VOLUME 40 / NUMBER 3 / 1998

# Radiocarbon

An International Journal of Cosmogenic Isotope Research

## **INTCAL 98: CALIBRATION ISSUE**



Guest Editors Minze Stuiver Johannes van der Plicht

Department of Geosciences The University of Arizona 717 East Ft. Lowell Road Tucson, Arizona 85712-1201 USA

rent rnal ISSN: 0033-8222

#### **RADIOCARBON**

An International Journal of Cosmogenic Isotope Research

Editor: AUSTIN LONG Consulting Editor: A. J. T. JULL Manäging Editor Emerita: RENEE S. KRA Managing Editor: DAVID R. SEWELL Assistant Editor: KIMBERLEY TANNER ELLIOTT Published by Department of Geosciences The University of Arizona

Published three times a year at The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85712-1201 USA.

© 1998 by the Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona. All rights reserved.

Subscription rate (1998): \$120.00 (for institutions), \$65.00 (for individuals). Foreign postage is extra. A complete price list, including Proceedings of International Conferences, special publications and 1998 subscription categories, appears in the back of this issue. Back issues may be obtained by contacting *RADIOCARBON*.

All correspondence and manuscripts should be addressed to the Managing Editor, *RADIOCARBON*, Department of Geosciences, The University of Arizona, 4717 East Ft. Lowell Road, Tucson, AZ 85712-1201 USA. Tel: (520) 881-0857; Fax: (520) 881-0554; Internet: c14@radiocarbon.org

Offprints. The minimum offprint order for each article will be 50 copies without covers. No offprints will be furnished free of charge unless page charges are paid (see below). Covers are also available.

Page charges. For 1998, each institution sponsoring research reported in a technical paper or a date list will be asked to pay a charge of \$50.00 per printed page. Institutions or authors paying such charges will be entitled to 50 free offprints without covers. No charges will be made if the author indicates that the author's institution is unable to pay, and payment of page charges for an article will, in no case, be a condition for its acceptance.

*Missing issues* will be replaced without charge only if claim is made within three months (six months for India, New Zealand and Australia) after the publication date. Claims for missing issues will not be honored if non-delivery results from failure by the subscriber to notify the Journal of an address change.

*Illustrations* should include explanation of symbols used. Copy that cannot be reproduced cannot be accepted. Whenever possible, reduce figures for direct publication. Line drawings should be in black India ink on white drawing board, tracing cloth, or coordinate paper printed in blue and should be accompanied by clear ozalids or reduced photographs for use by the reviewers. Photographs should be positive prints. We can also print from many computer graphics file formats; please request our guide to graphics files for details. Figures (photographs and line drawings) should be numbered consecutively through each article, using Arabic numerals. Tables may be accepted as camera-ready copy.

*Citations*. A number of radiocarbon dates appear in publications without laboratory citation or reference to published date lists. We ask authors of research articles and date lists to include proper citation (laboratory number and date-list citation) in all publications in which radiocarbon dates appear.

List of laboratories. Our comprehensive list of laboratories is published annually, and is also available on the WWW at http://www.radiocarbon.org/Info/lablist.txt. We are expanding the list to include additional laboratories and scientific agencies with whom we have established contacts. The editors welcome information on these or other scientific organizations. We ask all laboratory directors to provide their laboratory code designation, as well as current telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail addresses. Changes in names or addresses, additions or deletions should be reported to the Managing Editor. Conventional and AMS laboratories are now arranged in alphabetical order by country and we include laboratories listed by code designation.

RADIOCARBON on the World Wide Web: http://www.radiocarbon.org/

	10	123456789	
Vol. 40, No. 3	RADIOCARBO	JAN LIBRIZ	1998
CONTENTS	152627	3 14 15 7 1999 RSITY ARY	
A TRIBUTE TO MINZE STUIVER	8		iii
A NOTE FOR NOVICES David Sewell		2192021222	xi
EDITORIAL COMMENT			
Minze Stuiver and Johannes van de	er Plicht		xii
ARTICLES			
INTCAL98 Radiocarbon Age Cali Minze Stuiver, Paula J. Reim Bernd Kromer, Gerry McCor.	bration, 24,000–0 cal BP er, Edouard Bard, J. Warren Beck, G. S. Bur mac, Johannes van der Plicht and Marco Sp	r, Konrad A. Hughen, purk	1041
Radiocarbon Calibration by Means Database Including Samples from I Edouard Bard, Maurice Arno	s of Mass Spectrometric <sup>230</sup> Th/ <sup>234</sup> U and <sup>14</sup> C A Barbados, Mururoa and Tahiti old, Bruno Hamelin, Nadine Tisnerat-Labora	Ages of Corals: An Updated	1085
A High-Resolution Radiocarbon C <sup>230</sup> Th Ages of Corals from Espiritu G. S. Burr, J. Warren Beck, F. Thierry Corrège, D. J. Donal	alibration Between 11,700 and 12,400 Caler I Santo Island, Vanuatu I. W. Taylor, Jacques Récy, R. Lawrence Edwa hue and J. M. O'Malley	dar Years BP Derived from ards, Guy Cabioch,	1093
Revisions and Extension of the Ho of the Younger Dryas/Preboreal Tr Marco Spurk, Michael Friedr	henheim Oak and Pine Chronologies: New F ransition rich, Jutta Hofmann, Sabine Remmele, Burkl	Evidence about the Timing <i>nard Frenzel</i> ,	
Revision and Tentative Extension of Revision Revision Revision Contract Revision Re	of the Tree-Ring Based <sup>14</sup> C Calibration, 9200	)–11,855 cal BP	1107
High-Precision Radiocarbon Age C Minze Stuiver, Paula J. Reime	Calibration for Terrestrial and Marine Sample er and Thomas F. Braziunas	25	1117
Variations of Radiocarbon in Tree I F. G. McCormac, A. G. Hogg I. R. Pilcher, David Brown or	Rings: Southern Hemisphere Offset Prelimin , T. F. G. Higham, M. G. L. Baillie, J. G. Pal ud S. T. Honer	ary Results Imer, Limin Xiong,	1152
RADIOCARBON LIPDATES		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1121
			1101
CORRECTIONS	·····		1163

Cover design by M. Stuiver, P. J. Reimer and T. L. Saling of the University of Washington's Quaternary Isotope Laboratory

*N.B.* The annual List of Laboratories traditionally published in the third issue of *RADIOCARBON* is omitted from this issue because *RADIOCARBON* 39(3), containing a current list, appeared in mid-1998. Volume 41, Number 3 (1999), will contain our next published list. In the meantime, please refer to the up-to-date list on our WWW server at http://www.radiocarbon.org/Info/lablist.html.

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

EDOUARD BARD J. WARREN BECK OWEN K. DAVIS ELLEN R. M. DRUFFEL DOUGLAS D. HARKNESS CALVIN J. HEUSSER SHEELA KUSUMGAR STEVEN W. LEAVITT ANN P. McNICHOL ANDREW M. T. MOORE PAVEL POVINEC

MICHAEL B. SCHIFFER E. MARIAN SCOTT JOHANNES VAN DER PLICHT JOHN S. VOGEL WEIJIAN ZHOU Aix-en-Provence, France Tucson, Arizona, USA Tucson, Arizona, USA Irvine, California, USA East Kilbride, Scotland Tuxedo, New York, USA Ahmedabad, India Tucson, Arizona, USA Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA New Haven, Connecticut, USA Bratislava, Slovakia Monaco Tucson, Arizona, USA Glasgow, Scotland Groningen, The Netherlands Livermore. California, USA Xi'an, China



*RADIOCARBON* wishes to acknowledge the generosity of the Centre for Isotope Research at the University of Groningen, The Netherlands, in making a donation to help subsidize the printing of this issue.



Photo courtesy of Stephen C. Porter

#### A TRIBUTE TO MINZE STUIVER UPON HIS RETIREMENT

Former *Radiocarbon* Editor and *INTCAL98* Guest Editor Minze Stuiver retired in October 1998 as head of the Quaternary Isotope Laboratory at the University of Washington, Seattle. The renowned lab, which Minze founded 29 years ago, will close when he leaves. Throughout his long and productive career, Minze's integrity and dedication to science have earned him the respect and admiration of his peers. We are pleased to present the following tributes from just a few of his many friends and colleagues.

\* \* \*

#### **AUSTIN LONG**

Whether you are a relative newcomer or a veteran to either the production side or as a user of radiocarbon measurements, the comments below from Minze's colleagues will give you personal recollections of a scholar and also an awareness of some less overt aspects of radiocarbon dating. If you are a new reader of *Radiocarbon*, you may notice what the veteran readers are aware of: the sense of international community that exists among those of us in the field. The remembrances below well illustrate this. The interesting aspect of this community is that its common thread is a technology rather than a discipline. Its triennial gatherings allow archaeologists to mingle with oceanographers, chemists and physicists. Happily, these scientific interfaces often are productive, and Minze's life illustrates this.

Minze began as a biophysicist, and applying his knowledge of physics and chemistry, he helped oceanographers understand oceanic upwelling and mixing, showed archaeologists how better to understand chronological uncertainties and apply corrections to their <sup>14</sup>C dates, and was involved in revealing the geophysical processes modulating the production rates and levels of radiocarbon in the atmosphere. Minze is a scholar who selects important problems, works meticulously toward their completion, and presents results in calm, dispassionate and thorough fashion. He edited this journal for 10 years until the heart surgeon demanded he cut back on his long work days. His editing has not stopped, as you saw from the 1993 calibration, and as you will see in this issue. Minze is not really retired, just redirecting his energy and talents.



Minze Stuiver in his Seattle 14C lab. Photo by Jimi Lott/The Seattle Times.



Minze and Anneke Stuiver. Photo by Stephen C. Porter, University of Washington.



Minze in 1970 (left) and 1976 (right, in Seattle). Photos courtesy of Stephen C. Porter, University of Washington.



iv

V

#### WIM MOOK AND HANS VAN DER PLICHT



As a student of Hessel de Vries, Minze wrote a thesis on "The Physics of the Sense of Smell". In his career, he proved to have developed a "good nose" for selecting his interests. One can wonder whether this "nose" led him not to continue working in Groningen on <sup>14</sup>C, considering the position of the first gas counter. He would have been involved in the demolition of 3 laboratories after all.





#### E. H. WILLIS

# Hessel de Vries and the Groningen Laboratory: Recollections of Pioneers in Radiocarbon Dating

The radiocarbon community owes a very considerable debt to the early work at the University of Groningen, Holland. Throughout the fifties, it was arguably the leading radiocarbon laboratory in Europe. It also happens to be Minze Stuiver's Alma Mater so one has rummaged among the cobwebs in the attic of one's mind for some recollections of that most productive era. It is a story of imagination, improvisation, hard work, and dedication; the sort of qualities for which the Dutch are justifiably renowned. It was an environment of which Minze can be justly proud to have been part, as I am proud to have been but a witness. These recollections portray a world of difference between life in a laboratory in Minze's early days, and conditions in laboratories prevailing upon his retirement.

I first visited Groningen in 1953. It was as isolated a place as one can imagine; it was literally at the end of the line from Amsterdam, and although the German border was only about thirty kilometers away there was little or no cross border traffic. Later, Groningen was to become a center for the oil and gas industry, but for the moment it remained what it was, a parochial little town on the flat damp lands of northern Holland. World War II had been traumatic and the immediate postwar years had been protracted and difficult; bitter memories had been slow to fade. The main square of the town still bore the sad evidence of the savage fighting between Canadian contingents and the retreating SS, and pock-marks could be seen on some of the buildings that remained. Universities everywhere in Europe were, at best, still trying to claw their way back to the standards existing before 1939. Money was scarce beyond today's imagination, and old and dilapidated buildings had to serve for the moment. The science faculty of the University of Groningen was no exception. I well remember getting off the bus from the railway station on that cold and foggy December afternoon, and gazing somewhat despondently upon its somber and forbidding red brick exterior. Its uninviting interior proved faithful to its exterior promise, for it made no concessions whatever to either aesthetic taste or creature comfort. Fortunately, the downside stopped right there. Whatever its physical constraints, it had more than its share of bright people. For all the cruel legacies of the recent war, a positive one then on display in Groningen was a fervored drive to be a part of the new world being opened up by science. In this environment thrived Hessel de Vries, a single minded and energetic young professor of Biophysics. His interests ranged from the role of visual purple in sight, the phenomenon of smell and, of course, the exciting new technique of radiocarbon dating.

For all his biophysical interests, it was radiocarbon which was to consume most of his energies. Libby's screen wall Geiger counter had been adopted by each new radiocarbon laboratory in turn simply because it worked—no other redeeming feature comes to mind for it was extremely inefficient. The most obvious alternative, gas proportional counting, could not be made to work reliably. Acetylene proved to work quite well as a counting gas, but had the dismaying propensity for demolishing everything in sight at the slightest provocation, a fact that I was to prove conclusively at Cambridge. Carbon dioxide, the obvious gas to use, was thoroughly un-cooperating when it came to good counting characteristics—until De Vries that is. A letter in a relatively obscure journal, *Physica* (XVIII, p. 652), towards the end of 1952 by De Vries and his student Barendsen recorded on one half of the very last page the simple fact that when carbon dioxide was purified to a very high degree it provided excellent counting characteristics. They later published a more comprehensive report in *Physica* (XIX, p. 987) in 1953, after the first Groningen date was achieved on January 15th of that year. Shortly after, Fergusson, in New Zealand, published a similar finding in *Nucleonics* (13, p. 18,

1955). Groningen had taken a major leap forward in the accuracy in radiocarbon dating, and gas proportional counting was the technique embraced for the next decade or so.

Never was there a man so free as De Vries in his help for others in the field, and many of us owe him a special debt of gratitude. As a young graduate student assigned the task of creating the Radiocarbon Laboratory at Cambridge University I was eager to learn more, and was cordially invited to visit. In those days, such visits were arranged mostly by post card because the postage was cheaper—the terse "Please come" on a post card was all that was needed—that was cordiality! De Vries' intensity for the subject became legendary. Later, in 1956, when I boarded the SS *Nieuw Amsterdam* at Southampton bound for America, en route to the Andover, Massachusetts Radiocarbon Conference, I was unexpectedly hailed from the upper deck by a familiar voice—De Vries talked incessantly of radiocarbon from one side of the Atlantic to the other, and it was the only time I nearly gave up.

The shortage of space, and the ability to get the most from strained resources to pursue meaningful research, were very obvious in that old building at Groningen. The purification system for the carbon dioxide was arranged around the walls of a landing on a stairway between two floors of the building. The landing had normally housed a toilet, which was still there in its cubicle but surrounded now with glass tubing, John Vogel, a later Director of the Groningen Laboratory, tells me that De Vries got his high voltage apparatus as surplus from a Canadian military dump. One could only marvel at the ingenuity of these people in making the most out of so very little. This was a classic example of "if only you want the result badly enough you will find a way to achieve it, however inelegant the apparatus and constrained the frugality of one's surroundings, and this was amply reflected in our life styles. As it turned out, our contemporary graduate students in the USA were living equally frugally, which was not what we had been led to expect since our views of Americans were conditioned by the apparent opulence of visiting professorial rank scientists, who naturally enough traveled by plane and not by ship.

Groningen was a welcoming community and made you feel part of the family. It was in the course of one of many visits that I was introduced to a young graduate student working with De Vries in a rather antiquated (if he will pardon that description) laboratory, trying to measure the threshold of smell—he was Minze Stuiver. It is a joy to me that Minze and I have remained friends ever since that first meeting. He was trying to determine with mounting frustration how many molecules of mercaptan remained in a flask after many many dilutions. It was no mean task, since the wretched things wanted to latch on to every surface they could find, and not obey neat dilution factors. I admired him then, as I do now, for his ingenuity, discipline, and tenacity. These qualities were to be the hallmark of his career.

In the late fifties, Groningen, De Vries and the Radiocarbon Laboratory were prospering. De Vries had established a national reputation in Holland, and had secured funding from the Dutch Government for research stimulated by the disastrous floods of 1952 which took hundreds of lives. Groningen had also a fine tradition of archaeology, first under the aging Van Giffen who had held the fort during the war, and later under the youthful and energetic Waterbolk. It had been Van Giffen who had given De Vries the first impetus to make his "machine". The Groningen Laboratory was thus in the right setting and with the right track record to receive what meager support was available at the time. This support enabled the laboratory to be moved in February of 1954 into new single story facilities which in those days seemed indescribably modern. De Vries was pursuing some new ideas with newer low-background counters, and later was to induce Minze Stuiver to join him in the expanded endeavor on conclusion of his thesis work—a perfect choice.

#### viii M. Stuiver Tribute

In 1958 there occurred a discovery which promised to rock the foundations of radiocarbon dating. Henrik Tauber of Copenhagen, myself, and De Vries were in Hamburg at a conference on pre- and protohistoric science. Karl Otto Munnich of Heidelberg had had some suspicions that some dates from tree rings of known age from an oak from the Spessart Forest were not in line with their dendrochronology. He sent the specimens to De Vries, who repeated the measurements, and with his greater counting precision extended them to other well-dated material. His first results seemed to suggest the unthinkable-radiocarbon years and sidereal years were not a one-to-one match over the last few hundred years. This was a seeming blow to the integrity of a method which had been touted as an absolute chronological tool. The Hamburg conference was to have been his opportunity to present his case publicly for the first time, and was thus of some importance. De Vries was easily bored by conferences, and a day not spent in the laboratory was a day wasted—he wanted to go back home before it ended, and quite unexpectedly asked me if I would give his paper for him. Since I had recently spent a fair bit of time editing it for him, I agreed, but not without some trepidation. Henrik and I did a lot of head-scratching over the next few days on how best to make the presentation. Based on this paper, Henrik and I, joined by Karl Otto Munnich, performed a three-way experiment with De Vries' enthusiastic blessing, using tree rings at fifty year intervals from a giant sequoia going back 1300 years. Each sample was measured independently by two out of the three laboratories. They confirmed De Vries' findings, and the results were presented at the Radiocarbon Conference in Groningen in 1959, and published in one of the early numbers of what was to become Radiocarbon (American Journal of Science Radiocarbon Supplement, Vol. 2, p. 1, 1960). Thus began a more intense effort, so ably refined by others with greater precision than we could muster, using the bristlecone pine to produce a reliable calibration of radiocarbon years with sidereal years. It is an irony of progress that these corrections are now taken for granted as part of the technique.

The Groningen Laboratory continued to prosper over the years ahead under Vogel and then Mook, but I draw these recollections to a close with De Vries' tragic and untimely death in December of 1959. This was a blow to us all working in the field at the time, but especially to those in Groningen not least of whom was Minze. His patient work under De Vries' tutelage should be recognized for the singular contribution that it was, only serving to reinforce the luster of his later achievements. In his indefatigable way Minze moved on to those other challenges which I shall leave to others to describe. But I shall always remember Minze admiringly for those early years when we shared so much and when a "brave new world" was no cliche—it was real.

#### PAUL DAMON

#### Reminiscence of Minze in the Early Days of <sup>14</sup>C and Solar Cycles

We first met when you came to Yale as a Postdoctoral Research Fellow to set up the Yale radiocarbon laboratory in 1959. I was there to visit Karl Turekian. Then you and Anneke visited us during your spring(?) vacation in 1960. Anneke was pregnant with Ingrid. You were soon to publish your paper, using De Vries' electrical analog computer model of the carbon cycle, demonstrating for the first time the correlation of <sup>14</sup>C variations and the sunspot cycle (*JGR* 1961; *Science* 1965). We had just built a proportional counter laboratory and we were in the process of independently confirming the *ca.* 1.5% increase in atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C, which we renamed the De Vries effect (*Radiocarbon* 1962).

During your visit, we visited Andrew Ellicott Douglas, who was then 93 and fast declining, but with occasional flashes of energy and interest. Although dendrochronology and dendroclimatology had been so successful and took so much of his time, he had never lost interest in solar cycles. Our visit, telling him about our new approach to tree rings and solar cycles, seemed to evoke one of those

flashes of interest and energy. His wife said that he had been depressed but obviously perked up and showed keen interest in the conversation. She thanked us for our visit and remarked that he had got a little tired of only female company.

The GEOSECS program that helped build your laboratory absorbed your attention for a while. This was followed by high-precision calibration of the radiocarbon time scale in which you took the lead beginning about 1962, but you got back to solar cycles during the last two decades with outstanding contributions. I have enjoyed your work and your friendship. Best wishes for a happy, healthy and productive retirement with much more leisure time than you have allowed yourself during many years of hard and productive work.

#### **REIDAR NYDAL**

At an early stage in my childhood I regarded retirement as a kind of illness. In the small village where I lived there where only a couple of persons who had an official employment with a regular income. When some of them retired, they were normally observed slowly walking on the road or resting in a chair. Such sudden difference was generally not observed for other people on the small farms, who regularly were growing potatoes and fishing as usual all the time.

In your case, Minze, you have already done more than growing potatoes and fishing, and will certainly make further scientific contributions. Your activity has been successful in a large number of main topics in the field of radiocarbon, including counting technique, natural <sup>14</sup>C variation, calibration, and the study of ocean circulation by participation in the WOCE and GEOSECS programs for the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans. Your most important contribution is probably the high-precision calibration curve for radiocarbon dating, also in collaboration with Gordon Pearson, Belfast, and Henry Polach, Canberra. The whole radiocarbon community relies on this curve and also follows your advice in reporting data.

I believe that scientists in the future will mainly appreciate you for your scientific contribution, as you have not announced much of your other qualities. You have certainly been aware early that scientific activity and success are not enough. With increasing age we are gradually more focused on your friendship and kindness which have blessed us for more than 30 years. It has always been nice to meet you, but we should have had more time. Finally, I wish you and your family all the best for your future and hope to see you again in radiocarbon or private connection.

#### WALLY BROECKER

Minze Stuiver will always be remembered as "Dr. Radiocarbon." He not only set a standard for excellence of measurements, but he also delved into all the important aspects of radiocarbon science, calibration, ocean circulation, very old samples, sunspots...

In 1984 at Zurich when asked what would happen to the beta counting labs in light of the emerging accelerator method, I made the seat-of-the-pants prediction that they would likely be retired along with their mentors. Now, this prediction is becoming a reality. An era which lasted almost 50 years is coming to an end. Sad, yes in a way, but for those who developed the radiocarbon method over these five decades perhaps, it is a fitting honor. The great research done with these old war-horse counting cylinders will stand as a permanent symbol of those who slugged it out making sure the gas was pure and the radon was gone. Minze will surely stand out as the best of them all.

I remember well the first meeting of <sup>14</sup>C scientists of my generation. It was in Groningen in 1959. It was there that I became acquainted with K. O. Munnich, Eric Willis, Heinrich Tauber, and, of

course, Minze. There was also a Russian whose name I have long ago forgotten. We called him Shutka because of his broad smile and wonderful laugh. It's been many moons since those early days. Minze has remained a close friend and colleague. I wish him well in his retirement.

#### **PAULA REIMER**

Minze Stuiver has been a guiding light in science for those who know him and his work. His intuition is phenomenal, often predicting the results of much more complicated approaches. He never fails to go to the root of a problem. He has stubbornly remained ethical in all aspects of life. Those of us who were lucky enough to work with Minze have, hopefully, learned some of the more important lessons in life.

#### **PIETER GROOTES**

I first met Minze in Groningen, The Netherlands in 1975, during my Ph.D. study. He visited to compare notes on thermal diffusion enrichment of <sup>14</sup>C as a way to extend the <sup>14</sup>C dating range to 70,000 years and beyond. When, after finishing my Ph.D., I asked Minze whether he might have a postdoc opportunity, he answered with characteristic brevity that a one-year stay would not be a problem, but that I should not count on anything more. Yet one-year visits to the States may last a long time, as Minze demonstrated by going for such a visit to Yale in 1959! The Seattle thermal diffusion effort was successful and produced some of the oldest <sup>14</sup>C dates in the world (>70,000 BP).

Over the years Minze has had a lively interest in trees, which was decidedly unhealthy for the trees. Along the West Coast he collected sections of old-growth trees to create a tree-ring calibration for the last few thousand years independent of the bristlecone pines. After a field trip the lab would look like a wood workshop. Later, those trips extended farther afield from Kodiak Island to Patagonia and focussed on poor lonely trees from windy places. In the lab these told their story about their youth and their response to location and weather and to a slow change of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere. Minze's interaction with trees can also be seen around his home(s) in Bellevue and later Lopez Island. Minze and Anneke love the quiet of the forest, yet in his free time, Minze set to work to cut trees for a clearing to make a nice Dutch vegetable garden.

Visits to Minze's lab were common, and many visitors stayed at Minze's home in Bellevue. Yet quite a few he managed to confuse about the exact location of both home and lab by using, whenever possible, a different route between them every time.

Minze's long and prominent involvement in radiocarbon dating led at the Seattle Radiocarbon Conference to the pronouncement by a colleague that to be really "in" in radiocarbon dating one would have to learn Dutch.

Over these 17 years of collaboration, Minze has always been an innovative critical scientist, a great devil's advocate to test any plan or theory on, but also a generous and great colleague to work with.

#### **A NOTE FOR NOVICES**

*RADIOCARBON's* previous special Calibration Issues in 1986 and 1993 (Stuiver and Kra 1986; Stuiver, Long and Kra 1993) have been among our most popular and widely distributed issues. This is not surprising, as reliable calibration of radiocarbon dates is crucial to researchers in disciplines where chronological interpretation of data is fundamental—archaeology, paleoenvironmental studies, and Near Eastern history, to name a few that are often represented in the pages of this journal. With INTCAL98, the precision of the calibration curves has been increased and their backwards range extended.

The theory of <sup>14</sup>C calibration is relatively straighforward: naturally occurring materials that exhibit annual growth phenomena (*e.g.*, tree rings, lake and marine varves) are <sup>14</sup>C-dated as precisely as possible over age ranges that can (ideally) be dated absolutely. The resulting calibration curve shows the relation between conventional <sup>14</sup>C dates and calendar ages, its trends and "wiggles" reflecting the variation over time of <sup>14</sup>C in the geosphere. Once generated, the calibration curves (or more accurately, their underlying data sets) enable the conversion of a date in radiocarbon years (BP) to a calendar age range or ranges (cal BC/AD). For many users of <sup>14</sup>C dates, this is a simple matter of plugging a conventional age into one of the computer calibration programs, or even of accepting and reporting the calibrated dates calculated by the laboratory when it returns results on a sample.

However, it is crucial to remember that a calibrated <sup>14</sup>C age is *probabilistic*, and must not be confused with an absolute calendar date. The papers in this issue report in great detail on the data sets used to construct the INTCAL98 curves; the methods used in choosing, treating and measuring samples; and the statistical assumptions made to arrive at calibrated dates and their associated margins of uncertainty. Understanding this background is important when using calibrated <sup>14</sup>C dates as evidence for a chronological argument, particularly when conventional <sup>14</sup>C dates intersect multiple ranges on the calibration curve or when claiming very narrow calendar ranges as probable dates of origin.

Introductory discussions of <sup>14</sup>C calibration can be found in Aitken (1990: Chapter 4), Bowman (1990: Chapter 4), Bronk Ramsey (1998b) and Taylor (1987: 133–142). The manuals for the OxCal (Bronk Ramsey 1998a), CAL25 (van der Plicht 1998) and CALIB (Stuiver and Reimer 1998) calibration software also discuss the principles of calibration as used in those programs.

David R. Sewell

#### REFERENCES

- Aitken, M. J. 1990 Science-Based Dating in Archaeology. London, Longman: 274 p.
- Bowman, S. 1990 *Radiocarbon Dating*. Berkeley and Los Angeles, University of California Press: 64 p.
- Bronk Ramsey, C. n.d./1998a OxCal Program [WWW document]. URL http://info.ox.ac.uk/departments/ rlaha/oxcal/oxcal\_h.html
- Bronk Ramsey, C. n.d./1998b Radiocarbon Calibration. Radiocarbon WEB-Info [WWW document]. URL http://units.ox.ac.uk/departments/rlaha/calib.html
- Stuiver, M. and Kra, R., eds. 1986 Calibration Issue. Radiocarbon 28(2B): 805–1030.

- Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds. 1993 Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 1–244.
- Stuiver, M. and Reimer, P. 1998 *CALIB* Version 4.0 [Computer program] URL http://depts.washington. edu/qil/
- Taylor, R. E. 1987 Radiocarbon Dating: An Archaeological Perspective. Orlando, Academic Press: 212 p.
- van der Plicht, J. 1998 *The Groningen Calibration Program* Version CAL25 [Computer program] URL http: //www.cio.phys.rug.nl/HTML-docs/carbon14/cal25. html

#### EDITORIAL COMMENT

Welcome to INTCAL98, the last calibration issue of the present millennium. This 1998 calibration volume is the third of a series published in *RADIOCARBON*, amending and extending the previous issues (28(2B), 1986 and 35(1), 1993). The advisability of publishing a third calibration issue was agreed upon at the 16th International Radiocarbon Conference in Groningen, June 1997, following a thorough review of the existing tree-ring data sets by a working group meeting in Heidelberg in late 1996 (Kromer *et al.* 1996).

Calibration is the conversion of radiocarbon ages (BP) into calibrated ages (cal BC, cal AD, or cal BP). For INTCAL98, we used paired data sets of 1) tree rings dated by <sup>14</sup>C and by dendrochronological counting, and 2) corals dated by <sup>14</sup>C and uranium-series, as we did in the previous 1993 calibration issue. In both cases, considerably more measurements have become available in the past few years. We present here an INTCAL98 calibration curve based upon tree rings for its more recent segment, and upon corals for its older section. In addition, as an exception to the rule, it was decided to include Late Glacial marine varves because this newly developed data set strengthens the coral/tree-ring link considerably.

Other paired datings (between <sup>14</sup>C and other dating methods using, *e.g.*, thermoluminescence, speleothems and various laminated sediments) are not included in INTCAL98. Instead, *RADIOCARBON* has planned a "comparison issue" in the near future that will contain these records. Their future incorporation into the INTCAL data set is foreseen when discrepancies among the records are resolved.

#### $\mathbf{G}$

The major revisions here to the dendrochronological calibration involve the German oak chronology from Hohenheim, corrected by intercomparison with the Göttingen dendrochronology. The important record from the floating German Preboreal pine chronology has been extended and shifted with respect to previous publications.

Since the publication of the 1993 calibration issue, there has been some disagreement about which tree-ring data set to use—the 1986 version, which carried the status "recommended" (Mook 1986), or the more recent, but never formally recommended, 1993 version. Some of the corrections applied to the 1986 data and included in 1993 were questioned as reflecting possible local effects. This question has not really been resolved, but we stress here that these effects are very small (15<sup>14</sup>C years or less) and for most practical purposes negligible. (For further details, see the discussion and references in Stuiver *et al.* "INTCAL98 Radiocarbon Age Calibration", in this issue.)

The INTCAL98 data set is decadal, *i.e.* has a time resolution of 10 calendar years, in its tree-ring portion. For certain time spans, higher-resolution data sets are available, such as a 3-yr curve for the 3rd and 4th millennia BC from Pretoria/Groningen and an annual curve for the last three centuries from Seattle. For use of these particular records, we refer to the original publications.

The tree-ring part of the INTCAL 98 data set is based on the <sup>14</sup>C determinations of several radiocarbon laboratories. The dendrodated samples for which <sup>14</sup>C ages are available do not always overlap between laboratories (Fig. 1).

The new INTCAL98 calibration curve has more detail than the 1993 curve (Fig. 2). This is mainly due to the incorporation of a larger coral and varve data set (corrected for a 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr reservoir defi-



Fig. 1. Age ranges of tree-ring samples used for the construction of the INTCAL98 calibration curve

ciency) for the pre-11,800 cal BP portion. Century-scale shifts of the 11,800–7200 cal BP interval were introduced by the dendrochronological reassessment of the German oak series. The calibration curve differences are limited to a decade, or less, for the 7200–0 cal BP interval.

With all mentioned constraints in mind, the INTCAL98 calibration curve is recommended for general use from now until further notice. Both the data used to generate it and the CALIB computer program can be downloaded *via* the Internet from the Quaternary Isotope Laboratory (QIL) in Seat-tle, Washington (http://depts.washington.edu/qil/). Calibration programs must be upgraded with the new data set.

We appreciate the efforts of the many researchers who have contributed to the present work, and hope that you will find their results useful.

Minze Stuiver (Seattle) and Hans van der Plicht (Groningen), Guest editors



Fig. 2. The new atmospheric INTCAL98 calibration curve, and the "old" curve used since 1993. Prior to 11,800 cal BP the 1993 curve is the smoothest of the two. Given an identical <sup>14</sup>C age, the INTCAL98 cal ages are shifted towards the left (older cal BP ages) for the 11,800–7200 cal BP part. Curve differences are minimal (one decade at most) for the 7200–0 cal BP interval.

#### REFERENCES

Kromer, B., Ambers, J., Baillie, M. G. L., Damon, P. E., Hesshaimer, V., Hofmann, J., Jöris, O., Levin, I., Manning, S. W., McCormac, F. G., van der Plicht, J., Spurk, M., Stuiver, M. and Weninger, B. 1996 Report: Summary of the workshop "Aspects of High-Precision Radiocarbon Calibration". *Radiocarbon* 38(3): 607–610.

Mook, W. G. 1986 Business meeting. *In* Stuiver, M. and Kra, R., eds., Proceedings of the 12th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 28(2A): 799.

#### INTCAL98 RADIOCARBON AGE CALIBRATION, 24,000-0 cal BP

#### MINZE STUIVER,<sup>1</sup> PAULA J. REIMER,<sup>1</sup> EDOUARD BARD,<sup>2</sup> J. WARREN BECK,<sup>3</sup> G. S. BURR,<sup>3</sup> KONRAD A. HUGHEN,<sup>4</sup> BERND KROMER,<sup>5</sup> GERRY McCORMAC,<sup>6</sup> JOHANNES VAN DER PLICHT<sup>7</sup> and MARCO SPURK<sup>8</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The focus of this paper is the conversion of radiocarbon ages to calibrated (cal) ages for the interval 24,000–0 cal BP (Before Present, 0 cal BP = AD 1950), based upon a sample set of dendrochronologically dated tree rings, uranium-thorium dated corals, and varve-counted marine sediment. The <sup>14</sup>C age–cal age information, produced by many laboratories, is converted to  $\Delta^{14}$ C profiles and calibration curves, for the atmosphere as well as the oceans. We discuss offsets in measured <sup>14</sup>C ages and the errors therein, regional <sup>14</sup>C age differences, tree–coral <sup>14</sup>C age comparisons and the time dependence of marine reservoir ages, and evaluate decadal *vs.* single-year <sup>14</sup>C results. Changes in oceanic deepwater circulation, especially for the 16,000–11,000 cal BP interval, are reflected in the  $\Delta^{14}$ C values of INTCAL98.

#### INTRODUCTION

The radiocarbon age time frame has been used extensively during the past 50 years in many disciplines. Because uncorrected <sup>14</sup>C ages and calibrated (cal) ages differ in a time-dependent fashion, the conversion of <sup>14</sup>C ages to cal ages is especially important for improving the validity of time estimates. Participants at the 16th International Radiocarbon Conference at Groningen (16–20 June 1997) discussed and recommended an update of previous calibration publications (Stuiver and Kra 1986; Stuiver, Long and Kra 1993). Following the advice of the international radiocarbon community, we present here an extended <sup>14</sup>C calibration data set, INTCAL98, that caps the 20th century <sup>14</sup>C age calibration efforts.

Dendrochronology provided the cal ages of the wood used for <sup>14</sup>C dating; their accuracy is established through standard dendrochronological checks and counterchecks for double or missing tree rings. The Irish oak (Pilcher *et al.* 1984) and the German oak and pine chronologies (Spurk *et al.* 1998) play a crucial role. The German oak chronology provides absolute counts of dendroyears back to *ca.* 10,300 cal BP. <sup>14</sup>C matching of the latest part of a floating German pine chronology to the earliest absolutely dated German oak extends this chronology to 11,857 cal BP. Errors in the matching may amount to 20 cal years (Kromer and Spurk 1998).

Uranium-thorium (U-Th) dating of corals extends the cal age range (Bard *et al.* 1998; Burr *et al.* 1998; Edwards *et al.* 1993). Whereas tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C, *via* the photosynthetic cycle, equilibrates with atmospheric carbon dioxide, corals equilibrate with mixed-layer ocean bicarbonate. The slightly lower <sup>14</sup>C activity (per gram of carbon) of the mixed layer, relative to the atmosphere, results in an offset (the <sup>14</sup>C reservoir age correction) between "atmospheric" and "oceanic" <sup>14</sup>C ages of samples with identical cal age. The reservoir correction ( $509 \pm 25$  <sup>14</sup>C yr over the 12,000–10,000 cal BP interval) was fixed by comparing Early Holocene tree-ring and coral <sup>14</sup>C activities of contemporaneous samples. Adding coral results extends the calibration curve to 24,000 cal BP. Although only two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Quaternary Isotope Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195-1360 USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>CEREGE, Europôle de l'Arbois, B.P. 80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 4, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Physics Department, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721-0081 USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, Im Neuenheimer Feld 366, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Radiocarbon Laboratory, The Queen's University, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Centrum voor Isotopen Onderzoek, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Universität Hohenheim, Institut für Botanik-210, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

#### 1042 M. Stuiver et al.

coral measurements exist for the 40,000–24,000 cal BP interval (Bard *et al.* 1998), they seem to point towards increasing differences between  $^{14}$ C and cal ages.

Terrestrial varve chronologies, to be discussed in a future issue of *RADIOCARBON*, have not been used for construction of INTCAL98. A floating marine varve chronology, however, was used to strengthen the coral information from the 14,500–11,700 cal BP interval. To fix absolute time, the younger varve <sup>14</sup>C ages were matched with tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C ages (normalized on marine <sup>14</sup>C level).

Decadal wood samples were emphasized in *RADIOCARBON*'s 1986 and 1993 calibration issues. The <sup>14</sup>C content of a 10-yr wood sample, however, is not necessarily a perfect reflection of the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C level of that decade. Tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C does not represent the seasons equally because a major portion of the wood is formed in spring and early summer. Tree-ring thickness also differs from year to year, causing variable annual <sup>14</sup>C contributions to the decadal average.

Decadal results were used in 1986 and 1993 for the construction of a (mostly) decadal Seattle calibration curve (Stuiver and Becker 1986, 1993). Combining these results with those obtained by Belfast for bidecadal samples led to a 20-yr calibration curve which has until now been used for most age calibrations (Pearson and Stuiver 1993; Pearson, Becker and Qua 1993; Stuiver and Reimer 1993).

Many <sup>14</sup>C ages have been determined on dendrodated wood covering only a couple of years. Instead of disregarding these high-precision measurements, we used a different approach for the INTCAL98 calibration curve. INTCAL98 "decadal" <sup>14</sup>C is obtained by averaging full-decadal and part-decadal (single- or multiple-year) results. <sup>14</sup>C ages of samples covering 20 yr also are included by allocating to each decade the bidecadal age with a standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) multiplied by 1.4. Adding these data to the pool of "actual" decadal information ultimately produces <sup>14</sup>C ages with a smaller  $\sigma$ .

#### DECADAL VERSUS SINGLE-YEAR AGE CALIBRATION

The smaller INTCAL98  $\sigma$  comes at a price, of course, because <sup>14</sup>C dates of single years and decadal averages need not be identical. The impact on the decadal averages can be assessed by comparing single-year <sup>14</sup>C ages (Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998: Table 2) to decadal ones.

Part of single-year  $\Delta^{14}$ C (expressed as the per mil (‰) deviation of tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C activity from NBS oxalic acid activity, corrected for isotope fractionation, Stuiver and Polach 1977) is tied to 11-yr-cycle solar modulation of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C production. Pacific Northwest single-year data (when averaged with those of a Kodiak Island tree) yield a three-year moving average for the AD 1897–1945 interval with 11-yr-cycle  $\Delta^{14}$ C modulation averaging 2.5‰ (peak to peak) over four cycles (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998). Twenty <sup>14</sup>C years appears to be an upper limit for single-yr <sup>14</sup>C age change induced by the 11-yr cycle. The standard deviation introduced by 11-yr modulation around the long-term (*e.g.*, decadal average) trend is a much smaller 8 <sup>14</sup>C yr (as derived from a 2.5‰ peak-to-peak sinusoidal  $\Delta^{14}$ C cycle).

A frequency distribution of single-year (AD 1510–1950) <sup>14</sup>C age differences around a smoothing spline (the spline closely resembles a 10-yr moving average) agrees with a Gaussian scatter  $\sigma_2$  of 14.4 <sup>14</sup>C yr (Fig. 1). The laboratory errors reported with the data predict an average measurement standard deviation  $\sigma_1$  of 13.4 <sup>14</sup>C yr for these <sup>14</sup>C age differences. If the additional variability  $\sigma_n$  is attributed to natural causes (*e.g.*, the 11-yr cycle) then, since  $\sigma_2^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2$ , the increase in sigma from 13.4 to 14.4 <sup>14</sup>C yr would be accounted for by  $\sigma_n = 5$  <sup>14</sup>C yr. The same technique, when applied to a three-year (instead of single-year) moving average, again produces natural <sup>14</sup>C variance with  $\sigma_n = 5$  <sup>14</sup>C yr ( $\sigma_1 = 8.4$  <sup>14</sup>C yr and  $\sigma_2 = 10$  <sup>14</sup>C yr).



Fig. 1. Plots of the actual frequency distribution of single-year <sup>14</sup>C age differences from smoothed spline fitted to a 10year moving average (AD 1510–1950, diagram indicated by 1), the Gaussian distribution with scatter  $\sigma$  = 14.4 yr (curve 3), and the Gaussian distribution constructed from the average measurement standard deviation with  $\sigma$  = 13.4 <sup>14</sup>C yr (curve 2)

The above calculations suggest single-year and three-year natural variability (around long-term decadal trends) with  $\sigma$ 's in the 5 to 8 <sup>14</sup>C yr range (the frequency distribution and solar considerations are for different time intervals). Natural variability plays a role in constructing INTCAL98 "decades" from a mixture of decadal and single (or multiple) year results. Given the above considerations, most INTCAL98 decades should deviate, on average, by only a couple of <sup>14</sup>C years from "pure" decadal ones. This statement, of course, only applies to INTCAL98 decades constructed from multiple measurements. When constructing a (hypothetical) INTCAL 98 decade from only one nondecadal <sup>14</sup>C age, the INTCAL 98 decadal value would contain, relative to actual decadal values, an additional  $\sigma_n$  in the 5 to 8 <sup>14</sup>C yr range.

Information contained in single-year (and three-year) results will be lost to the tune of 5 to 8 <sup>14</sup>C yr ( $\sigma$ 's) when constructing decadal data. Conversely, when calibrating single-year results against the decadal INTCAL98 curve, the single-year <sup>14</sup>C ages will differ from decadal <sup>14</sup>C ages ( $\sigma$  in the 5 to 8 <sup>14</sup>C yr range). Here we recommend, prior to calibration, an increase of sample standard deviation  $\sigma_x$  to  $\sqrt{(\sigma_x^2 + 8^2)}$ . The correction is very minor for most samples and only plays a role in high-precision determinations (a  $\sigma_x$  of, *e.g.*, 10 <sup>14</sup>C yr transforms into 13 <sup>14</sup>C yr).

## TREE-RING <sup>14</sup>C AGE OFFSETS, "ERROR MULTIPLIERS" AND MINOR ADJUSTMENTS

The major laboratories involved in the determination of tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C for INTCAL98 purposes are Seattle (S), Belfast (B), Heidelberg (H), and Pretoria/Groningen (P/G). For the tree-ring cal age portion of the INTCAL98 calibration curve we used the data sets reported in this calibration issue (Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998; Kromer and Spurk 1998; and McCormac *et al.* 1998a), and previously reported <sup>14</sup>C sequences (Vogel and van der Plicht 1993; Pearson, Becker and Qua 1993; Kromer *et al.* 1986; McCormac *et al.* 1998b). When applicable, the older German oak and pine chronologies were adjusted in conformity with the Spurk *et al.* (1998) corrections.

