DECADAL CHANGES OF BOMB RADIOCARBON IN THE SUBTROPICAL SOUTH PACIFIC OCEAN BETWEEN 1992 AND 2003
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ABSTRACT. A basin-scale repeat hydrography of the WOCE-P06 line along approximately 32°S has revealed decadal changes of bomb-produced radiocarbon in the subtropical South Pacific Ocean between 1992 and 2003. Surface ∆14C decreased by about 30‰. A ∆14C decrease was also found in the upper thermocline from the surface to 400 m water depth. In the lower thermocline, from 400 m to 1100 m depth, however, ∆14C increased, with a maximum increase of 25‰ at 700 m depth. This contrast between the upper and lower thermoclines resulted in an overall 10% increase of the specific column inventory of bomb 14C from 1992 to 2003. The global ocean inventory of bomb 14C was assessed based on the specific inventory increase in the subtropical South Pacific Ocean. The meridional distribution of bomb 14C in the early 1990s suggests that the bomb 14C increases observed along 32°S in 2003 were primarily caused by mixing along isopycnals.

INTRODUCTION
Oceanic radiocarbon in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) has served oceanographers as a good tracer for ocean circulation and air-sea gas exchange. Distributions of natural 14C unaffected by bomb-produced 14C reveal the global pattern of deep thermohaline circulation and provide significant constraints for air-sea CO2 exchange (Broecker and Peng 1982). Bomb 14C that has penetrated into the ocean interior can also be used to evaluate air-sea gas exchange and thermocline circulation (Broecker et al. 1980, 1985, 1995). Recent advances in 14C measurements during the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) have encouraged re-analysis of air-sea CO2 exchange (Naegler et al. 2006) and produced estimates of thermocline ventilation rates (Sonnerup et al. 1999) as is also done with chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) measurements.

We returned to WOCE observation lines in the Southern Hemisphere in 2003 during the Blue Earth Global Expedition 2003 (BEAGLE2003) as one of the Japanese contributions to Climate Variability and Predictability (CLIVAR)/Carbon Repeat Hydrography, a post-WOCE basin-scale hydrographic study. Here, we show 14C results obtained from the samples taken along the WOCE-P06 line in the South Pacific (at approximately 32°S) from August to October of 2003. The previous WOCE-P06 observations in 1992 presented the distribution of 14C in the subtropical South Pacific (Key et al. 1996). Our results from 2003 showed the temporal changes of bomb 14C between 1992 and 2003, suggesting an increase in the water column inventory of bomb 14C and the importance of along-isopycnal mixing processes for the evolution of bomb 14C in the thermocline.

METHODS
Seawater samples for 14C measurements were collected at 50 stations between 154°E and 73°W along approximately 32°S (Figure 1). The methods used for water sampling and preparation of samples for 14C measurements have been described previously (Kumamoto et al. 2000). 14C was measured using accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) at the Institute of Accelerator Analysis Ltd. in Shirakawa and Paleo Labo Co. Ltd. in Kiryu, Japan. Together with the sample measurements, we also analyzed 14C in surface seawater samples for use as laboratory references. The standard deviation (SD) of the reference seawater measurements was 5.2‰ (n = 43). This value is taken to be
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the total error of our $^{14}$C measurements, including sampling, stripping, graphitization, and AMS measurement errors.

Figure 1 $\Delta^{14}$C (‰) sections in 1992 (a) and 2003 (b) along the WOCE-P06 line in the South Pacific at approximately 32°S. Contour intervals are 25‰. Dots indicate reliable $\Delta^{14}$C data points.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparison with WOCE-P06 14C

We first compared our 14C data from waters below 2000 m depth with those of WOCE-P06 (Figure 1). Key et al. (1996) pointed out 3 features of the deepwater 14C along 32°S: 1) relatively “young” water at the seafloor between 180° and 140°W; 2) relatively “old” water at 2000–2500 m depth both in the western (175°E–110°W) and eastern (90°W–70°W) sections; and 3) near-bottom, relatively “young” water on the eastern flank of the East Pacific Rise (110°W–90°W). Our high-density sampling for 14C data in the deep water confirmed the finer structures of those features. We determined the Δ14C differences between 1992 and 2003 along the WOCE-P06 line (Figure 2). Including the data from the bottom water (>4000 m depth) between 180° and 140°W, the deep 14C values obtained in 2003 were almost equal to those from 1992, which confirmed that there was no systematic offset between the 2 data sets. We also compared our 14C data with other WOCE data from the South Pacific (Key et al. 1996, 2002; Stuiver et al. 1996) and found no substantial differences among the deep 14C data.

