection and measurement (table 1).

After normalization for δ^{13} C, the relative difference between age corrected sample activity $A_{sn}e^{\lambda(y-x)}$ and the absolute standard is

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{A_{\rm SN} e^{\lambda(\rm y-x)}}{A_{\rm abs}} - 1\right) 1000 \text{ per mil}$$
$$= \left(\frac{A_{\rm SN} e^{\lambda(1950-x)}}{A_{\rm ON}} - 1\right) 1000 \text{ per mil}$$

For oceanographic samples,

$$\Delta^{14}C = \left(\frac{A_{sn}}{A_{abs}} - 1\right) 1000$$
 per mil.

D¹⁴C and d¹⁴C, as well as Δ^{14} C and δ^{14} C, can be tied to each other through the following expressions:

$$D^{14}C = d^{14}C - 2(\delta^{13}C + 25) \left(1 + \frac{d^{14}C}{1000}\right)$$
(1)

and

$$\Delta^{14}C = \delta^{14}C - 2(\delta^{13}C + 25) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^{14}C}{1000}\right).$$
⁽²⁾

These are the expressions commonly used for the calculation of $D^{14}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values. They are, however, only approximations derived from a combination of the equations in sections 6 and 8. The precise relationship

$$\Delta^{14}\mathrm{C} = 1000 \left(\left(1 + rac{\delta^{14}\mathrm{C}}{1000}
ight) rac{0.975^2}{\left(1 + rac{\delta^{13}\mathrm{C}}{1000}
ight)^2} - 1
ight)$$

is given in Stuiver and Robinson (1974). As it has been customary so far to use relationships (1) and (2) in making corrections for isotopic fractionation, their continued use is recommended.

9. REPORTING OF AGES CLOSE TO MODERN

Chronological samples can be reported as *MODERN* when the reservoir-corrected, *conventional radiocarbon* age is less than 200 yrs; > MODERN when A_{SN} is greater than A_{ON} . However, in these instances, $D^{14}C$ must also be reported in addition to the required $\delta^{13}C$ value.

10. Reporting of ages close to background

In order to retain maximum possible information when reporting ages close to *background*, the following procedures are recommended:

When sample activity A_{SN} is less than twice the standard deviation σ of A_{SN} the resulting age should be reported as ">x," where the minimum age x is the conventional ¹⁴C age calculated for an $A_{SN} + 2\sigma$ sample activity. $A_{SN} = 0$ has to be substituted for negative A_{SN} values. When the sample activity differs less than one σ from the background, the statement, "Sample activity not distinguishable from background," should be given. When measured sample activity is between 1 and 2σ , an "apparent" age can be added.

D¹⁴C values should also be reported in all the above cases because their error (\pm sD¹⁴C) is normally distributed round the value of D¹⁴C, whilst the \pm error of the AGE is log-normally distributed round the age BP, making it inappropriate for error weighted (pooled mean) calculations (Polach, 1969).

11. ROUNDING OFF

The magnitude of the standard error determines the rounding off of a conventional ¹⁴C age. It is standard practice in the physical sciences to give one more digit than can be accurately accounted for. In reporting the standard error, the first two digits should be retained. For instance, 8234 ± 256 and $42,786 \pm 2322$ are rounded, respectively, to 8230 ± 260 and $42,800 \pm 2300$. When the standard error is less than 100 yrs, rounding off to the nearest multiple of ten is recommended between 50 and 100 yrs, and rounding off to the nearest multiple of five below 50 yrs.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For chronological studies, *ie*, geological, archaeological, et cetera samples, where the measurement of age is the most important aspect, the following quantities based on the 5568 yr half-life ($\lambda = 1/8033$ yr⁻¹) are critical and should be reported:

- A. δ^{13} C ratio actual measured or estimated value wrt PDB.
- B. The conventional radiocarbon age BP, as defined in section 3, with its \pm error expressed as \pm one standard deviation.
- C. The estimate of "reservoir" correction (sec. 4) when a reservoir corrected age is given in addition to the conventional radiocarbon age.
- D. An optional parameter for reporting is D¹⁴C: The per mil *depletion* or *enrichment* wrt 0.95 Oxalic, (normalized for isotopic fractionation of both Oxalic and sample).

For geochemical samples, dendrochronological samples, reservoir equilibria, and diffusion models, the following quantities, with age corrections based on 5730 yr half-life ($\lambda = 1/8267$ yr⁻¹) are critical and should be reported:

- A. δ^{13} C ratio actual measured or estimated value wrt PDB,
- B. Percent modern, as defined in section 7, (if applicable) or
- C. δ^{14} C and Δ^{14} C or Δ (depending on the user's past preferences), as given in sections 8 and 9, with clear indication if an age correction has been applied to any of these terms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge that discussions on this topic took place during the 9th International Conference on Radiocarbon Dating, July 1976, at UCLA and UCSD and wish to thank Rainer Berger (Los Angeles), Hans Suess (San Diego), J C Vogel (Pretoria), Reider Nydal (Trondheim), Henrik Tauber (Copenhagen), J C Freundlich (Köln), and V R Switzur (Cambridge) for their expressed opinions. However, only the authors share equally in the responsibility for the recommendations given here and further wish to thank J Richards and M J Head (both ANU, Canberra), H G Ostlund (Miami) and P E Damon (Arizona), for their critical reading of the text.

The recommendations are not necessarily in agreement with the present editorial policy of Radiocarbon. The ¹⁴C studies of Minze Stuiver are supported through NSF grant EAR 76-81598.

References

- Broecker, W S, and Olson, E A, 1959, Lamont radiocarbon measurements VI: Radiocarbon, v 1, p 111-132.
- Flint, R F and Deevey, E S, 1961, Editorial statement: Radiocarbon Supp, Am Jour Sci, v 3.
- Godwin, Harry, 1962, Radiocarbon dating: Nature, v 195, no. 4845, p 943-945.
- Olsson, I U, 1970, The use of Oxalic acid as a Standard, *in* Olsson, I U, ed, Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, Nobel symposium, 12th Proc: New York, John Wiley & Sons, p 17.
- Polach, H A, 1969, Optimisation of liquid scintillation radiocarbon age determinations and reporting of ages: Atomic Energy in Australia, v 12, no. 3, p 21-28.
 - ——____ 1976, Radiocarbon dating as a research tool in archaeology-hopes and limitations, *in* Barnard, Noel, ed, Symposium on scientific methods of research in the study of ancient Chinese Bronzes and South East Asian metal and other archaeological artifacts Proc: Australian Natl Univ, Dept Far Eastern History Pub.
- Rafter, T A and Grant-Taylor, T, compilers, 1972, Internat conf on radiocarbon dating, 8th internat conf on Radiocarbon Dating Proc, October, 1972, Royal Soc New Zealand, v 1, p 1.
- Stuiver, Minze, and Robinson, S W, 1974, University of Washington Geosecs North Atlantic carbon-14 results: Earth and Planetary Sci Letters, v 23, p 87-90.