The <sup>14</sup>C age differences of samples of identical cal age yield an average offset and (scatter) standard deviation  $\sigma_2$ . The  $\sigma_2$  can be compared to the standard deviation ( $\sigma_1$ ) predicted for the <sup>14</sup>C age differences from the laboratory reported errors. The increase in variance (excess variance)  $\sigma_E$  is derived from  $\sigma_E^2 = \sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2$ , whereas the ratio  $\sigma_2/\sigma_1$  yields the "error multiplier" k (Stuiver 1982).

The above statistical considerations are valid for <sup>14</sup>C determinations of identical samples. However, the samples to be compared here are rarely fully identical, because the time over which the sample was formed may differ (*e.g.*, 10 yr *vs*. 3 yr). Furthermore, cal ages (time-midpoints) of the wood used by different laboratories samples differ. Different selection criteria (*e.g.*, should two samples be compared if one is a 10-yr and the other a 3-yr sample, and the difference in midpoints is ten years) yield variations in  $\sigma_E$  (and k) estimates. Given these uncertainties, the  $\sigma_E$  and k calculations are only approximate.

The interlaboratory comparisons provide information on the sum total of uncertainty tied to the processes of wood allocation, dendroage determination, sample pretreatment, laboratory <sup>14</sup>C determination, regional <sup>14</sup>C differences and individual tree <sup>14</sup>C differences.

<sup>14</sup>C results determined in different laboratories for samples of the "same" dendroage usually yield offsets in the 0–20 <sup>14</sup>C yr range. Values twice as large are occasionally encountered. The larger offsets are, for reasons unknown, over shorter (century-scale) intervals.

Offset information can be derived from <sup>14</sup>C age comparisons when results are available from three or more laboratories over an identical time interval. Because average S <sup>14</sup>C ages between 6600 and 5800 cal BC differed more than  $2\sigma$  from those reported by H and B, we increased the S <sup>14</sup>C ages over this interval by 27 <sup>14</sup>C yr for INTCAL98 purposes. The offset correction is relatively mild: we allow a  $2\sigma$  difference between the corrected S average and the average of the other laboratories. The same technique reduces Heidelberg <sup>14</sup>C ages by 31, 27, and 26 yr for, respectively, 4400–4200, 5200–5000, and 7200–7000 cal BC. The <sup>14</sup>C age offsets (number of comparisons = n) between the individual laboratory data sets used for INTCAL98 construction (the minor corrections discussed above are included), as well as  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_F$ , and k, are listed in Table 1.

The trees forming the dendrodated portion of the INTCAL98 curve are predominantly from South Germany, Ireland, California and Washington. For the data sets used for INTCAL98 construction we list in Table 2 tree species, regions, offsets,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_E$  and k (relative to Seattle). The offsets need not be specifically species-related, and <sup>14</sup>C results for trees from different regions may reflect laboratory, as well as regional, influences.

Time-dependent millennium offsets, relative to the INTCAL98 curve, are listed in Table 3. The largest millennium offset of 26 <sup>14</sup>C yr, based on a small number of points, is not very significant given the  $\pm 10$  <sup>14</sup>C yr standard deviation. The complete data sets of individual laboratories differ only marginally (up to 11 <sup>14</sup>C yr) from INTCAL98.

X

TABLE 1. A comparison of Seattle, Belfast, Pretoria/Groningen (P/G) and Heidelberg <sup>14</sup>C ages of dendrodated wood. The offset equals the weighted mean <sup>14</sup>C age difference of samples for which the midpoint cal ages fall within the same decade. n is the number of comparisons,  $\sigma_1$  is the predicted average standard deviation in single <sup>14</sup>C age comparisons (based on quoted laboratory errors),  $\sigma_E$  represents the difference between the observed standard deviation in the age difference ( $\sigma_2$ ) and  $\sigma_1$  (see text). The  $\sigma_2/\sigma_1$  ratio = k. Offset,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_E$  are in <sup>14</sup>C yr.

			-			
Laboratories	Offset	$\sigma_1$	s <sub>E</sub>	k	n	Cal yr interval
Belfast – Seattle	$12 \pm 1$	27	22	1.29	866	7745 BC–AD 1935
Heidelberg – Seattle	$19 \pm 2$	40	22	1.14	230	9665-4085 вс
P/G – Seattle	$17 \pm 1$	22	17	1.26	194	3905–1935 вс
Heidelberg – Belfast	$30 \pm 3$	43	30	1.22	142	7715-4075 вс
P/G – Belfast	$-2 \pm 2$	26	23	1.33	194	3905-1935 вс

TABLE 2. A comparison of tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C results of laboratories involved in the INTCAL98 project. (See Table 1 for nomenclature.) Offset,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_E$  are in <sup>14</sup>C yr.

Laboratories	Offset	$\sigma_{l}$	s <sub>E</sub>	k	N	Cal yr interval
Belfast – Seattle Irish oak – U.S. conifers	$14 \pm 2$	24	11	1.1	202	150 bc–ad 1940
Belfast – Seattle Irish oak – German oak	$11 \pm 1$	26	22	1.3	501	5210 BC-AD 30
P/G – Seattle both German oak	$17 \pm 2$	22	17	1.3	194	3910–1930 вс
Belfast – Seattle both German oak	$10 \pm 2$	32	35	1.5	181	7750–5260 вс
Heidelberg – Seattle both German oak	21 ± 3	41	28	1.2	128	7720-4080 вс
Heidelberg – Seattle both German pine	$16 \pm 4$	38	12	1.0	102	9670-8000 вс

A portion of the variance increase (expressed by  $\sigma_E$  or k) is tied to factors unrelated to the laboratory operation (*e.g.*, variable regional <sup>14</sup>C differences). Previously (in 1993) k = 1.6 was used to calculate the errors in the decadal Seattle <sup>14</sup>C age calibration curve. The Table 1 data suggest k values of 1.14 to 1.33. A conservative k = 1.3 was chosen for the calculation of the errors in decadal INTCAL98 tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C ages.

#### **HEMISPHERIC AND REGIONAL OFFSETS**

Latitude-dependent differences in ocean surface area, and ocean circulation, cause corresponding latitude-dependent <sup>14</sup>C transfer to and from the oceans. Rapid tropospheric mixing of air masses counteracts the oceanic influence but does not fully nullify the atmospheric response. As suggested by an atmospheric transport model (GISS GCM), regional atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C gradients may amount to several per mil, especially between Northern and Southern Hemispheric localities (Braziunas, Fung and Stuiver 1995).

The INTCAL98 tree-ring data set is based on a mix of mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere trees (Germany, Ireland, Washington, Oregon and California). The atmospheric transport model predicts  $\Delta^{14}$ C

#### 1046 M. Stuiver et al.

	I – Sea	ttle	e I – Heidelberg		I – Belfast		I – P/G	
Cal age interval	Offset	$\sigma_1$	Offset	$\sigma_1$	Offset	$\sigma_1$	Offset	$\sigma_{l}$
10 – 9 ka BC	$3 \pm 4$	29	$-4 \pm 5$	36				
9 – 8 ka BC	$7 \pm 3$	28	$-7 \pm 4$	33				
8 – 7 ka BC	$0 \pm 3$	28	$-12 \pm 5$	39	$11 \pm 4$	31		
7 – 6 ka BC	$2 \pm 2$	23	$-11 \pm 5$	41	$-1 \pm 3$	29		
6 – 5 ka BC	$9 \pm 2$	23	$-26 \pm 10$	40	$-16 \pm 3$	26		
5 – 4 ka BC	$7 \pm 2$	21	$-19 \pm 4$	34	$0 \pm 3$	27		
4 – 3 ka BC	$10 \pm 2$	17			$-8 \pm 2$	24	$-5 \pm 2$	16
3 – 2 ka BC	9 ± 2	18			$-6 \pm 2$	21	$-7 \pm 2$	19
2 – 1 ka BC	$0 \pm 2$	20			$0 \pm 2$	24	$3 \pm 6$	18
1 – 0 ka BC	$2 \pm 2$	18			$-4 \pm 2$	23		
AD 0 – 1 ka	$3 \pm 2$	18			$-9 \pm 3$	26		
AD 1 – 2 ka	1 ± 1	11			$-13 \pm 2$	19		
10 ka BC – AD 2 ka	$3 \pm 1$	21	$-11 \pm 2$	37	-6 ± 1	25	-6 ± 1	18

TABLE 3. Offsets (millennial time separation) between individual laboratory and INTCAL98 (I) results. P/G is Pretoria/Groningen. All parameters following the cal age interval are in <sup>14</sup>C yr. (See Table 1 for nomenclature.)

differences of ~1‰ for these areas. Such differences are at the limit of <sup>14</sup>C dating and difficult to measure. The fine structure in ocean circulation (*e.g.*, in coastal waters) and differences in regional carbon cycle sources and sinks (*e.g.*, permafrost areas, Damon *et al.* 1996) increase Northern Hemispheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C variability. The location-dependent  $\Delta^{14}$ C offsets also need not be constant over time. Measurements (not necessarily covering identical time intervals but mostly of the 19th century) of Northern Hemispheric localities yield differences (relative to Washington) of *ca.* -21, *ca.* +22,  $16 \pm 9$ , -26  $\pm 6$  (AD 1545–1615),  $2 \pm 6$  (AD 1615–1715), and  $14 \pm 3$  <sup>14</sup>C yr for, respectively, the Santa Catalina Mountains in Arizona (Damon 1995), Mackenzie River Valley, Canada (Damon 1995), Dean of Forest oak, England (Stuiver and Quay 1981), Russia (high latitude, two comparisons) and Kodiak Island, Alaska (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998). Furthermore, Irish oak yielded 41  $\pm$ 9 <sup>14</sup>C yr younger dates than bristlecone pine of Nevada (McCormac *et al.* 1995) and German oak was 23  $\pm 6$  <sup>14</sup>C yr younger than California sequoia (Stuiver 1982).

Southern Hemisphere offset measurements (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998) yield  $25 \pm 7$  <sup>14</sup>C yr for Tasmania–Washington (19th century), and  $38 \pm 5$  <sup>14</sup>C yr and  $21 \pm 5$  <sup>14</sup>C yr for South Chile–Washington (respectively, AD 1670–1722 and 19th century). Other offsets are  $40 \pm 5$  <sup>14</sup>C yr for South Africa–the Netherlands (AD 1835–1900, Vogel *et al.* 1993) and  $27 \pm 5$  <sup>14</sup>C yr for New Zealand–British Isles (AD 1720–1885, McCormac *et al.* 1998a).

For the 1993 calibration program (Stuiver and Reimer 1993), a 40  $^{14}$ C yr correction was recommended for the entire Southern Hemisphere. The recent measurements of 19th century wood (Tasmania, New Zealand, South Chile) are in line with a smaller Southern Hemispheric offset of 24 ± 3  $^{14}$ C yr.

The above Southern Hemisphere–Washington offset is for "natural" conditions. During the first half of the 20th century, fossil fuel  $CO_2$  release depressed atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C levels to a greater extent in the Northern Hemisphere. Whereas 19th century Chile/Tasmania <sup>14</sup>C ages are about 23 yr older than those of Washington, the offset is reduced during the first half of the 20th century. There is even a switch to younger Southern Hemispheric ages *ca*. AD 1940 (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998; McCormac *et al.* 1998b).



#### TREE-RING AND CORAL <sup>14</sup>C AGE DIFFERENCES

The <sup>14</sup>C ages of dendrodated tree-rings, together with <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>234</sup>U-dated corals, ultimately yield the <sup>14</sup>C age axis of the INTCAL98 curve. Tree-cellulose <sup>14</sup>C activity reflects the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio of CO<sub>2</sub>, after correction for isotope fractionation. Similarly, coral-carbonate <sup>14</sup>C activity mirrors the mixed ocean layer <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio. The <sup>14</sup>C-specific activity in the mixed layer (depth ~75 m) is lower than that in the atmosphere because mixed-layer <sup>14</sup>C depends on atmospheric as well as deep ocean <sup>14</sup>C supply (the main cause for the lower <sup>14</sup>C activity of the deep ocean is radioactive decay during its ~1000 yr isolation from the atmosphere). Because <sup>14</sup>C ages are based on comparison to a (postulated) stable atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C level (*via* the oxalic acid standard), the coral <sup>14</sup>C dates have to be corrected for mixed layer <sup>14</sup>C reservoir (R) ages.

Late Holocene (preanthropogenic) <sup>14</sup>C reservoir ages in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans depend on geographic latitude. As luck has it, the tropical areas where coral reefs are formed are part of the oceanic  $40^{\circ}S-40^{\circ}N$  region with a fairly constant (non-latitude dependent) pre-bomb R of 300 to 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr (Bard *et al.* 1994; Bard 1988; Edwards *et al.* 1993; Burr *et al.* 1998 with 35 pre-bomb samples yielding 494 ± 10 yr for Vanuatu).

R is the <sup>14</sup>C age difference between samples grown in equilibrium with the atmosphere, and the mixed layer of the ocean. To make tree-ring and coral results from the 19th century compatible, coral <sup>14</sup>C dates should be reduced by 300 to 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr. A similar correction does not automatically apply to older samples because ocean and climate variables (rates of deepwater formation and upwelling, average wind speed, ice cover, *etc.*) influence R values (Bard *et al.* 1994).

Tropical paleo-R values of the Early Holocene can be estimated from tree-ring (INTCAL98 values) and coral <sup>14</sup>C age differences. Estimated errors used for the following <sup>14</sup>C age difference calculations are  $2\sigma$  for coral ages, and  $1\sigma$  for INTCAL98 tree-ring ages.

For the 11,800–8300 cal BP interval, the Bard *et al.* (1998), Burr *et al.* (1998), and Edwards *et al.* (1993) coral data yield tree-ring-coral offsets of, respectively,  $298 \pm 33$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (11,590–8450 cal BP, number of comparisons n = 19),  $537 \pm 38$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (11,770–11730 cal BP, n = 5) and  $587 \pm 29$  (11,045–8363 cal BP, n = 10). Omitting one outlier from the Edwards *et al.* data reduces the  $587 \pm 29$  <sup>14</sup>C yr to  $502 \pm 33$  <sup>14</sup>C yr. Without the outlier, the weighted average offset for all samples is  $440 \pm 21$  <sup>14</sup>C yr.

Differences between oceans are relatively small: R is  $406 \pm 65$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (11,590–8450 cal BP, n = 6) for the Atlantic Ocean and  $440 \pm 20$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (11,770–8363 cal BP, n = 27) for the Pacific (one outlier omitted). However, there is the suggestion of substantial Pacific intraocean R difference with R = ~300 <sup>14</sup>C yr for Tahiti *vs.* R = ~500 <sup>14</sup>C yr for New Guinea and Vanuatu.

Between 10,000–8,000 and 12,000–10,000 cal BP the coral data generate a weighted mean R value of, respectively,  $414 \pm 31$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (n = 12) and  $509 \pm 25$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (n = 21). Omitting the outlier reduces the latter to R =  $451 \pm 26$  <sup>14</sup>C yr. The older sample ages appear to have slightly larger R's, as depicted by the 1000 yr averages in Figure 2. Good agreement between mixed-layer corrected coral dates and tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C dates (Fig. 3) is obtained when using R = 500 and 400 <sup>14</sup>C yr for, respectively, the 12,000–10,000 cal BP and 10,000–8000 cal BP intervals.

Future adjustments of the pine-oak chronology, if any, will influence the derived R values. The 100  $^{14}$ C yr R "increase" is perhaps tied to missing rings in the earliest part of the pine tree-ring chronology. Given our current state of knowledge, however, we do accept an R value of 500  $^{14}$ C yr for the 12,000–10,000 cal BP interval, and postulate the same tropical R for the Late Glacial ocean.



Fig. 2. Reservoir ages (<sup>14</sup>C age difference between coral and tree-ring samples of similar cal age) between 12,000 and 8000 cal BP. Coral measurements given here and in the following figures are from Bard *et al.* 1998 ( $\diamond$ ), Burr *et al.* 1998 ( $\times$ ), Edwards *et al.* 1993 ( $\Delta$ ). R values averaged over millennia are represented by the solid line. The dashed line is the R value for the 11,000–10,000 cal BP millennium when omitting the 900 <sup>14</sup>C yr data point. Vertical bars represent the calculated error in the <sup>14</sup>C age difference calculation, based on a 2 $\sigma$  error in the coral <sup>14</sup>C age determination, and a 1.3 $\sigma$  error in the tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C age determination.

#### CORAL <sup>14</sup>C AGE VARIABILITY

]]

The corals are assumed to be ideal closed systems with regard to <sup>14</sup>C, <sup>234</sup>U and <sup>234</sup>Th exchange. The overall agreement (Fig. 3) between reservoir-corrected coral (with the reservoir correction averaged over millennia), and tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C dates suggests that this condition is fairly well adhered to for carefully collected samples. Nevertheless, the scatter  $\sigma_2$  of INTCAL98 tree-ring minus reservoir-corrected coral <sup>14</sup>C ages (12,000–8000 cal BP, n = 33) is 260 <sup>14</sup>C yr, whereas the quoted measuring precision alone produces a  $\sigma_1 = 69$  <sup>14</sup>C yr, resulting in  $\sigma_E = 255$  <sup>14</sup>C yr and error multiplier k = 3.7. Similar comparisons between tree-ring data sets yield an average k value of only ~1.3.

The above calculation uses a fixed R of 500 and 400 yr for, respectively, 12,000–10,000 cal BP and 10,000–8000 cal BP. Normalizing each individual data set on its own R value (which aligns the average of each individual coral data set with that of the INTCAL98 tree-ring record) yields an improved k = 2.3 when the INTCAL98 reservoir-corrected <sup>14</sup>C ages are subtracted from the tree-ring ages.

To generate a pre-12,000 cal BP atmospheric record, one has the choice of 1) assuming R.to be constant for each individual site, or 2) assuming average tropical R to be constant (the 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr dis-



Fig. 3. Position of coral <sup>14</sup>C ages ( $\mathbf{O}$ ), relative to INTCAL 98 tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C ages (solid line), after a reservoir deficiency correction of the coral <sup>14</sup>C ages by 400 and 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr for, respectively, 10,000–8000 cal BP and 12,000–10,000 cal BP. Vertical bars equal 2 $\sigma$  in the coral <sup>14</sup>C age measurement.

cussed previously). It is likely (but not proven) that prior to 12,000 cal BP single-site R variability was larger than average R variability. We decided to generate the pre-12,000 cal BP atmospheric record by deducting an average tropical  $R = 500^{14}$ C yr from all coral data.

The atmospheric and mixed-layer <sup>14</sup>C records are filtered differently by natural processes. Mixedlayer response to postulated decadal atmospheric forcing resembles a ~100–200 yr moving average (*e.g.*, Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998). Using a similar 200-yr moving average for tree rings, however, does not reduce the coral <sup>14</sup>C-tree-ring  $\sigma_E$ . Mechanisms resulting in increased variance could be 1) varying tropical reservoir deficiency R, 2) post-depositional <sup>14</sup>C activity modification and 3) U/Th age uncertainty.

Post-depositional <sup>14</sup>C modification can be accounted for by using twice the standard deviation of the measurement. Many investigators routinely double the measuring precision of coral <sup>14</sup>C determinations (Edwards *et al.* 1993; Bard *et al.* 1990). For INTCAL98 purposes the assigned standard deviation of coral <sup>14</sup>C ages is based on a  $2\sigma$  error in the coral <sup>14</sup>C age determination, and a k = 1.3 error multiplier similar to the one used for tree-ring derived <sup>14</sup>C ages. The combined multiplier of 2.6 accounts for most of the variance actually observed.

#### MARINE RESERVOIR AGE CONSIDERATIONS

Causes for century-scale atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C variability include solar modulation of the cosmic-ray flux and ocean circulation change. Model calculated R values depend on the forcing mechanism. Switching from a solar to an oceanic mode produced century-scale global R change of ~150 <sup>14</sup>C yr in a global carbon reservoir model (Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998).

Splining of the reservoir-corrected coral <sup>14</sup>C ages (R = 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr) generates the pre-11,850 cal BP portion of the "atmospheric" INTCAL98 calibration curve. Before 11,850 cal BP, tropical ocean R is assumed to be identical for the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Ocean, as well as nonvariable over time. It is difficult to estimate the limits of tropical R change. Figure 2 suggests tropical R change of only ~100 <sup>14</sup>C yr for millennia-scale oceanic changes between the end of the Ice Age and 8000 cal BP. A comparison of Cariaco Basin (Hughen *et al.* 1998) varve and INTCAL98 tree-ring chronologies (discussed in the following section) suggests that decadal- to century-scale tropical R variability is restricted to ~100 <sup>14</sup>C yr (11,700–9000 cal BP interval). Larger millennia-scale tropical R changes further back in time cannot be excluded, but are not very likely given the limited tropical R variability between the end of the Pleistocene and the present.

The globally integrated atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C levels, and global R, depend on a globally integrated ocean circulation and ocean-atmospheric exchange rate. To derive global INTCAL98 atmospheric values, we used a constant late-glacial tropical R value of 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr. Implied in the switch from tropical to global conditions is the notion that tropical R and global R parallel each other over the 24,000–11,850 cal BP interval.

The corals discussed so far were formed in the mixed surface layer of the tropical ocean. Deep-sea corals, on the other hand, live mostly between 500 and 2000 m depth and are not confined to tropical latitudes. These corals exhibit substantial century-scale deepwater R change in the Atlantic (16,000–12,000 cal BP interval: Adkins *et al.* 1998; Mangini *et al.* 1998). Atlantic deepwater <sup>14</sup>C levels are tied to specific deepwater masses (*e.g.*, Stuiver and Östlund 1980) and the deepwater R changes are most likely caused by shifts in their depths. These relatively fast regional ocean circulation changes have the potential to modify (to an unknown extent) the values of both late-glacial atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C and mixed-layer R.

#### MARINE VARVE CHRONOLOGY

Marine sediments of the Cariaco Basin in the Atlantic Ocean (at the northern continental margin of Venezuela) yield a <sup>14</sup>C-varve count sequence (Hughen *et al.* 1998) useful for INTCAL98 construction. The floating chronology is tied to the absolute time scale by matching marine <sup>14</sup>C ages to the INTCAL98 tree-ring data (the tree-ring data are increased by 500 and 400 <sup>14</sup>C yr for, respectively, 12–10 and 10–8 ka cal BP). The best fit between the <sup>14</sup>C ages of the adjusted tree-ring record and the Cariaco Basin is shown in Figure 4. The absolute time scale produced in this manner for the floating varves reduces the Hughen *et al.* (1998) varve count time scale by 40 yr. The latest tree-ring and R adjustments cause this minor difference. The matching is secure within a statistical error (one  $\sigma$ ) of 15 yr.

Applying R = 400 (10–8 ka cal BP) and 500 (14.5–10 ka cal BP) <sup>14</sup>C yr to the corals and calibrated varve series yields the Figure 5 "atmospheric" values. Relative to the INTCAL 98 tree-ring record, the varve-derived <sup>14</sup>C ages scatter less (k = 1.3) than the coral <sup>14</sup>C ages. The observed varve scatter  $\sigma$  of ~95 <sup>14</sup>C yr (11,700–9000 cal BP interval) suggests a ~100 <sup>14</sup>C yr limit on tropical R change on decadal/century time-scales.



Fig. 4. Cal BP calibration of a floating marine varve record. The varve data given here, and in the following figures, are from Hughen *et al.* (1998). Shown is the best fit between the marine equivalent of the INTCAL98 tree-ring record (solid line, R values as noted with Fig. 3 were applied) and the measured varve results ( $\Box$ , with 1 $\sigma$  bars).

#### **ATMOSPHERIC AND MARINE INTCAL98 CONSTRUCTION**

The atmospheric INTCAL 98 curve consists of two segments, each derived from diverse materials and techniques. The materials used are wood (tree rings), coral and marine sediment. The <sup>14</sup>C activity measurement is common to all but the cal BP time scale determination differs. The wood samples (back to 11,850 cal BP) are dated through dendrochronological means, the corals through U-Th determinations, and the marine sediment through <sup>14</sup>C matching of (floating) varve and tree-ring chronologies. Marine coral and varve data, normalized on atmospheric levels, yield a 24,000–11,850 cal BP extension of the directly measured atmospheric values. Only two coral measurements are available for the 40,000–24,000 cal yr interval, resulting in rather speculative age "calibration" over this interval.

The 11,850–0 cal BP segment was constructed from <sup>14</sup>C age measurements reported by the Belfast, Heidelberg, Pretoria/Groningen and Seattle laboratories (Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998; Kromer and Spurk 1998; McCormac *et al.* 1998a and b; Pearson, Becker and Qua 1993; Vogel and van der Plicht 1993; Kromer *et al.* 1986). Decadal <sup>14</sup>C ages back to 11,614 cal BP were constructed by taking the average <sup>14</sup>C age of all samples with cal midpoints within the cal decade. The rationale for this approach can be found in the introduction. The 11,624–11,854 cal BP interval is covered by the measurements of a single laboratory (Heidelberg; Kromer and Spurk 1998) of 20- to 30-yr treering samples. The segments of Figure A8–A19 (Appendix) depict for 1000 cal yr intervals the "dec-



Fig. 5. INTCAL 98 tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C ages (solid line) and the atmospheric equivalent (obtained by using the R values noted with Fig. 3) of 1) coral <sup>14</sup>C ages (O, bar = 2 $\sigma$ ) and 2) marine varve ages ( $\Box$ , bar = 1 $\sigma$ ). The cal BP ages of the corals were determined by U/Th dating and the cal BP ages of the varves by a floating varve count that was shifted to the position given in Fig. 4.

adal" tree-ring derived portion of the atmospheric INTCAL 98 calibration curve (11,854–0 cal BP). The curve was constructed by linearly connecting the <sup>14</sup>C ages obtained for the decadal (plus a few bidecadal) cal age intervals. The INTCAL98 standard deviation (width of the calibration curve, not given in Fig. A) resulted from the linear connection of the  $\pm 1.3\sigma$  age errors.

The primary data of the 24,000–11,850 cal BP segment are coral and varve measurements (Bard *et al.* 1998; Burr *et al.* 1998; Edwards *et al.* 1993; Hughen *et al.* 1998). The <sup>14</sup>C ages of the 12,500–11,850, 15,000–12,500, 19,500–15,000, and 24,000–19,500 cal BP intervals, adjusted to atmospheric levels by deducting a reservoir deficiency of 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr (the rather minor 25 <sup>14</sup>C yr standard deviation was neglected) from the marine ages, are depicted in Figures 6–9 with vertical bars representing  $1\sigma$  in the varve <sup>14</sup>C determinations, and  $2\sigma$  for the coral <sup>14</sup>C determinations. The "atmospheric" <sup>14</sup>C ages of the 40,000–15,000 cal BP interval are given in Figure 10. Coral and varve data coverage is excellent for 16,000–11,850 cal BP, less so for 24,000–16,000 cal BP, and marginal for 40,000–24,000 cal BP.

The minimum smoothing spline (Reinsch 1967) of Figures 6–9, anchored at the last tree-ring point at 11,854 cal BP, was used to generate the atmospheric INTCAL98 <sup>14</sup>C ages of the 11,850–16,000 cal BP period. Due to the scarcity of coral samples, INTCAL 98 lacks detail between 24,000 and 16,000 cal BP. Here the spline is essentially linear, with cal BP = 1.15 <sup>14</sup>C BP + 680.



Fig. 6. "Atmospheric" coral ( $\mathbf{0}$ , bar =  $2\sigma$ ) and varve ( $\Box$ , bar =  $1\sigma$ ) <sup>14</sup>C ages. The minimum smoothing spline (solid line), anchored at the last tree-ring point (11,854 cal BP), was used to derive the INTCAL 98 <sup>14</sup>C ages of the 12,500–11,854 cal BP time interval.

The 24,000–11,850 cal BP coral- and varve-derived segment of atmospheric INTCAL 98 is part of the Figure A calibration curve. For the marine-derived atmospheric ages we used, as discussed, a spline with minimum smoothing. The INTCAL98 standard deviation (width of the calibration curve) was derived by using a standard deviation of  $2\sigma$  for the coral <sup>14</sup>C ages,  $1\sigma$  for the varve <sup>14</sup>C ages, and a k=1.3 error multiplier for both ( $\sigma$  = standard deviation in the measurement).

There are only two data points between 40,000 and 24,000 cal BP, and a linear relationship is automatic (Fig. 10). This interval, due to the lack of corroborating data points, generates an error-prone calibration curve. The 40,000–24,000 cal BP interval, as a consequence, was not considered for INTCAL98 inclusion.

The conversion of marine <sup>14</sup>C age to atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C age (by deducting 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr from the marine age) is an approximation only. The marine record also contains less detail than the atmospheric one, especially for cosmic-ray induced <sup>14</sup>C production rate change (*e.g.*, Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998). Only the 15,000–12,000 cal BP interval, with a large number of marine data points, produces a century-scale fine structure.

The marine INTCAL98 curve (Fig. B) of the 8800–0 cal BP interval contains marine <sup>14</sup>C ages derived from the tree-ring record *via* carbon reservoir modeling (Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998). Coral and marine varve <sup>14</sup>C ages were used for the 24,000–8800 cal BP marine INTCAL98



Fig. 7. Splined "atmospheric" coral and varve data 15,000–12,500 cal BP (solid line; see Fig. 6 caption for symbols). The inset compares three-point moving averages of "atmospheric" (marine derived) INTCAL 98 <sup>14</sup>C ages (solid line) to a similar moving average of terrestrial <sup>14</sup>C ages (dashed line) dated by varves (Kitagawa and van der Plicht 1998).

segment. Here we splined the available marine <sup>14</sup>C dates of the 15,585–8800 cal BP interval (Figs. 5–7), and used a linear approximation for the 24,000–15,585 cal BP interval (Figs. 8 and 9). The calculated INTCAL98 standard deviation (width of the calibration curve, not given in Fig. A) was derived from the measured  $2\sigma$  deviation for the coral <sup>14</sup>C ages,  $1\sigma$  for the varve <sup>14</sup>C ages, and a k=1.3 error multiplier for both ( $\sigma$  = standard deviation in the measurement). The connection between the splined and carbon reservoir calculated marine <sup>14</sup>C ages is depicted in Figure 11.

The INTCAL98 marine calibration curves (Fig. B) reflect global open ocean conditions. Regional departures from the global values can be expressed in a  $\Delta R$  parameter, as discussed in Stuiver, Reimer and Braziunas 1998.

#### INTCAL98 A14C

Converting the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C ages into  $\Delta^{14}$ C values yields Figure 12. The long-term trend in  $\Delta^{14}$ C is usually attributed to geomagnetically induced <sup>14</sup>C production rate change.

An interesting  $\Delta^{14}$ C comparison can be made with the recently published 45,000 cal BP atmospheric varve chronology (Kitagawa and van der Plicht 1998). Although for the 15,000–12,000 cal BP interval the long-term trends of the Kitagawa and van der Plicht atmospheric record and the INTCAL98 atmospheric record derived from marine data are similar, century-scale detail is less fine in the varve



Fig. 8. Splined "atmospheric" coral data (19,500–15,000 cal BP; see Fig. 6 for symbols). The number of data points is too small to generate detail in the dashed curve.

record (the inset in Fig. 7 compares three-point moving averages of both data sets). The varve curve, on the other hand, is more detailed for pre-15,000 cal BP ages where the coral curve (due to the limited number of data points) appears linear.

Given a perfect varve chronology, the 175-yr offset (Fig. 7 inset) would indicate a marine reservoir correction of 325 <sup>14</sup>C yr instead of 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr. Because a zero-error varve chronology is unlikely, however, this cannot be definitely concluded.

The century- and millennium-scale  $\Delta^{14}$ C variations (residual  $\Delta^{14}$ C, in per mil) of Figure 13 were obtained by deducting a 2000 yr moving average.

Reduced North Atlantic deepwater formation is tied to reduced surface-water transport toward the North (the "warm" Gulf stream), causing Northern regions (*e.g.*, Western Europe and Greenland) to become colder. Reduced deepwater formation is also tied to atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C increase. Because lower  $\delta^{18}$ O values<sup>9</sup> accompany reduced atmospheric precipitation temperatures, one expects an inverse relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta^{14}$ C for oceanic-induced climate perturbations. The relationship (correlation coefficient r = -0.54,  $\Delta^{14}$ C/ $\delta^{18}$ O = -20.4) is depicted in Figure 14, where residual INTCAL98  $\Delta^{14}$ C (U/Th time scale) is compared to inverted  $\delta^{18}$ O (ice layer count time scale) of the GISP2 Greenland ice core (Stuiver, Grootes and Braziunas 1995) for the 15,500–10,500 cal BP interval.

 $<sup>^9</sup>$   $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  is the per mil deviation of the sample  $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  ratio from that of the SMOW standard .



Fig. 9. Splined "atmospheric" coral data (24,000–19,500 cal BP; see Fig. 6 for symbols). The number of data points is too small to generate detail in the dashed curve.

The reduction of residual  $\Delta^{14}$ C during the 15,000–14,500 cal BP interval suggests that the temperature increase of the Bølling (which starts *ca.* 14,670 cal BP) is tied to increased deepwater formation. The increase is followed by a two-step reduction and reverses again to increased deepwater formation (Broecker 1997, 1998; Hughen *et al.* 1998; Stuiver and Braziunas 1993) at the beginning of the Younger Dryas (~12,890 cal BP). This increase in deepwater formation ultimately leads to the relatively stable temperatures of the Holocene. To complicate matters, a Younger Dryas bipolar seesaw also may be operating (Broecker 1998). The Holocene itself has several century-scale oceanic and solar-induced (the solar connection yields  $\Delta^{14}$ C = 60  $\delta^{18}$ O)  $\Delta^{14}$ C perturbations (Stuiver and Braziunas 1993; Stuiver *et al.* 1997).

Oceanic-induced atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C changes ( $\Delta^{14}$ C/yr) are caused by  $^{14}$ C exchange rate variations between the mixed layer and deep ocean. For a complete cessation of  $^{14}$ C transfer between mixed layer and deep ocean, the cosmic-ray-produced  $^{14}$ C (global production rate Q in atoms/yr) will be distributed over a much smaller atmosphere, biosphere and mixed layer (ABM) reservoir. Presentday carbon reservoirs contain  $^{14}$ C totaling 8260 yr of production (8260Q). The ABM reservoir contains only 7% of the total amount of exchangeable carbon (*e.g.*, Lal 1985), or 580 yr of  $^{14}$ C production (580Q). When completely separated from the deep ocean, the atmospheric  $^{14}$ C level of the ABM reservoir will double in *ca*. 650 yr (without radioactive decay the doubling time would be 580 yr). Thus the fastest rate of  $^{14}$ C change in the atmosphere will be  $\sim 1\%$  per 7 yr for a hypothetical deep ocean suddenly disconnected from the ABM reservoir. Rates of change of similar magnitude



Fig. 10. The extension of the Figs. 6–9 spline to 40,000 cal BP. The double-dashed portion (only two coral measurements) is *not* acceptable as an INTCAL98 calibration curve.

will occur when fully reconnecting the mixed layer and deep ocean (the downward flux (0.93Q) is nearly identical to the production rate Q).

There are two modes of <sup>14</sup>C transport from the mixed layer to the deep ocean. Diffusion (including isopycnal advection) and deepwater formation play a key role. For the Holocene, deepwater formation transports about two-thirds of the global <sup>14</sup>C to the deep ocean (Toggweiler, Dixon and Bryan 1989). This yields a maximum  $\Delta^{14}$ C change of 1% per 10.5 yr for full cessation of deepwater formation alone. The fastest observed century-scale  $\Delta^{14}$ C change of 1% per 17 yr (near 13810, 13140 and 12720 cal BP, Fig. 14) delivers a 60% change in the rate of global deepwater formation. The well-defined maxima and minima in Figure 14 also suggest decadal switching times. And fast switching between the two modes of deepwater formation agrees with the symmetrical shape of several century-scale <sup>14</sup>C maxima and minima in Figure 14.

The  $\Delta^{14}$ C decline near the start of the Bølling produces a fairly long plateau (15,000–14,400 cal BP) in the <sup>14</sup>C age–cal age relationship (Fig. 7 and 8). There are several Bølling-type oscillations in the GISP2 oxygen isotope record between 40,000 and 15,000 cal BP. Assuming similarity in atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C response, one expects *ca.* 600-yr-long <sup>14</sup>C age plateaus near 38,400, 35,300, 33,600, 32,300 and 29,100 cal BP (GISP2 time scale).



Fig. 11. Coral (O, bar =  $2\sigma$ ) and varve ( $\Box$ , bar =  $1\sigma$ ) <sup>14</sup>C ages splined (solid line) over the 12,000–8800 cal BP interval. The spline is connected to the carbon reservoir calculated decadal marine <sup>14</sup>C ages (solid line) of the 8800–7000 cal BP interval. The solid lines form the INTCAL98 calibration curve for marine samples.

#### CALIBRATION

It is not possible to suggest guidelines for specific regional (non-hemispheric) offsets due to the lack of precise information on time-dependent regional <sup>14</sup>C differences. Offsets (see "Hemispheric and Regional Offsets") introduce uncertainties of one or two decades in the age calibration process of atmospheric samples. Because the <sup>14</sup>C level of the Southern Hemisphere is, on average, below that of the Northern Hemisphere, we recommend for Southern Hemispheric samples a <sup>14</sup>C age reduction of  $24 \pm 3$  <sup>14</sup>C yr prior to calibration (pre- AD 1900 atmospheric samples only).

As noted previously, the atmospheric calibration curve is based on 1) a linear connection of the treering generated decadal data points (11,850-0 cal BP) and 2) a spline with minimum smoothing of reservoir-corrected coral and varve data (24,000-11,850 cal BP).

The marine calibration curve consists of 1) a linear connection of carbon reservoir calculated decadal marine ages (8800-0 cal BP) and a 2) a spline of measured coral and varve ages (24,000-8800cal BP) with a degree of smoothing similar to the atmospheric calibration curve.

The standard deviation in the curves is not drawn in Figures A (atmospheric) and B (marine). For the tree-ring based portion of the atmospheric curve, the width of the curve (the one standard deviation includes a 1.3 error multiplier) starts with an average 9 yr for the youngest millennium and increases



Fig. 12. Atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C profile for 1) 15,500–0 cal BP and 2) 40,000–0 cal BP (inset, with  $\Delta^{14}$ C per mil scale). Treering data were used for the 11,854–0 cal BP construction and marine (coral and varve) information for the remaining part. The solid line represents  $\Delta^{14}$ C values derived from the INTCAL98 <sup>14</sup>C age–cal age relationship; the dashed portion is based on the splining of a limited number of data points (see Figs. 7 and 8). The double dashed curve is based on only two measurements.

to 23 yr for the older part (11,000–10,000 cal BP). The width of the spline, derived from the coral and varve <sup>14</sup>C age errors, is one standard deviation (as discussed, we use for the calculation of the actual standard deviation  $2\sigma$  for the coral <sup>14</sup>C ages,  $1\sigma$  for the varve <sup>14</sup>C ages, and a k=1.3 error multiplier for both) and ranges from an average 100 <sup>14</sup>C yr for the 13,000–12,000 cal BP interval to 300 <sup>14</sup>C yr for the 24,000–20,000 cal BP interval.

In its simplest form, the calibration process is a straightforward reading of the calibration curves (Stuiver and Pearson 1993). Because Figures A and B lack uncertainty estimates, we recommend the use of computer programs that include the error margin for age calibration. Computer programs (*e.g.*, CALIB, Stuiver and Reimer 1993; cal15, van der Plicht 1993; and OxCal v2.18, Bronk Ramsey 1994) also generate additional information, such as probability distributions *vs.* cal age. To avoid confusion, we recommend that all computer programs, as of 1999, incorporate the INTCAL98 database for marine and terrestrial age calibration. The INTCAL98 calibration data (atmospheric as well as marine, with one standard deviation uncertainty), the atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C and residual  $\Delta^{14}$ C values, the CALIB 4.0 computer program based on INTCAL98 data, and  $\delta^{18}$ O of the GISP2 ice core can be downloaded from the Quaternary Isotope Laboratory web site at <a href="http://depts.washington.edu/qil/>rite">http://depts.washington.edu/qil/></a>.



Fig. 13. Δ<sup>14</sup>C residual variations, after removing a 2000-yr moving average from the Fig. 12 profile

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Both the above radiocarbon age synthesis, and the radiocarbon age measurement program of the Seattle laboratory, were funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant ATM-9310121 to M. Stuiver. Research of E. Bard was supported by IUF, CNRS and EC grants; J. W. Beck and G. S. Burr's research was supported by grants OCE-9402693, OCE-9500647, OCE-9501580, OCE-9503256, EAR-9508413, EAR-8904987, EAR-9512334, EAR-9406183, ATM-8922114 (all NSF), NOAA(NAS6QP0381), and ORSTOM. Cariaco basin research (K. O. Hughen) was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (contract W-7405-ENG-48), a NASA Earth System Science Fellowship, OCE-9521058 (NSF), and NOAA. Heidelberg radiocarbon research (B. Kromer) was funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG) and the Ministry of Science and Research (BMBF). Support for the Belfast laboratory (G. McCormac) was through NERC, grant GR9/02597. The dendrochronological research at Hohenheim (M. Spurk) was supported by the European Commission (ENV4-CT95-0127-PL951087) and BMF 07VKV/01A -21178.3/3.


Fig. 14. The upper curve depicts the inverted GISP2 oxygen isotope ratio ( $\delta^{18}$ O) record with bidecadal time separation (Stuiver, Grootes and Braziunas 1995). The lower curve is based on INTCAL98 residual  $\Delta^{14}$ C. The cal BP scale of the oxygen isotope record is based on ice layer counts (Alley *et al.* 1997). B = Bølling, YD = Younger Dryas.

#### REFERENCES

- Adkins, J. F., Cheng, H., Boyle, E. A., Druffel, E. R. M. and Edwards, R. L. 1998 Deep-sea coral evidence for rapid change in ventilation of the deep North Atlantic 15,400 years ago. *Science* 280: 725–728.
- Alley, R. B., Shuman, C. A., Meese, D. A., Gow, A. J., Taylor, K. C., Cuffey, K. M., Fitzpatrick, J. J., Grootes, P. M., Zielinski, G. A., Ram, M., Spinelli, G. and Elder, B. 1997 Visual-stratigraphic dating of the GISP2 core: Basis, reproducibility, and application. *Journal* of Geophysical Research 102(C12): 26,370–26,381.
- Bard, E. 1988 Correction of accelerator mass spectrometry <sup>14</sup>C ages measured in planktonic foraminifera: Paleoceanographic implications. *Paleoceanography* 3: 635–645.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Hamelin, B., Tisnerat-Laborde, N. and Cabioch, G. 1998 Radiocarbon calibration by means of mass spectrometric <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>234</sup>U and <sup>14</sup>C ages of corals: An updated database including samples from Barbados, Mururoa and Tahiti. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Mangerud, M., Paterne, M., Labey-

rie, L., Duprat, J., Mélières, M. A., Sonstegaard, E. and Duplessy, J. C. 1994 The North Atlantic atmosphere-sea surface <sup>14</sup>C gradient during the Younger Dryas climatic event. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 126: 275–287.

- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Fairbanks, R. G. and Zindler, A. 1990 Calibration of the <sup>14</sup>C timescale over the past 30,000 years using mass spectrometric U-Th ages from Barbados corals. *Nature* 345: 405–410.
- Braziunas, T. F., Fung, I. E. and Stuiver, M. 1995 The preindustrial atmospheric <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> latitudinal gradient as related to exchanges among atmospheric, oceanic, and terrestrial reservoirs. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 9: 565–584.
- Broecker, W. S. 1997 Thermohaline circulation, the Achilles heel of our climate system: Will man-made  $CO_2$  upset the current balance? *Science* 278: 1582–1588.
- \_\_\_\_1998 Paleocean circulation during the last glaciation: A bipolar seesaw? *Paleoceanography* 13: 119– 121.