![Figure 2](image-url)
The consistency in deep $^{14}$C data indicated that $^{14}$C differences between 1992 and 2003 in shallow layers were due to temporal changes of $^{14}$C. The $\Delta^{14}$C differences in waters shallower than 1000 m (Figure 2) suggest zonally identical changes of $^{14}$C along approximately 32°S. Surface $\Delta^{14}$C decreased by about 27‰ from 1992 to 2003. A $^{14}$C decrease was also found in the upper thermocline down to about 400 m depth. The surface $\Delta^{14}$C decrease agrees well with that recorded in a coral skeleton in Rarotonga at 21°S, 160°W (Guilderson et al. 2000). In the lower thermocline, from 400 m to ~1100 m depth, $^{14}$C increased between 1992 and 2003, with a maximum change of ~25‰ at 700 m. These zonal changes in $^{14}$C resulted from changes in natural and/or bomb $^{14}$C in the thermocline. Although the natural $^{14}$C variations cannot be measured directly, temporal changes of dissolved oxygen concentrations imply those variations. Between 1992 and 2003, there were small increases in dissolved oxygen only in the upper thermocline (data not shown), which suggests that the observed $^{14}$C changes in the upper and lower thermoclines were primarily derived from temporal changes in bomb $^{14}$C. The $\Delta^{14}$C decrease in the upper thermocline and the increase in the lower thermocline respectively implied that the bomb $^{14}$C peak signal had already passed through the upper thermocline by 1992 and that the peak had not yet reached the lower thermocline by 2003. The apparently unchanged $\Delta^{14}$C at ~400 m depth suggested that the peak of the bomb $^{14}$C at this depth appeared between 1992 and 2003. The deepest depth where a $\Delta^{14}$C increase was observed, about 1100 m, indicated the maximum penetration depth of bomb $^{14}$C in 2003.

**Bomb $^{14}$C Inventory**

Bomb $^{14}$C was calculated from differences between observed and natural $^{14}$C values. Because there are few oceanic $^{14}$C data available from before the start of nuclear weapons tests, the distribution of natural $^{14}$C was estimated using an adequate proxy: silicate (Broecker et al. 1995) or alkalinity (Rubin and Key 2002). In this study, the bomb $^{14}$C values were derived from natural $^{14}$C values in a gridded data set of the Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP) developed by Key et al. (2004). Area-weighted means of the bomb $^{14}$C inventories were calculated to be $15.0 \times 10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ in 1992 and $16.5 \times 10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ in 2003 (Table 1). Although the difference between the 2 inventories ($1.5 \times 10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$) was within the errors (SDs) of the estimates, a $t$ test applied to the data sets indicated that this difference was significant ($p < 0.05$). Therefore, we concluded that the specific water column inventory of bomb $^{14}$C increased by approximately 10% between 1992 and 2003 in the subtropical South Pacific in spite of the $^{14}$C decrease in the upper thermocline. The inventories of bomb $^{14}$C in 1992 and 2003 can be compared with those from the Geochemical Ocean Section Study (GEOSECS) in 1974 (Östlund and Stuiver 1980). There were 3 GEOSECS stations (stations 306, 310, and 320) close to 32°S in the central subtropical South Pacific (164°W–128°W). Broecker et al. (1995) calculated area-weighted means of the bomb $^{14}$C inventories at those stations to be $10.1 \times 10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ (Table 1), which was identical with our re-evaluation using the GLODAP natural $^{14}$C data. For comparison, area-weighted inventories between 165°W and 130°W for 1992 and 2003 are also shown in Table 1. In the central subtropical South Pacific, the bomb $^{14}$C inventory increased by 52% from 1974 to 1992 and by 69% from 1974 to 2003. These increases are about double those observed in the subtropical North Pacific (Peng et al. 1998; Aramaki et al. 2001), which provides supporting evidence of larger $^{14}$C increases in the Southern Hemisphere than in the Northern Hemisphere during the past decades (Key et al. 1996, 2004).