- Bronk Ramsey, C. 1994 Analysis of chronological information and radiocarbon calibration: The program Ox-Cal. Archaeological and Computing Newsletter 41: 11–16.
- Burr, G. S., Beck, J. W., Taylor, F. W., Récy, J., Edwards,
  R. L. Cabioch, G., Corrège, T., Donahue, D. J. and
  O'Malley, J. M. 1998 A high-resolution radiocarbon calibration between 11,700 and 12,400 calendar years
  BP derived from <sup>230</sup>Th ages of corals from Espiritu Santo Island, Vanuatu. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Damon, P. E. 1995 A note concerning "Location-dependent differences in the <sup>14</sup>C content of wood" by Mc-Cormac *et al. In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 829– 830.
- Damon, P. E., Burr, G., Peristykh, A. N., Jacoby, G. C. and D'Arrigo, R. D. 1996 Regional radiocarbon effect due to thawing of frozen earth. *Radiocarbon* 38(3): 597–602.
- Edwards, R. L., Beck, J. W., Burr, G. S., Donahue, D. J., Chappell, J. M. A., Bloom, A. L., Druffel, E. R. M. and Taylor, F. W. 1993 A large drop in atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/ <sup>12</sup>C and reduced melting in the Younger Dryas, documented with <sup>230</sup>Th ages of corals. *Science* 260: 962– 968.
- Hughen, K. A., Overpeck, J. T., Lehman, S. J., Kashgarian, M., Southon, J., Peterson, L. C., Alley, R. and Sigman, D. M. 1998 Deglacial changes in ocean circulation from an extended radiocarbon calibration. *Nature* 391: 65–68.
- Kitagawa, H. and van der Plicht, J. 1998 Atmospheric radiocarbon calibration to 45,000 yr B.P.: Late Glacial fluctuations and cosmogenic isotope production. *Science* 279: 1187–1190.
- Kromer, B., Rhein, M., Bruns, M., Schoch-Fischer, H., Münnich, K. O., Stuiver, M. and Becker, B. 1986 Radiocarbon calibration data for the 6th to the 8th millennia BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 954–960.
- Kromer, B. and Spurk, M. 1998 Revision and tentative extension of the tree-ring based <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 9200– 11,855 cal BP. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Lal, D. 1985 Carbon cycle variations during the past 50.000 years: Atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio as an isotopic indicator. *In* Sundquist, E. T. and Broecker, W. S., eds., *The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Natural Variations, Archean to Present.* Geophysical Monograph 32. Washington, D.C., American Geophysical Union: 221–233.
- Mangini, A., Lomitschka, M., Eichstädter, R., Frank, N. and Vogler, S. 1998 Coral provides way to age deep water. *Nature* 392: 347–348.
- McCormac, F. G., Baillie, M. G. L., Pilcher, J. R. and Kalin, R. M. 1995 Location-dependent differences in the <sup>14</sup>C content of wood. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the

15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 395–407.

- McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Higham, T. F. G., Baillie, M. G. L., Palmer, J. G., Xiong, L., Pilcher, J. R., Brown, D. and Hoper, S. T. 1998a Variations of radiocarbon in tree rings: Southern Hemisphere offset preliminary results. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Higham, T. F. G., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Broecker, W. S., Baillie, M. G. L., Palmer, J., Xiong, L., Pilcher, J. R., Brown, D. and Hoper S. T. 1998b Temporal variation in the interhemispheric <sup>14</sup>C offset. *Geophysical Research Letters* 25: 1321–1324.
- Pearson, G. W., Becker, B. and Qua, F. 1993 High-precision <sup>14</sup>C measurement of German and Irish oaks to show the natural <sup>14</sup>C variations from 7890 to 5000 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 93–104.
- Pearson, G. W. and Stuiver, M. 1993 High-precision bidecadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, 500–2500 BC. In Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon 35(1): 25–33.
- Pilcher, J. R., Baillie, M. G. L., Schmidt, B. and Becker, B. 1984 A 7,272-year tree-ring chronology for Western Europe. *Nature* 312: 150–152.
- Reinsch, C. H. 1967 Smoothing by spline functions. Numerische Mathematik 10: 177–183.
- Spurk, M., Friedrich, M., Hofmann, J., Remmele, S., Frenzel, B., Leuschner, H. H. and Kromer, B. 1998 Revisions and extension of the Hohenheim oak and pine chronologies: New evidence about the timing of the Younger Dryas / Preboreal transition. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Stuiver, M. 1982 A high-precision calibration of the AD radiocarbon time scale. *Radiocarbon* 24(1): 1–26.
- Stuiver, M. and Becker, B. 1986 High-precision decadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950– 2500 BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 863–910.
- 1993 High-precision decadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950–6000 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 35–65.
- Stuiver, M. and Braziunas, T. F. 1993 Sun, ocean, climate and atmospheric <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>: An evaluation of causal and spectral relationships. *The Holocene* 3: 289–305.
- 1998 Anthropogenic and solar components of hemispheric <sup>14</sup>C. *Geophysical Research Letters* 25: 329–332.
- Stuiver, M., Braziunas, T. F., Grootes, P. M. and Zielinski, G. A. 1997 Is there evidence for solar forcing of climate in the GISP2 oxygen isotope record? *Quaternary Research* 48: 259–266.
- Stuiver, M., Grootes, P. M. and Braziunas, T. F. 1995 The GISP2  $\delta^{18}$ O climate record of the past 16,500 years and the role of the sun, ocean, and volcanoes. *Quaternary Research* 44: 341–354.
- Stuiver, M. and Kra, R., eds. 1986 Calibration issue. Ra-

diocarbon 28(2B): 805-1030.

- Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds. 1993 Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 1–244.
- Stuiver, M. and Östlund, H. G. 1980 GEOSECS Atlantic radiocarbon. *Radiocarbon* 22(1): 1–24.
- Stuiver, M. and Pearson, G. W. 1993 High-precision bidecadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950–500 BC and 2500–6000 BC. In Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon 35(1): 1–23.
- Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A. 1977 Discussion: Reporting of <sup>14</sup>C data. *Radiocarbon* 19(3): 355–363.
- Stuiver, M. and Quay, P. D. 1981 Atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C changes resulting from fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> release and cosmic ray flux variability. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 53: 349–362.
- Stuiver, M. and Reimer, P. J. 1993 Extended <sup>14</sup>C data base and revised CALIB 3.0 <sup>14</sup>C age calibration program. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 215–230.

- Stuiver, M., Reimer, P. J. and Braziunas, T. F. 1998 Highprecision radiocarbon age calibration for terrestrial and marine samples. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Toggweiler, J. R., Dixon, K. and Bryan K. 1989 Simulations of radiocarbon in a coarse-resolution world ocean model 1. Steady-state prebomb distributions. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 94: 8217–8242.
- van der Plicht, J. 1993 The Groningen radiocarbon calibration program. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 231– 237.
- Vogel, J. C., Fuls, A., Visser, E. and Becker, B. 1993 Pretoria calibration curve for short-lived samples, 1930– 3350 BC. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 73–85.
- Vogel, J. C. and van der Plicht, J. 1993 Calibration curve for short-lived samples, 1900–3900 BC. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 87–91.



Fig. A1–19. INTCAL98 atmospheric calibration curve with decadal resolution back to 11,850 cal BP. The remaining part of INTCAL98 was constructed from coral data with a time resolution of about one century near 12,000 cal BP, and about one millennium near 24,000 cal BP. The dashed portions are based on the splining of a limited number of data points (see Figs. 7 and 8).





















Fig. B1–19. INTCAL98 marine calibration curve based on 1) carbon reservoir derived <sup>14</sup>C ages for the 8800–0 cal BP interval and 2) coral/varve <sup>14</sup>C age determinations for the 8800– 24,000 cal BP interval. The dashed portions are based on the splining of a limited number of data points (see Figs. 7 and 8). The very substantial 10,900 cal BP perturbation is dashed because its maximum, generated by a single data point, lacks corroboration.



















# RADIOCARBON CALIBRATION BY MEANS OF MASS SPECTROMETRIC <sup>230</sup>TH/<sup>234</sup>U AND <sup>14</sup>C AGES OF CORALS: AN UPDATED DATABASE INCLUDING SAMPLES FROM BARBADOS, MURUROA AND TAHITI

EDOUARD BARD,<sup>1</sup> MAURICE ARNOLD,<sup>2</sup> BRUNO HAMELIN,<sup>1</sup> NADINE TISNERAT-LABORDE<sup>2</sup> and GUY CABIOCH<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** As first shown by Bard *et al.* (1990a), high-precision  $^{230}$ Th- $^{234}$ U ages can be used successfully to calibrate the radiocarbon time scale beyond the high-precision tree-ring calibration that now reaches 11,900 cal BP (Kromer and Spurk 1998). Using mass spectrometric techniques, we measured  $^{14}$ C and  $^{230}$ Th ages on new samples collected from boreholes drilled off the islands of Tahiti and Mururoa (French Polynesia) in order to complement the database previously obtained on Barbados corals (Bard *et al.* 1990a, 1993).

# **METHODS**

New <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>234</sup>U ages for Tahiti and Mururoa samples (Table 1) were measured with a VG-54-30 thermal ionization mass spectrometer (TIMS) fitted with an ion-counting Daly detector, at CEREGE (Aix-en-Provence). The chemical separations were similar to those previously described (Bard *et al.* 1990b). The 2 $\sigma$  precision of the <sup>230</sup>Th ages ranges from 30 to 60 yr, for ages between 8000 and 14,000 <sup>230</sup>Th yr BP.<sup>4</sup> This represents an improvement by a factor of 2 to 3 over the performance obtained on Barbados corals by single collection and analog Daly detector on an MM30 mass spectrometer (Table 1; Bard *et al.* 1990a,b). The precision of the ages was checked by measuring numerous replicates (Bard *et al.* 1996). In particular, we performed five analyses of different pieces of the same coral specimen (sample P7-7: 10,995 ± 40, 11,005 ± 30, 11,025 ± 30, 10,995 ± 30 and 10,995 ± 30 <sup>230</sup>Th yr BP; ages are rounded to the nearest 5 yr). The five <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>234</sup>U ages agree with each other within the 2 $\sigma$  uncertainties, with an overall 2 $\sigma$  uncertainty on the mean of 12 yr and a maximum difference between replicates of *ca.* 30 yr.

Following Ludwig *et al.* (1992) and Stirling *et al.* (1995), the <sup>229</sup>Th/<sup>233</sup>U ratio of our mixed spike was calibrated against the uraninite standard HU1 assumed to be at exact secular equilibrium. This calibration was shown to be accurate within 5‰ by means of gravimetric U and Th standards. This agreement is satisfactory if one takes into account the overall uncertainty on the half-lives of <sup>234</sup>U and <sup>230</sup>Th (2‰ and 8‰, respectively; see Ludwig *et al.* 1992).

Th samples are loaded with colloidal graphite on single-zone refined Re filaments and U samples on Re-Ta triple filaments. <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U ratios are measured in dynamic multicollection mode: <sup>233</sup>U, <sup>234</sup>U and <sup>235</sup>U ion beams are measured with the Daly ion counting detector, whereas <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U ion currents are measured with Faraday cups. Correction for isotopic fractionation is performed by normalizing the measured <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>235</sup>U atomic ratio to the natural value (137.88). Faraday/Daly gain is monitored with <sup>235</sup>U signals during each measurement block in order to correct for possible shifts of the gain.

The external precision on individual values of  $\delta^{234}U_i$  (=[initial<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U - 1] × 1000) is on the order of 2‰ (at 2 $\sigma$ ), as shown by repeated measurements of standards. The accuracy has been checked by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>CEREGE, Université d'Aix-Marseille III, CNRS UMR-6536, Europôle de l'Arbois, BP80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence cdx 4, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Centre des Faibles Radioactivités, CNRS-CEA, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette cdx, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>ORSTOM, Laboratoire de Géodynamique, Villefranche-sur-Mer, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>All radiometric ages expressed here as "BP" are relative to a fixed present of 1950.

TABLE 1. Comparison of Ages Obtained on Corals by AMS <sup>14</sup>C and TIMS <sup>230</sup>Th

		U/Th age		<sup>14</sup> C age			
	Sample code	(yr BP)	$\pm 2\sigma$ Th	(yr BP)	$\pm 2\sigma$ <sup>14</sup> C	$\Delta^{14}C$	$\pm 2\sigma \Delta$
	Barbados						
	RGF B-56*†	773	10	960	90	-26	11
	RGF 7-4-2	7460	80	6605	150	83	23
	RGF 7-5-5	8450	50	7640	160	74	22
	RGF 7-12-2*†	9265	60	8305	120	91	18
	RGF 7-16-2	9730	50	8750	170	92	24
ļ	RGF 7-27-4	11090	70	9710	210	142	31
	RGF 12-5-2†	11590	60	9980	170	173	26
	RGF 12-6-7†	11530	70	10095	160	148	25
	RGF 12-9-5†	12260	90	10220	130	235	24
	RGF 12-16-5*†	13100	80	11270	100	199	19
	RGF 12-21-6	13700	170	11710	200	221	39
	RGF 12-21-10*	13730	100	11720	400	224	63
	RGF 9-8-2	14235	100	12200	200	225	34
	RGF 9-13-3*†	14690	85	12620	220	229	36
	RGF 9-21-11	18240	140	15170	180	374	39
	RGF 9-24-4	18890	250	16020	420	337	81
	RGF 9-27-5*†	19000	70	16360	220	299	37
And the second second	RGF 9-32-4	20610	120	17230	280	416	53
	RGF 9-34-8*†	21980	130	18410	250	443	50
	RGF 12-30-2*†	30230	160	25870	410	547	84
	/ Tahiti						
_/	Ta-P6-10*	9565	20	8410	140	116	20
1	Ta-P6-11*	9830	30	8790	120	99	17
j	Ta-P6-12	9920	40	8800	120	110	17
-	Ta-P6-13*	10205	30	8990	120	122	17
	Ta-P6-14†	10120	50	9065	100	100	15
	Ta-P7-1*	8520	25	7830	200	57	27
	Ta-P7-2*	9255	30	8170	180	108	25
1	Ta-P7-4	10250	40	8970	140	131	20
/	Ta-P7-5	10575	50	9330	140	125	21
	Ta-P7-6	10850	50	9550	140	132	21
	Ta-P7-7*	11005	12	9580	140	149	20
÷	Ta-P7-8	11280	30	9800	140	155	21
ł	Ta-P7-9	11495	30	9980	140	160	21
/	Ta-P7-10	11930	50	10280	140	177	22
	Ta-P7-11	12875	40	10830	140	233	22
	Ta-P7-12	12800	30	10800	160	226	25
	Ta-P7-13	12695	60	11010	160	179	25
	Ta-P/-14	12710	50	11090	160	170	24
	Ta-P7-15	12865	50	11030	160	201	25
	Ta-P/-16	12905	50	11090	160	198	25
	Ia-P/-1/	13065	30	11430	200	171	29
	1a-P/-18*	13465	40	11630	220	198	33
	$1a-r/-19^{+}$ To DQ 1+	13/30	<i>3</i> 0	11/90	220	210	<u>34</u>
	$T_0 D_{0,0}^{-1+}$	12905	3U 20	11230	120	1//	18
	1a-10-24 To D8 34	13333	25	11090	110	1/1	17
	10-14- 20 =	13003	33	12010	110	1/1	1/
ţ.		1,10	INTALY	x=11101	12310		
					T. C.	>	
					11210		

ne !

 $\lambda_{\ell_{\ell}}$ 

	U/Th age		<sup>14</sup> C age			
Sample code	(yr BP)	$\pm 2\sigma$ Th	(yr BP)	±2σ <sup>14</sup> C	$\Delta^{14}C$	$\pm 2\sigma \Delta$
Ta-P8-4‡	13850	35	12260	110	161	17
Mururoa						
Mu 315*†	15585	50	13160	140	280	24
Mu 313*†	17595	70	14835	150	325	27
Mu 8-30-315‡	17170	40	14560	180	303	30
Mu 8-30-310.5‡	23510	70	20050	300	416	54
∕ New Guinea						
KWA-I-1‡			35770	1820		
KWA-I-I‡			35120	1660	35214	
KWA-I-1‡			39600	2800	- 10 g	
KWA-I-1‡			34580	1620		
KWA-I-1†‡	41100	500	35600	920	720	220

TABLE 1. Comparison of Ages Obtained on Corals by AMS <sup>14</sup>C and TIMS <sup>230</sup>Th (Continued)

*Note:* All ages are expressed in yr before 1950 (BP) and statistical uncertainties are given at the  $2\sigma$  level. <sup>14</sup>C ages are conventional ages with a reservoir correction of 400 yr for Barbados and New Guinea and 300 yr for Tahiti and Mururoa (see text). When several replicates were measured on different pieces of the same coral, the reported age and uncertainty are the weighted mean and error (except for sample KWA-I-1, for which individual <sup>14</sup>C ages are listed in italics). The U-Th ages of KWA-I-1 are from Dia *et al.* (1992). Sample Mu8-30-310.5 is composed of bothryoidal aragonite precipitated at shallow depth. All other samples are corals; species lists can be found in previous publications (Bard *et al.* 1990a, 1996). All samples were checked by XRD prior to dating to verify the absence of secondary low and/or high magnesium calcite (<1%).

\*Reported <sup>230</sup>Th age is the weighted mean of 2 or more replicates (cf. Bard *et al.* 1993, 1996 for all individual ages). †Reported <sup>14</sup>C age is the weighted mean of 2 or more replicates (cf. Bard *et al.* 1993, 1996 for all individual ages). ‡Age was not reported previously.

repeated measurements of NBS-010 (mean of  $-7.0 \pm 0.7\% 2\sigma_m$ , 29 measurements) and NBS-SRM960-NIST4321B (mean =  $-35.6 \pm 1.5\% 2\sigma_m$ , 6 meas.). The  $\delta^{234}U_i$  values obtained on the Tahiti and Mururoa samples range between 140 and 150, with a mean value of  $147 \pm 2\%$  (one standard deviation (SD) on 42 measurements). This average is very close to the values measured on present-day seawater ( $144 \pm 4\%$  SD on 9 meas., Chen, Edwards and Wasserburg (1986)) and on modern and recent corals ( $145 \pm 5\%$ , SD on 25 meas., Bard *et al.* (1990a);  $150 \pm 1\%$ , SD on 20 meas., Edwards *et al.* (1993);  $148 \pm 2\%$ , SD on 3 meas., Szabo *et al.* (1994);  $149 \pm 1\%$ , SD on 3 meas., Stirling *et al.* (1995)). This further confirms that the samples used for this study are devoid of diagenetic alteration and remained closed systems for U-Th in the past. In addition, the absence of secondary calcite (<1\%) was also checked in triplicate by x-ray diffraction (XRD) in the samples selected for dating.

<sup>14</sup>C ages were measured by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) on the Tandetron facility installed at Gif-sur-Yvette (Arnold *et al.* 1987). 200–300 mg carbonate samples were first ground into millimeter-sized pieces preparatory to a strong acid leaching procedure (>40% weight loss) to remove surface contaminants (Bard *et al.* 1990b). Large carbonate subsamples (15–18 mg) were then converted to  $CO_2$  and reduced to graphite in order to produce at least two accelerator targets for most samples and hence to increase the <sup>14</sup>C precision. Each carbonate subsample was composed of several grains selected randomly, which should help to minimize the influence of intra-annual changes of the <sup>14</sup>C reservoir ages, as shown by Brown *et al.* (1993). The <sup>14</sup>C ages for Mururoa and Tahiti samples were corrected for 300 yr, which is a mean <sup>14</sup>C reservoir age based on preanthropogenic data from the tropical South Pacific (Bard 1988). A reservoir age of 400 yr is used for corals from Barbados and New Guinea.



Fig. 1. AMS <sup>14</sup>C ages plotted *vs.* TIMS <sup>230</sup>Th ages obtained on corals. <sup>14</sup>C ages are conventional ages in yr BP with statistical errors given at the  $2\sigma$  level.  $\Delta$  = the data from New-Guinea (Edwards *et al.* 1993),  $\bigcirc$  = data from Barbados,  $\bigcirc$  = data from Tahiti and  $\blacksquare$  = the data from Mururoa. The thin wiggly curve is the smoothed tree-ring calibration and the thick solid line is the 1:1 line. For ages beyond the Younger Dryas/Preboreal boundary (10,000 BP) the coral data can be approximated by a simple linear equation: [cal BP] =  $1.168 \times [^{14}$ C age BP], or even better by a second-order polynomial: [cal BP] =  $-3.0126 \times 10^{-6} \times [^{14}$ C age BP]<sup>2</sup> +  $1.2896 \times [^{14}$ C age BP] = 1005.

In addition to the samples collected by coring, we analyzed a very old *Porites lutea* sample collected in the lower uplifted terrace of Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea. This coral, sample KWA-I-1, was previously dated by TIMS U-Th at 41,100  $\pm$  500 BP (Dia *et al.* 1992). Sample contamination and chemistry blank reproducibility are critical problems in dating such an old sample by <sup>14</sup>C (see Bard *et al.* 1993 for blank measurements obtained on calcite and aragonite). Four different pieces of KWA-I-1 were dated (*i.e.*, 8 C-Fe targets) and the individual <sup>14</sup>C ages are listed in Table 1 together with the weighted mean age based on these four values. The agreement among the four replicates is not optimal, which could be due to a small and residual contamination of this sample. The <sup>14</sup>C age of KWA-I-1 remains tentative and more samples should be dated in the same time range to confirm its surprisingly high  $\Delta^{14}$ C (*ca.* 700 %*o*).



Fig. 2. Blowup of the Figure 1 diagram between 7000 and 25,000 cal BP. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

#### **RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS CALIBRATIONS**

As previously shown, there is a large difference between the <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>230</sup>Th ages (Bard *et al.* 1990a). The magnitude of the <sup>14</sup>C-<sup>230</sup>Th age difference observed on the Tahiti and Mururoa samples (Figs. 1 and 2) agrees well with previous studies of corals (Bard *et al.* 1990a, 1993; Edwards *et al.* 1993). The accuracy of <sup>230</sup>Th ages is further demonstrated by the excellent agreement with the recently revised dendrocalibration by Kromer and Spurk (1998), in particular in the critical range of the German pine calibration (10,000–11,900 cal BP; see Fig. 3).

Altogether, these two different calibration methods lead to the reconstruction of significant variations of the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio through time (Fig. 4). In particular, the new data from Mururoa confirm clearly that the atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C was *ca.* 400–500‰ higher at *ca.* 20,000–30,000 cal BP and that it has essentially decreased during the period between 18,000 and 7000 cal BP. This longterm  $\Delta^{14}$ C decrease has been attributed to a concomitant long-term increase of the intensity of the geomagnetic field (Bard *et al.* 1990a; Bard 1997; Stuiver *et al.* 1991).

In the critical range between 9500 and 12,000 cal BP, the coral results are in agreement with the data obtained from varved sediments from Lake Gościąż (Goslar *et al.* 1995), further confirming the new synchronization between the oak and the pine chronologies (Kromer and Spurk 1998). A new cali-



Fig. 3. Blowup of the Figure 2 diagram between 9500 and 13,500 cal BP. Symbols as in Fig. 1, except tree-ring calibration represented with gray error bars (from Kromer and Spurk 1998).

bration data set based on marine varves from the Cariaco Basin was recently proposed by Hughen *et al.* (1998). The Cariaco calibration curve goes back to 12,500 cal BP and is also in excellent agreement with our coral data (Hughen *et al.* 1998, especially Fig. 3b).

The coral <sup>230</sup>Th ages together with the Gościąż and Cariaco varve data finally confirm that the other calibrations based on varved sediments from Sweden (Wohlfarth 1996), Holzmaar in Germany (Hajdas *et al.* 1995), and Soppensee in Switzerland (Hajdas *et al.* 1993) are still in error even after the recent additions of so-called "missing varves" (~900 "missing varves" were added to the chronology from Holzmaar (Hajdas *et al.* 1995); ~550 "missing varves" were added to the chronology from Soppensee (Hajdas *et al.* 1993); ~500 "missing varves" were added to the Swedish chronology (Wohlfarth 1996)).

An independent check on the coral <sup>14</sup>C-<sup>230</sup>Th calibration can be obtained by analyzing volcanic ash layers that can be recognized and dated by counting annual couplets in Greenland ice cores ("cryo-varves"). Grönvold *et al.* (1995) have identified and characterized chemically the Saksunar and Vedde ash layers, which occurred respectively at 10,180 ± 120 and 11,980 ± 160 cal BP, according to the GRIP core chronology ( $2\sigma$  errors). These two ash layers have recently been redated by AMS



Fig. 4.  $\Delta^{14}$ C vs. time as calculated by using the AMS <sup>14</sup>C ages vs. TIMS <sup>230</sup>Th comparison. Statistical errors for coral data are provided at the  $2\sigma$  level. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

on terrestrial plant macrofossils at, respectively,  $8960 \pm 140^{14}$ C yr BP ( $2\sigma$  error based on 3 AMS  $^{14}$ C ages from Birks *et al.* 1996) and 10,330  $\pm$  60  $^{14}$ C yr BP ( $2\sigma$  error based on 11 AMS  $^{14}$ C ages from Bard *et al.* 1994 and Birks *et al.* 1996). The data for these two volcanic events clearly demonstrate the compatibility of the German pine tree-ring chronology,  $^{230}$ Th ages of corals, Gościąż varves and GRIP annual counts in the time range around the Younger Dryas/Preboreal boundary dated at *ca.* 11,500 cal BP.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank D. Buigues for providing samples from Mururoa, A. Dia and R. K. O'Nions for sample KWA-I-1 from Papua New-Guinea, and B. Kromer for discussions and early release of data. This work benefited from support from ORSTOM, PNRCO and IUF. Tandetron operation is supported by CEA, INSU and IN2P3.

#### REFERENCES

- Arnold, M., Bard, E., Maurice, P. and Duplessy, J. C. 1987 C-14 dating with the Gif sur Yvette Tandetron accelerator: Status report. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research* B29: 120–123.
- Bard, E. 1988 Correction of accelerator mass spectrometry <sup>14</sup>C ages measured in planktonic foraminifera: Paleoceanographic implications. *Paleoceanography* 3: 635–645.
- \_\_\_\_\_1997 Nuclide production by cosmic rays during the last ice age. *Science* 277: 532–533.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Fairbanks, R. G. and Zindler, A. 1990a Calibration of the <sup>14</sup>C timescale over the past 30,000 years using mass spectrometric U-Th ages from Barbados corals. *Nature* 345: 405–410.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Fairbanks, R. G., Zindler, A., Arnold, M. and Mathieu, G. 1990b U/Th and <sup>14</sup>C ages of corals from Barbados and their use for calibrating the <sup>14</sup>C timescale beyond 9000 years BP. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B52: 461– 468.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Fairbanks, R. G. and Hamelin, B. 1993 <sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>234</sup>U and <sup>14</sup>C ages obtained by mass spectrometry on corals. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 191–199.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Mangerud, M., Paterne, M., Labeyrie, L., Duprat, J., Mélières, M. A., Sonstegaard, E. and Duplessy, J. C. 1994 The North Atlantic atmosphere-sea surface <sup>14</sup>C gradient during the Younger Dryas climatic event. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 126: 275–287.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Arnold, M., Montaggioni, L., Cabioch, G., Faure, G. and Rougerie, F. 1996 Deglacial sea level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater discharge. *Nature* 382: 241–244.
  Supplementary Information, 18 July 1996: URL http://www.nature.com/Nature2/serve?SID=16210554& CAT=Archives&PG=SuppInfo/bard/siindex.html
- Birks, H. H., Gulliksen, S., Haflidason, H., Mangerud J. and Possnert G. 1996 New radiocarbon dates for the Vedde Ash and Saksunarvatn Ash from Western Norway. *Quaternary Research* 45: 119–127.
- Brown, T. A., Farwell, G. W., Grootes, P. M., Schmidt, F. H. and Stuiver, M. 1993 Intra-annual variability of the radiocarbon content of corals from the Galapagos islands. *Radiocarbon* 35(2): 245–251.
- Chen, J. H., Edwards, R. L. and Wasserburg, G. J. 1986 <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>234</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in seawater. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 80: 241–251.
- Dia, A. N., Cohen, A. S., O'Nions, R. K. and Shackleton, N. J. 1992 Seawater Sr isotope variation over the past 300 kyr and influence of global climate cycles. *Nature* 356: 786–788.

Edwards, R. L., Beck, J. W., Burr, G. S., Donahue, D. J.,

Chappell, J. M. A., Bloom, A. L., Druffel, E. R. M. and Taylor, F. W. 1993 A large drop in atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/ <sup>12</sup>C and reduced melting in the Younger Dryas, documented with <sup>230</sup>Th ages of corals. *Science* 260: 962– 968.

- Goslar, T., Arnold, M., Bard, E., Kuc, T., Pazdur, M. F., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., Różanski, K., Tisnerat, N., Walanus, A., Wicik, B. and Więckowski, K. 1995 High concentration of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C during the Younger Dryas cold episode. *Nature* 377: 414–417.
- Grönvold, K., Oskarsson, N., Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Hammer, C. U., Bond, G. and Bard, E. 1995 Ash layers from Iceland in the Greeland GRIP ice core correlated with oceanic and land sediments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 135: 149–155.
- Hajdas, I., Ivy, S. D., Beer, J., Bonani, G., Imboden, D., Lotter, A. F., Sturm, M. and Suter, M. 1993 AMS radiocarbon dating and varve chronology of Lake Soppensee: 6000 to 12000 <sup>14</sup>C years BP. *Climate Dynamics* 9: 107–116.
- Hajdas, I., Zolitschka, B., Ivy-Ochs, S. D., Beer, J., Bonani, G., Leroy, S. A. G., Negendank, J. W., Ramrath, M. and Suter, M. 1995 AMS radiocarbon dating of annually laminated sediments from Lake Holzmaar, Germany. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 14: 137–143.
- Hughen, K. A., Overpeck, J. T., Lehman, S. J., Kashgarian, M., Southon, J., Peterson, L. C., Alley, R. and Sigman, D. M. 1998 Deglacial changes in ocean circulation from an extended radiocarbon calibration. *Nature* 391: 65–68.
- Kromer, B. and Spurk, M. 1998 Revision and tentative extension of the tree-ring based <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 9200– 11,855 cal BP. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Ludwig, K. R., Simmons, K. R., Szabo, B. J., Winograd, I. J., Landwehr, J. M., Riggs, A. C. and Hoffman, R. J. 1992 Mass-spectrometric <sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>234</sup>U-<sup>238</sup>U dating of the Devils Hole vein. *Science* 258: 284–287.
- Stirling, C. H., Esat, T. M., McCulloch, M. T. and Lambeck, K. 1995 High-precision U-series dating of corals from western Australia and implications for the timing and duration of the Last interglacial. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 135: 115–130.
- Stuiver, M., Braziunas, T. F., Becker, B. and Kromer, B. 1991 Climatic, solar, oceanic and geomagnetic influences on Late-Glacial and Holocene atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/ <sup>12</sup>C change. *Quaternary Research* 35: 1–24.
- Szabo, B. J., Ludwig, K. R., Muhs, D. R. amd Simmons, K. R. 1994 Th-230 ages of corals and duration of the Last Interglacial sea level high stand on Oahu, Hawai. *Science* 266: 93–96.
- Wohlfarth, B. 1996 The chronology of the Last Termination: A review of radiocarbon dated, high-resolution terrestrial stratigraphies. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 15: 267–284.

# A HIGH-RESOLUTION RADIOCARBON CALIBRATION BETWEEN 11,700 AND 12,400 CALENDAR YEARS BP DERIVED FROM <sup>230</sup>TH AGES OF CORALS FROM ESPIRITU SANTO ISLAND, VANUATU

# G. S. BURR,<sup>1</sup> J. WARREN BECK,<sup>1</sup> F. W. TAYLOR,<sup>2</sup> JACQUES RÉCY,<sup>3</sup> R. LAWRENCE EDWARDS,<sup>4</sup> GUY CABIOCH,<sup>3</sup> THIERRY CORRÈGE,<sup>3</sup> D. J. DONAHUE<sup>1</sup> and J. M. O'MALLEY<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** This paper presents radiocarbon results from a single *Diploastrea heliopora* coral from Vanuatu that lived during the Younger Dryas climatic episode, between *ca.* 11,700 and 12,400 calendar yr BP. The specimen has been independently dated with multiple <sup>230</sup>Th measurements to permit calibration of the <sup>14</sup>C time scale. Growth bands in the coral were used to identify individual years of growth. <sup>14</sup>C measurements were made on each year. These values were averaged to achieve decadal resolution for the <sup>14</sup>C calibration. The relative uncertainty of the decadal <sup>14</sup>C data was below 1% (2 $\sigma$ ). The data are in good agreement with the existing dendrochronology and allow for high-resolution calibration for most years. Variations in the fine structure of the <sup>14</sup>C time series preserved in this specimen demonstrate sporadic rapid increases in the  $\Delta^{14}$ C content of the surface ocean and atmosphere. Certain sharp rises in  $\Delta^{14}$ C are coincident with gaps in coral growth evidenced by several hiatuses. These may be related to rapid climatic changes that occurred during the Younger Dryas. This is the first coral calibration with decadal resolution and the only such data set to extend beyond the dendrochronology-based <sup>14</sup>C calibration.

# INTRODUCTION

The <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve commonly used today (Stuiver, Long and Kra 1993) evolved over the past 30 years and represents the efforts of a number of laboratories. The 1993 calibration covers the last 11.4 ka and is based on thousands of <sup>14</sup>C measurements of tree rings. The dendrocalibration has recently been extended to *ca.* 11.9 ka (Kromer and Spurk 1998), but beyond this point the tree-ring record is uncalibrated. The existence of relatively old floating Tasmanian tree-ring series should eventually provide a means for extending the existing dendrocalibration in the future (Barbetti *et al.* 1992; Tuniz *et al.* 1997).

Researchers in the field have sought to extend the dendrochronological limit using several alternative methods, including calibration based on counting varved sediments (Wohlfarth 1996; Hughen *et al.* 1998) and calibration using carbonates dated with <sup>230</sup>Th, such as corals (Bard *et al.* 1990, 1993; Edwards *et al.* 1993) and speleothems (Vogel and Kronfeld 1997; Goslar *et al.* 1997; Richards *et al.* 1997). Although these studies have produced useful information about past variations in the <sup>14</sup>C content of the atmosphere, none of them has approached the resolution and precision of dendrochronology. The purpose of this paper is to extract a high-resolution (decadal) <sup>14</sup>C calibration record from coral with precision comparable to the dendrocalibration. This is possible because certain corals are annually banded (see, *e.g.*, Knutson, Buddemeier and Smith 1972) and can be dated very accurately using the <sup>230</sup>Th age dating technique (Edwards, Chen and Wasserburg 1987). This is the first study to adopt such a strategy for the purpose of calibration.

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Géodynamique Sous-Marine, Observatoire Océanologique, B.P. 48, 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>NSF-Arizona AMS Laboratory, University of Arizona, Physics Department, Box 0081, Tucson, Arizona 85721-0081 USA <sup>2</sup>Institute for Geophysics, The University of Texas at Austin, 4412 Spicewood Springs Road, Bld. 600, Austin, Texas 78759-8500 USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minnesota Isotope Laboratory, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Minnesota, 310 Pillsbury Drive, SE, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA

### METHODS

## Site Selection and AMS <sup>14</sup>C Sample Preparation

The samples analyzed in this study were collected from a core drilled on the shore of a tectonically active coast on Espiritu Santo Island, Vanuatu (Fig. 1). The Late Quaternary tectonics and environmental history of this site were described by Taylor *et al.* (1987) and Cabioch *et al.* (1997). The Santo Island site has uplifted at a rapid and variable rate (up to *ca.* 5mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) over the past 20 ka, which allowed for repeated coral colonization of the emerging reef. A portion of one of our cores intersected a single *Diploastrea heliopora* coral that lived between *ca.* 11.7 and 12.4 ka BP according to our <sup>230</sup>Th measurements (see below).

Four features of this specimen of *Diploastrea heliopora* are significant to this study: 1) this species produces annual growth bands that are visible in X-radiographs; 2) our core penetrated the coral nearly perpendicular to the plane of growth, permitting annual subsampling along its entire length; 3) the coral lived for *ca.* 400 yr over a 720-yr interval; and 4) the specimen lived during the Younger Dryas climatic episode, which is known to have been a time of rapid change in atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}C$ (Edwards *et al.* 1993). The record is continuous over four intervals punctuated by three hiatuses. Individual years were identified from pairs of light and dark growth bands as seen in the X-radiographs of the sample. In order to reduce the variability introduced by subannual <sup>14</sup>C variations in the coral skeleton (Brown *et al.* 1993), combined light and dark couplets representing full years were sampled and analyzed together. To avoid contamination with modern carbon, the core was analyzed with the X-ray powder diffraction technique to check for calcite recrystallization. All of the samples analyzed in this study were pretreated using the selective dissolution technique described by Burr *et al.* (1992).

## **Definition of Terms and Analytical Procedures**

#### <sup>14</sup>C Dating

To calculate  ${}^{14}C$  ages,  ${}^{14}C/{}^{13}C$  ratios in the samples and standards were compared to determine the fraction of modern carbon (*F*) values, defined as

$$F \equiv ({}^{14}C/{}^{13}C)_{\rm S}/({}^{14}C/{}^{13}C)_{\rm STD}$$
(1)

where  $({}^{14}C/{}^{13}C)_{S}$  is the measured ratio in the sample, normalized to  $\delta^{13}C = -25\%$ , and  $({}^{14}C/{}^{13}C)_{STD}$  is the calculated modern standard ratio (1950 AD), determined from measurements of NBS oxalic acid standards, also normalized to -25% (Donahue, Jull and Toolin 1990). The age of the sample is computed with the equation

$$^{14}Cage = -\tau \ln F \tag{2}$$

where  $\tau$  is the Libby mean life (5568/ln 2 = 8033 yr).

In order to compare <sup>14</sup>C dates with atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C values, the <sup>14</sup>C ages were reservoir-corrected using the relationship

$$^{14}Cage_{RC} = ^{14}Cage - RC$$
(3)

where <sup>14</sup>C age<sub>RC</sub> is the reservoir-corrected age and RC is the reservoir correction in years. To estimate the reservoir correction at the site, we measured 35 prebomb samples of known age and calculated the average. The reservoir age calculated in this manner is  $494 \pm 10$  yr. We assume in our calculations that the reservoir correction is constant. This is consistent with the dendrocalibration for

the past 11.9 ka, but it should be emphasized that the reservoir age is affected by the source of surface ocean water and could vary with changing paleo-ocean circulation patterns.



Fig. 1. Map showing the location of Vanuatu. A. Regional view; B. major islands of Vanuatu; C. Espiritu Santo Island with Tasmaloum peninsula (drill site).

1096 *G. S. Burr et al.* 

To calculate reservoir-corrected fraction of modern values ( $F_{\rm RC}$ ), we define the relationship

$${}^{14}\text{C} \text{ age}_{\text{RC}} \equiv -\tau \ln F_{\text{RC}} \quad (4)$$

Combining equations 2, 3, and 4 yields the expression

$$F_{\rm RC} = F \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{RC}/\tau} \ . \tag{5}$$

The uncertainty in  $F_{RC}$  depends on the uncertainty in F and on the uncertainty in RC. Propagating these two sources of error yields the expression

$$\sigma_{F_{\rm RC}} = \left\{ \left( e^{{\rm RC}/\tau} \right)^2 \left( \sigma_F \right)^2 + \left[ \left( \frac{F}{\tau} \right) \left( e^{{\rm RC}/\tau} \right) \right]^2 \left( \sigma_{\rm RC} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$
(6)

where the  $\sigma$ 's represent the uncertainties in  $F_{\rm RC}$ , F, and RC.

 $\Delta^{14}$ C values were computed from  $F_{RC}$  values.  $\Delta^{14}$ C is a relative measure of the  ${}^{14}$ C/ ${}^{12}$ C (or  ${}^{14}$ C/ ${}^{13}$ C) content of the atmosphere, as compared with the assumed value for 1950. Positive values indicate an excess relative to 1950 and negative values indicate a relative  ${}^{14}$ C deficit.  $\Delta^{14}$ C values were computed with the expression

$$\Delta^{14} C = (F_{\rm RC} \, e^{\lambda t} - 1) \, 1000\% \tag{7}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the decay constant for the 5730-yr half-life, and *t* is the calendar age of the sample in years BP (before 1950), determined with the <sup>230</sup>Th technique. This value for  $\Delta^{14}$ C is equivalent to the age-corrected value for  $\Delta$  given in Stuiver and Polach (1977).

The total uncertainty of  $\Delta^{14}$ C includes uncertainties in  $F_{RC}$  and  $^{230}$ Th ages. Propagating these yields the expression

$$\sigma_{\Delta} = 1000 e^{\lambda t} \left[ \left( F_{\rm RC} \lambda \right)^2 \sigma_t^2 + \sigma_{F_{\rm RC}}^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{8}$$

where  $\sigma_{\Delta}$  is the total uncertainty in  $\Delta^{14}$ C, *t* is the calendar age of sample in years BP,  $\sigma_t$  is the standard deviation reflecting the uncertainty in the age (uncertainty in the <sup>230</sup>Th date) and  $\sigma_{F_{\rm RC}}$  is the uncertainty in  $F_{\rm RC}$ .

# <sup>230</sup>Th Technique

<sup>230</sup>Th dating of corals relies on the decay of <sup>234</sup>U (half-life  $244.5 \times 10^3$  yr) to <sup>230</sup>Th (half-life  $75.4 \times 10^3$  yr). Both isotopes accumulate in the coral as relatively long-lived intermediate daughter products from <sup>238</sup>U decay. Initial <sup>230</sup>Th in modern corals is negligible due to the extreme low solubility of Th in seawater. The amount of <sup>230</sup>Th dissolved in seawater is approximately equivalent to the amount produced by one year of <sup>234</sup>U decay (Edwards, Chen and Wasserburg 1987). Uranium is more soluble than thorium, and dissolved uranium becomes incorporated into a coral's skeleton as it grows. Typical uranium concentrations for the coral samples analyzed here are *ca.* 3 ppm.

Assuming a closed system and assuming zero initial <sup>230</sup>Th, the <sup>230</sup>Th age of the coral can be calculated using the equation (Broecker 1963; Broecker and Thurber 1965):

$$\left[ {}^{230} \text{Th} / {}^{238} \text{U} \right] - 1 = -e^{-\lambda_{230}T} + \left( \delta^{234} \text{U}_m / 1000 \right) \left[ \lambda_{230} / \left( \lambda_{230} - \lambda_{234} \right) \right] \left( 1 - e^{\left( \lambda_{234} - \lambda_{230} \right)T} \right)$$
(9)

where the value [<sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U] is the <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U activity ratio,  $\lambda_{230}$  and  $\lambda_{234}$  are the decay constants for <sup>230</sup>Th and <sup>234</sup>U, *T* is the sample age in years, and  $\delta^{234}$ U<sub>m</sub> is the measured  $\delta^{234}$ U value, defined as

$$\delta^{234} U_m = \left\{ \left[ (^{234} U/^{238} U)_{measured} / (^{234} U/^{238} U)_{se} \right] - 1 \right\} \times 1000$$
(10)

where  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)_{se}$  is the  $^{234}U/^{238}U$  ratio at secular equilibrium (Edwards, Chen and Wasserburg 1987).

The initial  ${}^{234}\text{U}/{}^{238}\text{U}$  ratio of the corals reflects that of seawater, which is presently in excess of the secular equilibrium value by *ca*. 150%. This value has not varied by more than 2% over the last 13,000 yr (Edwards *et al.* 1993). This means that significant deviations from observed initial  ${}^{234}\text{U}/{}^{238}\text{U}$  values can be used to identify samples that may have been altered.

The weighted average initial  $\delta^{234}$ U value for these corals is 148.8 ± 0.5 (2 $\sigma$ ). This mean value is within errors of that determined for deglacial New Guinea corals (Edwards *et al.* 1993). No <sup>230</sup>Th age reversals were seen in the 13 age determinations along the core, and we observed a 1:1 relationship between the <sup>230</sup>Th ages and growth bands determined by counting layers (see below).

Coral <sup>230</sup>Th ages reported in this study were measured using thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS) following the method of Edwards, Chen and Wasserburg (1987) and Edwards *et al.* (1993). <sup>230</sup>Th concentrations were measured using a <sup>229</sup>Th spike; uranium concentrations were measured using a mixed <sup>233</sup>U/<sup>236</sup>U spike. Uranium measurements were made with a double zone-refined Re filament. Th measurements were made on a single zone-refined Re filament with a graphite substrate.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### <sup>230</sup>Th and <sup>14</sup>C Results

The chronology of the *Diploastrea heliopora* core is shown diagrammatically in Figure 2. It consists of four continuous sections, punctuated by three hiatuses. The hiatuses represent times when the coral died off for some period and later recolonized. The durations of the hiatuses were determined by combining the <sup>230</sup>Th dates with growth band counts. The thorium results are given in Table 1. Differences in the ages of specific growth bands along continuous sections of coral were determined by counting bands and by computing differences between <sup>230</sup>Th dates. These two methods agree perfectly within quoted uncertainties. To obtain the most precise age estimate possible for a given piece of coral, the <sup>230</sup>Th ages were averaged after adjusting the ages of measured growth bands to the first year of growth band counts to complete the calendar chronology of the entire core (Fig. 2). The total number of years computed in this manner is 720. The duration of all of the growth hiatuses is *ca.* 100 yr for all three hiatuses and the total number of growth years is *ca.* 400.

The <sup>14</sup>C results from the *Diploastrea* core (Table 2) are plotted in Figure 3 along with the tree ring <sup>14</sup>C data of Kromer and Spurk (1998). The two data sets overlap and the overall trend between the two sets of measurements is in good agreement. Both sets of data are plotted with  $2\sigma$  uncertainties. For the coral data these are less than 1%. This uncertainty is computed as the larger of the internal or external variance in the population of annual measurements that contribute to the decadal average. The uncertainty in each annual measurement includes contributions deduced from counting statistics, machine random error and the reservoir correction.


Fig. 2. Diagrammatic representation of the Diploastrea heliopora core showing the deduced chronology in yr BP

#### Fine Structure in the Record

The precision of these measurements permits our first look into the fine structure of the <sup>14</sup>C record during portions of the Younger Dryas climatic event. Significant variations (wiggles) are evident in the record. This is not surprising, as the Younger Dryas period is known to be a period of rapid change in atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C (Edwards *et al.* 1993).  $\Delta^{14}$ C values are plotted in Figure 3B. The earliest part of this core shows a steady rise in  $\Delta^{14}$ C followed by a hiatus. The second segment shows a distinct oscillation culminating in a sharp rise and a second hiatus. The third segment shows a modest rise followed by a sudden sharp rise and a hiatus; the final segment records a 50-yr period of large rapid  $\Delta^{14}$ C variations. The initial sharp rise in  $\Delta^{14}$ C is consistent with the global marker described by Hajdas *et al.* (Hajdas and Bonani 1997) for the onset of the Younger Dryas. The peak in the *Diploastrea* curve observed in the first section of core is also temporally coincident with the beginning of a distinct pause in sea level rise documented in the New Guinea sea level reconstruction (Edwards *et al.* 1993).

Of particular interest are the two sharp increases in  $\Delta^{14}$ C prior to the death of the organism at the second and third hiatuses. Possible causes of death include 1) burial by volcanic debris or flooding, 2) sudden emergence resulting from tectonic activity or sea level variations, and 3) a rapid change in water temperature that exceeded the tolerance limits of the coral. The observed changes in  $\Delta^{14}$ C prior to the second and third hiatuses cannot be explained by burial. Emergence accompanied by some recrystallization could raise the  $\Delta^{14}$ C value of the coral, but the X-ray powder diffraction data and  $\delta^{234}$ U results do not show any evidence of recrystallization. A rapid change in water temperature could result from changes in paleocirculation. In this case the water would be expected to have an elevated  $\Delta^{14}$ C value and be either too cold or too hot for the coral to survive. This possibility can be tested using coral paleothermometry.



Fig. 3. Comparison of the coral data from this study with the tree ring data of Kromer and Spurk (1998, revised from Kromer and Becker 1993). All uncertainties are  $2\sigma$ . A. <sup>14</sup>C ages; B.  $\Delta^{14}$ C values.

TABLE 1A. <sup>230</sup>Th Results for Individual Years

Sample	$^{230}$ Th age ± 2 $\sigma$ (yr BP)	$\begin{array}{c} \delta^{234} U_{initial} \\ \pm 2\sigma \end{array}$	<sup>238</sup> U (ppm)	<sup>232</sup> Th (ppt)	Growth band (year number)
Top growth band	Section 4				720
9-11-12.5-b	11,705 ± 29	$149.3 \pm 1.0$	2.357	597.0	710
9-11-12.5	$11,800 \pm 35$	$148.8 \pm 1.1$	2.792	800.0	675
Growth hiatus					$128 \pm 64 (2\sigma)$
Top growth band	Section 3				549
9-12.5-14.0-1	11,928 ± 39	$149.3 \pm 1.0$	2.970	131.0	526
9-12.5-14.0-2	11,968 ± 34	$150.7 \pm 1.5$	2.598	28.2	460
Growth hiatus					$110 \pm 32 (2\sigma)$
Top growth band	Section 2				350
9-12.5-14.0-3a	$12,108 \pm 32$	$146.6 \pm 1.2$	2.542	55.0	345
9-12.5-14.0-3b	$12,138 \pm 47$	$148.0 \pm 1.1$	2.590	1925	306
9-12.5-14.0-3c	$12,154 \pm 42$	$152.3 \pm 1.5$	2.543	49.6	297
9-12.5-14.0-4	$12,163 \pm 37$	$148.9 \pm 1.3$	2.691	104.0	251
9-12.5-14.0-5	$12,204 \pm 47$	$146.1 \pm 1.2$	2.570	1015	223
Growth hiatus					$100 \pm 26 (2\sigma)$
Top growth band	Section 1				123
9-12.5-14.0-6a	$12,301 \pm 43$	$150.5 \pm 1.0$	2.520	83.0	121
9-12.5-14.0-6b	$12,362 \pm 30$	$150.5 \pm 1.1$	2.460	165.0	84
9-14.0-15.5-1	$12,364 \pm 34$	149.9 ± 1.6	2.733	674.0	67
9-14.0-15.5-2	$12,425 \pm 46$	$147.4 \pm 1.3$	2.613	1386	31
Basal growth band					1

TABLE 1B. <sup>230</sup>Th ages of Continuous Sections of Coral Based on Weighted Mean Values

Section number	Number of years	Nominal age of oldest year in section $(\pm 2\sigma)$
Section 4	45	$11,764 \pm 58$
Growth hiatus	128	
Section 3	87	11,979 ± 26
<b>Growth hiatus</b>	110	
Section 2	127	12,216 ± 18
Growth hiatus	100	
Section 1	123	12,439 ± 18

# **Comparisons with Other Calibration Data**

Other sources of calibration information with which to compare the *Diploastrea heliopora* record include: 1) other published coral results from Barbados and Mururoa (Bard *et al.* 1990; Bard *et al.* 1993), Papua New Guinea (Edwards *et al.* 1993), and Tahiti (Bard *et al.* 1996); 2) European varved lakes (Wohlfarth 1996; Wohlfarth, Björck and Possnert 1995; Hajdas *et al.* 1993, 1995; Goslar *et al.* 1992, 1995); and 3) marine varved sediments from the Cariaco basin (Hughen *et al.* 1998).

TABLE 2. Radiocarbon results. Decadal averages; weighted means from multiple measurements. The number of annual bands averaged for each result is given as n. Uncertainties are  $2\sigma$  (see text).

1172

12

(yr BP)(yr BP)(% $o$ )n11,73010,189 ± 82162 ± 14411,74010,086 ± 69179 ± 131011,75010,161 ± 76169 ± 141011,77010,370 ± 138142 ± 21211,90010,077 ± 151203 ± 23811,91010,219 ± 59184 ± 91011,92010,195 ± 58189 ± 91011,93010,192 ± 58190 ± 91011,94010,213 ± 70189 ± 11911,95010,234 ± 70187 ± 111011,96010,236 ± 88184 ± 141011,97010,290 ± 59182 ± 91011,98010,357 ± 89173 ± 14412,10010,134 ± 122224 ± 19612,11010,357 ± 66183 ± 101012,12010,364 ± 95192 ± 141012,15010,268 ± 112211 ± 171012,16010,311 ± 59206 ± 91012,16010,379 ± 66199 ± 101012,16010,379 ± 71200 ± 11912,20010,407 ± 84197 ± 131012,21010,475 ± 89219 ± 14612,33010,429 ± 60213 ± 9812,34010,398 ± 84219 ± 131012,35010,483 ± 66208 ± 101012,36010,475 ± 78208 ± 131012,37010,495 ± 78208 ± 131012,38010,511 ± 85 <th></th> <th><sup>230</sup>Th age</th> <th><sup>14</sup>C age</th> <th><math>\Delta^{14}C</math></th> <th></th> <th>-</th>		<sup>230</sup> Th age	<sup>14</sup> C age	$\Delta^{14}C$		-
11,730       10,189 ± 82       162 ± 14       4         11,740       10,086 ± 69       179 ± 13       10         11,750       10,161 ± 76       169 ± 14       10         11,770       10,370 ± 138       142 ± 21       2         11,900       10,077 ± 151       203 ± 23       8         11,910       10,219 ± 59       184 ± 9       10         11,920       10,195 ± 58       189 ± 9       10         11,930       10,192 ± 58       190 ± 9       10         11,930       10,234 ± 70       187 ± 11       10         11,960       10,234 ± 70       187 ± 11       10         11,970       10,290 ± 59       182 ± 9       10         11,970       10,290 ± 59       182 ± 9       10         11,970       10,327 ± 65       196 ± 10       10         12,100       10,357 ± 89       173 ± 14       4         12,101       10,357 ± 66       183 ± 10       10         12,130       10,435 ± 66       183 ± 10       10         12,140       10,407 ± 84       189 ± 13       10         12,150       10,268 ± 112       211 ± 17       10         12,140       10,407 ± 84		(yr BP)	(yr BP)	(‰)	n	
11,740       10,086 $\pm$ 69       179 $\pm$ 13       10         11,750       10,161 $\pm$ 76       169 $\pm$ 14       10         11,770       10,370 $\pm$ 138       142 $\pm$ 21       2         11,900       10,077 $\pm$ 151       203 $\pm$ 23       8         11,910       10,219 $\pm$ 59       184 $\pm$ 9       10         11,920       10,195 $\pm$ 58       190 $\pm$ 9       10         11,920       10,213 $\pm$ 70       189 $\pm$ 11       9         11,940       10,213 $\pm$ 70       187 $\pm$ 11       10         11,960       10,234 $\pm$ 70       187 $\pm$ 11       10         11,960       10,268 $\pm$ 88       184 $\pm$ 14       10         11,970       10,290 $\pm$ 59       182 $\pm$ 9       10         11,970       10,327 $\pm$ 65       196 $\pm$ 10       10         12,100       10,345 $\pm$ 66       183 $\pm$ 10       10         12,120       10,364 $\pm$ 95       192 $\pm$ 14       10         12,120       10,364 $\pm$ 95       192 $\pm$ 14       10         12,130       10,435 $\pm$ 66       183 $\pm$ 10       10         12,140       10,407 $\pm$ 84       189 $\pm$ 13       10         12,140       10,407 $\pm$ 84       11       10	734	11,730	$10,189 \pm 82$	$162 \pm 14$	4	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 12	11,740	$10,086 \pm 69$	$179 \pm 13$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· · · / *	11,750	$10,161 \pm 76$	$169 \pm 14$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,760	$10,308 \pm 77$	$149 \pm 13$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,770	$10,370 \pm 138$	$142 \pm 21$	2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,900	$10.077 \pm 151$	$203 \pm 23$	8	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,910	$10.219 \pm 59$	$184 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,920	$10.195 \pm 58$	$189 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,930	$10.192 \pm 58$	$190 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,940	$10.213 \pm 70$	$189 \pm 11$	9	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11.950	$10.234 \pm 70$	$187 \pm 11$	10	
$\begin{array}{c} 11,970 & 10,290 \pm 59 & 182 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 11,980 & 10,357 \pm 89 & 173 \pm 14 & 4 \\ 12,100 & 10,134 \pm 122 & 224 \pm 19 & 6 \\ 12,110 & 10,327 \pm 65 & 196 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,120 & 10,364 \pm 95 & 192 \pm 14 & 10 \\ 12,130 & 10,435 \pm 66 & 183 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,140 & 10,407 \pm 84 & 189 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,150 & 10,268 \pm 112 & 211 \pm 17 & 10 \\ 12,160 & 10,311 \pm 59 & 206 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 12,170 & 10,342 \pm 71 & 203 \pm 11 & 10 \\ 12,180 & 10,379 \pm 66 & 199 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,200 & 10,407 \pm 84 & 197 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,200 & 10,407 \pm 84 & 197 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,220 & 10,286 \pm 89 & 219 \pm 14 & 6 \\ 12,330 & 10,429 \pm 60 & 213 \pm 9 & 8 \\ 12,340 & 10,398 \pm 84 & 219 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,350 & 10,483 \pm 66 & 208 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,360 & 10,429 \pm 72 & 218 \pm 11 & 10 \\ 12,360 & 10,495 \pm 78 & 209 \pm 12 & 10 \\ 12,380 & 10,511 \pm 85 & 208 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,390 & 10,486 \pm 54 & 213 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 12,400 & 10,517 \pm 55 & 210 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 12,410 & 10,568 \pm 43 & 204 \pm 7 & 10 \\ 12,420 & 10,610 \pm 92 & 199 \pm 14 & 10 \\ 12,430 & 10,616 \pm 61 & 200 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,440 & 10,645 \pm 61 & 197 \pm 10 & 9 \\ \end{array}$		11,960	$10.268 \pm 88$	$184 \pm 14$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11,970	$10.290 \pm 59$	$182 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{c} 12,100 & 10,134 \pm 122 & 224 \pm 19 & 6 \\ 12,110 & 10,327 \pm 65 & 196 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,120 & 10,364 \pm 95 & 192 \pm 14 & 10 \\ 12,130 & 10,435 \pm 66 & 183 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,140 & 10,407 \pm 84 & 189 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,150 & 10,268 \pm 112 & 211 \pm 17 & 10 \\ 12,160 & 10,311 \pm 59 & 206 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 12,170 & 10,342 \pm 71 & 203 \pm 11 & 10 \\ 12,180 & 10,379 \pm 66 & 199 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,190 & 10,379 \pm 71 & 200 \pm 11 & 9 \\ 12,200 & 10,407 \pm 84 & 197 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,210 & 10,410 \pm 78 & 198 \pm 12 & 10 \\ 12,220 & 10,286 \pm 89 & 219 \pm 14 & 6 \\ 12,330 & 10,429 \pm 60 & 213 \pm 9 & 8 \\ 12,340 & 10,398 \pm 84 & 219 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,350 & 10,483 \pm 66 & 208 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,360 & 10,429 \pm 72 & 218 \pm 11 & 10 \\ 12,380 & 10,511 \pm 85 & 208 \pm 13 & 10 \\ 12,390 & 10,486 \pm 54 & 213 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 12,400 & 10,517 \pm 55 & 210 \pm 9 & 10 \\ 12,410 & 10,568 \pm 43 & 204 \pm 7 & 10 \\ 12,420 & 10,610 \pm 92 & 199 \pm 14 & 10 \\ 12,430 & 10,616 \pm 61 & 200 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 12,440 & 10,645 \pm 61 & 197 \pm 10 & 9 & 1 \\ 10,645 \pm 61 & 107 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 10,645 \pm 61 & 100 \pm 10 & 10 \\ 10,645 \pm 61 & 100 \pm 10 &$		11,980	$10,357 \pm 89$	$173 \pm 14$	4	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,100	10,134 + 122	$224 \pm 19$	6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,110	$10.327 \pm 65$	$196 \pm 10$	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,120	$10.364 \pm 95$	$192 \pm 14$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>6</b>	12,120	$10,235 \pm 66$	$183 \pm 10$	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,140	$10.407 \pm 84$	$189 \pm 13$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,150	$10.268 \pm 112$	$211 \pm 17$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,160	$10.311 \pm 59$	$206 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,170	$10.342 \pm 71$	$203 \pm 11$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,180	$10.379 \pm 66$	$199 \pm 10$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,190	$10.379 \pm 71$	$200 \pm 11$	9	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,200	$10,407 \pm 84$	$197 \pm 13$	10	
$12,220   10,286 \pm 89   219 \pm 14   6 \\ 12,330   10,429 \pm 60   213 \pm 9   8 \\ 12,340   10,398 \pm 84   219 \pm 13   10 \\ 12,350   10,483 \pm 66   208 \pm 10   10 \\ 12,360   10,429 \pm 72   218 \pm 11   10 \\ 12,370   10,495 \pm 78   209 \pm 12   10 \\ 12,380   10,511 \pm 85   208 \pm 13   10 \\ 12,390   10,486 \pm 54   213 \pm 9   10 \\ 12,400   10,517 \pm 55   210 \pm 9   10 \\ 12,410   10,568 \pm 43   204 \pm 7   10 \\ 12,420   10,610 \pm 92   199 \pm 14   10 \\ 12,430   10,616 \pm 61   200 \pm 10   10 \\ 12,440   10,645 \pm 61   197 \pm 10   9   IMCAGE$		12,200	10,410 + 78	$198 \pm 12$	10	
$12,330   10,429 \pm 60   213 \pm 9   8 \\ 12,340   10,398 \pm 84   219 \pm 13   10 \\ 12,350   10,483 \pm 66   208 \pm 10   10 \\ 12,360   10,429 \pm 72   218 \pm 11   10 \\ 12,370   10,495 \pm 78   209 \pm 12   10 \\ 12,380   10,511 \pm 85   208 \pm 13   10 \\ 12,390   10,486 \pm 54   213 \pm 9   10 \\ 12,400   10,517 \pm 55   210 \pm 9   10 \\ 12,410   10,568 \pm 43   204 \pm 7   10 \\ 12,420   10,610 \pm 92   199 \pm 14   10 \\ 12,430   10,616 \pm 61   200 \pm 10   10 \\ 12,440   10,645 \pm 61   197 \pm 10   9   IMCAGE$		12,210	10,286 + 89	$219 \pm 14$	6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,330	$10,200 \pm 60$ $10,429 \pm 60$	$213 \pm 9$	8	
$12,350   10,483 \pm 66   208 \pm 10   10 \\ 12,360   10,429 \pm 72   218 \pm 11   10 \\ 12,370   10,495 \pm 78   209 \pm 12   10 \\ 12,380   10,511 \pm 85   208 \pm 13   10 \\ 12,390   10,486 \pm 54   213 \pm 9   10 \\ 12,400   10,517 \pm 55   210 \pm 9   10 \\ 12,410   10,568 \pm 43   204 \pm 7   10 \\ 12,420   10,610 \pm 92   199 \pm 14   10 \\ 12,430   10,616 \pm 61   200 \pm 10   10 \\ 12,440   10,645 \pm 61   197 \pm 10   9   IMCAURE$		12,330	$10,398 \pm 84$	$219 \pm 13$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,310	$10,390 \pm 60$	$208 \pm 10$	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,360	10,429 + 72	$218 \pm 11$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,300	$10,125 \pm 72$ $10,495 \pm 78$	$209 \pm 12$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,370	$10,192 \pm 10$ $10,511 \pm 85$	$208 \pm 13$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,300	$10,311 \pm 0.00$ $10,486 \pm 54$	$213 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,400	$10.517 \pm 55$	$210 \pm 9$	10	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12,100	10,568 + 43	204 + 7	10	
$12,420 = 12,430 = 10,616 \pm 61 = 200 \pm 10 = 10$ $12,440 = 10,645 \pm 61 = 197 \pm 10 = 9  INTEALSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSES$		12,410	$10,610 \pm 92$	199 + 14	10	
$\frac{12,300}{12,440} = \frac{10,610 \pm 01}{10,645 \pm 61} = \frac{200 \pm 10}{197 \pm 10} = 9$ INTERLIGE IC, 1	1440	12,420	$10,010 \pm 92$ 10,616 + 61	$200 \pm 10$	10	
190	1217	12,430	$10,645 \pm 61$	$197 \pm 10$	.9	INTCALGE 12,53
	1090		10,010 - 01			_

Comparisons with previously published coral data are given in Figure 4. The agreement is within errors for all years of overlap. A comparison with European varved lakes (Fig. 5) is less definitive than the comparison with other corals, but the revised Swedish record (Wohlfarth 1996) and Lake Gościąż record (Goslar et al. 1995) both agree with our results, within errors. Other varved lake

#### 1102 G. S. Burr et al.

records from Soppensee (Hajdas *et al.* 1993) and Lake Holzmaar (Hajdas *et al.* 1995) do not agree with our coral chronology, but these European records are currently being revised (I. Hajdas, personal communication). A comparison with the marine varve record from the Cariaco basin (Hughen *et al.* 1998) is shown in Figure 6. The agreement is within errors for all points, but the two records appear to begin to diverge *ca.* 12.5 ka BP. This apparent trend could reflect a real shift in the relative reservoir ages of the two sites. More data from the two records should clarify the extent of the deviation.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This study extends the high-resolution <sup>14</sup>C calibration beyond the current dendrochronological limit and covers most of the period between *ca.* 11.7 and 12.4 calendar ka BP with decadal resolution. It is the first coral study to achieve routine 1% (2 $\sigma$ ) age uncertainties with coral and the first study to exploit growth bands in coral for the purpose of <sup>14</sup>C calibration. The relatively high precision and resolution of this calibration permits a look into the fine structure of the curve during the Younger Dryas climatic episode. The data show that this was a period of large, rapid variations in  $\Delta^{14}$ C superimposed on the drop in  $\Delta^{14}$ C identified by Edwards *et al.* (1993). The data agree with the existing dendrocalibration, European varved records, the marine varved record and existing coral data over this time period.



Fig. 4. Comparison of the coral data from this study with the coral data from Barbados and Mururoa (Bard *et al.* 1993), Papua New Guinea (Edwards *et al.* 1993), and Tahiti (Bard *et al.* 1996). All uncertainties are  $2\sigma$ .



Fig. 5. Comparison of the coral data from this study with European varve data from Sweden (Wohlfarth 1996), and Lake Gościąż, Poland (Goslar *et al.* 1995). All uncertainties are  $2\sigma$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work required substantial field efforts to drill the coral in a remote tropical environment. We are very grateful to Yvan Join, Jean-Louis Laurent, Claude Ihilly (ORSTOM, Nouméa), Paul and Raymond Aroug, Christian Livo, Edwin Tae (Tasmaloum) and Bernard Labrousse (ORSTOM, Villefranche-sur-Mer) for their efforts in drilling and/or logistical support. We also appreciate the assistance of the Vanuatu government for their assistance, including the Public Works Department of Espiritu Santo. We thank the inhabitants of Pakataora, Vounapissu and Vimala for their kind cooperation. We thank Claude Reichenfeld, Michel Lardy (ORTSOM), and Michel Noel (Luganville, Espiritu Santo) for assistance in field preparations. A special thanks is extended to Kirsten Cutler for reviewing the manuscript. We are grateful to Art Hatheway and Dana Biddulph for technical assistance in the <sup>14</sup>C measurements and Wes Bilodeau for X-ray analyses. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (OCE-9402693, OCE-9500647, OCE-9501580, OCE-9503256, EAR-9508413, EAR-8904987, EAR-9512334, EAR-9406183, ATM-8922114), NOAA (NAS6QP0381) and by ORSTOM. This is contribution #1286 of the Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin.



Fig. 6. Comparison of the coral data from this study with Cariaco basin marine varve data (Hughen *et al.* 1998). All uncertainties are  $2\sigma$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Barbetti, M., Bird, T., Dolezal, G., Taylor, G., Francey, R., Cook, E. and Peterson, M. 1992 Radiocarbon variations from Tasmanian conifers: First results from late Pleistocene and Holocene logs. *In* Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Proceedings of the 14th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 34(3): 806–817.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Arnold, M., Montaggioni, L., Cabioch, G., Faure, G. and Rougerie, F. 1996 Deglacial sea-level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater discharge. *Nature* 382: 241–244.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Fairbanks, R. G. and Hamelin, B. 1993 <sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>234</sup>U and <sup>14</sup>C ages obtained by mass spectrometry on corals. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 191–199.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Fairbanks, R. G. and Zindler, A. 1990 Calibration of the <sup>14</sup>C timescale over the past 30,000 years using mass spectrometric U-Th ages from Barbados corals. *Nature* 345: 405–410.
- Broecker, W. S. 1963 A preliminary evaluation of uranium series inequilibrium as a tool for absolute age measurements on marine carbonates. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 68: 2817–2834.
- Broecker, W. S., and Thurber, D. L. 1965 Uranium series

dating of corals and oolites from Bahaman and Florida Key limestones. A preliminary evaluation of uranium series inequilibrium as a tool for absolute age measurements on marine carbonates. *Science* 149: 58–60.

- Brown, T. A., Farwell, G. W., Grootes, P. M., Schmidt, F. H. and Stuiver, M. 1993 Intra-annual variability of the radiocarbon content of corals from the Galapagos Islands. *Radiocarbon* 35(2): 245–251.
- Burr, G. S., Edwards, R. L., Donahue, D. J., Druffel, E. R. M. and Taylor, F. W. 1992 Mass spectrometric <sup>14</sup>C and U-Th measurements in coral. *In* Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Proceedings of the 14th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 34(3): 611–618.
- Cabioch, G., Taylor, F. W., Récy, J., Edwards, R. L., Gray, S. C., Faure, G., Burr, G. S. and Corrège, T. 1997 Environmental and tectonic influences on growth and internal structure of a fringing reef at Tasmaloum (SW Espiritu Santo, New Hebrides island arc, SW Pacific). Special Publication of the International Association of Sedimentologists (IAS) 25: 261–277.
- Donahue, D. J., Jull, A. J. T. and Toolin, L. J. 1990 Radiocarbon measurements at the University of Arizona AMS facility. *In* Yiou, R. and Raisbeck, G. M., eds., Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on

Accelerator Mass Spectrometry. *Nuclear Instruments* and Methods in Physics Research B52: 224–228.

- Edwards, R. L., Chen, J. H. and Wasserburg, G. J. 1987 <sup>238</sup>U-<sup>234</sup>U-<sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>232</sup>Th systematics and the precise measurement of time over the past 500,000 years. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 81: 175–192.
- Edwards, R. L., Beck, J. W., Burr, G. S., Donahue, D. J., Chappell, J. M. A., Bloom, A. L., Druffel, E. R. M. and Taylor, F. W. 1993 A large drop in atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/ <sup>12</sup>C and reduced melting in the Younger Dryas, documented with <sup>230</sup>Th ages of corals. *Science* 260: 962– 968.
- Goslar, T., Arnold, M., Bard, E., Kuc, T., Pazdur, M. F., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., Różanski, K., Tisnerat, N., Walanus, A., Wicik, B. and Więckowski, K. 1995 High concentration of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C during the Younger Dryas cold episode. *Nature* 377: 414–417.
- Goslar, T., Kuc, T., Pazdur, M. F., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., Różanski, K., Szeroczyńa, K., Walnus, A., Wicik, B., Więckowski, K., Arnold, M., and Bard, E. 1992 Possibilities for reconstructing radiocarbon level changes during the Late Glacial by using a laminated sequence of Gościąż Lake. *In* Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Proceedings of the 14th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 34(3): 826–832.
- Goslar, T., Hercman, H., Lauritzen, S.-E., and Pazdur, A. (ms.) 1997 Comparison of radiocarbon and U/Th dates of speliothems. Paper presented at the 16th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference, Groningen, June 1997.
- Hajdas, I., and Bonani, G. (ms.) 1997 A rise in the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C content at 11,000 BP a World-wide marker for the onset of the Younger Dryas. Paper presented at the 16th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference, Groningen, June 1997.
- Hajdas, I., Ivy, S. D., Beer, J., Bonani, G., Imboden, D., Lotter, A. F., Sturm, M. and Suter, M. 1993 AMS radiocarbon dating and varve chronology of Lake Soppensee: 6000 to 12000 <sup>14</sup>C years BP. *Climate Dynamics* 9: 107–116.
- Hajdas, I., Zolitschka, B., Ivy-Ochs, S. D., Beer, J., Bonani, G., Leroy, S. A. G., Negendank, J. W., Ramrath, M. and Suter, M. 1995 AMS radiocarbon dating of annually laminated sediments from Lake Holzmaar, Germany. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 14: 137–143.
- Hughen, K. A., Overpeck, J. T., Lehman, S. J., Kashgarian, M., Southon, J., Peterson, L. C., Alley, R. and Sig-

man, D. M. 1998 Deglacial changes in ocean circulation from an extended radiocarbon calibration. *Nature* 391: 65–68.

- Knutson, D. W., Buddemeier, R. W. and Smith, S. V. 1972 Coral chronometers: Seasonal growth bands in reef corals. *Science* 177: 270–272.
- Kromer, B. and Becker, B. 1993 German oak and pine <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 7200–9439 BC. *In Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon* 35(1): 125–135.
- Kromer, B. and Spurk, M. 1998 Revision and tentative extension of the tree-ring based <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 9200– 11,855 cal BP. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Richards, D. A., Beck, W., Burr, G. S., Donahue, D. J., Smart, P. L. and Edwards, R. L. 1997 Calibration of radiocarbon timescale from 13 to 50 kA using <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>230</sup>Th ages of speleothems from the Bahamas. *EOS Transactions* 78(46): 389.
- Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds. 1993 Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon 35(1): 1–244.
- Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A. 1977 Reporting of <sup>14</sup>C data. *Radiocarbon* 19(3): 355–363.
- Taylor, F. W., Frohlich, C., Lecolle, J. and Strecker, M. 1987 Analysis of partially emerged corals and reef terraces in the central Vanuatu arc: Comparison of contemporary coseismic and nonseismic with Quaternary vertical movements. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 92: 4905–4933.
- Tuniz, C., Fink, D., Hotchkis, M. A. C., Jacobsen, G. E., Lawson, E. M., Smith, A. M. and Hua, Q. 1997 Research and measurement program at the ANTARES AMS facility. *Nuclear Istruments and Methods in Physics Research* B123: 73–78.
- Vogel, J. C., and Kronfeld, J. 1997 Calibration of radiocarbon dates for the Late Pleistocene using U/Th dates on stalagmites. *Radiocarbon* 39(1): 27–32.
- Wohlfarth, B. 1996 The chronology of the Last Termination: A review of radiocarbon-dated, high-resolution terrestrial stratigraphies. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 15: 267–284.
- Wohlfarth, B., Björck, S., and Possnert, G. 1995. The Swedish Time Scale: A potential calibration tool for the radiocarbon time scale during the Late Weichselian. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 347–359.

# REVISIONS AND EXTENSION OF THE HOHENHEIM OAK AND PINE CHRONOLOGIES: NEW EVIDENCE ABOUT THE TIMING OF THE YOUNGER DRYAS/PREBOREAL TRANSITION

# MARCO SPURK,<sup>1</sup> MICHAEL FRIEDRICH,<sup>1</sup> JUTTA HOFMANN,<sup>1</sup> SABINE REMMELE,<sup>1</sup> BURKHARD FRENZEL,<sup>1</sup> HANNS HUBERT LEUSCHNER<sup>2</sup> and BERND KROMER<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. Oak and pine samples housed at the Institute of Botany, University of Hohenheim, are the backbone of the early Holocene part of the radiocarbon calibration curve, published in 1993 (Becker 1993; Kromer and Becker 1993; Stuiver and Becker 1993; Vogel *et al.* 1993). Since then the chronologies have been revised. The revisions include 1) the discovery of 41 missing years in the oak chronology and 2) a shift of 54 yr for the oldest part back into the past. The oak chronology was also extended with new samples as far back as 10,429 BP (8480 BC). In addition, the formerly tentatively dated pine chronology (Becker 1993) has been rebuilt and shifted to an earlier date. It is now positioned by <sup>14</sup>C matching at 11,871–9900 BP (9922– 7951 BC) with an uncertainty of ±20 yr (Kromer and Spurk 1998). With these new chronologies the <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve can now be corrected, eliminating the discrepancy in the dating of the Younger Dryas/Preboreal transition between the proxy data of the GRIP and GISP ice cores (Johnsen *et al.* 1992; Taylor *et al.* 1993), the varve chronology of Lake Gościaż (Goslar *et al.* 1995) and the pine chronology (Becker, Kromer and Trimborn 1991).

# INTRODUCTION

After Bernd Becker's death in February 1994, we tried to close the so-called "Hallstatt gap", which was a gap in Hohenheim chronology as it existed in 1993. To accomplish this, the authors at Hohenheim checked the correctness of each single sample of the Hohenheim chronology, using modern methods to check their dating. If there was reason to doubt the correctness of the ring-width pattern originally measured, the samples were measured anew. This task was supplemented by an identification of trees with growth disturbances caused by insect damage; such trees were excluded from the chronology. This triple check, and a comparison with the Göttingen oak chronology, confirmed Becker's work for the most part but also located two weak points in the oak chronology, and one in the Preboreal pine chronology. These minor revisions in the two long Hohenheim chronology by almost 500 yr, resulted in a new link to the Preboreal pine chronology. Both the extension and the revisions are explained in this paper.

# COMPARISON OF HOHENHEIM AND GÖTTINGEN CHRONOLOGIES: THE 41-YR SHIFT AT 5242 BC

The two South German oak chronologies from Hohenheim and Göttingen were constructed based on wood collected during the last 30 years (Leuschner 1992; Becker 1993). Most of the wood for both chronologies comes from gravel in the bed of the Main River, near the Franconian town of Bamberg. The chronologies were established independently of one another in most parts. A comparison of both chronologies revealed a 41-yr offset in the pre-5242 BC part. Detailed investigations showed that these 41 years were missing between 5242 and 5283 BC (7191 and 7232 BP)<sup>4</sup> from the Hohenheim chronology as published in 1993. The difficulty was caused by two samples labeled Sand 29 and Sand 33, which had been measured erroneously. After renewed measurements of these samples and the reestablishment of the pre-5100 BC section independently from the Göttingen chronology, formerly undated tree sections covering the missing years were located and inserted into the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Hohenheim, Institute of Botany, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>University of Göttingen, Albrecht-v.-Haller-Institute for Plant Sciences, D-37075 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Heidelberg Academy of Sciences, Institute of Environmental Physics, INF 366, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$ Conversion from BC-age to BP-age: BP-age = BC-age + 1950 - 1. (The 1 must be subtracted due to the lack of the year zero

in the BC time scale.) All BP ages in this paper represent real calendar years unless otherwise indicated.

#### 1108 M. Spurk et al.

Hohenheim chronology. This resulted in a perfect ring-width synchronization of the Hohenheim to the Göttingen oak chronologies back to the end of the absolute Göttingen chronology at 7197 BC (9147 BP) (Leuschner 1992) (Fig. 1). The pre-7197 BC part of the Hohenheim chronology also displays perfect synchronization to a 578-yr-long floating sequence in the Göttingen chronology. Both long oak chronologies from Germany are now, for the first time, mutually corroborative back to 7736 BC (9685 BP).



Fig. 1. Similarity of the Göttingen and Hohenheim chronologies in 1993 (State '93) and in 1997 (State '97) after the correction of the Hohenheim chronology. The statistical parameters after the correction are: Gleichläufigkeit = 77.9%, t-value<sub>Baillie</sub> = 82.0.

### BRIDGING THE WEAK POINT AT 7800 BC: THE 54-YR SHIFT

All samples from the rivers Main, Regnitz and Naab (Main River chronology) were reexamined, disclosing a weak point at 7800 BC. During this period, the overlap was too short and the number of samples too low to qualify as a dendromatch. The overlap of the older "floating" part with the younger absolute oak chronology was only 35 yr long. Becker (1993) tried to improve this situation using the tree sample "Stettfeld 181", but this synchronization was not convincing and the tree was removed from the chronology. As a consequence, the pre-7792 BC section of the chronology, which had formerly been absolutely dated, was declared to be a floating 230-yr-long oak section (Kromer *et al.* 1996).

During the work reported here, four trees were found bridging this gap. The 210-yr-long chronology of these trees, labeled "bridging section", displays convincing similarity to the absolute part of the chronology and to the floating section, when the floating section is shifted back 54 yr (Fig. 2). The combination of the 41-yr and the 54-yr shifts pushes the oldest samples of the previously published



Fig. 2. Bridging the weak point at 7792 BC with a group of four trees (bridging section). The floating section has to be shifted by 54 yr to older ages (95 yr if the 41-yr shift at 5242 BC is included). Statistical parameters: bridging section/floating section, Gleichläufigkeit = 72%, t-value<sub>Baillie</sub> = 5.2; bridging section/absolutely dated oak-chronology, Gleichläufigkeit: 68%, t-value<sub>Baillie</sub>: 6.6.

oak chronology back to 8117 BC. Accordingly, the absolute oak chronology started at 8117 BC (10,066 BP).

With these errors recognized, the dendroscale of the Hohenheim oak chronology and consequently the <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve has to be revised. The previously published pre-5242 BC (pre-7191 BP) <sup>14</sup>C calibration must be shifted by 41 yr (Kromer *et al.* 1996) and the pre-7792 BC (pre-9741 BP) <sup>14</sup>C calibration must be shifted by 95 yr (41+54) to older ages. Both corrections solved hitherto existing problems in the <sup>14</sup>C calibration described in Kromer and Spurk (1998). Newly dated samples with shifts differing from the 41-yr or 54-yr shifts are labeled in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Numbers of the Oak Samples with Shifts Differing from the 41-yr or 54-yr Shifts

Heidelberg Lab No.	Shift to older ages
8510, 8511, 8518, 8519, 8524, 8525, 8544	11 yr
8141, 8140, 8144, 8244	30 yr

#### **EXTENSION OF THE OAK CHRONOLOGY BACK TO 8480 BC**

Besides those already mentioned, new trees were found that enabled an extension of the absolutely dated oak chronology back to 8480 BC (10,429 BP). This was done in two steps. First, a tree was found that fitted onto the end of the absolute oak chronology and extended it back to 8239 BC (10,188 BP). Second, a 507-yr-long floating chronology from the upper Rhine valley (Rhine chronology 9b) was linked to the absolute part of the chronology, which was established primarily with wood from the Main River. Here, key positions are occupied by three trees (Rhine chronology 9a) showing perfect ring width synchronization to the absolute part, as well as to the Rhine chronology 9b (Fig. 3). As a result, the Rhine chronologies 9a and 9b were absolutely dated, extending the absolute oak chronology back to 8480 BC (10,429 BP).



Fig. 3. Extension of the absolute oak chronology with samples from the Rhine River back to 8480 BC. A group of 3 trees (Rhine Chronology 9a) could be dated with the absolute Main chronology. This group perfectly matches the 507-yr-long floating sequence from the Rhine River (Rhine chronology 9b), thus anchoring this sequence.

Matching  ${}^{14}C$  data of decadal samples from the oak extension to those of Preboreal pine resulted in a new, reliable  ${}^{14}C$  link between the absolute oak chronology and the Preboreal pine chronology (see next section).

# LINKING THE PREBOREAL PINE CHRONOLOGY WITH THE OAK CHRONOLOGY

In 1993 the Preboreal pine chronology (PPC) was dated by <sup>14</sup>C and tentatively linked to the oak chronology by B. Becker (1993). In 1996 this tentative link was revised by the authors. Detailed investigation into the long-term <sup>14</sup>C trend and the absence of convincing dendrochronological simi-

larity between the two chronologies resulted in a 120-yr backward shift of the PPC, with a confidence interval of  $ca. \pm 80$  yr (Björck *et al.* 1996).

Now that the oak chronology starts prior to the <sup>14</sup>C plateau at 8800 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP, it displays the sharp <sup>14</sup>C age increase between 8900 and 9200 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP, which can be seen in the <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve of the PPC (Kromer and Spurk 1998). By wiggle-matching the <sup>14</sup>C pattern in both chronologies, the PPC can now be linked very reliably, resulting in a PPC interval of 9922–7951 BC (11,871–9900 BP)<sup>5</sup> with an uncertainty of  $\pm 20$  yr only.

A dendrochronological linkage of the two chronologies is in preparation, but the realization is problematic due to the diversity of species originating from different rivers (the PPC is established mainly with wood from the Danube River). Even if this attempted linkage proves to be unfeasible, the Hohenheim chronologies provide a high-resolution time scale for nearly the last 12,000 years.

#### **REVISION OF THE PREBOREAL PINE CHRONOLOGY**

In 1997, when the PPC was established anew, a weak period between 9350 and 9250 BC (11,299 and 11,199 BP) became apparent, dividing the PPC into an older and a younger part. In the "weak" period the growth of the trees was very strongly disturbed, resulting in missing rings. In some trees no ring was formed for 3 to 5 consecutive years. The older part and the younger part of the PPC could be joined, however, by a tentative dendro-link. This required shifting the older part 31 yr to older ages with respect to the 1993 stage. In terms of dendrochronology this linkage is considerably better than the earlier link, but it still has to be confirmed by additional trees (Fig. 4). The younger part of the PPC reaches from 7951 BC to 9375 BC (9900 to 11,324 BP) and the older part from 9222 to 9922 BC (11,171 to 11,871 BP).

 $^{14}$ C measurements at Heidelberg support this tentative link. They connect the younger and the older part at exactly the position of the dendro-synchronization (Fig. 5). We therefore continue to use the PPC as a single chronology, based on the tentative link of the older and younger part (Fig. 6).

With respect to the absolute time scale of the PPC as previously published (Becker 1993; Kromer and Becker 1993), the internal revisions of the PPC result in time shifts of differing amounts, all to older ages (Table 2).

## TIMING OF THE YOUNGER DRYAS/PREBOREAL TRANSITION

With the new dating of the PPC there is now evidence that the transition of the Younger Dryas to the Preboreal is reflected in the ring width of the pines (Björck *et al.* 1996). In the oldest part of the pine chronology the ring width is very narrow and the rings appear similar to those of pines from the alpine timberline, where summer temperature is the growth-limiting factor (Schweingruber, Briffa and Jones 1991). At  $11,530 \pm 20$  BP the ring width suddenly doubles, indicating better growing conditions. The trees growing in this manner were found at six different sites spread over >70 km, excluding the possibility of a local event. Better growing conditions could be caused by better water supply or higher temperatures, or a combination of both. This implies a climatic change in South Germany at  $11,530 \pm 20$  BP, which can be related to the Younger Dryas/Preboreal transition. In the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>At the 16th International Radiocarbon Conference in Groningen an age of 9952 to 8012 BC and a shift of 304 yr was presented. Both figures need to be corrected. In the first place, a change resulted when the PPC was newly established after the conference. Second, the incorrect labeling of some samples sent to Heidelberg entailed an incorrect shape for the <sup>14</sup>C curve of the PPC, which was used to create the linkage with the absolute oak chronology.



Fig. 4. Tentative ring-width linkage of the younger and the older part of the PPC. (Gleichläufigkeit = 62%, t-value<sub>Baillie</sub> = 3.0). This linkage needs to be confirmed by additional trees. The growth of the trees is disturbed and rings are missing, complicating the linkage of both parts. The synchronization is supported by the <sup>14</sup>C wiggle-matching.