The increase in bomb $^{14}$C inventory in the subtropical South Pacific between 1992 and 2003 implies an increase of the global inventory over the same time period. The global inventory is constrained by the air-sea CO$_2$ exchange and by eddy diffusivity in the ocean interior and can be reconstructed by a simple box model that contains only 2 boxes, the surface mixed layer, and underlying deep layer (Broecker and Peng 1994). Temporal changes of mean penetration depth and surface bomb $^{14}$C
in the subtropical South Pacific (Table 1) are relative to those in the global box model. If the global mean inventory is proportional to the inventory in the subtropical South Pacific, our results can be extrapolated to estimate the global inventory of bomb $^{14}$C in 2003. Key et al. (2004) compiled the WOCE $^{14}$C data and calculated the global inventory of bomb $^{14}$C in 1995 to be $313 \times 10^{26}$ atoms. Corrections for missing ocean areas increased this estimate to $355 \times 10^{26}$ atoms (Naegler et al. 2006), which agrees with another estimate by Peacock (2004). However, there is still debate over estimates of the global inventory of bomb $^{14}$C for the mid-1970s. Higher estimates (Broecker et al. 1995; Lassey et al. 1996) for the mid-1970s are comparable to those for the mid-1990s; lower estimates (Hesshaimer et al. 1994; Peacock 2004) are about 25% less than the higher estimates (Figure 3). Note that recent model studies (Naegler and Levin 2006; Sweeney et al. 2007) persuasively argue in favor of the lower inventory estimates for the mid-1970s. In this study, we adopted the global inventory for 1974 ($225 \times 10^{26}$ atoms) estimated by Hesshaimer et al. (1994) because the GEOSECS $^{14}$C data for the subtropical South Pacific were acquired in 1974. This resulted in global mean inventories of bomb $^{14}$C of $6.3 \times 10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ in 1974 and $9.9 \times 10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ in 1995. The ratio of the specific inventory in the central subtropical South Pacific to the global mean inventory in the 1970s was identical with that in the 1990s (approximately 1.6). This agreement yields an estimated global inventory of bomb $^{14}$C in 2003 of $(386 \pm 62) \times 10^{26}$ atoms, which corresponds to an approximate 10% increase of the global inventory in 1995 ($(355 \pm 50) \times 10^{26}$ atoms). The error due to the time difference between the specific inventory in 1992 and the global inventory in 1995 was judged to be negligible because the temporal change in the global inventory was small in the 1990s. The large errors in our estimates were mainly due to large errors in estimates of the global inventories in 1974 and 1995. Despite the errors, we believe that our estimation of the global inventory increase from 1995 to 2003 was permissible because recent model studies have suggested a global inventory increase of 5% to 10% over the same period (Naegler et al. 2006; Sweeney et al. 2007). The 10% increase of the global inventory is probably close to an upper limit for the estimate. The increase in the oceanic inventory of bomb $^{14}$C implies that the bomb $^{14}$C in the biosphere should have decreased by 10% from 1995 to 2003 because the observed atmospheric inventory was almost constant during this period (Levin and Kromer 2004).

### Table 1 Bomb $^{14}$C invasion in the subtropical South Pacific along approximately 32°S. Values are area-weighted means with SDs in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Mean penetration depth$^a$ (m)</th>
<th>Surface bomb $^{14}$C$^b$ (‰)</th>
<th>Bomb $^{14}$C inventory$^c$ ($10^9$ atoms cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(this work)</td>
<td></td>
<td>165°W–130°W</td>
<td>755 (41)</td>
<td>142 (6)</td>
<td>17.1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOCE-P06</td>
<td>May–July 1992</td>
<td>154°E–73°W</td>
<td>561 (83)</td>
<td>163 (16)</td>
<td>15.0 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165°W–130°W</td>
<td>576 (45)</td>
<td>169 (8)</td>
<td>15.4 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOSECS$^d$</td>
<td>Mar–Apr 1974</td>
<td>164°W–128°W</td>
<td>312 (36)</td>
<td>208 (16)</td>
<td>10.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Stns.306, 310, 320)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Calculated by dividing bomb $^{14}$C inventory by surface bomb $^{14}$C concentration calculated from surface bomb $^{14}$C.

$^b$Difference between observed and natural $^{14}$C in surface water.

$^c$Calculated using equations in Key et al. (2004).