Greenland ice cores the rapid transition of the  $\delta^{18}$ O data took place at 11,550 ± 90 BP (GRIP: Johnsen *et al.* 1992) and 11,640 ± 240 BP (GISP2: Taylor *et al.* 1993), respectively. At 11,440 ± 120 BP there is an abrupt increase of  $\delta^{18}$ O in the Lake Gościąż data record, combined with changes in terrestrial and lacustrine vegetation (Goslar, Arnold and Pazdur 1995). Furthermore, when the  $\delta^{18}$ O record of the Lake Gościąż is related to the PPC by <sup>14</sup>C wiggle-matching, the increase of the  $\delta^{18}$ O and the increase in ring width takes place at the same time (T. Goslar, personal communication). Records from Europe now match well, and taking into account the uncertainty of the time scales, it is possible that the Younger Dryas/Preboreal transition in Greenland and Europe occurred simultaneously.

#### CONCLUSION

The comparison of the two long South German oak chronologies entailed a revision of the pre-5242 BC part of the Hohenheim chronologies but also confirmed the time scale back to 7792 BC. The reexamination of the pre-5100 BC samples and the reestablishing of the Hohenheim oak chronology resulted, moreover, in an extension of the oak chronology back to 8480 BC. This enabled a <sup>14</sup>C linkage of the Preboreal pine chronology with an uncertainty of  $\pm 20$  yr, whereby the pine chronology was shifted to older ages. The PPC was established anew resulting in a younger and an older part,

1995, KI		Decker		<u>, 1</u>			
Lab				Lab	_		150
code	cal BC		cal BC	code	cal BC		CalBC
(Hd-)	1993	Shift	1998	(Hd-)	1993	Shift	1998
12511	_0/30	-231	-9670	9005	-8728	-200	-8928
12512	0420	_231	-9660	8826	-8723	-200	-8923
12525	0400	_231	-9640	8835	-8708	-200	-8908
13525	-9409	-231	-9620	9865	-8855	-42	-8897
10567	0285	-231	-9606	8836	-8693	-200	-8893
10569	-9365	-221	-9576	8867	-8678	-200	-8878
10308	-9333	-221	-9554	8868	-8663	-200	-8863
12000	-9323	-231	-9540	8876	-8648	-200	-8848
12943	0304	-231	-9535	8877	-8633	-205	-8838
12939	0280	-231	_9535	8889	-8618	-200	-8818
12900	-9209	-231	_0510	8890	-8603	-205	-8808
12964	-92/9	-231	-9310	8011	-8573	-200	-8773
14220	-9234	-231	-9465	8004	-8558	-200	-8758
14159	-9229	-231	-9400	8005	-8543	-200	-8743
12999	-9219	-231	-9450	8905	-8525	-203	-8728
13000	-9199	-231	-9430	8057	-8508	-200	-8708
12967	-9194	-231	-9423	8078	-8495	-200	-8695
12968	-9184	-231	-9415	8070	-8473	-200	-8673
12981	-91/4	-231	-9405	0026	-8468	-200	-8668
12982	-9159	-251	-9390	8071	-8458	-200	-8658
9097	-9159	-214	-9373	0007	-8453	-200	-8653
9098	-9144	-214	-9330	9007	-8435	_200	-8635
9118	-9127	-214	-9341	0064	-8433	_200	-8633
9119	-9107	-214	-9521	015/	-8418	-200	-8618
9126	-908/	-214	-9301	0160	-8403	-200	-8603
9127	-9007	-214	-9201	0161	-8388	-200	-8588
9134	-9047	-211	-9230	0101	-8373	-200	-8573
9810	-9042	-200	-9240	0102	_8358	-200	-8558
9969	-9038	-205	-9245	0100	-8345	-200	-8545
9135	-9022	-214	-9230	10001	-8335	-200	-8535
9811	-9026	-206	-9232	10001	-8315	-200	-8515
9970	-9015	-200	-9221	10101	-0315	200	-8505
9153	-9002	-214	-9210	10191	-8205	-200	-8495
9807	-9007	-206	-9215	10101	-8285	-201	-8486
9808	-8991	-205	-9190	10004	-8275	-201	-8476
13009	-8989	-205	0190	10010	-8255	-201	-8456
9830	-8983	-200	-9109	10010	-8235	-201	-8436
13010	-89/4	-203	-9179	10035	-8215	-201	-8416
9857	-0972	-200	-0150	10036	-8195	-201	-8396
13039	-8934	-205	-9139	10090	-8175	-201	-8376
13087	-8930	-205	-9141	10001	-8145	-201	-8346
13088	-8800	-200	-9000	10007	-8135	-201	-8336
13094	-8844	-200	-9044	10097	-8115	-201	-8316
13016	-8829	-200	-9029	10115	-8095	-201	-8296
13017	-00UY	-200	-9009	10337	-8085	-200	-8285
13018	-0194	-200	-808/	10116	-8075	-200	-8275
14231	-0/04	-200	-8067	10338	-8065	-200	-8265
9844	-0923	-42	-8957	9769	-7833	-243	-8076
9833	0001	-42	-8033				
9804	-0071	-42	-0755	1			

TABLE 2. Ages and the corresponding shifts of the PPC samples. "cal BC 1993" refers to the age from the previous publications (Becker 1993; Kromer and Becker 1993), "cal BC 1998" to that from 1998.



Fig. 5. <sup>14</sup>C measurements confirm the tentative linkage of the older and younger part of the PPC

which were tentatively linked together. As a consequence, the previously published <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve has to be revised prior to 5242 BC according to the corrections of the Hohenheim chronologies.

With these revisions, the Hohenheim chronologies provide a high-resolution time scale for nearly the last 12,000 years. The new situation has enabled the resolution of apparent discrepancies with other long data records involving the timing of the Younger Dryas/Preboreal transition.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is dedicated to Bernd Becker, who was sadly unable to complete his work during his lifetime. The dendrochronological research was supported by the European Commission (ENV4-CT95-0127-PL951087) and the BMBF (Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, 07VKV/01A-21178.3/3). We also thank Sandra Hauser, Sabine Schmidt, Claudia Schuster and Silke Wurst for precise ring-width measurements.



Fig. 6. Range of the Hohenheim chronologies before (state '93) and after (state '97) the revisions and extensions (thicklined rectangles with various fill patterns = oaks, thin-lined rectangles with vertical fill = pines). The revisions of the oak chronology are shown in three segments. The youngest segment is shifted by 41 yr (crosshatched fill); the middle one by 54 yr (diagonal fill). As a result of these shifts the earliest segment is moved by 95 yr (diagonal fill). The chronologies from the Main River (RiM) and Rhine River (RiR) are synchronized, extending the oak chronology back to 8480 BC. The pine chronology is linked to the absolutely dated oak chronology by <sup>14</sup>C measurements with an uncertainty of ±20 yr. The PPC is divided into an older and a younger part that are synchronized tentatively. The Göttingen chronology and the Hohenheim chronology are mutually corroborative back to 7736 BC.

#### REFERENCES

- Becker, B. 1993 An 11,000-year German oak and pine dendrochronology for radiocarbon calibration. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 201–213.
- Becker, B., Kromer, B. and Trimborn, P. 1991 A stableisotope tree-ring timescale of the Late Glacial/Holocene boundary. *Nature* 353: 647–649.
- Björck, S., Kromer, B., Johnsen, S., Bennike, O., Hammarlund, D., Lemdahl, G., Possnert, G., Rasmussen, T. L., Wohlfarth, B., Hammer, C. U. and Spurk, M. 1996 Synchronized terrestrial-atmospheric Deglacial records around the North Atlantic. *Science* 274: 1155– 1160.
- Goslar, T., Arnold, M., Bard, E., Kuc, T., Pazdur, M. F., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., Różanski, K., Tisnerat, N., Walanus, A., Wicik, B. and Więckowski, K. 1995 High concentration of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C during the Younger Dryas cold episode. *Nature* 377: 414–417.

- Goslar, T., Arnold, M. and Pazdur, M. F. 1995 The Younger Dryas cold event – was it synchronous over the North Atlantic region? *Radiocarbon* 37(1): 63–70.
- Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Dansgaard, W., Fuhrer, K., Gundestrup, N., Hammer, C. U., Iversen, P., Jouzel, J., Stauffer, B. and Steffensen, J. P. 1992 Irregular glacial interstadials recorded in a new Greenland ice core. *Nature* 359: 311–313.
- Kromer, B., Ambers, J., Baillie, M. G. L., Damon, P. E., Hessheimer, V., Hofmann, J., Jöris, O., Levin, I., Manning, S. W., McCormac, F. G., van der Plicht, J., Spurk, M., Stuiver, M. and Weninger, B. 1996 Report: Summary of the workshop "Aspects of High-Precision Radiocarbon Calibration". *Radiocarbon* 38(3): 607–610.
- Kromer, B. and Becker, B. 1993 German oak and pine <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 7200–9439 BC. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon*

35(1): 125-135.

- Kromer, B. and Spurk, M. 1998 Revision and tentative extension of the tree-ring based <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 9200–11,855 cal BP. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Leuschner, H.-H. 1992 Subfossil Trees. In Tree Rings and Environment: Proceedings of the International Dendrochronological Symposium. Lundqua Report 34: 193–197.
- Schweingruber, F. H., Briffa, K. R. and Jones, P. D. 1991 Yearly maps of summer temperatures in Western Europe from A.D. 1750 to 1975 and western north America from 1600 to 1982. *Vegetatio* 92: 5–71.
- Stuiver, M. and Becker, B. 1993 High-precision decadal

calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950– 6000 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 35–65.

- Taylor, K. C., Hammer, C. U., Alley, R. B., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Gow, A. J., Gundestrup, N. S., Kipfstuhl, J., Moore, J. C. and Waddington, E. D. 1993 Electrical conductivity measurements from the GISP2 and GRIP Greenland ice cores. *Nature* 366: 549–552.
- Vogel, J. C., Fuls, A., Visser, E. and Becker, B. 1993 Pretoria calibration curve for short-lived samples, 1930– 3350 BC. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 73–86.

# **REVISION AND TENTATIVE EXTENSION OF THE TREE-RING BASED <sup>14</sup>C** CALIBRATION, 9200–11,855 CAL BP

## BERND KROMER

Heidelberg Academy of Sciences, Institute of Environmental Physics, INF 366 D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

and

#### MARCO SPURK

Institute of Botany, University of Hohenheim 210, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

**ABSTRACT.** We report radiocarbon calibration data based on the revised German oak and pine series. The age range of the absolutely dated German oak series has been extended to 10,430 cal BP. The German pine series is tentatively linked to the oak series by <sup>14</sup>C, and now reaches back to 11,871 cal BP ( $\pm 20$  yr). The revisions of the tree-ring time scale of the German oak chronology solved long-standing apparent discrepancies in the mid-Holocene <sup>14</sup>C calibration data sets. The calibration data set based on the floating German pine is now in close agreement with the Preboreal part of <sup>14</sup>C calibration series obtained from most varve chronologies and corals.

## INTRODUCTION

We previously published <sup>14</sup>C calibration data sets based on the German oak and pine series (Kromer and Becker 1993) reaching back to 11,500 cal BP. At that time the absolute time scale of the German pine chronology was based on a tentative tree-ring synchronization to the German oak master (Becker 1993). Since then, the tree-ring scale of both the German oak and the link to the pine has had to be revised (Spurk *et al.* 1998). We present the revised data sets for both series (Tables 1 and 2, following References) and discuss their implications for the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C level at the transition from the Younger Dryas to the Preboreal and into the Boreal. Both chronologies were extended by findings made since 1993. For these intervals additional <sup>14</sup>C calibration dates are reported here.

#### <sup>14</sup>C-DATED TREE-RING SERIES

#### German Oak

The tree-ring scale of the German oak chronology (Becker 1993) had to be revised at two intervals: at 7190 cal BP and 9740 cal BP (Spurk *et al.* 1998) rings were missing in the chronology. The corrections lead to shifts of 41 and 54 yr, respectively, to older ages. The error at 7190 cal BP has been noted already in the comparison of <sup>14</sup>C data sets (Stuiver and Pearson 1993; McCormac, Baillie and Pilcher 1995). Its correction also solved another apparent discrepancy: in 1986 the German oak in the 6th to the 8th millennia was floating but was wiggle-matched to the bristlecone pine (Linick, Suess and Becker 1985; Kromer *et al.* 1986; Stuiver *et al.* 1986), resulting in a zero-point range of 7190–7230 BC. When it was later synchronized dendrochronologically to the younger absolute oak chronology, the zero point became 7177 BC, raising suspicion of a true offset in the <sup>14</sup>C ages between the bristlecone pine and the German oak. After application of the 41-yr correction at 7150 cal BP, the two data sets are now fully compatible. The correction at 9740 cal BP solved an apparent offset in the German oak sections measured in Belfast (Pearson, Becker and Qua 1993) from those measured in Heidelberg (F. G. McCormac, personal communication).

Through new findings and synchronization of previously floating sections, the German oak chronology was extended by more than four centuries and now reaches back to 10,430 cal BP (Spurk *et al.* 

### 1118 B. Kromer and M. Spurk

1998). The <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve (Fig. 1) shows a pronounced and rapid transition from <sup>14</sup>C ages of 9200 BP to 8900 BP around 10,200 cal BP, which is now part of the oak chronology. This "marker" is used to constrain the absolute age of the floating German pine chronology with respect to the absolutely dated oak chronology.

#### 1120 B. Kromer and M. Spurk



Fig. 3. Smoothed <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve (FFT filter, 40-yr low pass) and  $\Delta^{14}$ C (---) (Stuiver and Pollach 1977) as derived from the German oak and pine series.

Obvious candidates for causes of the variable <sup>14</sup>C level are changes in ocean ventilation and <sup>14</sup>C production changes, *e.g.*, by solar activity variability (Stuiver and Braziunas 1993). The improved absolute age control and the high resolution of tree-ring based  $\Delta^{14}$ C reconstruction will now allow a better discrimination among forcing and response mechanisms as seen in the atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C.

# <sup>14</sup>C PATTERNS IN THE LATE YOUNGER DRYAS

We obtained <sup>14</sup>C data from two floating sections predating the German pine series. 1) At d'Olon, east of the Lake of Geneva, Switzerland, a 340-ring *Larix* section was found and submitted by J. P. Hurni, Moudon. The <sup>14</sup>C sequence (Fig. 4, Table 3)—overlapping in <sup>14</sup>C age with the German pine for the youngest rings—documents a straight relation between <sup>14</sup>C age and true years. 2) From the lignite area close to Cottbus, East Germany, we obtained a large quantity of pine sections. The <sup>14</sup>C

Lab code (Hd-)	Center ring	<sup>14</sup> C BP	Lab code (Hd-)	Center ring	<sup>14</sup> C BP
16184 16185 16847 16825 16641 16823	15 35 50 70 90 110	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	17325 16779 16812 16866 16824	150 230 270 328 330	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

TABLE 3. <sup>14</sup>C ages of the floating VOD 505 chronology (Rhone River, Geneva, Switzerland)

we allow for additional error components, *e.g.*, arising from wood splitting or unequal spacing of the pine samples (most notable in the interval 10,200 to 10,230 cal BP), the German pine chronology is now fixed absolutely to the oak with an uncertainty better than  $\pm 20$  yr. All <sup>14</sup>C pine data as reported below are based on this match.

# Calibration Curve and Atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C Levels in the Age Range 9400 to 11,855 cal BP

The calibration curve based on the German pine is shown in Figure 2. Strong departures from a steady-state <sup>14</sup>C level are noted. A smoothed version of Figure 2 (FFT-smoothing with a 40-yr cutoff) and the  $\Delta^{14}$ C level as calculated from the smoothed data are shown in Figure 3.

Superimposed on a continuously declining long-term trend are century-scale peaks of <sup>14</sup>C rising by up to 30% above the long-term mean. Following the end of the Younger Dryas (11,650–11,550 cal BP) we observe a decline of  $\Delta^{14}$ C, followed by a strong century-scale peak in the early Preboreal. This oscillation is synchronous to the evidence of Preboreal cooling documented in the stable isotope data of the Greenland ice cores and in mid-latitude archives, as discussed in detail elsewhere (Björck *et al.* 1996). The transition from the Preboreal to the Boreal chronozone, roughly coincident with the suppression of pine by oak in the river valleys of Southern Germany, is marked by another strong  $\Delta^{14}$ C anomaly.



Fig. 2. <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve as derived from the German pine chronology. The uncertainty of the absolute age scale is estimated at less than  $\pm 20$  yr (see text).



Fig. 3. Smoothed <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve (FFT filter, 40-yr low pass) and  $\Delta^{14}$ C (---) (Stuiver and Pollach 1977) as derived from the German oak and pine series.

Obvious candidates for causes of the variable <sup>14</sup>C level are changes in ocean ventilation and <sup>14</sup>C production changes, *e.g.*, by solar activity variability (Stuiver and Braziunas 1993). The improved absolute age control and the high resolution of tree-ring based  $\Delta^{14}$ C reconstruction will now allow a better discrimination among forcing and response mechanisms as seen in the atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C.

# <sup>14</sup>C PATTERNS IN THE LATE YOUNGER DRYAS

We obtained <sup>14</sup>C data from two floating sections predating the German pine series. 1) At d'Olon, east of the Lake of Geneva, Switzerland, a 340-ring *Larix* section was found and submitted by J. P. Hurni, Moudon. The <sup>14</sup>C sequence (Fig. 4, Table 3)—overlapping in <sup>14</sup>C age with the German pine for the youngest rings—documents a straight relation between <sup>14</sup>C age and true years. 2) From the lignite area close to Cottbus, East Germany, we obtained a large quantity of pine sections. The <sup>14</sup>C

Lab code (Hd-)	Center ring	<sup>14</sup> C BP	Lab code (Hd-)	Center ring	<sup>14</sup> C BP
16184 16185 16847 16825 16641 16823	15 35 50 70 90 110	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	17325 16779 16812 16866 16824	150 230 270 328 330	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

TABLE 3. <sup>14</sup>C ages of the floating VOD 505 chronology (Rhone River, Geneva, Switzerland)



Fig. 4. <sup>14</sup>C dates *vs.* ring number of the floating *Larix* series VOD505 (Rhone River, Geneva, Switzerland). The sequence indicates an essentially "regular" age relation for this interval at the end of the Younger Dryas event.

ages of the samples analyzed so far cover the range 10,480 to 10,280 BP. From 7 sections we built a 296-ring chronology which is now being <sup>14</sup>C-dated. The oldest rings date to  $10,280 \pm 26$  <sup>14</sup>C BP, raising hopes for a slight extension of the German pine chronology.

## CONCLUSION

The revisions of the tree-ring time scale of the German oak chronology solved long-standing apparent discrepancies noted over the course of high-precision <sup>14</sup>C measurements. The extension of the German oak by more than 450 yr allows for a much improved <sup>14</sup>C link to the German pine chronology, constraining its absolute position with an error of less than  $\pm 20$  yr. The calibration data set based on the German pine is now in close agreement with the Preboreal part of <sup>14</sup>C calibration series obtained from most varve chronologies and corals.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The main body of the German oak and pine chronologies was constructed by the late Bernd Becker. For the new extension of the chronologies we profited much from the work of Michael Friedrich, Jutta Hofmann and Sabine Remmele.

We thank Minze Stuiver and Paula Reimer for discussion and exchange during the course of <sup>14</sup>C analyses of the tree-ring series. Edouard Bard and Tomasz Goslar made us aware of the problems of

the earlier oak-pine link. Svante Björck and Sigfus Johnson opened new vistas when the work on the tree-ring series seemed at a dead end.

The Cottbus pine sections were found and submitted by D. Neubauer-Saurer, Y. Gautier and C. Pasda. The *Larix* section was provided by J. P. Hurni, A. Orcel and C. Orcel, Laboratoire Romand de Dendrochronologie, Moudon, Switzerland.

#### REFERENCES

- Becker, B. 1993 An 11,000-year German oak and pine dendrochronology for radiocarbon calibration. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 201–213.
- Björck, S., Kromer, B., Johnsen, S., Bennike, O., Hammarlund, D., Lemdahl, G., Possnert, G., Rasmussen, T. L., Wohlfarth, B., Hammer, C. U. and Spurk, M. 1996 Synchronized terrestrial-atmospheric Deglacial records around the North Atlantic. *Science* 274: 1155– 1160.
- Goslar, T., Arnold, M., Bard, E., Kuc, T., Pazdur, M. F., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., Różanski, K., Tisnerat, N., Walanus, A., Wicik, B. and Więckowski, K. 1995 High concentration of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C during the Younger Dryas cold episode. *Nature* 377: 414–417.
- Goslar, T., Arnold, M. and Pazdur, M. F. 1995 The Younger Dryas cold event – was it synchronous over the North Atlantic Region? *Radiocarbon* 37(1): 63– 70.
- Kromer, B. and Becker, B. 1993 German oak and pine <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 7200–9439 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 125–135.
- Kromer, B., Rhein, M., Bruns, M., Schoch-Fischer, H., Münnich, K. O., Stuiver, M. and Becker, B. 1986 Radiocarbon calibration data for the 6th to the 8th millennia BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 954–990.
- Linick, T. W., Suess, H. E. and Becker, B. 1985 La Jolla measurements of radiocarbon on South German oak tree-ring chronologies. *Radiocarbon* 27(1): 20–32.
- McCormac, F. G., Baillie, M. G. L., Pilcher, J. R. and Kalin, R. M. 1995 Location-dependent differences in the <sup>14</sup>C content of wood. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the

15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 395–407.

- Pearson, G. W., Becker, B. and Qua, F. 1993 High-precision <sup>14</sup>C measurements of German and Irish oak to show the natural <sup>14</sup>C variations from 7890 to 5000 BC. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 93–104.
- Ramsey, C. B. 1995 Radiocarbon and analysis of stratigraphy: The OxCal program. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 425–430.
- Spurk, M., Friedrich, M., Hofmann, J., Remmele, S., Frenzel, B., Leuschner, H. H. and Kromer, B. 1998 Revisions and extension of the Hohenheim oak and pine chronologies: New evidence about the timing of the Younger Dryas / Preboreal transition. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Stuiver, M. and Braziunas, T. F. 1993 Sun, ocean, climate and atmospheric <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>: An evaluation of causal and spectral relationships. *The Holocene* 3: 289–305.
- Stuiver, M., Kromer, B., Becker, B. and Ferguson, W. 1986 Radiocarbon age calibration back to 13,300 years BP and the <sup>14</sup>C age matching of the German oak and US bristlecone pine chronologies. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 969–979.
- Stuiver, M. and Pearson, G. W. 1993 High-precision bidecadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950–500 BC and 2500–6000 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 1–23.
- Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A. 1977 Discussion: Reporting of <sup>14</sup>C data. *Radiocarbon* 19(3): 355–363.

Lab code				Lab code			
(Hd-)	cal BC	cal BP	<sup>14</sup> C BP	(Hd-)	cal BC	cal BP	<sup>14</sup> C BP
19079	8329	10278	9198 ± 27	14106	7871	9820	8822 ± 21
19055	8307	10256	$9199 \pm 24$	14171	7851	9800	$8820 \pm 23$
19054	8287	10236	9069 ± 27	9255	7836	9785	$8801 \pm 30$
19091	8267	10216	$9062 \pm 23$	14160	7831	9780	8791 ± 24
19175	8247	10196	$9002 \pm 25$	14172	7821	9770	8772 ± 21
19174	8227	10176	$8930 \pm 23$	8510	7717	9666	$8721 \pm 30$
19179	8207	10156	$8947 \pm 20$	8511	7709	9658	$8721 \pm 30$
19349	8187	10136	$8930 \pm 20$	8518	7701	9650	$8648 \pm 30$
19415	8147	10096	$8826 \pm 24$	8519	7694	9643	$8710 \pm 30$
15145	8113	10062	$8918 \pm 23$	8524	7679	9628	$8733 \pm 30$
14904	8108	10057	$8934 \pm 28$	8525	7669	9618	$8708 \pm 30$
19350	8107	10056	$8923 \pm 20$	8544	7659	9608	$8735 \pm 30$
15889	8103	10052	$8901 \pm 23$	8141	7653	9602	$8719 \pm 30$
15923	8098	10047	$8935 \pm 18$	8140	7637	9586	$8727 \pm 33$
16046	8068	10017	$8911 \pm 20$	8091	7620	9569	$8739 \pm 30$
15906	8053	10002	$8874 \pm 20$	8144	7613	9562	$8696 \pm 30$
16632	8043	9992	$8893 \pm 23$	8286	7613	9562	$8736 \pm 30$
16038	8033	9982	8947 ± 20	8145	7603	9552	$8746 \pm 30$
16587	8023	9972	$8921 \pm 20$	8295	7594	9543	$8577 \pm 30$
16586	8018	9967	$8901 \pm 20$	8127	7588	9537	$8528 \pm 30$
16585	8013	9962	$8894 \pm 23$	8244	7581	9530	$8608 \pm 30$
19351	8007	9956	$8830 \pm 24$	8151	7578	9527	$8474 \pm 30$
9513	7968	9917	$8904 \pm 30$	7758	7570	9519	$8439 \pm 30$
14075	7961	9910	$8850 \pm 20$	8171	7558	9507	$8509 \pm 30$
9502	7956	9905	$8887 \pm 30$	7759	7541	9490	$8455 \pm 30$
14076	7951	9900	$8774 \pm 20$	8273	7523	9472	$8458 \pm 30$
9501	7946	9895	$8832 \pm 30$	8304	7473	9422	$8371 \pm 30$
14077	7941	9890	$8842 \pm 20$	7760	7460	9409	$8279 \pm 30$
9492	7936	9885	$8762 \pm 30$	8086	7423	9372	$8315 \pm 30$
14079	7931	9880	$8764 \pm 20$	7757	7421	9370	$8300 \pm 30$
9491	7926	9875	$8760 \pm 30$	8306	7408	9357	$8382 \pm 30$
14112	7921	9870	$8858 \pm 30$	8117	7390	9339	$8380 \pm 30$
9486	7916	9865	$8755 \pm 30$	8689	7268	9217	$8296 \pm 30$
16623	7911	9860	$8810 \pm 22$	8717	7261	9210	$8251 \pm 30$
14274	7901	9850	$8772 \pm 23$	8718	7251	9200	$8198 \pm 30$
16605	7896	9845	$8823 \pm 21$	8719	7241	9190	$8239 \pm 30$
9264	8051	9840	$8816 \pm 30$	8720	7231	9180	$8232 \pm 30$
14088	8046	9835	$8818 \pm 21$	8750	7221	9170	$8277 \pm 30$
9258	7876	9825	$8813 \pm 30$	8751	7210	9159	$8271 \pm 30$

TABLE 1. Revised German oak data. Compared to the previous publication (Kromer and Becker 1993) data were added at the beginning of the chronology, and shifts of the dendroscale are included (see text).

TABLE 2. Revised German pine data. The absolute ages are tentative. They are based on matching the <sup>14</sup>C ages of the pine to the German oak. The uncertainty of the absolute age scale is estimated to be less than  $\pm 20$  yr (see text).

Lab code				Lab code					
(Hd-)	cal BC	cal BP	<sup>14</sup> C BP	(Hd-)	cal BC	cal BP	<sup>14</sup> C	BP	
16342	9908	11857	$10162 \pm 23$	9134	9259	11208	9837	±	26
16427	9878	11827	10156 + 29	11685	9257	11206	9838	±	25
16251	9833	11782	10244 + 28	9810	9249	11198	9816	±	23
17017	9813	11762	$10211 \pm 20$ $10188 \pm 21$	9969	9244	11193	9749	±	20
15586	9793	11742	$10100 \pm 21$ $10170 \pm 24$	9135	9237	11186	9751	±	31
16269	9793	11742	$10170 \pm 24$ 10130 + 20	11686	9237	11186	9804	±	25
15591	9773	11722	$10130 \pm 20$ 10134 + 22	9811	9233	11182	9780	±	22
15592	9753	11702	$10154 \pm 22$ 10156 + 20	9970	9222	11171	9738	±	20
16328	9753	11702	$10130 \pm 20$ $10189 \pm 38$	9153	9217	11166	9739	±	27
15594	9733	11682	$10109 \pm 30$ $10090 \pm 24$	9807	9214	11163	9665	±	26
15731	9713	11662	$10000 \pm 21$ 10126 + 21	9808	9197	11146	9680	±	26
16332	9713	11662	$10120 \pm 21$ $10105 \pm 26$	13009	9195	11144	9673	±	30
15730	9693	11642	$10103 \pm 20$ 10104 + 22	11715	9194	11143	9677	±	18
16022	9673	11622	$10104 \pm 22$ 10114 + 32	9836	9190	11139	9670	+	22
16502	9673	11622	$10114 \pm 32$ 10038 + 21	11729	9182	11131	9671	±	23
13511	9671	11620	$10050 \pm 21$ $10052 \pm 32$	13010	9180	11129	9656	±	28
13512	9661	11610	$10032 \pm 32$ 10040 + 24	9837	9179	11128	9665	±	26
16902	9653	11602	10054 + 23	13059	9160	11109	9657	±	27
13525	9641	11590	$10094 \pm 23$ 10091 + 28	13087	9142	11091	9615	±	29
16667	9633	11582	$10071 \pm 20$ $10077 \pm 21$	13088	9067	11016	9586	±	31
13526	9621	11570	$10077 \pm 21$ $10023 \pm 28$	13094	9045	10994	9539	+	26
16652	9613	11562	$10025 \pm 20$ $10076 \pm 23$	13016	9030	10979	9524	±	32
10552	9607	11556	$10070 \pm 23$ $10033 \pm 33$	13017	9010	10959	9582	+	33
10568	9007	11512	$10033 \pm 35$ $10009 \pm 35$	13018	8995	10944	9561	+	21
12888	9505	11504	$10009 \pm 30$ $10059 \pm 30$	14231	8985	10934	9630	+	22
12000	9555	11/00	$10039 \pm 30$ $10040 \pm 33$	13095	8980	10929	9619	+	${24}$
12945	0536	11490	$10040 \pm 33$ $10020 \pm 32$	9844	8968	10917	9637	+	27
12959	0521	11470	$10020 \pm 32$ $10052 \pm 27$	14397	8961	10910	9668	+	24
12964	9511	11460	$10052 \pm 27$ $10053 \pm 29$	9853	8954	10903	9564	+	26
12965	9496	11445	$10055 \pm 27$ $10069 \pm 27$	9864	8934	10883	9576	+	$\frac{1}{26}$
14220	9486	11445	$10009 \pm 21$ $10048 \pm 21$	9005	8929	10878	9623	±	16
14185	9481	11430	$10040 \pm 21$ $10000 \pm 22$	8826	8924	10873	9603	_ +	23
14159	9461	11410	$10000 \pm 22$ $10059 \pm 29$	14375	8920	10869	9568	+	20
12999	9451	11400	$10039 \pm 23$ $10018 \pm 23$	8835	8909	10858	9576	+	19
13000	9431	11380	$10010 \pm 23$ $10089 \pm 27$	9865	8898	10847	9613		20
12967	9426	11375	$10009 \pm 27$ 10008 + 33	8836	8894	10843	9601	+	18
12968	9416	11365	$10000 \pm 33$ $10041 \pm 27$	8867	8879	10828	9609	+	19
12981	9406	11355	9994 + 26	8868	8864	10813	9587	+	20
12982	9391	11340	$10056 \pm 27$	8876	8849	10798	9585		$\frac{1}{20}$
9097	9374	11323	$9950 \pm 26$	8877	8839	10788	9595	±	19
9098	9359	11308	9993 + 22	8889	8819	10768	9559	- +	25
9118	9342	11291	9934 + 26	8890	8809	10758	9540	±	20
11647	9337	11286	9941 + 25	8911	8774	10723	9474	+	34
11648	9327	11276	9947 + 25	8904	8759	10708	9506	±	34
9119	9322	11271	9963 + 26	8905	8744	10693	9520	±	34
11653	9304	11253	9893 + 25	8977	8729	10678	9462	±	25
9126	9302	11251	9939 + 20	8957	8709	10658	9463	±	34
11670	9284	11233	9921 + 25	8978	8696	10645	9458	±	24
9127	9282	11231	9939 + 30	8970	8674	10623	9455	±	34
11671	9267	11216	$9875 \pm 25$	9026	8669	10618	9410	±	19

		.,					
Lab code (Hd-)	cal BC	cal BP	<sup>14</sup> C BP	Lab code (Hd-)	cal BC	cal BP	<sup>14</sup> C BP
8071	8650	10608	9405 + 34	10337	8286	10235	9065 + 25
9007	8654	10603	9456 + 15	10116	8276	10225	$9086 \pm 25$
8080	8636	10585	9428 + 18	10338	8266	10215	$9021 \pm 25$
9064	8634	10583	9454 + 27	10127	8251	10200	$9097 \pm 25$
9154	8619	10568	9394 + 26	16081	8243	10192	$9030 \pm 21$
9160	8604	10553	9348 + 25	16093	8223	10172	8967 ± 25
9161	8589	10538	9309 + 25	16100	8203	10152	$8960 \pm 20$
9191	8574	10523	$9336 \pm 26$	16106	8183	10132	$8894 \pm 23$
9192	8559	10508	$9354 \pm 32$	16110	8163	10112	$8934 \pm 20$
9199	8546	10495	$9307 \pm 30$	16635	8148	10097	$8835 \pm 23$
10001	8536	10485	$9266 \pm 24$	16068	8143	10092	$8847 \pm 23$
10191	8506	10455	$9293 \pm 29$	16082	8143	10092	$8850 \pm 24$
10003	8496	10445	$9285 \pm 20$	16595	8138	10087	8871 ± 27
10010	8457	10406	$9254 \pm 25$	16596	8131	10080	$8841 \pm 20$
10011	8437	10386	$9220 \pm 25$	16290	8111	10060	$8859 \pm 21$
10035	8417	10366	$9243 \pm 25$	16289	8091	10040	$8907 \pm 21$
10036	8397	10346	$9222 \pm 25$	9769	8077	10026	$8856 \pm 22$
10090	8377	10326	$9186 \pm 22$	16082	8061	10010	$8935 \pm 22$
10091	8347	10296	$9220 \pm 22$	16216	8021	9970	8930 ± 23
10097	8337	10286	$9179 \pm 21$	16593	8011	9960	$8921 \pm 21$
10098	8317	10266	$9164 \pm 21$	16594	8001	9950	$8894 \pm 24$
10115	8297	10246	$9191 \pm 23$	16385	7981	9930	$8890 \pm 24$

TABLE 2. (Continued)

# HIGH-PRECISION RADIOCARBON AGE CALIBRATION FOR TERRESTRIAL AND MARINE SAMPLES

# MINZE STUIVER, PAULA J. REIMER and THOMAS F. BRAZIUNAS

Department of Geological Sciences and Quaternary Research Center, Box 351360, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

**ABSTRACT.** Single-year and decadal radiocarbon tree-ring ages are tabulated and discussed in terms of <sup>14</sup>C age calibration. The single-year data form the basis of a detailed <sup>14</sup>C age calibration curve for the cal AD 1510–1954 interval ("cal" denotes calibrated). The Seattle decadal data set (back to 11,617 cal BP, with 0 BP = AD 1950) is a component of the integrated decadal INTCAL98 <sup>14</sup>C age curve (Stuiver *et al.* 1998). Atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C ages can be transformed into <sup>14</sup>C ages of the global ocean using a carbon reservoir model. INTCAL98 <sup>14</sup>C ages, used for these calculations, yield global ocean <sup>14</sup>C ages differing slightly from previously published ones (Stuiver and Braziunas 1993b). We include discussions of offsets, error multipliers, regional <sup>14</sup>C age differences and marine <sup>14</sup>C age response to oceanic and atmospheric forcing.

## INTRODUCTION

Radiocarbon ages of dendrochronologically dated wood samples, mostly 10-yr segments, were previously reported for the interval 6000 cal BC–cal AD 1950. These <sup>14</sup>C measurements have now been extended back to 9668 cal BC. We also applied some minor corrections to a portion of the <sup>14</sup>C ages reported for decadal samples (Stuiver and Becker 1993), multiple-year samples (Stuiver and Reimer 1993) and single-year samples (Stuiver 1993; Stuiver and Braziunas 1993a). Our additional data (9668–6000 cal BC) are given here, together with corrected (when applicable) decadal and singleyear <sup>14</sup>C ages for the intervals 6000 cal BC–cal AD 1950 and cal AD 1510–1954, respectively. The decadal data (Table 1, Appendix) altogether incorporate the 11,617–0 cal BP interval. Single-year data are given in Table 2 (Appendix) for the cal AD 1510–1954 interval.

As reported in the 1993 Calibration Issue (Stuiver, Long and Kra 1993), the measured <sup>14</sup>C activities of the samples dated between 1977 and 1987 were corrected for small amounts of radon (Stuiver and Becker 1993). The original ages, calculated without applying a radon correction, were reported in Stuiver and Kra (1986). Additional information, discussed in the next section, reduces the radon correction to one half the 1993 value. The 1993 <sup>14</sup>C age correction of ~10 to 20 <sup>14</sup>C yr for samples measured between 1977 and 1987 evidently was too large. For the 1998 calculations we halved the original radon correction to ~5 to 10 <sup>14</sup>C yr. The latest "correction of the 1993 correction" is small and its influence is usually limited to ~10 <sup>14</sup>C yr.

Adjustments of the German oak and pine chronologies have been included. Both chronologies were extensively reevaluated at the University of Stuttgart-Hohenheim (Spurk *et al.* 1998). Whereas the German oak series yields absolute cal AD/BC dates (through a continuous count from the present to the past), the latest part of the pine series is <sup>14</sup>C-matched with the earliest portion of the oak chronology. This yields a cal BP scale with a margin of error of about two decades (Kromer and Spurk 1998; Spurk *et al.* 1998).

The materials used here for the AD interval are mainly derived from U.S. Pacific Northwestern, Californian and Canadian trees. A few Northern German oak samples were used as well. The trees are described in Table 2 of Stuiver and Becker (1986).

For the BC portion a limited number of samples from the Irish oak chronology (Pilcher *et al.* 1984) were used near 500 cal BC. California trees overlap with the oak series from Southern Germany between cal AD 45 and 145 cal BC. The Seattle German Main-Donau oak and German pine samples

cover the intervals 7748 cal BC-cal AD 45 and 9668-8007 cal BC, respectively. Cellulose (Stuiver, Burk and Quay 1984) was isolated from all decadal wood samples older than 150 cal BC.

After studying ring thickness patterns of individual tree sections, the Hohenheim group rejected the earlier absolute dating (relative to the master chronology) of some of these sections (*e.g.*, where beetle-induced damage was evident). Our previously measured <sup>14</sup>C ages of the rejected sections had to be withdrawn. Replacement wood will be used for new measurements, but in the meantime Seattle <sup>14</sup>C ages are missing for midpoints 7566–7498, 7876–7758, and 8827–8757 cal BC. An additional gap due to a 41-yr shift in the master chronology concerns the midpoints 5256–5215 cal BC. In some instances we did not receive wood (sections 6166–6053, 6386–6356, 7316–7206, 7996–7886, 9057–9027, 9258–9242 and 9358–9332 cal BC).

# OFFSETS, "ERROR MULTIPLIER" AND RADON CORRECTION

The most recent Seattle (S), Belfast (B) and Heidelberg (H) results are reported in this issue. Belfast results, adjusted for the shifts in German oak chronology (McCormac, personal communication) are based on Pearson and Qua (1993) and Pearson, Becker and Qua (1993). Pretoria/Groningen (P/G) results were reported previously (Vogel and van der Plicht 1993). Average offsets between the <sup>14</sup>C ages of the different laboratories are relatively small for the complete date sets, with  $S - B = -13 \pm 1$  yr (n = 866),  $S - H = -25 \pm 2$  yr (n = 230) and  $S - P/G = -17 \pm 2$  yr (n = 194). The  $\pm$  equals one standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) and n is the number of comparisons. Offsets for millennia are given in Table 3.

		Offsets		σ1	$\sigma_{\rm E}$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_{\rm E}$	σ1	$\sigma_{\rm E}$
Age AD/BC	S-B	S-H	S-P/G	S	-B	S	-H	<b>S</b> -(	P/G)
10–9 ka BC		$-12 \pm 5$				34	17		
<b>9–8 ka</b> BC		$-18 \pm 4$				32	24		
8–7 ka BC	$10 \pm 5$	$-26 \pm 6$		34	47	36	39		
7–6 ka BC	$-17 \pm 3$	$-34 \pm 5$		31	31	36	36		
6–5 ka BC	$-34 \pm 3$	$-56 \pm 9$		29	31	35	56		
5–4 ka BC	$-11 \pm 3$	$-28 \pm 5$		28	24	31	41		
4–3 ka BC	$-17 \pm 3$		$-18 \pm 2$	27	17			20	19
3–2 ка вс	$-17 \pm 2$		$-16 \pm 3$	25	27			23	13
2–1 ka BC	$-1 \pm 3$		4 ± 9	26	21			23	8
1–0 ka BC	$-6 \pm 2$			25	13				
ad 0–1 ka	$-12 \pm 3$			27	11				
AD 1–2 ka	$-15 \pm 2$			19	15				
10 ka BC-AD 2 ka	-13 ± 1 yr	$-25 \pm 2$ yr	$-17 \pm 2 \text{ yr}$	27	24	34	35	22	13

TABLE 3. Average and millennia offsets (in <sup>14</sup>C yr) between Seattle (S), Belfast (B), Heidelberg (H) and Pretoria/Groningen (P/G).  $\sigma_1$  is the predicted average standard deviation in <sup>14</sup>C age differences. The variance beyond  $\sigma_1$  is represented by  $\sigma_E$  (see text). Comparisons are based on decadal samples (see Stuiver *et al.* 1998).

For Seattle (S) we usually report a  $\sigma$  derived from the near-Gaussian counting statistics of the accumulated number of counts for the sample and standards. Additional information on the  $\sigma$  in the <sup>14</sup>C age is derived from the reproducibility of <sup>14</sup>C age determinations in the Seattle laboratory, and interlaboratory comparisons that provide information on the sum total of uncertainty tied to the processes of wood allocation, dendro-age determination, sample pretreatment, laboratory <sup>14</sup>C determination, regional <sup>14</sup>C differences and individual tree <sup>14</sup>C differences.

The reported age error can be used to predict the statistical variance in <sup>14</sup>C age differences when results of two laboratories are available for samples with the same cal age. The <sup>14</sup>C age differences of samples of identical cal age yield an offset (the average of the differences) and a (scatter) standard deviation  $\sigma_2$ . The  $\sigma_2$  is compared to the standard deviation  $\sigma_1$  predicted from the <sup>14</sup>C age errors reported by both laboratories. The increase in variance (excess variance)  $\sigma_E$  is derived from  $\sigma_E^2 = \sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2$ . The ratio  $\sigma_2/\sigma_1$  yields the "error multiplier" k (Stuiver 1982).

The above statistical considerations are valid for comparisons of <sup>14</sup>C determinations of identical samples. However, the samples to be compared here are rarely fully identical in that the time over which the sample is averaged (*e.g.*, 10-yr vs. 3-yr samples) differs. Furthermore, the differences in cal age (time-midpoints) of the samples is usually variable. Different selection criteria (*e.g.*, should two samples be compared if one is a 20-yr and the other a 3-yr sample, and the difference in midpoints is 10 yr?) yield different  $\sigma_E$  (and k) estimates. Given these uncertainties, the following  $\sigma_E$  calculations (based on decadal sample files; see the INTCAL98 calibration (Stuiver *et al.* 1998) for the construction of the decades) are "order of magnitude" only.

The comparison of the S<sup>14</sup>C ages to those of B, H and P/G yields the  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_E$  values given in Table 3. For S–B (n = 859) and S–H (n = 230) comparisons, the  $\sigma_E$  and  $\sigma_1$  are of the same order of magnitude; for S–P/G (n = 194), the  $\sigma_E$  is more like half  $\sigma_1$ . Expressed differently, average k values are in the 1.3–1.4 range. Other estimates yielded k = 0.7 (n = 44) when comparing S<sup>14</sup>C ages of single-year Pacific Northwest wood with S determinations of single-year Kodiak Island wood (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998), and k = 1.2 from evaluating counting stability in the Seattle laboratory over 4 years (Stuiver and Becker 1993).

Previously we discussed in much detail a small radon correction that had to be applied to measurements made between 1977 and 1987 (Stuiver and Becker 1993). An average count-rate difference of  $0.279 \pm 0.045$  counts per minute (cpm) was used for this correction. Since 1987 we have remeasured many samples for which newly determined <sup>14</sup>C ages can be compared to 1977–1987 ones. The enlarged data set suggests a smaller radon correction, with a count-rate difference of  $0.051 \pm 0.023$ cpm. The 1993 paper also reported first day *vs*. fourth day count-rate differences that were compatible with a radon contribution of  $0.276 \pm 0.016$  cpm. When adding similar first day–fourth day baseline information for 1992–1996,the  $0.276 \pm 0.016$  cpm radon excess estimate is lowered to  $0.213 \pm$ 0.016 cpm.

The radon corrections (0.051 and 0.213 cpm) suggested by the above calculations differ significantly (at the 5.8 $\sigma$  level). There is no obvious explanation for the difference but both methods suggest a smaller radon correction. The adjusted average count-rate difference (unweighted) for the two comparisons is 0.132 cpm, or 48% of the 1993 value. For the calculation of the <sup>14</sup>C ages listed in Tables 1 and 2 we used counting rate corrections of individual counters that average 0.132 cpm for samples measured between 1977 and 1987. This effectively halves the radon correction previously (Stuiver, Long and Kra 1993) applied to tree-ring <sup>14</sup>C determinations made in Seattle between 1977 and 1987.

Most of the cal age midpoints in Table 1 represent the midpoint of decadal (10 ring) wood samples. Occasional departures from 10-yr rings are noted in Table 1.

#### **REGIONAL OFFSETS**

Regional offsets, relative to Washington (W), were reported previously (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998). Trees grown in Alaska (A), Russia (R), Tasmania (T) and South Chile (C) were used (details

can be found in Stuiver and Braziunas 1998). The reported offsets are A – W =  $14 \pm 3$  yr (AD 1884–1932), R – W =  $-6 \pm 6$  yr (AD 1545–1615) and  $2 \pm 6$  yr (AD 1615–1715), T – W =  $25 \pm 7$  yr (estimated for the 19th century) and C – W =  $38 \pm 5$  yr (AD 1670–1722) and  $21 \pm 5$  yr (19th century). The 19th century "Southern Hemispheric" (Chile and Tasmania) offset is  $23 \pm 4$  <sup>14</sup>C yr (reported incorrectly in Stuiver and Braziunas 1998 as  $23 \pm 9$  yr).

The above regional offsets, which are not necessarily constant with time, are for "natural" conditions. During the first half of the twentieth century the <sup>14</sup>C levels were modified by fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> releases that depressed atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C levels to a greater extent in the Northern Hemisphere (Northern Hemispheric fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are much larger than Southern Hemispheric ones). Whereas 19th century Chile/Tasmania <sup>14</sup>C ages are *ca.* 23 <sup>14</sup>C yr older than those of Washington, this offset is reduced during the first half of the 20th century. There is even a switch to younger Southern Hemispheric ages *ca.* AD 1940 (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998; McCormac *et al.* 1998a,b).

#### LABORATORY OFFSETS IN PINE AND BRISTLECONE PINE DATA

The measurements of two laboratories, Seattle and Heidelberg, are now available for German pine samples (both this issue). In Figure 1 we compare the S and H <sup>14</sup>C dates of the German pine chronology. The cal ages reflect the latest reevaluation by the University of Stuttgart-Hohenheim treering laboratory (Spurk *et al.* 1998). There is substantial agreement, with an S-H offset of  $-16 \pm 3$  yr (n = 101) and an error multiplier k = 1.20.

For the older samples, the German and Irish oak chronologies are of crucial importance. <sup>14</sup>C results of the independent bristlecone pine chronology (Linick *et al.* 1986), as established at Tucson, Arizona (A), cover the 6554–5350 cal BC interval. When comparing these data to Belfast and Heidelberg oak results (Pearson, Becker and Qua 1993; Stuiver *et al.* 1998; Kromer and Spurk 1998), the bristlecone pine <sup>14</sup>C ages are, respectively,  $19 \pm 4$  (n = 75) and  $17 \pm 8$  (n = 24) yr older. When comparing Seattle (Stuiver and Reimer 1993) measured bristlecone pine <sup>14</sup>C ages (1998 radon corrected) to bristlecone pine measured in Arizona (Linick *et al.* 1986), the offset is  $25 \pm 8$  yr (n = 15) toward older Arizona ages. These offsets, on the order of one or two decades, fall within the range expected from laboratory measuring errors, cal age differences in midpoint and tree-ring length of the wood samples, and nonidentical regional <sup>14</sup>C.

The bristlecone pine <sup>14</sup>C age offset with Seattle oak <sup>14</sup>C ages (with minor offset corrections, see Stuiver *et al.* 1998) is a surprisingly large  $48 \pm 3$  yr (n = 80). The reason for this "anomalous" result is, at present, unknown.

#### SINGLE-YEAR AGE CALIBRATION

In the 1993 calibration issue, and also in Stuiver and Braziunas 1993a, a set of single-year <sup>14</sup>C results was reported for wood from the Pacific Northwest (Washington State). The data in Table 2 and Figure 2 are based on these <sup>14</sup>C results with two modifications: 1) adjustment of the <sup>14</sup>C determinations made between 1977 and 1987 for the minor change in radon correction, as discussed previously, and 2) the incorporation of single-year results from a Kodiak Island, Alaska, Sitka spruce tree.

The Alaskan Sitka spruce (58°N, 153°W) covers the cal AD 1884–1932 interval. Its <sup>14</sup>C ages are, on average,  $14 \pm 3$  <sup>14</sup>C yr older than Washington State results (Stuiver and Braziunas 1998). To obtain a reduced standard deviation, the Alaskan and Washington <sup>14</sup>C data were averaged after normalizing on Washington State (by reducing the Alaskan results by 14 yr). As noted previously (Stuiver 1993),



Fig. 1. A comparison of Heidelberg and Seattle German pine measurements. The solid line connects the Heidelberg points; the average standard deviation in a single measurement is 24 and 23 <sup>14</sup>C yr for, respectively, Heidelberg and Seattle.

the average standard deviation (for a 1.0 error multiplier) in the single-year calibration curve of 1993 was 12.8  $^{14}$ C yr. Adding Kodiak Island data reduces the average single-year standard deviation of the cal AD 1884–1932 interval to 10.2  $^{14}$ C yr.

# MARINE <sup>14</sup>C AGE CALIBRATION

With INTCAL 98 based on decadal averages, we no longer provide a separate (terrestrial) decadal Seattle curve. A model calculated marine curve, however, is still relevant. Extensive discussion of marine age calibration was presented in Stuiver, Pearson and Braziunas 1986, and Stuiver and Braziunas 1993b.

The 19th century reservoir age  $R_g(t)$  (t = time) of the global ocean, relative to the atmosphere, is usually estimated at 400 <sup>14</sup>C yr (its value prior to the industrial effect, or *ca*. AD 1850). Marine reservoir age  $R_g(t)$  varies over time as a result of geomagnetic and solar-related changes in <sup>14</sup>C production rates.  $R_g(t)$  calculations suggests changes on the order of ±100 <sup>14</sup>C yr for solar-mediated production rate change (Stuiver, Pearson and Braziunas 1986: Fig. 9A; Bard 1988).

The simple box diffusion global carbon cycle model employed here reproduces the expected history of global  $R_g(t)$  in response to atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C production driven by solar and geomagnetic modulation of the <sup>14</sup>C production rate. To determine the variation in <sup>14</sup>C production rate required to produce



Fig. 2. <sup>14</sup>C age vs. cal age for single-year samples

the observed atmospheric record the model uses 1) the observed <sup>14</sup>C record from tree-rings; 2) a set of simple fixed parameters for ocean circulation, air-sea exchange, and atmosphere/terrestrial biosphere CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes; 3) a reservoir age R<sub>g</sub>(AD 1850) = 400 <sup>14</sup>C yr; and 4) <sup>14</sup>C information derived from corals to fix the initial <sup>14</sup>C level at the start of the Holocene. Model parameterization is discussed in Stuiver and Braziunas (1993b: 140).

Ocean circulation may also have affected the <sup>14</sup>C partitioning between atmosphere and global ocean, resulting in  $R_g(t)$  change. Our  $R_g(t)$  model response to oceanic, or production rate, forcing is depicted in Figure 3A. Starting with an approximately 200-yr-long plateau (~9100–8900 cal BC) in atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C ages (dashed curve, constructed from a 200-yr moving average) we find substantial oceanic plateau smoothing ("surface ocean - 1" in Fig. 3A) for atmospheric forcing. However, when the ocean forces the atmosphere, both have similar plateau lengths ("surface ocean - 2" in Fig. 3A). Thus the presence or absence of <sup>14</sup>C age plateaus in marine sediment chronologies can be tied to the causative factors responsible for atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C change.



Fig. 3. A. Smoothed (200-yr moving average) <sup>14</sup>C age profiles for the atmosphere and surface ocean. Curve 1 was calculated from a carbon reservoir model assuming atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C production rate change to be responsible for the observed atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C change; curve 2 was calculated with ocean circulation change as the causal agent. **B**. Reservoir ages of the model ocean (mixed layer) for <sup>14</sup>C age plateaus generated by production rate change (curve 1) or oceanic circulation change (curve 2).

Surface ocean reservoir ages differ substantially between scenarios based on production rate vs. oceanic circulation (Fig. 3B). Production-related atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C supply to the surface ocean results in concurrent fluxes to the deep ocean, whereas the atmosphere, when forced by the ocean, does not sustain such major losses to other reservoirs. As a result, the change in reservoir age is larger for the production rate scenario. Reservoir age perturbations also are opposite in sign because the ocean lags the atmosphere for the production-rate scenario, whereas the atmosphere lags the ocean for a postulated oceanic increase.

The possibility of oceanic-induced  $R_g(t)$  change, on a century time scale, cannot be excluded. But nonhypothetical calculations of oceanic-induced Rg(t) change are not possible because detailed information on century time scale oceanic circulation change is lacking. The simple box-diffusion global carbon cycle calculations used to generate the solid line in Figure 4 assume, of necessity, that Holocene century-scale atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C variations are production rate related.



Fig. 4. A comparison of marine <sup>14</sup>C ages (solid line) derived from a carbon reservoir model (see text) and coral <sup>14</sup>C ages (Bard *et al.* 1998; Burr *et al.* 1998; Edwards *et al.* 1993; Stuiver *et al.* 1998: Fig. 2).

World ocean reservoir ages  $R_g(t)$  increase (with a delayed response) when atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C increases and, conversely, are reduced when atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C levels drop. The reservoir ages  $R_g(t)$  calculated for the world ocean are global averages only. Marine reservoir ages (R(t,s), with s = space) of the 19th century differ by up to 1000 <sup>14</sup>C yr from one oceanic region to another. The difference between regional reservoir age and the global average, R(t,s) – Rg(t), equals  $\Delta R(s)$  (as defined in Stuiver and Braziunas 1993b). Implied in this definition is the notion that the time-dependent changes of the local environment parallel those of the global ocean, thus yielding a time-independent  $\Delta R(s)$ . Our approach has been to supply a model-derived  $R_g(t)$ , and estimate  $\Delta R(s)$  from the measured reservoir ages of 19th century shells (*e.g.*,  $\Delta R(s) = R(AD1850,s) - R_g(AD1850)$ ). The measured <sup>14</sup>C age must be reduced by  $\Delta R(s)$  when using the model-calculated marine calibration curves. We specifically note that the reservoir age R(t,s) should not be subtracted but only  $\Delta R(s)$  ( $\Delta R(s) = 0$  when R(AD1850,s) = 400 yr). A short summary of regional  $\Delta R$  can be found in Stuiver and Braziunas (1993). Recent  $\Delta R$  determinations (partial list only) are those of Berkman and Forman (1996), Forman and Polyak (1997), Goodfriend and Flessa (1997), Heier-Nielsen *et al.* (1995), Higham and Hogg (1995), Ingram (1998), Ingram and Southon (1997), Kennett *et al.* (1997), Little (1993) and Southon, Rodman and True (1995).

Because the INTCAL98 tree-ring data for the 7000–0 cal BP interval are nearly identical to the data used previously, the 1993 marine calibration curves are still applicable (Stuiver and Braziunas 1993: Figs. 17A–N). Figure 4 compares the marine <sup>14</sup>C ages calculated from the INTCAL98 tree-ring record to those measured for INTCAL 98 corals (Bard *et al.* 1998; Burr *et al.* 1998; Edwards *et al.* 1993).

There is evidence for a marine <sup>14</sup>C reservoir deficiency change from 400 to 500 <sup>14</sup>C yr over the 12,000–10,000 cal BP interval (Stuiver *et al.* 1998: Fig. 2). This change, tied to ocean circulation change, is not simulated in the carbon reservoir model, where the ocean circulation parameters are fixed. This lack of ocean circulation change may have resulted in the slightly younger model-calculated <sup>14</sup>C ages of the 12,000–10,000 cal BP interval (Fig. 4).

The number of coral data points between 9500 and 7000 cal BP is limited, but the overall agreement is good for this interval. For the INTCAL 98 marine age calibration curve (see Stuiver *et al.* 1998) we used 1) a spline of coral and marine varve <sup>14</sup>C ages between 24,000 and 8800 cal BP and 2) a linear connection of <sup>14</sup>C ages derived from the tree-ring record *via* carbon reservoir modeling (8800–0 cal BP).

The latest 1998 version of the CALIB program (Stuiver and Reimer 1993) incorporates the singleyear data given here (and also the decadal INTCAL98 data set for marine and terrestrial environments). The data sets can be downloaded from the Quaternary Isotope Laboratory World Wide Web site <http://depts.washington.edu/qil/>.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The late Bernd Becker of the University of Stuttgart-Hohenheim, Germany, provided the samples from the German oak and pine chronology. M. Spurk and coworkers of the same university provided much needed information on the improved oak master chronology. B. Kromer and coworkers at the University of Heidelberg determined the pine-oak <sup>14</sup>C offset so that ring numbers of the floating pine chronology could be tied to cal BC dates. P. J. Wilkinson of the University of Washington provided crucial technical and analytical support. The National Science Foundation supported the <sup>14</sup>C investigations of the Quaternary Isotope Laboratory through grant ATM-9310121.

#### REFERENCES

- Bard, E. 1988 Correction of accelerator mass spectrometry <sup>14</sup>C ages measured in planktonic foraminifera: Paleoceanographic implications. *Paleoceanography* 3: 635–645.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Hamelin, B., Tisnerat-Laborde, N. and Cabioch, G. 1998 Radiocarbon calibration by means of mass spectrometric <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>234</sup>U and <sup>14</sup>C ages of corals: An updated database including samples
from Barbados, Mururoa and Tahiti. Radiocarbon, this issue.

- Berkman, P. A. and Forman, S. L. 1996 Pre-bomb radiocarbon and the reservoir correction for calcareous marine species in the Southern Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters* 23: 363–366.
- Burr, G. S., Beck, J. W., Taylor, F. W., Récy, J., Edwards,
   R. L. Cabioch, G., Corrège, T., Donahue, D. J. and
   O'Malley, J. M. 1998 A high-resolution radiocarbon calibration between 11,700 and 12,400 calendar years
   BP derived from <sup>230</sup>Th ages of corals from Espiritu Santo Island, Vanuatu. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Edwards, R. L., Beck, J. W., Burr, G. S., Donahue, D. J., Chappell, J. M. A., Bloom, A. L., Druffel, E. R. M. and Taylor, F. W. 1993 A large drop in atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C/ <sup>12</sup>C and reduced melting in the Younger Dryas, documented with <sup>230</sup>Th ages of corals. *Science* 260: 962– 968.
- Forman, S. L. and Polyak, L. 1997 Radiocarbon content of pre-bomb marine mollusks and variations in the <sup>14</sup>C reservoir age for coastal areas of the Barents and Kara seas, Russia. *Geophysical Research Letters* 24: 885– 888.
- Goodfriend, G. A. and Flessa, K. W. 1997 Radiocarbon reservoir ages in the Gulf of California: Roles of upwelling and flow from the Colorado River. *Radiocarbon* 39(2): 139–148.
- Heier-Nielsen, S., Heinemeier, J., Nielsen, H. L. and Rud, N. 1995 Recent reservoir ages for Danish fjords and marine waters. *Radiocarbon* 37(3): 875–882.
- Higham, T. F. G. and Hogg, A. G. 1995 Radiocarbon dating of prehistoric shell from New Zealand and calculation of the ΔR value using fish otoliths. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 409–416.
- Ingram, B. L. 1998 Differences in radiocarbon age between shell and charcoal from a Holocene shellmound in northern California. *Quaternary Research* 49: 102– 110.
- Ingram, B. L. and Southon, J. R. 1997 Reservoir ages in eastern Pacific coastal and marine waters. *Radiocarbon* 38(3): 573–582.
- Kennett, D. J., Ingram, B. L., Erlandson J. M. and Walker, P. 1997 Evidence for temporal fluctuations in marine radiocarbon reservoir ages in the Santa Barbara Channel, Southern California. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 24: 1051–1059.
- Kromer, B. and Spurk, M. 1998 Revision and tentative extension of the tree-ring based <sup>14</sup>C calibration, 9200– 11,855 cal BP. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Linick, T. W., Long, A., Damon, P. E. and Ferguson, C. W. 1986 High-precision radiocarbon dating of bristlecone pine from 6554 to 5350 BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 943–953.
- Little, E. A. 1993 Radiocarbon age calibration at archae-

ological sites of coastal Massachusetts and vicinity. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 20: 457–471.

- McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Higham, T. F. G., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Broecker, W. S., Baillie, M. G. L., Palmer, J., Xiong, L., Pilcher, J. R., Brown, D. and Hoper S. T. 1998a Temporal variation in the interhemispheric <sup>14</sup>C offset. *Geophysical Research Letters* 25: 1321–1324.
- McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Higham, T. F. G., Baillie, M. G. L., Palmer, J. G., Xiong, L., Pilcher, J. R., Brown, D. and Hoper, S. T. 1998b Variations of radiocarbon in tree rings: Southern Hemisphere offset preliminary results. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Pearson, G. W., Becker, B. and Qua, F. 1993 High-precision <sup>14</sup>C measurement of German and Irish oaks to show the natural <sup>14</sup>C variations from 7890 to 5000 BC. *In Stuiver*, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 93–104.
- Pearson, G. W. and Qua, F. 1993 High-precision <sup>14</sup>C measurement of Irish oaks to show the natural <sup>14</sup>C variations from AD 1840–5000 BC: A correction. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 105–123.
- Pilcher, J. R., Baillie, M. G. L., Schmidt, B. and Becker, B. 1984 A 7,272-year tree-ring chronology for Western Europe. *Nature* 312: 150–152.
- Southon, J. R., Rodman, A. O. and True, D. 1995 A comparison of marine and terrestrial radiocarbon ages from northern Chile. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 389–393.
- Spurk, M., Friedrich, M., Hofmann, J., Remmele, S., Frenzel, B., Leuschner, H. H. and Kromer, B. 1998 Revisions and extension of the Hohenheim oak and pine chronologies: New evidence about the timing of the Younger Dryas / Preboreal transition. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Stuiver, M. 1982 A high-precision calibration of the AD radiocarbon time scale. *Radiocarbon* 24(1): 1–26.
- Stuiver, M. 1993 A note on single-year calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1510–1954. *In Stuiver, M.,* Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 67–72.
- Stuiver, M. and Becker, B. 1986 High-precision decadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950– 2500 BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 863–910.
- \_\_\_\_1993 High-precision decadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950–6000 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 35–65.
- Stuiver, M. and Braziunas, T. F. 1993a Sun, ocean, climate and atmospheric <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>: An evaluation of causal and spectral relationships. *The Holocene* 3: 289–305. \_\_\_\_1993b Modeling atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C influences and
- <sup>14</sup>C ages of marine samples to 10,000 BC. In Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993.

Radiocarbon 35(1): 137-189.

- Stuiver, M. and Braziunas, T. F. 1998 Anthropogenic and solar components of hemispheric <sup>14</sup>C. Geophysical Research Letters 25: 329-332.
- Stuiver, M., Burk, R. L. and Quay, P. D. 1984 13C/12C ratios in tree rings and the transfer of biospheric carbon to the atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research 89: 11.731-11.748.
- Stuiver, M. and Kra, R., eds. 1986 Calibration Issue. Radiocarbon 28(2B): 805-1030.
- Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon 35(1): 1-244.
- Stuiver, M., Pearson, G. W. and Braziunas, T. F. 1986 Radiocarbon age calibration of marine samples back to 9000 cal yr BP. In Stuiver, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue, Radiocarbon 28(2B): 980-1021.

- Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A. 1977 Discussion: Reporting of <sup>14</sup>C data. Radiocarbon 19(3): 355-363.
- Stuiver, M. and Reimer, P. J. 1993 Extended <sup>14</sup>C data base and revised CALIB 3.0 <sup>14</sup>C age calibration program. In Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon 35(1): 215-230.
- Stuiver, M., Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Beck, J. W., Burr, G. S., Hughen, K. A., Kromer, B., McCormac, G., van der Plicht, J. and Spurk, M. 1998 INTCAL98 radiocarbon age calibration 24,000-0 cal BP. Radiocarbon, this issue.
- Vogel, J. C. and van der Plicht, J. 1993 Calibration curve for short-lived samples, 1900-3900 BC. In Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. Radiocarbon 35(1): 87-91.

275

285

295

305

315

325

335

345

355

365

375

385

395

405

415

425

435

445

455

465

475

485

495

505

515

525

535

#### **APPENDIX: TABLES 1 AND 2**

TABLE 1. <sup>14</sup>C age determinations made at the University of Washington Quaternary Isotope Lab (Seattle). The cal AD/BC ages (or cal BP) represent midpoints to the nearest year of wood sections (10 yr unless given in parentheses with the cal AD/BC age). Overlapping decadal samples with midpoints less than 1 yr apart were averaged. Single-year data were averaged with decadal data for the AD 1515-1935 interval. Single-year data only were used for the AD 1945 data point. Results of the few 20-yr samples were taken as two decadal samples (\*) with the same <sup>14</sup>C age and with the standard deviation in the age and  $\Delta^{14}$ C (defined in Stuiver and Polach 1977) increased by 1.4 times. No error multiplier has been included in the standard deviations.

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued) TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements  $\Delta^{14}C$  (%) <sup>14</sup>C BP  $\Delta^{14}C$  (%) <sup>14</sup>C BP cal BP cal AD/BC cal BP cal AD/BC  $12.0 \pm 0.5$ 172 ± 4 AD 1945  $-22.8 \pm 0.5$ 190 ± 4 5 AD 1675  $209 \pm 4$  $-17.4 \pm 0.5$  $156 \pm 4$ 15  $8.5 \pm 0.5$ AD 1935 AD 1665  $138 \pm 3$ 25  $5.7 \pm 0.5$  $241 \pm 4$ AD 1925  $-14.0 \pm 0.4$ AD 1655 35  $268 \pm 4$  $108 \pm 3$ AD 1915  $-9.2 \pm 0.3$ AD 1645  $3.5 \pm 0.5$  $78 \pm 3$ 45  $-0.2 \pm 0.5$ 308 ± 4 ad 1635 ad 1905  $-4.2 \pm 0.4$ 3 3  $-2.2 \pm 0.5$ 55 333 ± 4  $-2.8 \pm 0.4$ 76 ± AD 1895 AD 1625  $100 \pm$ 65  $-3.2 \pm 0.5$ 351 ± 4 AD 1885  $-4.5 \pm 0.4$ AD 1615  $-3.7 \pm 0.5$ 4 75 ad 1605 365 ± AD 1875  $-5.2 \pm 0.4$ 115 ± 4 ad 1595 4  $-4.2 \pm 0.5$ 117 ± 4 85  $0.7 \pm 0.5$ 340 ± AD 1865 ad 1855  $-3.5 \pm 0.5$  $120 \pm$ 4 95 AD 1585  $2.7 \pm 0.5$ 333 ± 4 5 329 ± AD 1845  $-1.6 \pm 0.5$  $115 \pm$ 4 105 AD 1575  $4.4 \pm 0.6$  $6.0 \pm 0.5$ 326 ± 4 AD 1835  $-0.5 \pm 0.4$ 116 ± 3 115 AD 1565 5  $8.3 \pm 0.6$ 319 ±  $99 \pm 3$ AD 1555 AD 1825  $2.8 \pm 0.4$ 125 4 AD 1815  $3.2 \pm 0.5$  $106 \pm 4$ 135 AD 1545  $10.6 \pm 0.5$ 309 ±  $12.8 \pm 0.4$ 3 301 ±  $-2.3 \pm 0.5$ 159 ± 4 145 1535 AD 1805 AD  $11.3 \pm 0.5$ 322 ± 4 AD 1795  $-6.2 \pm 0.5$ 201 ± 4 155 AD 1525  $-6.9 \pm 0.6$ 5 165 AD 1515  $9.2 \pm 0.6$ 349 ± 5 ad 1785 216 ±  $349 \pm 8$  $0.4 \pm 0.4$ 167 ± 4 175 AD 1505  $10.5 \pm 1.0$ AD 1775  $353 \pm 10$ AD 1765  $1.4 \pm 0.5$ 169 ± 4 185 AD 1495  $11.2 \pm 1.3$  $9.0 \pm 1.7$  $380 \pm 13$  $156 \pm 3$ 195 AD 1485  $4.1 \pm 0.4$ AD 1755  $9.0 \pm 1.8$ 4 205  $390 \pm 14$ AD 1745  $4.6 \pm 0.5$ 163 ± AD 1475  $9.6 \pm 1.8$  $395 \pm 14$  $7.0 \pm 0.5$ 153 ± 4 215 AD 1465 AD 1735  $390 \pm 10$  $11.5 \pm 1.2$ 114 ± 3 225 AD 1455  $13.2 \pm 0.4$ AD 1725  $16.8 \pm 0.3$ 95 ± 3 235 AD 1445  $9.2 \pm 1.5$  $418 \pm 12$ ad 1715 3  $468 \pm 14$ AD 1705  $16.7 \pm 0.3$  $105 \pm$ 245 ad 1435  $4.1 \pm 1.8$  $1.4 \pm 1.7$  $500 \pm 13$ 2 AD 1425 AD 1695  $16.6 \pm 0.3$ 115 ± 255  $14.9 \pm 0.4$  $139 \pm 3$ 265 AD 1415  $0.0 \pm 1.7$  $520 \pm 14$ AD 1685

			· ·				
cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP	cal	AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP
AD 1405	-43 + 16	565 + 13	545	AD	905	$-9.4 \pm 1.3$	$1091 \pm 11$
AD 1395	-43 + 18	575 + 14	555	AD	895	$-14.5 \pm 1.5$	$1143 \pm 13$
AD 1385	-10.3 + 1.3	$633 \pm 11$	565	AD	885	$-16.3 \pm 1.6$	$1167 \pm 13$
AD 1375	-11.6 + 1.4	$653 \pm 11$	575	AD	875	$-17.3 \pm 1.4$	$1186 \pm 12$
AD 1365	-4.4 + 1.3	$604 \pm 11$	585	AD	865	$-17.1 \pm 1.5$	$1194 \pm 12$
AD 1355	$-4.8 \pm 1.4$	$617 \pm 12$	595	AD	855	$-16.9 \pm 1.1$	$1201 \pm 10$
AD 1345	$0.8 \pm 1.4$	$582 \pm 12$	605	AD	845	$-15.5 \pm 1.4$	$1200 \pm 12$
AD 1335	$4.7 \pm 1.2$	$560 \pm 10$	615	AD	835	$-12.2 \pm 1.4$	$1183 \pm 12$
AD 1325	$-0.6 \pm 1.3$	$613 \pm 11$	625	AD	825	$-12.8 \pm 1.4$	$1197 \pm 12$
AD 1315	$-0.8 \pm 1.2$	$625 \pm 10$	635	AD	815	$-10.6 \pm 1.5$	$1189 \pm 12$
ad 1305	$0.0 \pm 1.0$	$627 \pm 8$	645	AD	805	$-10.3 \pm 1.6$	$1197 \pm 13$
ad 1295	$-3.0 \pm 1.8$	$661 \pm 15$	655	AD	795	$-11.2 \pm 0.9$	$1213 \pm 8$
ad 1285	$-8.2 \pm 1.7$	$713 \pm 14$	665	AD	785	$-4.4 \pm 1.2$	$1168 \pm 10$
ad 1275	$-13.7 \pm 1.8$	767 ± 15	675	AD	775	$-12.9 \pm 1.3$	$1247 \pm 11$
ad 1265	$-11.0 \pm 1.8$	755 ± 15	685	AD	765	$-16.0 \pm 1.3$	$1282 \pm 10$
ad 1255	$-16.7 \pm 1.7$	$811 \pm 14$	695	AD	755	$-16.5 \pm 1.0$	$1296 \pm 8$
ad 1245	$-14.6 \pm 1.8$	$803 \pm 14$	705	AD	745	$-11.4 \pm 1.3$	$1263 \pm 11$
ad 1235	$-13.4 \pm 1.8$	$804 \pm 14$	715	AD	735	$-8.2 \pm 1.2$	$1248 \pm 10$
ad 1225	$-11.1 \pm 1.2$	$795 \pm 10$	725	AD	725	$-7.8 \pm 1.6$	$1254 \pm 13$
ad 1215	$-14.5 \pm 1.7$	$832 \pm 14$	735	AD	715	$-9.0 \pm 1.7$	$1273 \pm 13$
ad 1205	$-17.6 \pm 1.7$	$867 \pm 14$	745	AD	705	$-10.4 \pm 1.8$	$1294 \pm 15$
ad 1195	$-15.2 \pm 1.7$	$857 \pm 14$	755	AD	695	$-5.2 \pm 2.0$	$1262 \pm 16$
AD 1185	$-17.7 \pm 1.6$	$887 \pm 13$	765	AD	685	$-12.5 \pm 2.2$	$1331 \pm 18$
AD 1175	$-15.7 \pm 1.7$	$881 \pm 14$	775	AD	675	$-10.5 \pm 2.1$	$1324 \pm 17$
AD 1165	$-11.6 \pm 1.2$	$85/\pm 10$	785	AD	665	$-11.5 \pm 2.1$	$1342 \pm 17$
AD 1155	$-16.5 \pm 1.8$	$907 \pm 15$	/95	AD	033 645	$-13.9 \pm 1.9$	$13/1 \pm 10$ $1207 \pm 17$
AD 1145	$-22.7 \pm 1.2$	$90/\pm 10$	805	AD	625	$-13.9 \pm 2.1$	$1397 \pm 17$ $1460 \pm 12$
AD 1130	$-12.9 \pm 1.0$ $14.7 \pm 2.0$	$0.00 \pm 1.0$	014 815	AD	625	$-22.4 \pm 1.4$ $-10.2 \pm 1.9$	$1400 \pm 12$ $1444 \pm 16$
AD 1135	$-14.7 \pm 2.0$ $-13.9 \pm 1.8$	$911 \pm 17$ $915 \pm 15$	825		615	$-17.2 \pm 1.9$ $-17.8 \pm 3.9$	$1447 \pm 10$ 1442 + 32
AD 1116	-174 + 18	$952 \pm 15$	834	AD	605	$-17.8 \pm 1.8$	1452 + 15
AD 1115	$-155 \pm 19$	937 + 16	835	AD	595	$-21.2 \pm 2.0$	$1489 \pm 17$
AD 1106	-15.1 + 1.7	$943 \pm 14$	844	AD	585	$-18.3 \pm 1.9$	$1475 \pm 16$
AD 1105	$-15.8 \pm 1.7$	$949 \pm 14$	845	AD	575	$-19.7 \pm 2.1$	1497 ± 17
ad 1096	$-13.8 \pm 1.9$	$942 \pm 15$	854	AD	565	$-17.8 \pm 2.0$	1491 ± 16
ad 1095	$-13.4 \pm 1.8$	$940 \pm 15$	855	AD	555	$-18.8 \pm 2.0$	$1509 \pm 17$
ad 1086	$-9.9 \pm 1.8$	$920 \pm 14$	864	AD	545	$-16.3 \pm 1.4$	1497 ± 11
ad 1085	$-9.2 \pm 1.1$	916 ± 9	865	AD	535	$-22.6 \pm 2.0$	$1559 \pm 16$
ad 1076	$-7.0 \pm 1.1$	$907 \pm 9$	874	AD	525	$-25.3 \pm 1.2$	$1591 \pm 10$
ad 1075	$-5.7 \pm 1.8$	897 ± 15	875	AD	515	$-21.9 \pm 1.7$	$1573 \pm 14$
ad 1066	$-8.4 \pm 1.4$	$928 \pm 11$	884	AD	505	$-21.5 \pm 1.8$	$1580 \pm 15$
ad 1065	$-6.7 \pm 1.7$	$915 \pm 14$	885	AD	495	$-18.1 \pm 1.6$	$1561 \pm 13$
AD 1056	$-6.0 \pm 1.4$	$918 \pm 12$	894	AD	485	$-16.9 \pm 2.0$	$1501 \pm 1/$
AD 1055	$-8.2 \pm 1.8$	$936 \pm 15$	895	AD	4/5	$-15.5 \pm 1.9$	$1500 \pm 15$
AD 1046	$-0.4 \pm 1.8$	$931 \pm 13$	904	AD	403	$-10.0 \pm 2.0$	$1370 \pm 17$ $1590 \pm 17$
AD 1045	$-4.1 \pm 1.8$	$918 \pm 14$	905	AD	433	$-13.0 \pm 2.0$	$1360 \pm 17$ $1556 \pm 16$
AD 1030	$-0.0 \pm 1.7$	$942 \pm 14$ 056 ± 15	914	AD	445	$-11.5 \pm 2.0$ $-10.8 \pm 2.0$	$1550 \pm 10$ 1560 ± 16
AD 1035	$-0.2 \pm 1.0$ $-0.3 \pm 1.8$	$970 \pm 13$ $974 \pm 14$	925		425	$-168 \pm 1.5$	$1618 \pm 13$
AD 1025	-148 + 18	$1029 \pm 15$	935	AD	415	-18.1 + 2.0	$1639 \pm 16$
AD 1015	-10.3 + 1.8	$1029 \pm 13$ $1002 \pm 15$	945	AD	405	$-20.2 \pm 1.8$	$1666 \pm 15$
AD 995	$-14.7 \pm 1.6$	$1047 \pm 13$	955	AD	395	$-19.0 \pm 1.5$	$1666 \pm 13$
AD 985	$-15.8 \pm 1.6$	$1066 \pm 13$	965	AD	385	$-19.7 \pm 1.4$	1681 ± 11
ad 975	$-21.5 \pm 1.8$	$1123 \pm 15$	975	AD	375	$-22.0 \pm 1.1$	$1710 \pm 9$
ad 965	$-19.5 \pm 1.8$	1116 ± 15	985	AD	365	$-16.5 \pm 1.8$	$1675 \pm 15$
ad 955	$-18.9 \pm 1.9$	$1121 \pm 16$	995	AD	355	$-18.4 \pm 2.0$	$1699 \pm 17$
ad 945	$-17.5 \pm 1.9$	$1119 \pm 15$	1005	AD	345	$-17.0 \pm 1.8$	$1698 \pm 15$
AD 935	$-19.7 \pm 1.6$	$1147 \pm 13$	1015	AD	335	$-19.6 \pm 2.0$	$1/29 \pm 16$
AD 925	$-18.1 \pm 1.5$	$1143 \pm 12$	1025	AD	325	$-1/.6 \pm 2.0$	$1/23 \pm 1/$
AD 915	$-10.9 \pm 1.3$	$1094 \pm 11$	1035	AD	313	$-22.9 \pm 2.0$	$1/13 \pm 10$

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

cal BP 

TABLE	I. Deca	dal Measurem	ents (Contini	ued)	
cal A	D/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP	c
AD 3	05	$-21.4 \pm 1.9$	$1773 \pm 16$	1645	
AD 2	95	$-14.5 \pm 1.9$	$1726 \pm 15$	1655	
ad 2	85	$-17.7 \pm 1.9$	$1762 \pm 16$	1665	
ad 2	75	$-10.5 \pm 1.9$	$1713 \pm 15$	1675	
AD 2	65	$-8.1 \pm 1.4$	$1703 \pm 11$	1685	
AD 2	55	$-15.3 \pm 1.1$	$1773 \pm 9$	1695	
AD 2	45 25	$-14.5 \pm 1.0$	$1//4 \pm 9$ 1913 $\pm 12$	1715	
AD 2	25 25	$-18.0 \pm 1.4$ $-17.6 \pm 2.0$	$1813 \pm 12$ 1819 + 17	1725	
AD 2	15	$-181 \pm 2.0$	1834 + 17	1735	
AD 2	05	$-19.0 \pm 1.4$	$1852 \pm 12$	1745	
AD 1	95	$-14.1 \pm 2.0$	$1820 \pm 17$	1755	
AD 1	85	$-11.4 \pm 1.8$	$1808 \pm 15$	1765	
AD 1	75	$-13.0 \pm 2.0$	$1831 \pm 16$	1775	
AD 1	65	$-13.1 \pm 2.2$	$1841 \pm 18$	1785	
AD 1	55	$-11.9 \pm 1.9$	$1841 \pm 15$	1/95	
AD I	45	$-10.2 \pm 2.0$	$183/\pm 1/$	1803	
	33 25	$-8.0 \pm 1.3$ $-15.7 \pm 1.1$	$1855 \pm 11$ 1901 + 9	1825	
	15	$-13.7 \pm 1.1$ $-146 \pm 2.2$	$1901 \pm 9$ 1902 + 18	1835	
AD 1	05	$-13.4 \pm 2.1$	$1902 \pm 10$ 1902 ± 17	1845	
AD	95	$-8.9 \pm 1.2$	$1874 \pm 10$	1855	
AD	85	$-9.3 \pm 2.0$	$1888 \pm 16$	1865	
AD	75	$-11.4 \pm 1.4$	$1915 \pm 11$	1875	
AD	65	$-12.9 \pm 1.4$	$1936 \pm 11$	1885	
AD	55	$-13.4 \pm 1.2$	$1951 \pm 9$	1895	
AD	45	$-16.8 \pm 1.0$	$1988 \pm 8$ $1040 \pm 13$	1905	
AD	33 25	$-9.8 \pm 1.3$ $-13.0 \pm 1.4$	$1940 \pm 13$ 1983 + 11	1915	
	15	-146 + 11	2000 + 9	1935	
AD	5	$-11.3 \pm 1.0$	$1982 \pm 8$	1945	
110	5 BC	$-18.0 \pm 1.4$	$2046 \pm 12$	1954	
	6 BC	$-18.3 \pm 2.0$	$2049 \pm 16$	1955	
	15 BC	$-11.1 \pm 1.2$	$1999 \pm 10$	1964	
	16 bc	$-11.5 \pm 2.0$	$2003 \pm 16$	1965	
	25 BC	$-12.7 \pm 2.0$	$2022 \pm 16$	1974	
	26 BC	$-14.2 \pm 1.8$	$2035 \pm 15$ 2012 + 10	19/5	
	35 BC	$-10.4 \pm 1.1$	$2012 \pm 10$ $2000 \pm 8$	1904	
	30 BC	$-6.7 \pm 1.0$ $-14.6 \pm 1.2$	$2000 \pm 8$ 2056 + 10	1905	
	46 BC	-9.9 + 2.0	$2019 \pm 16$	1995	
	55 BC	$-13.7 \pm 1.3$	$2057 \pm 11$	2004	
	56 BC	$-17.3 \pm 1.3$	$2089 \pm 11$	2005	
	65 BC	$-15.7 \pm 1.4$	$2085 \pm 12$	2014	
	66 BC	$-16.0 \pm 1.8$	$2088 \pm 15$	2015	
	75 BC	$-14.5 \pm 2.0$	$2085 \pm 17$	2024	
	76 BC	$-13.1 \pm 2.0$	$20/5 \pm 17$	2025	
	85 BC	$-8.9 \pm 1.4$ $-13.1 \pm 2.0$	$2049 \pm 11$ $2084 \pm 16$	2034	
	95 BC	$-13.1 \pm 2.0$ -13.5 + 1.9	$2004 \pm 10$ 2096 + 15	2033	
	96 BC	$-12.6 \pm 2.0$	$2090 \pm 16$	2045	
1	05 BC	$-8.6 \pm 2.0$	$2066 \pm 16$	2054	
1	06 BC	$-12.3 \pm 1.6$	$2097 \pm 13$	2055	
1	115 BC	$-8.2 \pm 2.0$	$2073 \pm 17$	2064	
1	116 вс	$-13.0 \pm 1.5$	$2113 \pm 12$	2065	
]	125 BC	$-10.1 \pm 2.1$	$2097 \pm 17$	2074	
1	126 BC	$-13.8 \pm 1.5$	$2129 \pm 12$ 2082 $\pm 17$	2073	
1	135 BC	$-7.1 \pm 2.1$ $-9.4 \pm 2.0$	$2003 \pm 17$ $2102 \pm 16$	2084	
1	145 BC	$-5.6 \pm 1.6$	$2080 \pm 13$	2005	
				•	