$^d$Data from Broecker et al. (1995).
Implications for Thermocline Ventilation

The inventory of bomb $^{14}$C in the ocean is significantly constrained by air-sea CO$_2$ exchange, while distribution of bomb $^{14}$C in the ocean interior is restrained by water mixing in the thermocline. The distributions of tracers in the thermocline depend on isopycnal (along density surfaces) and diapycnal (across density surfaces) mixing. Bomb tritium and $^3$He in the thermocline indicate that isopycnal processes dominate over diapycnal mixing (Jenkins 1980). Measurements of CFC and an SF$_6$ release experiment also suggest small diapycnal mixing in the thermocline (Ledwell et al. 1993; Sonnerup et al. 1999). To consider isopycnal mixing of $^{14}$C, we plotted the $\Delta^{14}$C difference ($\Delta\Delta^{14}$C) between 1992 and 2003 against water density, $\sigma_0$ (Figure 4). $^{14}$C levels decreased in isopycnal layers shallower than $\sigma_0 = 26.6$ and increased in $\sigma_0$ layers between 26.6 and 27.1. The $\Delta\Delta^{14}$C maximum was near $\sigma_0 = 26.9$. If the variability of natural $^{14}$C was negligible, then these $^{14}$C changes were due to the temporal evolution of bomb $^{14}$C in the thermocline. The simultaneous increase of bomb $^{14}$C across the entire basin implies meridional transport of bomb $^{14}$C associated with thermocline ventilation. Although ocean general circulation models permit simultaneous elucidation of the temporal and spatial changes of bomb $^{14}$C, we focus here on the maxima of $^{14}$C increases found in the layer of approximately $\sigma_0 = 26.9$ (Figure 4). The horizontal distribution of bomb $\Delta^{14}$C on $\sigma_0 = 26.9$ in the early 1990s (Key et al. 2004), including WOCE-P06 $^{14}$C data from 1992, was rich in high latitudes and gradually decreased toward low latitudes (Figure 5). This meridional gradient is explained primarily by northward transport of bomb $^{14}$C due to isopycnal mixing. The timescale of
the meridional transport can be estimated by the CFC apparent age (Figure 5). Along 32°S between 150°W and 100°W, the CFC apparent age along $\sigma_\theta = 26.9$ was about 17 yr, and the bomb $\Delta^{14}C$ was $\approx -105\%$. Along 45°S between the same longitudes, the apparent age was about 10 yr younger and bomb $\Delta^{14}C$ was about 20‰ higher. The observed $\Delta^{14}C$ increase along 32°S from 1992 to 2003 was about 25‰, suggesting that most of the observed increase was explained by the along-isopycnal mixing during the decade. Although a quantitative analysis is difficult because of large errors, our results indicate that isopycnal processes dominate diapycnal ones for bomb $^{14}C$ evolution in the thermocline.

**CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK**

This is the first report of $^{14}C$ measurements based on basin-scale repeat hydrography. We found that the bomb $^{14}C$ inventory increased by 10% in the subtropical South Pacific Ocean between 1992 and 2003, and the increase was probably derived from along-isopycnal mixing in the thermocline. Although our estimate of the global $^{14}C$ inventory contains large uncertainties, it suggests the possibility of using repeat $^{14}C$ measurements for re-evaluation of the global budget of bomb $^{14}C$. During BEAGLE2003, we also collected samples for $^{14}C$ analysis from the South Atlantic (WOCE-A10) and the Indian (WOCE-I3, -I4) oceans. Hydrographic data for the 3 basins including CTD/O, salinity, oxygen, nutrients, DIC, alkalinity, and pH have been published (Uchida and Fukasawa 2005). These data are also available from the CLIVAR and Carbon Hydrographic Data Office (http://whpo.ucsd.edu/). All $^{14}C$ data for the 3 basins will be published in 2007, making possible a more reliable global inventory of bomb $^{14}C$ for the early 2000s.

![Figure 4 \( \Delta^{14}C \) differences (‰) between 1992 and 2003 plotted against water density (sigma-theta, $\sigma_\theta$). The differences were obtained by subtraction of gridded 1992 data from 2003 data (2003 minus 1992). Contour intervals are 10‰. Shaded area shows $^{14}C$ increase greater than 10‰. Open and closed circles indicate reliable $\Delta^{14}C$ data points in 1992 and 2003, respectively. Layers shallower than $\sigma_\theta = 26.0$ are subject to winter vertical mixing (Conkright et al. 2002) and are not shown.
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