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE I. Deca	ual Measurem	cints (Commi	<i>ieu)</i>
cal AD/BC	$\Delta^{14}C$ (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP
146 PC	$-96 \pm 19$	2114 + 16	2095
140 BC	$-9.0 \pm 1.9$ $-5.4 \pm 2.1$	$2000 \pm 17$	2105
166 BC	$-6.0 \pm 1.3$	$2000 \pm 17$ 2104 + 11	2115
176 BC	$-118 \pm 21$	$2104 \pm 11$ 2161 + 17	2125
170 BC	$-11.0 \pm 2.1$ $-10.4 \pm 2.0$	$2101 \pm 17$ $2159 \pm 17$	2135
106 BC	$-10.4 \pm 2.0$ $-5.4 \pm 1.4$	$2139 \pm 17$ $2128 \pm 11$	2135
206 BC	$-10.7 \pm 1.9$	$2120 \pm 11$ $2177 \pm 15$	2155
200 BC	$-10.2 \pm 1.9$ $-15.4 \pm 1.1$	$2177 \pm 15$ $2229 \pm 9$	2155
210 BC	$-13.7 \pm 1.1$ $-7.8 \pm 1.5$	2177 + 12	2175
220 BC	$-1.8 \pm 1.3$ $-147 \pm 1.2$	$2177 \pm 12$ $2243 \pm 9$	2185
230 BC	$-17.7 \pm 1.2$ $-12.3 \pm 1.5$	$22+3 \pm 12$	2195
240 BC	$-93 \pm 20$	$2233 \pm 12$ $2218 \pm 16$	2205
250 BC	$-136 \pm 19$	$2263 \pm 16$	2215
200 BC	-77 + 14	$2205 \pm 10$ $2225 \pm 11$	2225
286 BC	$-86 \pm 18$	2242 + 15	2235
200 BC	$-39 \pm 14$	$2212 \pm 10$ $2212 \pm 11$	2245
306 BC	-0.1 + 1.9	2192 + 16	2255
316 BC	$0.1 \pm 1.9$ 0.2 + 1.9	2200 + 16	2265
326 BC	$52 \pm 14$	$2169 \pm 11$	2275
336 BC	7.3 + 1.9	$2162 \pm 16$	2285
346 BC	$6.9 \pm 1.4$	$2176 \pm 11$	2295
356 BC	14 + 14	$2229 \pm 11$	2305
366 BC	$-1.8 \pm 1.4$	$2265 \pm 11$	2315
376 BC	$0.1 \pm 1.4$	$2260 \pm 11$	2325
386 BC	$-1.0 \pm 2.0$	$2278 \pm 16$	2335
396 BC	$-5.7 \pm 1.4$	$2324 \pm 12$	2345
406 BC	$-10.8 \pm 1.1$	$2377 \pm 9$	2355
416 BC	$-16.8 \pm 2.0$	$2434 \pm 16$	2365
426 BC	$-13.6 \pm 2.1$	$2418 \pm 17$	2375
436 BC	$-16.5 \pm 2.0$	$2452 \pm 16$	2385
446 BC	$-15.7 \pm 3.3$	$2455 \pm 27$	2395
456 BC	$-19.1 \pm 2.3$	$2493 \pm 18$	2405
466 BC	$-11.8 \pm 2.5$	$2443 \pm 20$	2415
476 BC	$-6.1 \pm 1.4$	$2406 \pm 12$	2425
486 BC	$-8.5 \pm 2.0$	$2435 \pm 17$	2435
496 bC	$-6.3 \pm 1.5$	$2428 \pm 12$	2445
506 BC	$-5.7 \pm 2.0$	$2432 \pm 17$	2455
516 вс	-4.7 ± 1.6	$2433 \pm 12$	2465
526 BC	$-8.6 \pm 1.7$	$2475 \pm 13$	2475
536 BC	$-2.5 \pm 1.3$	$2436 \pm 11$	2485
546 BC	$-6.7 \pm 1.5$	$2479 \pm 12$	2495
556 BC	$-5.9 \pm 1.8$	$2482 \pm 14$	2505
566 BC	$-5.8 \pm 2.3$	$2491 \pm 18$	2515
576 BC	$-4.3 \pm 2.2$	$2489 \pm 18$	2525
586 BC	$1.0 \pm 2.2$	$2450 \pm 18$	2333
596 BC	$-4.9 \pm 1.7$	$2513 \pm 14$	2343
606 BC	$-3.9 \pm 1.8$	$2515 \pm 15$	2000
60/ BC	$-1.8 \pm 2.1$	$2499 \pm 17$	2000
616 BC	$0.1 \pm 1.6$	$2492 \pm 13$	2303
61/ BC	$1.0 \pm 1.3$	$2402 \pm 12$	2300 2575
626 BC	$-0.8 \pm 1.7$	$2309 \pm 14$	2313
02/BC*	$4.0 \pm 2.9$	$240/\pm 23$ $2480\pm 17$	23/0
030 BC	$2.7 \pm 2.1$	2407 ± 1/ 2467 ± 22	2202
03/ BCT	$J.0 \pm 2.9$ $10 \pm 20$	$2407 \pm 23$ $2482 \pm 16$	2500
647 BC	$4.7 \pm 2.0$ 63 $\pm$ 01	$2+05 \pm 10$ $2472 \pm 17$	2595
656 BC	$0.5 \pm 2.1$ 70 $\pm 1.4$	$2772 \pm 17$ $2475 \pm 11$	2605
657 BC*	$130 \pm 1.4$	$2479 \pm 11$ $2479 \pm 22$	2606
667 BC	142 + 28	$2429 \pm 22$ 2429 + 22	2616
697 BC	14.7 + 3.3	2455 + 26	2646
	···· ± 0.0		_0.0

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

<sup>14</sup>C BP

 $3027 \pm 18$ 

 $3040 \pm 18$ 

 $3102 \pm 15$ 

 $3059 \pm 17$ 

 $3043 \pm 18$  $3071 \pm 17$ 

 $3030 \pm 16$ 

3087 ± 16

 $3091 \pm 16$ 

 $3117 \pm 12$ 

 $3099 \pm 16$ 

 $3168 \pm 16$ 

 $3118 \pm 17$ 

 $3177 \pm 12$ 

 $3177 \pm 12$ 

 $3203 \pm 11$ 

 $3222 \pm 12$ 

 $3213 \pm 12$ 

 $3185 \pm 13$ 

 $3231 \pm 18$ 

 $3248 \pm 16$ 

 $3251 \pm 17$ 

 $3273 \pm 16$ 

 $3308 \pm 17$ 

 $3304 \pm 17$ 

 $3304 \pm 17$ 

 $3314 \pm 29$ 

3289 ± 29

 $3302 \pm 18$ 

 $3276 \pm 14$ 

 $3326 \pm 13$ 

 $3327 \pm 14$ 

 $3350 \pm 13$ 

 $3355 \pm 18$ 

 $3387 \pm 10$ 

 $3344 \pm 13$ 

 $3406 \pm 18$ 

 $3320 \pm 17$ 

 $3360 \pm 17$ 

 $3434 \pm 12$ 

 $3427 \pm 18$ 

3398 ± 17

 $3407 \pm 17$ 

 $3430 \pm 17$ 

 $3469 \pm 18$ 

 $3485 \pm 13$ 

 $3459 \pm 14$  $3498 \pm 13$ 

 $3515 \pm 12$ 

 $3501 \pm 12$ 

 $3478 \pm 12$ 

 $3509 \pm 12$ 

 $3491 \pm 18$ 

 $3553 \pm 17$ 

 $3487 \pm 18$ 

 $3481 \pm 18$ 

 $3501 \pm 18$ 

 $3503 \pm 12$ 

 $3558 \pm 17$ 

 $3580 \pm 18$ 

cal BP

3256

3266

3276

3286 3296

3306 3316

3326

3336

3346

3356

3366

3376

3386

3396

3406

3416

3426

3436

3446

3456

3466

3476

3486

3496

3506

3516

3526

3536

3546

3556

3566

3576

3586

3596

3606

3616

3626

3636

3646

3656

3666

3676

3686

3696

3706

3716 3726

3736

3746

3756

3766

3776

3786 3796

3806

3816

3826 3836

cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP	cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)
707 вс	$15.5 \pm 3.4$	$2458 \pm 27$	2656	1307 вс	$17.3 \pm 2.3$
717 BC	$15.9 \pm 3.4$	$2464 \pm 27$	2666	1317 BC	$16.8 \pm 2.2$
727 bc*	$19.6 \pm 2.0$	$2445 \pm 16$	2676	1327 BC	$10.2 \pm 1.9$
737 bc*	$20.8 \pm 2.0$	$2445 \pm 16$	2686	1337 BC	$17.0 \pm 2.2$
747 bc*	$21.3 \pm 3.0$	$2451 \pm 23$	2696	1347 BC	$20.2 \pm 2.2$
757 bc*	$22.5 \pm 3.0$	$2451 \pm 23$	2706	1357 BC	$17.8 \pm 2.2$
767 bC*	$11.3 \pm 2.8$	$2550 \pm 22$	2716	1367 BC	$24.2 \pm 2.1$
777 bc*	$12.5 \pm 2.8$	$2550 \pm 22$	2726	1377 BC	$18.3 \pm 2.0$
787 BC	$14.0 \pm 1.6$	$2548 \pm 12$	2736	1387 BC	$19.0 \pm 2.1$
797 вс	7.6 ± 1.5	$2608 \pm 12$	2746	1397 bc	$16.9 \pm 1.5$
807 bc	$4.0 \pm 1.6$	$2647 \pm 13$	2756	1407 bC	$20.4 \pm 2.0$
817 BC	$2.2 \pm 2.1$	$2670 \pm 17$	2766	1417 BC	$12.9 \pm 2.0$
827 BC	$3.1 \pm 1.6$	$2673 \pm 13$	2776	1427 BC	$20.4 \pm 2.2$
837 BC	$-3.6 \pm 1.6$	$2737 \pm 13$	2786	1437 BC	$14.3 \pm 1.5$
847 BC	$-3.9 \pm 1.6$	$2749 \pm 13$	2796	1447 BC	$15.6 \pm 1.5$
857 BC	$-2.6 \pm 2.1$	$2748 \pm 17$	2806	1457 BC	$13.8 \pm 1.4$
867 BC	$-0.3 \pm 2.0$	$2740 \pm 16$	2816	1467 BC	$12.5 \pm 1.5$
877 BC	$1.1 \pm 2.1$	$2738 \pm 17$	2826	1477 BC	$14.6 \pm 1.5$
887 BC	$6.0 \pm 2.1$	$2708 \pm 17$	2836	1487 BC	$19.4 \pm 1.7$
897 BC	$3.2 \pm 2.1$	$2741 \pm 17$	2846	1497 BC	$14.8 \pm 2.3$
907 BC	$-0.3 \pm 2.0$	$27/8 \pm 16$	2856	1507 BC	$13.9 \pm 2.0$
917 BC	$0.6 \pm 2.1$	$2/81 \pm 1/$	2866	1517 BC	$14.7 \pm 2.2$
927 BC	$0.5 \pm 2.1$	$2/91 \pm 1/$	2876	1527 BC	$13.2 \pm 2.0$
937 BC	$0.2 \pm 1.6$	$2804 \pm 13$	2880	1537 BC	$10.0 \pm 2.1$
947 BC	$-1.0 \pm 1.3$	$2827 \pm 12$	2890	1547 BC	$11.7 \pm 2.2$
937 BC	$0.1 \pm 1.7$	$2624 \pm 15$ $2761 \pm 17$	2900	1557 BC	$12.9 \pm 2.1$ $12.0 \pm 2.6$
907 BC	$9.2 \pm 2.1$	$2701 \pm 17$ $2844 \pm 12$	2910	1577 BC	$12.9 \pm 3.0$ $17.3 \pm 3.6$
987 BC	$25 \pm 20$	$2044 \pm 12$ $2834 \pm 16$	2936	1587 BC	$169 \pm 22$
997 BC	$56 \pm 2.0$	$2818 \pm 16$	2946	1597 BC	214 + 17
1007 BC	47 + 21	$2836 \pm 17$	2956	1607 BC	16.4 + 1.6
1017 BC	1.4 + 2.0	2871 + 16	2966	1617 BC	$17.5 \pm 1.7$
1027 BC	$0.4 \pm 2.2$	$2889 \pm 18$	2976	1627 BC	$15.7 \pm 1.7$
1037 BC	$2.8 \pm 2.1$	$2880 \pm 17$	2986	1637 BC	$16.3 \pm 2.2$
1047 BC	$7.7 \pm 2.2$	$2850 \pm 17$	2996	1647 BC	$13.5 \pm 1.3$
1057 bc	$-0.5 \pm 2.0$	$2926 \pm 16$	3006	1657 BC	$20.1 \pm 1.7$
1067 bC	$7.0 \pm 2.3$	$2876 \pm 18$	3016	1667 BC	$13.5 \pm 2.3$
1077 bc	$6.5 \pm 2.2$	$2889 \pm 18$	3026	1677 BC	$25.8 \pm 2.2$
1087 BC	$4.5 \pm 2.2$	$2915 \pm 18$	3036	1687 BC	$21.9 \pm 2.2$
1097 bc	$5.1 \pm 1.4$	$2919 \pm 12$	3046	1697 BC	$13.7 \pm 1.5$
1107 BC	$7.6 \pm 1.5$	$2909 \pm 12$	3056	1707 BC	$15.9 \pm 2.2$
1117 вс	$2.0 \pm 2.4$	$2964 \pm 19$	3066	1717 BC	$20.8 \pm 2.2$
1127 BC	$10.1 \pm 2.1$	$2909 \pm 17$	3076	1727 BC	$20.8 \pm 2.2$
1137 BC	$-0.3 \pm 1.4$	$3002 \pm 11$	3086	1737 BC	$19.1 \pm 2.2$
114/ BC	$11.8 \pm 2.1$	$2915 \pm 17$	3096	1/4/ BC	$15.4 \pm 2.2$
1157 BC	$8.9 \pm 2.2$	$294/\pm 1/$	3106	1/5/ BC	$14.6 \pm 1.6$
1107 BC	$10.3 \pm 2.1$	$2940 \pm 17$	3110	1707 BC	$19.1 \pm 1.7$
11/7 BC	$11.2 \pm 2.0$	$2949 \pm 21$	3120	1777 BC	$15.4 \pm 1.7$
1107 BC	$10.5 \pm 2.2$	$2901 \pm 17$ $3005 \pm 16$	3146	1707 BC	$14.7 \pm 1.3$ $17.4 \pm 1.5$
1207 BC	$187 \pm 2.0$	$3003 \pm 10$ 2018 + 18	3156	1807 BC	$17.4 \pm 1.5$ $21.0 \pm 1.5$
1217 BC	$10.7 \pm 2.2$ $10.4 \pm 1.2$	$2910 \pm 10$ 2992 + 9	3166	1817 BC	$190 \pm 15$
1217 BC	$10.4 \pm 1.2$ $10.6 \pm 2.1$	$3002 \pm 17$	3176	1827 BC	$17.0 \pm 1.3$ $22.5 \pm 2.2$
1237 BC	$16.5 \pm 2.1$	2965 + 18	3186	1837 BC	$15.9 \pm 2.2$
1247 BC	14.5 + 2.2	2990 + 17	3196	1847 BC	25.5 + 2.2
1257 BC	$16.4 \pm 1.7$	$2985 \pm 14$	3206	1857 BC	$27.5 \pm 2.2$
1267 BC	$11.0 \pm 2.2$	$3038 \pm 18$	3216	1867 BC	$26.2 \pm 2.2$
1277 BC	$13.0 \pm 2.1$	$3032 \pm 17$	3226	1877 BC	$27.1 \pm 1.6$
1287 BC	$18.9 \pm 2.2$	2994 ± 18	3236	1887 BC	$21.4 \pm 2.1$
1297 BC	$15.1 \pm 2.2$	3035 ± 17	3246	1897 BC	$19.9 \pm 2.2$

TABLE I. D	ecadar Measuren	lents (Comm	uea)	TABLE I. DCCa	ual wicasuich	ients (Comm
cal AD/BO	$\Delta^{14}C$ (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP	cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP
1907 B	C 242 + 22	3555 + 17	3856	2517 вс	$40.9 \pm 1.7$	$4018 \pm 13$
1917 B	252 + 22	3557 + 17	3866	2527 BC	$44.6 \pm 1.2$	$4000 \pm 9$
1927 в	$25.2 \pm 2.2$	$3599 \pm 14$	3876	2537 BC	48.1 + 1.6	$3983 \pm 12$
1037 p	$C = 22.9 \pm 2.0$	$3595 \pm 16$	3886	2547 BC	486 + 16	3988 + 12
1937 B 1047 B	$22.9 \pm 2.0$	$3600 \pm 18$	3806	2547 BC	$47.9 \pm 1.5$	$4003 \pm 12$
1947 B	$22.4 \pm 2.5$	$3009 \pm 10$	2006	2557 BC	$47.9 \pm 1.3$ $46.4 \pm 1.4$	$4003 \pm 12$ $4024 \pm 11$
1957 B	$C = 23.2 \pm 2.2$	$3012 \pm 10$	2014	2507 BC	$40.4 \pm 1.4$	$4024 \pm 11$
1967 B	$C = 23.5 \pm 2.2$	$3019 \pm 18$	3910	2577 BC	$43.9 \pm 1.7$	$4033 \pm 13$
I9// В	$3C  22.3 \pm 2.2$	$3638 \pm 17$	3920	2587 BC	$40.8 \pm 1.4$	$4000 \pm 11$
1987 B	C 19.5 $\pm$ 2.2	$36/0 \pm 1/$	3936	2597 BC	$4/.1 \pm 1.0$	$4049 \pm 12$
1997 в	C $28.8 \pm 2.2$	$3607 \pm 17$	3946	2607 BC	$40.3 \pm 2.4$	$4110 \pm 19$
2007 в	SC $32.1 \pm 2.3$	$3591 \pm 18$	3956	2617 BC	$49.8 \pm 1.6$	$4047 \pm 12$
2017 в	$3C = 26.4 \pm 2.2$	$3645 \pm 17$	3966	2627 вс	$45.5 \pm 1.7$	$4089 \pm 13$
2027 в	SC $28.8 \pm 2.2$	$3636 \pm 17$	3976	2637 вс	$44.3 \pm 1.4$	$4108 \pm 11$
2037 в	SC $24.3 \pm 1.4$	$3681 \pm 11$	3986	2647 вс	$39.1 \pm 2.3$	$4159 \pm 18$
2047 в	C $22.6 \pm 1.6$	$3704 \pm 12$	3996	2655 вс	$50.6 \pm 2.4$	$4078 \pm 18$
2057 в	C $22.1 \pm 1.6$	3717 ± 13	4006	2665 вс	49.9 ± 1.6	$4093 \pm 12$
2067 в	$28.4 \pm 2.2$	$3678 \pm 17$	4016	2675 вс	47.8 ± 1.6	$4119 \pm 12$
2077 B	$21.5 \pm 1.6$	$3742 \pm 12$	4026	2685 вс	48.7 ± 1.6	$4122 \pm 12$
2087 B	32.4 + 2.2	3666 + 17	4036	2695 вс	$48.2 \pm 2.4$	$4136 \pm 18$
2007 E	302 + 22	3693 + 17	4046	2705 вс	$47.5 \pm 1.3$	$4150 \pm 10$
2107 E	$352 \pm 2.2$	$3664 \pm 12$	4056	2715 BC	454 + 24	$4177 \pm 19$
2107 E	$322 \pm 1.0$	$3697 \pm 17$	4066	2725 BC	519 + 24	4137 + 19
2117 6	$32.2 \pm 2.2$	$3697 \pm 17$	4076	2725 BC	$499 \pm 20$	4161 + 15
2127 E	$30 33.1 \pm 2.2$	$3064 \pm 17$	4070	2735 BC 2745 BC	$\frac{4}{541} \pm 2.0$	$4130 \pm 19$
2137 E	$3C  32.2 \pm 2.2$	$3/10 \pm 1/$	4000	2745 BC	$54.1 \pm 2.7$ $54.5 \pm 2.4$	$4145 \pm 18$
2147 E	$27.5 \pm 1.4$	$3702 \pm 11$	4090	2755 BC	$34.3 \pm 2.4$	$4145 \pm 10$ $4210 \pm 20$
2157 B	$3C = 29.6 \pm 1.4$	$3/30 \pm 11$	4100	2703 BC	$47.4 \pm 2.0$	$4210 \pm 20$
2167 E	SC $32.6 \pm 2.3$	$3/43 \pm 18$	4110	2775 BC	$54.4 \pm 2.1$	$4100 \pm 10$
2177 E	SC $34.7 \pm 1.5$	$3/36 \pm 12$	4126	2785 BC	$57.4 \pm 1.7$	$4133 \pm 13$
2187 E	$36.6 \pm 1.3$	$3/30 \pm 10$	4136	2/95 BC	$55.6 \pm 1.7$	$41/0 \pm 13$
2197 е	SC $36.0 \pm 1.3$	$3745 \pm 10$	4146	2805 BC	$53.7 \pm 2.3$	$4201 \pm 17$
2207 E	$30.2 \pm 1.9$	$3800 \pm 15$	4156	2815 BC	$66.5 \pm 1.7$	$4114 \pm 13$
2217 E	$30.2 \pm 1.6$	$3811 \pm 12$	4166	2825 BC	$72.3 \pm 2.6$	$40/9 \pm 19$
2227 E	$34.3 \pm 1.3$	$3787 \pm 11$	4176	2835 вс	$67.6 \pm 2.3$	$4124 \pm 17$
2237 e	BC $28.9 \pm 2.5$	3839 ± 19	4186	2845 вс	$65.1 \pm 2.2$	$4153 \pm 17$
2247 e	SC $31.3 \pm 1.7$	$3830 \pm 13$	4196	2855 вс	$66.7 \pm 2.3$	$4151 \pm 18$
2257 E	$37.2 \pm 1.6$	$3793 \pm 12$	4206	2865 вс	$66.3 \pm 2.2$	4163 ± 16
2267 e	$40.0 \pm 2.2$	$3782 \pm 17$	4216	2875 вс	65.1 ± 1.6	$4182 \pm 12$
2277 F	36.4 + 2.7	$3820 \pm 21$	4226	2885 вс	$61.3 \pm 2.3$	4220 ± 17
2287 F	377 + 19	3820 + 15	4236	2895 вс	$55.1 \pm 2.3$	4277 ± 18
2297 F	347 + 17	3852 + 13	4246	2905 вс	$54.5 \pm 2.3$	$4292 \pm 18$
2307 6	$384 \pm 25$	3833 + 19	4256	2915 BC	53.4 + 2.2	$4310 \pm 17$
2317 6	$357 \pm 2.3$	$3865 \pm 18$	4266	2925 BC	47.9 + 2.3	$4361 \pm 18$
2317 1	$303 \pm 16$	$3846 \pm 12$	4276	2935 BC	469 + 2.6	4379 + 20
2327 5	$380 \pm 73$	$3850 \pm 17$	4286	2945 BC	453 + 22	4401 + 17
2337 E	$30.9 \pm 2.3$	$3039 \pm 17$ $3976 \pm 13$	4200	2945 BC	$527 \pm 16$	4355 + 12
2347 E	$3C = 36.0 \pm 1.0$	$3070 \pm 13$	4290	2955 BC	$52.7 \pm 1.0$ $52.2 \pm 2.2$	$4367 \pm 12$
2337 E	$3C  30.3 \pm 2.3$	$309/\pm 10$	4300	2903 BC	$52.2 \pm 2.2$	$4307 \pm 17$
2367 E	$3C = 37.5 \pm 1.0$	$3899 \pm 13$	4310	2973 BC	$50.2 \pm 2.3$	$4392 \pm 10$ $4252 \pm 12$
23/7 E	$3C  39.1 \pm 2.3$	$3896 \pm 18$	4320	2985 BC	$50.0 \pm 1.0$	$4332 \pm 12$
2387 E	$44.8 \pm 1.7$	$3864 \pm 13$	4336	2995 BC	$59.7 \pm 2.4$	$4339 \pm 18$
2397 E	BC $50.1 \pm 2.5$	$3832 \pm 20$	4346	3005 BC	$58.4 \pm 2.4$	$4359 \pm 18$
2407 e	BC $42.4 \pm 1.7$	$3900 \pm 13$	4356	3015 BC	$57.5 \pm 2.3$	$43/6 \pm 18$
2417 E	$41.8 \pm 1.8$	$3914 \pm 14$	4366	3025 BC	$59.2 \pm 2.3$	$4372 \pm 18$
2427 e	$47.3 \pm 2.2$	$3882 \pm 17$	4376	3035 BC	$59.2 \pm 2.4$	$4382 \pm 18$
2437 e	BC $46.3 \pm 1.2$	$3900 \pm 9$	4386	3045 BC	$55.7 \pm 1.5$	$4418 \pm 12$
2447 e	$48.4 \pm 2.4$	3893 ± 18	4396	3055 BC	$54.8 \pm 1.6$	$4435 \pm 12$
2467 e	$47.9 \pm 2.3$	3916 ± 18	4416	3065 BC	$56.5 \pm 2.4$	$4432 \pm 18$
2477 E	$37.2 \pm 1.6$	$4009 \pm 13$	4426	3075 вс	$63.2 \pm 1.2$	$4390 \pm 9$
2487 1	BC $41.2 \pm 1.7$	3987 ± 13	4436	3085 вс	$58.8 \pm 2.4$	4434 ± 18
2497	$35.8 \pm 2.4$	$4039 \pm 19$	4446	3095 BC	$60.8 \pm 2.4$	$4428 \pm 18$
2507	BC $33.3 \pm 1.7$	4067 ± 13	4456	3105 вс	$52.3 \pm 2.4$	4503 ± 18

 TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

				-		
cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP		cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)
3115 BC	$56.5 \pm 1.7$	4481 ± 13	5064		3715 BC	71.4 ± 1.7
3125 BC	$53.7 \pm 2.3$	$4512 \pm 18$	5074		3725 вс	$71.4 \pm 2.7$
3135 BC	$55.1 \pm 2.3$	$4510 \pm 17$	5084		3735 вс	75.9 ± 1.8
3145 BC	$51.9 \pm 2.3$	4544 ± 18	5094		3745 вс	$76.0 \pm 1.5$
3155 BC	$58.9 \pm 2.4$	$4501 \pm 18$	5104		3755 BC	76.9 ± 1.7
3165 BC	$64.7 \pm 1.7$	$4467 \pm 13$	5114		3765 BC	$72.8 \pm 1.7$
3175 BC	$58.9 \pm 2.4$	$4520 \pm 18$	5124		3775 вс	$73.2 \pm 1.8$
3185 BC	$63.0 \pm 1.7$	$4500 \pm 13$	5134		3785 BC	74.9 ± 1.4
3195 BC	$61.5 \pm 1.7$	$4520 \pm 12$	5144		3795 BC	75.8 ± 1.8
3205 BC	$61.7 \pm 2.4$	$4528 \pm 18$	5154		3805 BC	$65.4 \pm 1.7$
3215 BC	$67.0 \pm 1.5$	$4497 \pm 11$	5164		3815 BC	$65.5 \pm 2.3$
3225 BC	$68.7 \pm 2.4$	$4495 \pm 18$	5174		3825 BC	$71.4 \pm 2.3$
3235 BC	$74.5 \pm 2.4$	4461 ± 18	5184		3835 BC	$73.1 \pm 2.4$
3245 BC	$79.3 \pm 2.4$	$4435 \pm 18$	5194		3845 BC	$71.5 \pm 2.4$
3255 BC	77.9 ± 2.4	$4455 \pm 18$	5204		3855 BC	$71.9 \pm 1.4$
3265 BC	$75.1 \pm 2.1$	4486 ± 16	5214		3865 bC	$78.1 \pm 1.2$
3275 BC	$77.2 \pm 2.6$	4480 ± 19	5224		3875 BC	73.9 ± 1.3
3285 BC	$80.0 \pm 2.4$	4469 ± 18	5234		3885 BC	87.1 ± 1.6
3295 BC	$81.4 \pm 2.4$	$4468 \pm 18$	5244		3895 BC	$82.5 \pm 2.1$
3305 вс	$81.0 \pm 2.5$	$4480 \pm 18$	5254		3905 bC	82.6 ± 1.7
3315 BC	$78.4 \pm 1.8$	$4511 \pm 13$	5264		3915 BC	81.9 ± 1.7
3325 BC	$84.2 \pm 1.7$	4476 ± 13	5274		3925 BC	$84.0 \pm 2.1$
3335 BC	$78.9 \pm 1.6$	$4525 \pm 12$	5284		3935 BC	$85.1 \pm 2.0$
3345 вс	$78.8 \pm 1.6$	$4535 \pm 12$	5294		3945 BC	$81.1 \pm 1.7$
3355 BC	74.9 ± 2.5	$4575 \pm 19$	5304		3955 BC	$82.0 \pm 1.9$
3365 BC	$72.0 \pm 2.4$	$4606 \pm 18$	5314		3965 вс	76.1 ± 1.2
3375 BC	$68.2 \pm 2.4$	4644 ± 18	5324		3975 вс	$74.5 \pm 1.2$
3385 BC	$63.7 \pm 2.4$	4688 ± 18	5334		3985 BC	$70.3 \pm 1.6$
3395 BC	$60.8 \pm 1.8$	4718 ± 14	5344		3995 вс	69.5 ± 1.7
3405 BC	66.8 ± 2.4	$4684 \pm 18$	5354		4005 BC	$69.0 \pm 1.8$
3415 BC	65.6 ± 2.7	$4703 \pm 20$	5364		4015 BC	$72.1 \pm 2.4$
3425 BC	$70.9 \pm 2.0$	$4673 \pm 15$	5374		4025 BC	$77.2 \pm 1.4$
3435 BC	73.4 ± 1.7	4664 ± 13	5384		4035 BC	$78.5 \pm 1.2$
3445 BC	$73.8 \pm 2.9$	$4670 \pm 22$	5394		4045 BC	$73.7 \pm 1.8$
3455 BC	$74.0 \pm 2.7$	$4679 \pm 20$	5404		4085 BC	$73.0 \pm 2.1$
3465 BC	$80.0 \pm 1.7$	$4643 \pm 13$	5414		4095 BC	$74.6 \pm 1.7$
3475 вс	$86.6 \pm 1.7$	$4604 \pm 13$	5424		4105 BC	$78.4 \pm 2.5$
3485 BC	$87.1 \pm 1.7$	$4611 \pm 13$	5434		4115 BC	$69.5 \pm 1.7$
3495 BC	$86.1 \pm 1.8$	$4628 \pm 13$	5444		4125 BC	$80.2 \pm 2.5$
3505 BC	$79.9 \pm 1.8$	$4684 \pm 13$	5454		4135 BC	$82.0 \pm 1.8$
3515 BC	$76.3 \pm 1.7$	$4/20 \pm 13$	5464		4155 BC	$85.6 \pm 2.5$
3525 BC	$77.2 \pm 1.8$	$4/23 \pm 13$	54/4		4165 BC	$80.1 \pm 2.5$
3535 BC	$78.4 \pm 1.7$	$4/25 \pm 13$	5484		4175 BC	$75.3 \pm 2.0$
3545 BC	$73.1 \pm 1.7$	$4/13 \pm 13$	5494		4185 BC	$81.4 \pm 2.5$
3555 BC	$74.9 \pm 1.8$	$4/69 \pm 13$	5504		4195 BC	$84.8 \pm 1.8$
3565 BC	$79.7 \pm 1.8$	$4/43 \pm 13$	5514		4205 BC	$92.0 \pm 2.4$
3575 BC	$79.5 \pm 1.8$	$4/35 \pm 13$	5524		4215 BC	$94.1 \pm 2.0$
3585 BC	$82.7 \pm 1.8$	$4/41 \pm 13$	5534		4225 BC	$81.3 \pm 1.8$
3595 BC	$85.0 \pm 1.4$	$4/34 \pm 10$	5554		4235 BC	$81.3 \pm 1.0$
3605 BC	$88.1 \pm 1.0$	$4/19 \pm 12$ $4706 \pm 10$	5564		4245 BC	$30.3 \pm 1.0$ $70.0 \pm 2.0$
3625 BC	$91.3 \pm 1.4$ 80 / $\pm 25$	$4700 \pm 10$ $4730 \pm 10$	5504		4255 BC	$77.0 \pm 2.9$ $74.8 \pm 1.0$
3625 BC	07.4 ± 2.3 875 ± 17	$4700 \pm 19$ $4700 \pm 12$	5581		4205 BC	$77.0 \pm 1.9$ 707 + 20
3645 PC	$52.5 \pm 1.7$ 774 + 19	$4730 \pm 13$ $4837 \pm 12$	5504		4285 BC	$\frac{19.7 \pm 3.0}{81.7 \pm 1.9}$
3655 PC	$73.4 \pm 1.7$	$\frac{1037 \pm 13}{4878 \pm 13}$	5604		4205 BC	$855 \pm 26$
3665 BC	$75.7 \pm 1.7$	4874 + 13	5614		4305 BC	$916 \pm 25$
3675 BC	697 + 14	4925 + 11	5674		4315 BC	921 + 26
3685 BC	$75.0 \pm 1.7$	4895 + 13	5634		4325 BC	86.7 + 2.6
3695 BC	$77.3 \pm 1.7$	$4888 \pm 13$	5644		4335 BC	$80.7 \pm 1.8$
3705 BC	$74.8 \pm 1.7$	$4916 \pm 13$	5654		4345 BC	$78.2 \pm 1.8$

 TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

<sup>14</sup>C BP

 $4951 \pm 13$ 

 $4961 \pm 20$ 

 $4937 \pm 13$ 

 $4946 \pm 12$ 

 $4949 \pm 13$  $4989 \pm 13$ 

 $4995 \pm 13$ 

 $4992 \pm 11$ 

 $4996 \pm 14$ 5083 ± 13

 $5092 \pm 17$ 

 $5058 \pm 17$ 

 $5055 \pm 18$ 

 $5077 \pm 18$ 

 $5084 \pm 10$ 

 $5046 \pm 10$ 

 $5088 \pm 10$ 

 $4999 \pm 12$ 

 $5044 \pm 15$ 

 $5052 \pm 12$ 

 $5067 \pm 12$ 

 $5062 \pm 16$ 

 $5063 \pm 15$ 

 $5102 \pm 13$  $5105 \pm 15$ 

 $5159 \pm 9$  $5181 \pm 9$ 

 $5221 \pm 12$ 

 $5237 \pm 13$ 

 $5251 \pm 13$ 

 $5237 \pm 18$ 

 $5209 \pm 11$ 

5209 ± 9

 $5255 \pm 13$ 

5298 ± 15

 $5296 \pm 13$ 

 $5278 \pm 18$ 

 $5354 \pm 13$ 

 $5284 \pm 19$ 

 $5280 \pm 13$ 

 $5273 \pm 19$ 

 $5323 \pm 18$ 

 $5369 \pm 19$ 

5333 ± 19

 $5318 \pm 13$ 

 $5270 \pm 18$ 

 $5269 \pm 19$ 

 $5372 \pm 13$ 

5381 ± 13

 $5355 \pm 13$ 

 $5419 \pm 22$ 

 $5460 \pm 14$ 

 $5433 \pm 22$ 

 $5433 \pm 13$ 

 $5409 \pm 20$ 

5374 ± 19

 $5380 \pm 19$ 

5430 ± 19

 $5484 \pm 13$ 

 $5513 \pm 13$ 

cal BP

5664

5674

5684

5694 5704

5714

5724

5734 5744

5754

5764

5774

5784

5794

5804

5814

5824

5834

5844

5854

5864

5874

5884

5894 5904

5914 5924

5934

5944

5954

5964

5974

5984

5994

6034

6044

6054

6064

6074

6084

6104

6114

6124

6134

6144

6154

6164

6174

6184

6194

6204

6214

6224

6234

6244

6254

6264

6274

6284

	TABLE I. Deca	ual measurem	ents (Comm	ueu)	TABLE I. Deca	dui Meusurem	ionis ( continu
-	cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP	cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP
-	4355 BC	74.0 + 2.6	$5553 \pm 19$	6304	5105 вс	$83.0 \pm 2.6$	$6215 \pm 19$
	4365 BC	738 + 25	5564 + 19	6314	5115 BC	92.7 ± 2.5	$6153 \pm 19$
	4375 BC	734 + 18	5575 + 13	6324	5125 BC	$85.1 \pm 2.6$	6219 ± 19
	4385 BC	$787 \pm 26$	5547 + 20	6334	5135 BC	$94.9 \pm 2.5$	6157 ± 18
	4305 BC	$75.1 \pm 2.0$	$5582 \pm 20$	6344	5145 BC	957 + 1.9	$6160 \pm 14$
	4393 BC	$73.4 \pm 2.0$	$5574 \pm 10$	6354	5165 BC	927 + 26	6202 + 19
	4403 BC	$77.1 \pm 2.0$	$5616 \pm 10$	6364	5175 BC	99.1 + 2.6	$6164 \pm 19$
	4415 BC	$75.4 \pm 2.0$	$5542 \pm 20$	6274	5185 BC	$1068 \pm 19$	6119 + 14
	4425 BC	$04.7 \pm 2.7$	$5542 \pm 20$	6384	5105 BC	$106.0 \pm 1.9$ $106.7 \pm 2.6$	6128 + 19
	4435 BC	$87.9 \pm 2.7$	$5527 \pm 20$	6204	5205 BC	$100.7 \pm 2.0$ $100.0 \pm 2.8$	$6120 \pm 19$ $6122 \pm 20$
	4445 BC	$83.0 \pm 2.0$	$3309 \pm 19$	6404	5265 BC	$109.0 \pm 2.0$ $05.2 \pm 2.8$	6281 + 21
	4455 BC	$79.7 \pm 2.7$	$5008 \pm 20$	6404	5276 BC	$103.6 \pm 2.7$	$6230 \pm 20$
	4465 BC	$71.5 \pm 1.8$	$3079 \pm 13$	6424	5270 BC	$103.0 \pm 2.7$	$6250 \pm 20$ $6255 \pm 14$
	4475 BC	$73.4 \pm 2.0$	$50/5 \pm 19$	0424	5206 BC	$101.5 \pm 1.9$ $102.7 \pm 1.0$	$6255 \pm 14$
	4485 BC	$76.3 \pm 2.7$	$5663 \pm 20$	6434	5290 BC	$102.7 \pm 1.9$	$6200 \pm 19$
	4495 BC	$75.5 \pm 2.6$	$56/8 \pm 19$	6444	5300 BC	$97.9 \pm 2.0$	$0300 \pm 19$ 6221 ± 12
	4505 BC	$76.5 \pm 2.5$	$5681 \pm 19$	6454	5310 BC	$90.4 \pm 1.7$	$0321 \pm 13$
	4515 BC	$77.3 \pm 2.6$	$5684 \pm 19$	6464	5326 BC	$88.5 \pm 1.8$	$0389 \pm 13$
	4525 вс	$78.7 \pm 2.8$	$5684 \pm 21$	6474	5336 BC	$94.9 \pm 2.7$	$6352 \pm 20$
	4535 BC	$79.1 \pm 2.6$	$5690 \pm 19$	6484	5346 BC	$92.2 \pm 2.8$	$6381 \pm 20$
	4545 вс	72.6 ± 2.7	$5748 \pm 21$	6494	5356 BC	$96.2 \pm 1.9$	$6361 \pm 14$
	4555 BC	$72.9 \pm 2.6$	5756 ± 19	6504	5366 BC	$94.8 \pm 1.9$	$6383 \pm 14$
	4565 BC	72.7 ± 1.9	$5767 \pm 14$	6514	5376 BC	$90.6 \pm 2.6$	$6422 \pm 19$
	4575 вс	$76.0 \pm 1.8$	$5753 \pm 13$	6524	5386 BC	$91.2 \pm 1.9$	$6427 \pm 15$
	4585 BC	$77.2 \pm 1.8$	$5753 \pm 13$	6534	5396 BC	$88.0 \pm 1.9$	$6462 \pm 15$
	4595 BC	$76.5 \pm 2.6$	5768 ± 19	6544	5406 вс	$96.5 \pm 2.7$	$6408 \pm 20$
	4605 BC	$78.0 \pm 2.5$	5767 ± 19	6554	5416 BC	$100.3 \pm 2.9$	$6390 \pm 21$
	4615 BC	79.7 ± 2.8	5764 ± 21	6564	5426 вс	$98.7 \pm 3.1$	$6412 \pm 23$
	4625 BC	74.8 ± 1.6	$5810 \pm 12$	6574	5436 BC	$101.5 \pm 2.7$	$6400 \pm 20$
	4635 BC	$79.0 \pm 2.2$	5788 ± 16	6584	5446 вс	$100.7 \pm 2.7$	$6416 \pm 20$
	4645 BC	$82.0 \pm 1.3$	$5775 \pm 10$	6594	5456 BC	$106.5 \pm 1.9$	$6384 \pm 14$
	4655 BC	$82.2 \pm 1.8$	5784 ± 13	6604	5466 вс	$105.4 \pm 2.1$	$6402 \pm 15$
	4665 BC	$87.5 \pm 2.6$	5754 ± 19	6614	5476 вс	$95.2 \pm 2.6$	$6485 \pm 19$
	4675 BC	$87.4 \pm 2.8$	5764 ± 21	6624	5486 BC	$85.4 \pm 2.0$	$6568 \pm 15$
	4685 BC	$86.3 \pm 1.5$	$5782 \pm 11$	6634	5496 вс	$87.2 \pm 2.7$	$6564 \pm 20$
	4695 BC	$80.3 \pm 1.9$	5837 ± 14	6644	5506 BC	$92.6 \pm 1.9$	$6534 \pm 14$
	4705 BC	83.7 ± 1.8	$5822 \pm 13$	6654	5516 BC	$89.2 \pm 2.8$	$6568 \pm 20$
	4715 BC	79.9 ± 2.5	5859 ± 19	6664	5526 BC	$92.5 \pm 2.8$	$6554 \pm 21$
	4725 BC	$78.5 \pm 2.6$	5879 ± 19	6674	5536 BC	$88.5 \pm 2.8$	$6593 \pm 20$
	4735 BC	$80.1 \pm 2.5$	5877 ± 19	6684	5546 вс	$87.3 \pm 2.8$	$6612 \pm 21$
	4895 BC	86.6 ± 1.9	5985 ± 14	6844	5556 BC	$92.5 \pm 2.8$	$6583 \pm 20$
	4905 BC	$88.1 \pm 1.5$	5984 ± 11	6854	5566 BC	$81.0 \pm 2.7$	$6678 \pm 20$
	4915 BC	$85.1 \pm 2.1$	$6015 \pm 15$	6864	5576 вс	84.9 ± 2.8	6659 ± 21
	4925 BC	$83.9 \pm 2.6$	$6033 \pm 20$	6874	5586 вс	$83.3 \pm 2.8$	$6680 \pm 21$
	4935 BC	$90.0 \pm 1.9$	5999 ± 14	6884	5596 вс	$98.3 \pm 2.8$	$6580 \pm 21$
	4945 BC	$84.5 \pm 1.4$	$6048 \pm 10$	6894	5606 вс	97.4 ± 2.8	$6596 \pm 21$
	4955 BC	86.6 ± 1.8	$6042 \pm 14$	6904	5616 вс	90.6 ± 1.9	$6654 \pm 14$
	4965 BC	84.9 ± 1.9	$6066 \pm 14$	6914	5626 вс	82.9 ± 2.7	$6722 \pm 20$
	4975 BC	$87.8 \pm 1.8$	$6053 \pm 13$	6924	5636 BC	$81.0 \pm 2.9$	6746 ± 22
	4985 BC	$88.5 \pm 2.0$	$6058 \pm 14$	6934	5646 BC	$78.2 \pm 1.8$	6777 ± 13
	4995 BC	$85.2 \pm 2.6$	$6092 \pm 19$	6944	5656 BC	85.7 ± 1.8	6731 ± 14
	5005 BC	$83.4 \pm 2.6$	$6115 \pm 19$	6954	5666 BC	79.4 ± 2.8	6787 ± 21
	5015 BC	$88.5 \pm 2.7$	$6087 \pm 20$	6964	5676 вс	78.3 ± 1.8	$6805 \pm 13$
	5025 BC	$85.8 \pm 2.6$	6117 ± 19	6974	5686 вс	81.6 ± 2.6	6790 ± 19
	5035 BC	$87.3 \pm 2.7$	$6115 \pm 20$	6984	5696 BC	$84.0 \pm 2.9$	$6782 \pm 21$
	5045 BC	$85.5 \pm 2.7$	$6138 \pm 20$	6994	5706 BC	85.1 ± 1.9	6784 ± 14
	5055 BC	$89.4 \pm 2.7$	$6119 \pm 20$	7004	5716 BC	82.6 ± 2.9	$6812 \pm 21$
	5065 BC	83.5 + 2.6	$6172 \pm 19$	7014	5726 вс	78.7 ± 4.2	$6850 \pm 32$
	5075 BC	$82.5 \pm 2.7$	6189 + 20	7024	5736 BC	74.4 ± 2.7	6892 ± 20
	5085 BC	$80.4 \pm 2.6$	$6215 \pm 19$	7034	5746 вс	$74.0 \pm 1.9$	6905 ± 14
	5095 BC	$87.0 \pm 1.9$	$6176 \pm 14$	7044	5756 вс	$73.6 \pm 2.8$	6917 ± 21

 TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

cal BP 

 TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP
5766 BC	$76.9 \pm 2.5$	$6903 \pm 19$	7715
5776 вс	76.3 ± 2.4	6917 ± 18	7725
5786 вс	$77.5 \pm 2.5$	6918 ± 19	7735
5796 вс	$77.4 \pm 2.8$	$6928 \pm 21$	7745
5806 вс	$80.0 \pm 2.0$	6918 ± 15	7755
5816 BC	$76.5 \pm 2.8$	$6955 \pm 21$	7765
5826 BC	81.7 ± 1.9	$6926 \pm 14$	7775
5836 BC	$85.5 \pm 2.0$	$6906 \pm 15$	7785
5846 BC	$77.7 \pm 2.8$	$6975 \pm 21$	7795
5856 BC	$78.3 \pm 2.0$	$6980 \pm 15$	7805
5866 BC	$82.5 \pm 1.9$	$6958 \pm 14$	7815
5876 BC	$82.5 \pm 1.9$	$6968 \pm 14$	7825
5886 BC	$83.6 \pm 1.9$	$6969 \pm 15$	7835
5896 BC	$76.1 \pm 3.0$	$7035 \pm 22$	7845
5906 BC	$81.5 \pm 2.4$	$7005 \pm 18$	7855
5916 BC	$81.8 \pm 2.7$	$7012 \pm 20$	7865
5926 BC	$74.4 \pm 2.7$	$7077 \pm 20$	7875
5936 BC	$73.4 \pm 2.9$	$7094 \pm 22$	/885
5946 BC	$80.3 \pm 1.9$	$7053 \pm 15$	/895
5956 BC	$90.5 \pm 2.1$	$698/\pm 16$	/905
5900 BC	$92.5 \pm 1.9$	$6982 \pm 15$	7915
50%6 DC	$87.0 \pm 3.3$	$7032 \pm 25$	7925
5006 BC	$80.8 \pm 2.7$	$7088 \pm 20$	7935
5990 BC	$60.6 \pm 2.0$ 70.2 ± 2.8	$7097 \pm 19$ 7119 - 21	7945
6016 PC	$75.3 \pm 2.0$ $75.7 \pm 3.2$	$7110 \pm 21$ $7155 \pm 24$	7955
6023 BC	$70.8 \pm 2.8$	$7103 \pm 24$ 7108 + 21	7905
6026 BC	$70.0 \pm 2.0$ $74.8 \pm 2.3$	$7170 \pm 21$ $7171 \pm 17$	7975
6036 BC	$70.6 \pm 2.3$	7212 + 21	7985
6043 BC	811 + 19	$7142 \pm 21$	7992
6176 BC	$82.5 \pm 1.8$	7259 + 13	8125
6186 BC	$80.4 \pm 2.7$	$7285 \pm 20$	8135
6196 вс	$86.6 \pm 2.1$	$7248 \pm 16$	8145
6206 BC	$84.0 \pm 2.2$	7278 ± 16	8155
6216 BC	$82.4 \pm 3.6$	7299 ± 27	8165
6226 BC	$79.5 \pm 2.8$	7331 ± 21	8175
6236 вс	67.1 ± 3.7	7433 ± 28	8185
6246 вс	$74.3 \pm 2.8$	$7389 \pm 21$	8195
6256 BC	70.6 ± 3.6	7426 ± 27	8205
6266 BC	$73.7 \pm 2.9$	$7412 \pm 22$	8215
6276 BC	$66.7 \pm 3.8$	$7475 \pm 29$	8225
6286 BC	$79.1 \pm 3.0$	$7392 \pm 23$	8235
6296 BC	$77.7 \pm 2.2$	$7412 \pm 16$	8245
6306 BC	$74.9 \pm 2.0$	$7442 \pm 15$	8255
6310 BC	$83.0 \pm 2.3$	$7388 \pm 17$	8265
6326 BC	$84.4 \pm 2.2$	$7391 \pm 10$ 7207 + 21	82/5
6346 BC	$63.0 \pm 2.0$	$7397 \pm 21$	020J 0205
6396 BC	$83.4 \pm 2.0$ $80.4 \pm 3.5$	$7410 \pm 13$ $7480 \pm 26$	0295 8345
6406 BC	$799 \pm 21$	$7489 \pm 20$ 7501 + 15	8355
6416 BC	$815 \pm 20$	$7500 \pm 15$	8365
6426 BC	$80.2 \pm 1.8$	$7520 \pm 13$	8375
6436 BC	$75.6 \pm 3.0$	$7564 \pm 22$	8385
6446 BC	$75.2 \pm 2.0$	$7576 \pm 16$	8395
6456 BC	$75.2 \pm 2.1$	7586 ± 16	8405
6466 вс	$70.7 \pm 2.0$	7629 ± 15	8415
6476 вс	68.7 ± 1.7	7655 ± 13	8425
6486 вс	$63.5 \pm 3.0$	$7703 \pm 23$	8435
6496 BC	$70.1 \pm 3.1$	$7663 \pm 24$	8445
(4) 6499 BC	$68.3 \pm 2.3$	7679 ± 17	8448

cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP
6506 BC	$71.6 \pm 2.9$	$7662 \pm 22$	8455
(5) 6514 BC	$60.4 \pm 2.2$	7753 ± 17	8463
6516 BC	$68.7 \pm 2.0$	$7693 \pm 15$	8465
6526 BC	$70.5 \pm 2.0$	7690 ± 16	8475
6536 BC	$65.5 \pm 2.8$	7736 ± 21	8485
(8) 6538 BC	$61.8 \pm 3.2$	7766 ± 24	8487
6546 BC	73.4 ± 2.2	7687 ± 16	8495
(8) 6555 BC	$75.8 \pm 2.2$	7677 ± 16	8504
6556 BC	$73.1 \pm 2.1$	7699 ± 16	8505
6566 BC	76.8 ± 3.1	7681 ± 23	8515
6576 вс	69.6 ± 1.7	7745 ± 13	8525
6586 вс	79.7 ± 1.8	7679 ± 13	8535
6596 BC	$72.3 \pm 2.8$	7743 ± 21	8545
(4) 6600 BC	$68.2 \pm 3.2$	7778 ± 24	8549
6606 BC	$70.4 \pm 1.9$	7767 ± 15	8555
6616 BC	$68.2 \pm 2.1$	7792 ± 16	8565
6626 BC	$76.5 \pm 2.2$	7742 ± 16	8575
6629 BC	$65.5 \pm 2.8$	$7826 \pm 21$	8578
6636 BC	$74.9 \pm 2.1$	$7763 \pm 16$	8585
6646 BC	$67.2 \pm 1.5$	$7830 \pm 11$	8595
6656 BC	$64.6 \pm 3.3$	$7860 \pm 25$	8605
(6) 6662 BC	$60.0 \pm 3.3$	$7900 \pm 25$	8611
6666 BC	$68.0 \pm 3.3$	$7844 \pm 25$	8615
6676 BC	$69.8 \pm 1.8$	$7841 \pm 14$	8625
6686 BC	$69.3 \pm 3.1$	$7854 \pm 23$	8635
(11) 6693 BC	$62.7 \pm 3.1$	$7910 \pm 24$	8642
0090 BC	$69.8 \pm 3.3$	$7859 \pm 26$	8045
(3) 0/01 BC	$60.5 \pm 3.0$	$7934 \pm 23$	8030
6716 BC	$04.4 \pm 3.1$ $64.2 \pm 2.3$	$7910 \pm 23$ $7021 \pm 18$	8665
(5) 6721 PC	$635 \pm 32$	$7921 \pm 10$ 7032 + 24	8670
6726 BC	$715 \pm 28$	$7932 \pm 24$ 7876 + 21	8675
6736 BC	67.6 + 3.2	7915 + 24	8685
(5) 6741 BC	68.8 + 3.0	7911 + 23	8690
6746 BC	$72.6 \pm 1.9$	$7886 \pm 14$	8695
6756 BC	$69.2 \pm 1.9$	$7922 \pm 15$	8705
(5) 6761 BC	$69.3 \pm 3.0$	$7927 \pm 23$	8710
6766 BC	$73.1 \pm 1.8$	$7903 \pm 14$	8715
(3) 6767 BC	67.6 ± 3.3	7945 ± 25	8716
6776 вс	$71.4 \pm 3.1$	7925 ± 23	8725
6786 BC	$71.1 \pm 2.2$	7937 ± 16	8735
(3) 6787 BC	$70.3 \pm 3.4$	7944 ± 26	8736
6796 вс	74.4 ± 3.6	7922 ± 27	8745
(3) 6804 BC	$73.2 \pm 3.4$	$7939 \pm 26$	8753
6806 BC	$75.7 \pm 3.0$	$7922 \pm 22$	8755
6816 BC	$76.2 \pm 2.1$	$7927 \pm 16$	8765
6826 BC	$74.9 \pm 2.3$	$7948 \pm 18$	8775
(4) 6831 BC	$64.8 \pm 3.4$	$8028 \pm 26$	8/80
0830 BC	$77.5 \pm 3.0$	$7938 \pm 27$	8/85
(4) 6853 PC	$09.4 \pm 2.9$	$8009 \pm 22$ $8023 \pm 26$	8193
(4) 0055 BC	$80.4 \pm 3.4$	$3023 \pm 20$ 7036 ± 27	8805
6866 BC	$69.6 \pm 2.0$	8026 + 21	8815
(5) 6871 BC	$668 \pm 32$	$8020 \pm 21$ $8052 \pm 25$	8820
6876 BC	$75.5 \pm 2.1$	7992 + 16	8825
6886 BC	$81.6 \pm 3.0$	$7956 \pm 22$	8835
6896 BC	$83.5 \pm 2.1$	$7952 \pm 16$	8845
(5) 6901 BC	$78.6 \pm 3.2$	$7993 \pm 24$	8850
6906 вс	$86.8 \pm 3.0$	7937 ± 22	8855
6916 BC	$88.3 \pm 3.7$	7936 ± 27	8865

TABLE I. Deca	dal Measurem	ents (Contini	iea)	_
cal AD/BC	$\Delta^{14}$ C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP	
(5) 6921 BC	$82.0 \pm 3.2$	$7988 \pm 24$	8870	-
6926 BC	$78.7 \pm 1.6$	$8016 \pm 12$	8875	
(11) 6933 BC	$86.3 \pm 4.8$	7967 ± 36	8882	
6936 BC	$89.6 \pm 2.8$	$7946 \pm 21$	8885	
(6) 6940 BC	$87.6 \pm 3.3$	$7964 \pm 24$	8889	
6946 BC	$93.1 \pm 2.9$	$7930 \pm 21$	8895	
6956 BC	$86.9 \pm 2.7$	$7984 \pm 20$	8905	
(5) 6961 BC	$88.8 \pm 3.5$	$7976 \pm 26$	8910	
6963 BC	85.9 + 3.3	$7999 \pm 24$	8912	
6966 BC	90.5 + 2.3	$7969 \pm 17$	8915	
6976 BC	97.6 + 3.7	$7925 \pm 27$	8925	
6986 BC	91.7 + 2.3	$7978 \pm 17$	8935	
6996 BC	98.2 + 3.3	$7941 \pm 24$	8945	
(5) 7001 BC	95.7 + 4.4	$7964 \pm 32$	8950	
7006 BC	94.6 + 1.9	$7976 \pm 14$	8955	
(11) 7013 BC	$93.8 \pm 1.8$	$7989 \pm 13$	8962	
7016 BC	99.5 + 2.2	$7950 \pm 16$	8965	
7016 BC	968 + 23	7981 + 17	8975	
(5) 7031 BC	88.3 + 3.3	$8048 \pm 24$	8980	
7036 BC	$94.4 \pm 3.2$	$8007 \pm 24$	8985	
7046 BC	$98.4 \pm 3.1$	$7988 \pm 23$	8995	
(5) 7051 BC	$91.8 \pm 3.2$	$8041 \pm 24$	9000	
7056 BC	$98.6 \pm 3.1$	$7996 \pm 22$	9005	
(5) 7061 BC	83.4 + 3.2	$8113 \pm 24$	9010	
7066 BC	84.1 + 2.3	$8113 \pm 17$	9015	
7076 BC	86.7 + 2.1	$8103 \pm 16$	9025	
7086 BC	79.2 + 2.2	$8168 \pm 16$	9035	
7096 BC	$81.4 \pm 3.8$	$8162 \pm 29$	9045	
7106 BC	$90.3 \pm 2.9$	$8105 \pm 21$	9055	
(5) 7111 BC	$78.7 \pm 3.2$	$8196 \pm 24$	9060	
7116 BC	$91.8 \pm 2.8$	$8104 \pm 21$	9065	
7126 BC	$86.9 \pm 4.0$	$8150 \pm 30$	9075	
7136 BC	$90.4 \pm 2.3$	$8134 \pm 17$	9085	
7146 BC	$84.1 \pm 2.1$	$8191 \pm 16$	9095	
7156 BC	$96.4 \pm 3.0$	$8109 \pm 22$	9105	
7166 BC	$90.9 \pm 2.2$	$8159 \pm 16$	9115	
7176 BC	$92.0 \pm 2.2$	$8161 \pm 16$	9125	
7186 BC	$83.8 \pm 2.1$	$8232 \pm 16$	9135	
(4) 7190 BC	$88.5 \pm 3.3$	$8200 \pm 24$	9139	
7196 BC	$84.2 \pm 1.8$	$8238 \pm 14$	9145	
(2) 7200 BC	$79.6 \pm 5.5$	$8275 \pm 41$	9149	
7326 BC	$96.7 \pm 3.4$	$8272 \pm 25$	9275	
7336 вс	$95.5 \pm 4.3$	8291 ± 32	9285	
7346 вс	$97.1 \pm 3.0$	8289 ± 22	9295	
7356 вс	$93.6 \pm 3.0$	$8324 \pm 22$	9305	
7378 вс	97.7 ± 3.1	$8315 \pm 22$	9327	
7388 BC	$89.5 \pm 2.7$	$8385 \pm 20$	9337	
7398 BC	$104.0 \pm 3.3$	8289 ± 24	9347	
7408 BC	$96.2 \pm 4.7$	$8356 \pm 34$	9357	
7418 BC	$111.0 \pm 3.3$	$8258 \pm 24$	9367	
7428 вс	97.4 ± 3.7	8366 ± 27	9377	
7438 BC	$106.3 \pm 3.5$	8311 ± 25	9387	
7448 вс	$118.8 \pm 3.9$	$8230 \pm 28$	9397	
7458 BC	95.7 ± 2.3	8408 ± 17	9407	
7468 BC	$108.6 \pm 3.1$	8324 ± 23	9417	
7478 bc	$109.3 \pm 3.0$	8328 ± 22	9427	
7488 BC	$101.9 \pm 3.1$	8392 ± 23	9437	
7576 вс	$98.2 \pm 3.4$	8504 ± 25	9525	
7586 BC	94.3 ± 3.1	8543 ± 23	9535	
7588 BC	85.9 ± 3.3	8607 ± 25	9537	

TABLE 1.	Decadal	Measurements	(Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

and the second se			
cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP
7598 BC	$88.5 \pm 3.2$	8597 ± 24	9547
7608 BC	$81.0 \pm 3.0$	$8663 \pm 22$	9557
7618 BC	$73.6 \pm 3.0$	8727 ± 22	9567
7628 BC	$88.7 \pm 3.2$	$8625 \pm 24$	9577
7638 BC	$82.1 \pm 3.4$	$8683 \pm 25$	9587
7648 BC	88.9 + 3.4	$8643 \pm 25$	9597
7658 BC	83.5 + 3.3	$8692 \pm 25$	9607
7678 BC	97.4 + 4.6	$8609 \pm 34$	9627
7688 BC	81.1 + 2.8	$8739 \pm 21$	9637
7698 BC	86.0 + 3.4	$8712 \pm 25$	9647
7708 BC	$89.8 \pm 5.1$	$8694 \pm 38$	9657
7718 BC	$86.6 \pm 4.2$	$8728 \pm 31$	9667
7728 BC	$89.4 \pm 4.2$	$8716 \pm 31$	9677
7738 BC	$82.9 \pm 4.2$	8774 ± 31	9687
7748 BC	$97.4 \pm 4.5$	8677 ± 33	9697
8007 BC	$99.0 \pm 2.2$	8917 ± 16	9956
8017 BC*	$103.7 \pm 3.1$	8893 ± 23	9966
8027 BC*	$105.0 \pm 3.1$	8893 ± 23	9976
8037 BC*	$107.3 \pm 4.4$	$8886 \pm 32$	9986
8047 BC*	$108.6 \pm 4.4$	$8886 \pm 32$	9996
8057 BC	$114.6 \pm 3.1$	$8853 \pm 23$	10006
8067 BC	$111.9 \pm 2.9$	$8883 \pm 21$	10016
8077 BC	$114.8 \pm 3.2$	$8871 \pm 23$	10026
8087 BC	$111.2 \pm 2.1$	$8906 \pm 15$	10036
8097 BC	$114.0 \pm 3.3$	$8896 \pm 24$	10046
8107 BC	$122.9 \pm 3.1$	8842 ± 22	10056
8117 вс	$120.9 \pm 3.0$	$8866 \pm 22$	10066
8127 вс	$116.4 \pm 3.7$	8908 ± 27	10076
8137 вс	$119.1 \pm 3.7$	8898 ± 27	10086
8147 BC	$128.4 \pm 3.3$	8841 ± 24	10096
8157 BC	$130.6 \pm 2.6$	8835 ± 18	10106
8167 BC	$126.5 \pm 3.3$	8874 ± 24	10116
8177 BC	$123.8 \pm 3.2$	$8903 \pm 23$	10126
8187 BC	$132.1 \pm 3.3$	8854 ± 23	10136
8197 BC	$130.2 \pm 3.3$	8877 ± 24	10146
8207 BC	$127.6 \pm 3.5$	8905 ± 25	10156
8217 BC	$122.4 \pm 3.4$	8952 ± 24	10166
8227 BC	$120.3 \pm 3.4$	8977 ± 24	10176
8237 BC	$122.1 \pm 2.8$	8974 ± 20	10186
8247 BC	$117.7 \pm 2.8$	$9015 \pm 20$	10196
8257 BC	$124.1 \pm 2.5$	8978 ± 18	10206
8267 BC	$116.9 \pm 2.3$	$9041 \pm 17$	10216
8277 BC	$110.8 \pm 2.0$	$9094 \pm 14$	10226
8287 BC	$106.2 \pm 3.5$	$9137 \pm 26$	10236
8297 BC	$105.1 \pm 3.4$	$9155 \pm 25$	10246
8307 BC	$104.4 \pm 2.3$	$9170 \pm 17$	10256
8317 BC	$103.2 \pm 2.3$	$9189 \pm 17$	10266
8327 BC	$104.9 \pm 3.4$	$9185 \pm 25$	10276
8337 BC	$101.9 \pm 4.0$	$9216 \pm 29$	10286
8347 BC	$98.9 \pm 2.0$	$9247 \pm 15$	10296
8357 BC	$109.7 \pm 3.9$	$9180 \pm 29$	10306
8367 BC	$104.0 \pm 3.3$	$9231 \pm 24$	10226
8377 BC	$115.0 \pm 3.2$	$9101 \pm 23$	10320
8387 BC	$10/.9 \pm 3.2$	$9222 \pm 23$	10230
8397 BC	$110.5 \pm 3.4$	$9109 \pm 23$ 0152 $\pm 22$	10340
840/ BC	$120.1 \pm 3.2$	$9133 \pm 23$ 0104 $\pm 23$	10326
841/ BC	$115.7 \pm 3.2$	$9194 \pm 23$	10276
8427 BC	$11/.2 \pm 3.2$	$9194 \pm 23$ 0200 ± 25	10370
843/ BC	$110.4 \pm 3.4$	$9209 \pm 23$ 0204 ± 23	10300
844/BC	$110.4 \pm 3.2$	9204 ± 23	10390

 TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

TABLE 1. Decadal Measurements (Continued)

cal AD/BC	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP
8457 BC	$115.6 \pm 3.2$	$9234 \pm 23$	10406
8467 BC	116.0 + 3.4	9241 + 24	10416
8477 BC	1168 + 32	9245 + 23	10426
8487 BC	$109.0 \pm 2.2$	9311 + 17	10436
8497 BC	$109.0 \pm 2.4$ $121.8 \pm 3.1$	$9220 \pm 22$	10430
8507 DC	$121.0 \pm 3.1$ $122.0 \pm 3.4$	$9229 \pm 22$	10440
8507 BC	$122.9 \pm 5.4$	$9230 \pm 24$	10450
8517 BC	$123.0 \pm 3.2$	$9235 \pm 23$	10400
8527 BC	$122.3 \pm 2.4$	$9254 \pm 17$	10476
8537 BC	$120.1 \pm 2.4$	$9280 \pm 18$	10486
8547 BC	$121.8 \pm 3.3$	$9277 \pm 24$	10496
8557 BC	$119.9 \pm 3.1$	$9300 \pm 22$	10506
8567 вс	$116.3 \pm 3.2$	9336 ± 23	10516
8577 BC	$121.8 \pm 2.5$	$9306 \pm 18$	10526
8587 BC	$120.3 \pm 3.2$	9327 ± 23	10536
8597 BC	$123.4 \pm 2.5$	$9314 \pm 18$	10546
8607 BC	1262 + 39	9304 + 28	10556
8617 BC	121.3 + 3.7	9349 + 27	10566
8627 BC	$127.0 \pm 3.7$ $127.4 \pm 3.5$	$9350 \pm 27$	10576
8637 BC	$122.4 \pm 3.3$ $123.5 \pm 3.3$	$9350 \pm 23$	10586
8647 DC	$125.5 \pm 5.5$	$9332 \pm 24$	10506
0047 BC	$119.1 \pm 2.4$	$9394 \pm 17$	10390
8037 BC	$110.9 \pm 5.1$	$9419 \pm 37$	10606
8667 BC	$127.6 \pm 3.2$	$9352 \pm 23$	10616
86// BC	$130.4 \pm 3.4$	$9342 \pm 24$	10626
8687 BC	$127.8 \pm 2.3$	$9371 \pm 16$	10636
8697 BC	$118.0 \pm 3.4$	$9450 \pm 24$	10646
8707 bc	$124.3 \pm 3.3$	9415 ± 24	10656
8717 BC	$128.9 \pm 3.4$	9391 ± 25	10666
8727 вс	$126.9 \pm 3.3$	9416 ± 23	10676
8737 BC	$126.1 \pm 2.5$	9430 + 18	10686
8747 BC	123.3 + 3.4	9460 + 25	10696
8837 BC	1269 + 32	9522 + 23	10786
8847 BC	$120.9 \pm 3.2$ $117.4 \pm 3.4$	$9600 \pm 25$	10706
8857 BC	$117.4 \pm 3.4$ $121.0 \pm 3.3$	$0578 \pm 23$	10790
8867 DC	$121.7 \pm 3.3$	$9370 \pm 23$	10800
0007 BC	$110.1 \pm 3.3$	$9029 \pm 23$	10810
00// BC	$12/.3 \pm 3.3$	$9339 \pm 23$	10820
888/BC	$129.2 \pm 2.4$	$9553 \pm 17$	10836
889/ BC	$134.9 \pm 2.3$	$9525 \pm 17$	10846
8907 BC	$126.2 \pm 3.8$	$9595 \pm 27$	10856
8917 BC	$121.8 \pm 3.5$	$9636 \pm 25$	10866
8927 BC	$126.5 \pm 3.5$	9613 ± 25	10876
8937 BC	$124.3 \pm 3.5$	9638 ± 25	10886
8947 BC	$127.8 \pm 3.5$	9623 ± 25	10896
8957 BC	$124.7 \pm 3.4$	$9655 \pm 24$	10906
8967 вс	$133.8 \pm 3.2$	9599 + 23	10916
8977 BC	132.7 + 3.5	9617 + 25	10926
8987 BC	132.9 + 3.5	9625 + 25	10936
8997 BC	$143.6 \pm 3.1$	$9560 \pm 23$	100/6
0007 BC	$1414 \pm 2.1$	$9500 \pm 22$ 0564 $\pm 17$	100540
0017 BC	$144.4 \pm 2.3$	$9304 \pm 17$	10930
9017 BC	$149.1 \pm 2.7$	$9341 \pm 19$	10900
900/ BC	$152.2 \pm 3.3$	$9308 \pm 23$	11016
90// BC	$159.3 \pm 2.5$	$9528 \pm 17$	11026
9087 BC	$163.1 \pm 3.3$	$9511 \pm 23$	11036
9097 вс	$158.7 \pm 3.5$	9552 ± 24	11046

cal AD/BC	$\Delta^{14}C$ (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	cal BP
9107 BC	$161.6 \pm 3.3$	9541 ± 23	11056
9117 вс	$157.8 \pm 3.5$	9577 ± 24	11066
9127 вс	$154.3 \pm 3.3$	9611 ± 23	11076
9137 вс	$156.7 \pm 3.5$	9604 ± 24	11086
9147 BC	$151.2 \pm 3.3$	$9652 \pm 23$	11096
9157 BC	$157.1 \pm 2.4$	$9622 \pm 17$	11106
9172 BC	1535 + 32	9660 + 23	11121
9182 BC	$158.3 \pm 3.2$	9637 + 25	11131
9192 BC	$150.9 \pm 3.0$ $157.9 \pm 3.4$	9649 + 24	11141
9202 BC	$157.5 \pm 3.1$ $158.4 \pm 3.5$	9655 + 25	11151
9212 BC	$150.1 \pm 3.5$ $154.8 \pm 3.4$	$9690 \pm 23$	11161
9222 BC	$137.0 \pm 3.1$ $147.1 \pm 3.4$	9753 + 24	11171
9232 BC	$147.1 \pm 3.4$ $151.2 \pm 3.3$	$9735 \pm 23$	11181
9268 BC	$131.2 \pm 3.5$ $1469 \pm 3.6$	$9800 \pm 25$	11217
9278 BC	$140.9 \pm 3.0$ $143.1 \pm 3.4$	$9836 \pm 24$	11227
0288 BC	$143.1 \pm 3.4$	$9857 \pm 25$	11227
0202 BC	$141.5 \pm 3.0$ $125.4 \pm 3.6$	$9001 \pm 25$	11237
9292 BC	$135.4 \pm 5.0$ $125.5 \pm 2.4$	$9904 \pm 20$	11241
9290 BC	$133.5 \pm 3.4$	$9909 \pm 24$	1124/
9302 BC	$142.0 \pm 2.3$	$9005 \pm 17$	11251
9308 BC	$137.3 \pm 2.1$	$9900 \pm 13$	11237
9312 BC	$127.8 \pm 3.5$	$9977 \pm 25$	11201
9322 BC	$13/.4 \pm 3.0$	$9920 \pm 26$	112/1
9308 BC	$131.3 \pm 2.4$	$10007 \pm 17$	11317
9378 BC	$141.9 \pm 3.5$	$9942 \pm 25$	11327
9388 BC	$140.9 \pm 3.5$	$9959 \pm 24$	11337
9398 BC	$134.8 \pm 2.3$	$10011 \pm 17$	11347
9408 BC	$131.8 \pm 3.6$	$10042 \pm 25$	11357
9418 BC	$132.2 \pm 3.5$	$10049 \pm 25$	11367
9428 BC	$131.4 \pm 3.3$	$10065 \pm 24$	11377
9438 BC	$140.1 \pm 2.7$	$10013 \pm 19$	11387
9448 BC	$145.2 \pm 3.2$	$9987 \pm 22$	11397
9458 BC	$144.8 \pm 3.2$	$9999 \pm 22$	11407
9468 BC	$145.9 \pm 3.1$	$10001 \pm 22$	11417
9478 BC	$142.4 \pm 4.0$	$10036 \pm 28$	11427
9488 bC	$146.4 \pm 3.6$	$10017 \pm 25$	11437
9498 BC	$140.3 \pm 4.1$	$10069 \pm 29$	11447
9508 BC	$142.0 \pm 3.2$	$10068 \pm 22$	11457
9518 bc	$142.8 \pm 2.8$	$10072 \pm 20$	11467
9528 вс	$156.3 \pm 3.5$	9987 ± 25	11477
9538 bc	$152.0 \pm 3.5$	$10027 \pm 25$	11487
9548 вс	$147.7 \pm 3.4$	$10066 \pm 24$	11497
9558 bc	$158.8 \pm 3.6$	9999 ± 25	11507
9568 BC	$161.2 \pm 3.5$	9992 ± 24	11517
9578 BC	$164.0 \pm 3.5$	9982 ± 25	11527
9588 BC	$165.4 \pm 3.5$	$9982 \pm 24$	11537
9598 bc	$165.0 \pm 3.5$	$9995 \pm 24$	11547
9608 BC	$157.7 \pm 3.4$	$10055 \pm 23$	11557
9618 вс	$157.5 \pm 2.7$	$10066 \pm 19$	11567
9628 BC	$150.2 \pm 3.8$	$10126 \pm 26$	11577
9638 BC	$153.8 \pm 3.8$	$10111 \pm 27$	11587
9648 BC	$157.8 \pm 2.2$	$10093 \pm 16$	11597
9658 BC	$155.0 \pm 3.5$	$10122 \pm 24$	11607
9668 BC	$157.9 \pm 3.4$	$10112 \pm 23$	11617

TABLE 2. <sup>14</sup>C age determinations made at the University of Washington Quaternary Isotope Lab (Seattle). The cal AD (or cal BP) ages represent determinations on single-year wood sections from one or more North American trees, with the exception that from AD 1890–1914 the <sup>14</sup>C ages were constructed from the average of single-year determinations on an Alaskan tree and 2- and 3-yr samples of a Pacific Northwest tree. For the latter tree the same <sup>14</sup>C age was used for each single year of the 2–3 yr sample, with the standard deviation in the age increased by 1.4 or 1.7 times.  $\Delta^{14}$ C was calculated as defined in Stuiver and Polach (1977). No error multiplier has been included in the standard deviations.

TABLE 2. Single-Year Data

TABLE 2. Single-Year Data (Continued)

cal			cal
AD	$\Delta^{14}\mathrm{C}$ (%0)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1954	$-22.5 \pm 2.7$	$179 \pm 23$	-4
1953	$-24.1 \pm 1.8$	$193 \pm 15$	-3
1952	$-25.8 \pm 1.6$	$208 \pm 14$	-2
1951	$-25.5 \pm 1.7$	$207 \pm 14$	-1
1950	$-25.8 \pm 1.7$	$210 \pm 14$	0
1949	$-26.0 \pm 1.7$	$213 \pm 14$	l
1948	$-22.1 \pm 1.8$	$182 \pm 15$	2
1947	$-21.6 \pm 1.6$	$1/8 \pm 13$	5
1945	$-22.4 \pm 1.9$	$18/\pm 10$	5
1944	$-23.1 \pm 1.3$	$193 \pm 10$	07
1943	$-24.3 \pm 1.2$	$204 \pm 10$	0
1942	$-20.4 \pm 1.2$	$174 \pm 10$ $170 \pm 16$	0
1941	$-19.9 \pm 1.9$	$170 \pm 10$ 107 + 16	10
1030	$-20.1 \pm 1.8$	174 + 15	11
1939	-162 + 12	$1/4 \pm 10$ 143 + 10	12
1937	-172 + 16	152 + 13	13
1936	$-165 \pm 1.7$	$147 \pm 14$	14
1935	$-16.7 \pm 1.9$	$150 \pm 15$	15
1934	$-15.6 \pm 1.8$	$142 \pm 15$	16
1933	$-18.6 \pm 1.8$	$167 \pm 14$	17
1932	$-20.7 \pm 1.2$	$186 \pm 10$	18
1931	$-16.6 \pm 1.8$	$153 \pm 15$	19
1930	$-14.5 \pm 1.2$	$137 \pm 10$	20
1929	$-18.2 \pm 1.0$	$168 \pm 8$	21
1928	$-15.5 \pm 1.2$	$147 \pm 10$	22
1927	$-15.7 \pm 1.1$	$149 \pm 9$	23
1926	$-14.7 \pm 1.2$	$143 \pm 9$	24
1925	$-12.3 \pm 1.2$	$124 \pm 9$	25
1924	$-11.4 \pm 1.2$	$11/\pm 10$	26
1923	$-14.1 \pm 1.0$	$140 \pm 8$	27
1922	$-12.2 \pm 1.2$	$126 \pm 10$	28
1921	$-12.9 \pm 1.2$	$133 \pm 10$	29
1920	$-14.1 \pm 1.3$	$144 \pm 10$ 120 + 10	21
1919	$-11.1 \pm 1.2$	$120 \pm 10$ $122 \pm 8$	32
1918	$-11.5 \pm 1.0$ $-0.7 \pm 1.0$	$122 \pm 0$ 110 + 8	32
191/	$-9.7 \pm 1.0$ $-11.0 \pm 1.2$	$122 \pm 10$	34
1910	-63 + 17	85 + 14	35
1914	-71 + 10	92 + 8	36
1913	$-7.3 \pm 0.9$	$95 \pm 7$	37

IADLE 4	. Single-Tear	Data (Comm	<u></u>
cal			cal
AD	$\Delta^{14}$ C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1912	$-8.1 \pm 1.1$	$101 \pm 9$	38
1911	$-8.3 \pm 1.2$	$105 \pm 10$	39
1910	$-7.5 \pm 1.2$	99 ± 10	40
1909	$-6.5 \pm 1.3$	92 ± 11	41
1908	$-8.4 \pm 1.4$	$108 \pm 12$	42
1907	$-6.2 \pm 1.3$	$92 \pm 10$	43
1906	$-4.4 \pm 1.2$	$78 \pm 10$	44
1905	$-5.5 \pm 1.4$	$88 \pm 11$	45
1904	$-4.3 \pm 1.4$	79 ± 11	46
1903	$-4.2 \pm 1.3$	$80 \pm 11$	47
1902	$-2.9 \pm 1.1$	$70 \pm 9$	48
1901	$1.2 \pm 1.1$	$38 \pm 9$	49
1900	$-2.9 \pm 1.5$	$72 \pm 12$	50
1899	$-4.5 \pm 1.3$	$86 \pm 11$	51
1898	$-3.4 \pm 1.5$	$78 \pm 12$	52
1897	$-3.4 \pm 1.4$	$79 \pm 11$	55
1896	$-1.3 \pm 1.2$	$63 \pm 10$	55
1895	$-2.1 \pm 1.2$	$71 \pm 10$ 72 + 10	55
1894	$-2.2 \pm 1.2$	$72 \pm 10$ 73 + 10	57
1893	$-2.2 \pm 1.3$	$73 \pm 10$	58
1892	$-4.4 \pm 1.3$	$92 \pm 10$ $83 \pm 11$	50
1891	$-5.2 \pm 1.4$	$03 \pm 11$ 03 + 11	60
1090	$-4.5 \pm 1.4$ $-5.4 \pm 1.1$	$103 \pm 9$	61
1009	$-5.4 \pm 1.1$ $-65 \pm 1.8$	$103 \pm 10$	62
1887	$-6.5 \pm 1.0$	$115 \pm 19$ 115 + 9	63
1886	-61 + 11	111 + 9	64
1885	-4.5 + 1.2	$100 \pm 9$	65
1884	$-3.3 \pm 1.7$	$91 \pm 14$	66
1883	$-2.7 \pm 1.2$	$87 \pm 10$	67
1882	$-1.5 \pm 1.2$	78 ± 9	68
1881	$-4.9 \pm 1.6$	$107 \pm 13$	69
1880	$-2.7 \pm 1.6$	90 ± 13	70
1879	$-5.1 \pm 1.5$	$110 \pm 12$	71
1878	$-5.9 \pm 1.1$	$118 \pm 9$	72
1877	$-4.9 \pm 1.5$	$110 \pm 12$	73
1876	$-7.4 \pm 1.7$	$132 \pm 14$	74
1875	$-5.0 \pm 1.1$	$113 \pm 9$	75
1874	$-6.1 \pm 1.8$	$123 \pm 14$	76
1873	$-6.2 \pm 1.2$	$124 \pm 10$	77
1872	$-4.7 \pm 1.1$	$114 \pm 9$	- 78

 TABLE 2. Single-Year Data (Continued)

cal			cal
AD	$\Delta^{14}C$ (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1871	-4.6 + 1.4	114 + 11	79
1870	$-4.8 \pm 1.3$	$116 \pm 11$	80
1869	$-4.3 \pm 1.3$	$114 \pm 10$	81
1868	$-4.4 \pm 1.3$	$115 \pm 11$	82
1867	$-3.3 \pm 1.0$	$108 \pm 8$	83
1866	$-5.0 \pm 1.8$	$122 \pm 15$	84
1865	$-3.1 \pm 1.5$	$107 \pm 12$	85
1864	$-5.6 \pm 1.6$	$129 \pm 13$	86
1863	$-5.8 \pm 1.7$	$131 \pm 14$	87
1862	$-7.2 \pm 2.0$	$144 \pm 16$	88
1861	$-3.0 \pm 1.7$	$110 \pm 13$	89
1860	$-4.4 \pm 1.7$	$123 \pm 13$	90
1859	$-3.1 \pm 1.8$	$113 \pm 15$	91
1858	$-2.1 \pm 1.9$	$106 \pm 15$	92
1857	$-5.0 \pm 1.6$	$131 \pm 13$	93
1856	$-4.0 \pm 1.2$	$124 \pm 10$	94
1855	$-4.0 \pm 1.6$	$125 \pm 13$	95
1854	$-4.1 \pm 2.6$	$126 \pm 21$	96
1853	$-3.6 \pm 1.3$	$123 \pm 11$	97
1852	$0.1 \pm 2.5$	$95 \pm 20$	98
1851	$-2.5 \pm 2.5$	$116 \pm 20$	100
1840	$-1.4 \pm 2.3$	$109 \pm 20$	100
1849	$-0.3 \pm 1.3$ $-10 \pm 18$	$99 \pm 13$	101
1847	$-0.7 \pm 1.3$	$114 \pm 13$ 106 + 14	102
1846	-0.4 + 1.7	$100 \pm 14$ 104 + 14	103
1845	-2.5 + 2.5	122 + 20	105
1844	$-0.8 \pm 1.2$	$109 \pm 10$	106
1843	$-2.0 \pm 1.0$	$120 \pm 8$	107
1842	$-1.3 \pm 1.4$	116 ± 11	108
1841	$-4.7 \pm 1.7$	$143 \pm 14$	109
1840	$-1.0 \pm 1.7$	$115 \pm 14$	110
1839	$-1.2 \pm 1.7$	$117 \pm 14$	111
1838	$-2.5 \pm 0.9$	$129 \pm 8$	112
1837	$-3.4 \pm 1.2$	$137 \pm 10$	113
1836	$0.2 \pm 1.2$	$109 \pm 10$	114
1835	$0.0 \pm 1.6$	$112 \pm 13$	115
1834	$-0.1 \pm 1.9$	$114 \pm 16$	116
1833	$0.5 \pm 1.4$	$110 \pm 11$	11/
1832	$2.2 \pm 1.4$	$9/\pm 11$	118
1031	$1.4 \pm 1.2$	$104 \pm 10$	119
1820	$5.0 \pm 1.0$ 52 + 16	$30 \pm 13$ 76 ± 13	120
1829	$3.2 \pm 1.0$ 28 + 10	$70 \pm 13$	121 122
1827	$2.0 \pm 1.0$ 44 + 12	$90 \pm 8$	122
1826	2.2 + 1.6	103 + 13	123
1825	$1.9 \pm 1.6$	$107 \pm 13$	125
1824	$1.0 \pm 1.2$	$114 \pm 10$	126
1823	$3.0 \pm 1.7$	$100 \pm 14$	127
1822	$0.3 \pm 1.2$	$122 \pm 10$	128
1821	$3.6 \pm 1.0$	96 ± 8	129
1820	$3.4 \pm 1.0$	99 ± 8	130
1819	$-1.4 \pm 2.0$	139 ± 16	131

TABLE	2. Single-Year	Data (Contin	ued)
cal			cal
AD	$\Delta^{14}C$ (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1818	$6.1 \pm 1.3$	$79 \pm 10$	132
1817	$6.2 \pm 1.9$	$80 \pm 16$	133
1816	$5.8 \pm 1.8$	$84 \pm 14$	134
1815	$1.7 \pm 1.8$	$118 \pm 14$	135
1814	$0.8 \pm 2.0$	$126 \pm 16$	130
1813	$1.5 \pm 1.2$	$121 \pm 10$	13/
1812	$2.5 \pm 2.0$	$114 \pm 10$ $122 \pm 16$	130
1810	$1.0 \pm 2.0$ 2 1 + 1 9	$122 \pm 10$ 110 + 15	140
1809	$-0.1 \pm 1.9$	$119 \pm 15$ 138 + 15	141
1808	$-5.5 \pm 1.8$	$183 \pm 14$	142
1807	$-1.4 \pm 1.3$	$150 \pm 11$	143
1806	$-3.7 \pm 1.8$	$170 \pm 14$	144
1805	$-1.6 \pm 1.7$	$154 \pm 14$	145
1804	$-1.5 \pm 1.7$	$154 \pm 14$	146
1803	$-3.4 \pm 1.7$	$170 \pm 14$	147
1802	$-2.5 \pm 1.3$	$164 \pm 10$	148
1801	$-5.0 \pm 1.2$	$185 \pm 10$	149
1800	$-0.1 \pm 1.7$	$14/\pm 14$	150
1708	$-1.0 \pm 1.7$ $-4.1 \pm 1.2$	$155 \pm 14$ 181 $\pm 10$	151
1797	$-4.1 \pm 1.2$ $-5.0 \pm 1.7$	$181 \pm 10$ 189 + 14	152
1796	-93 + 18	$105 \pm 14$ 225 + 14	154
1795	$-9.7 \pm 2.0$	$229 \pm 16$	155
1794	$-9.4 \pm 1.7$	$228 \pm 14$	156
1793	$-7.8 \pm 1.7$	$215 \pm 14$	157
1792	$-10.7 \pm 1.8$	$240 \pm 15$	158
1791	$-7.1 \pm 1.8$	$212 \pm 15$	159
1790	$-7.3 \pm 1.7$	$214 \pm 14$	160
1789	$-6.6 \pm 1.8$	$209 \pm 14$	161
1/88	$-10.2 \pm 1.8$	$240 \pm 15$	162
1786	$-8.2 \pm 1.7$ $-78 \pm 23$	$224 \pm 14$ 222 + 10	164
1785	-7.2 + 1.4	$219 \pm 12$	165
1784	$-7.7 \pm 1.7$	$219 \pm 12$ $224 \pm 14$	166
1781	$-5.1 \pm 1.2$	$205 \pm 10$	169
1780	$-1.4 \pm 1.2$	176 ± 10	170
1779	$-0.4 \pm 1.7$	$170 \pm 14$	171
1778	$0.2 \pm 1.7$	$165 \pm 14$	172
1777	$1.4 \pm 1.3$	$157 \pm 10$	173
1776	$2.5 \pm 1.2$	$149 \pm 10$	174
1774	$1.1 \pm 1.7$	$162 \pm 14$	175
1773	$-1.3 \pm 1.7$ $1.4 \pm 1.2$	$161 \pm 15$ $161 \pm 10$	177
1772	$-0.1 \pm 1.2$	$101 \pm 10$ 174 + 11	178
1771	$1.3 \pm 1.7$	$164 \pm 14$	179
1770	$0.0 \pm 1.7$	$175 \pm 14$	180
1769	$0.1 \pm 1.7$	$175 \pm 14$	181
1768	$-0.8 \pm 1.7$	$183 \pm 14$	182
1767	$-0.6 \pm 1.7$	$183 \pm 14$	183
1766	$1.5 \pm 1.7$	$167 \pm 14$	184
1763	$2.1 \pm 1.7$	$163 \pm 14$	185
1/64	2.9 ± 1.7	$13/\pm 14$	180

TABLE 2. Single-Year Data (Continued)

cal			cal
AD	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1763	$-0.7 \pm 1.7$	$187 \pm 13$	187
1762	$2.6 \pm 1.7$	$162 \pm 14$	188
1761	$4.6 \pm 1.2$	$147 \pm 10$	189
1760	$4.6 \pm 1.3$	$148 \pm 10$	190
1759	$5.3 \pm 1.3$	$143 \pm 10$	191
1758	$6.0 \pm 1.0$	$138 \pm 8$	192
1757	$4.3 \pm 1.3$	$153 \pm 11$	193
1756	$3.6 \pm 1.1$	$160 \pm 9$	194
1755	$3.8 \pm 1.2$	$159 \pm 10$	195
1754	$4.5 \pm 1.8$	$155 \pm 14$	196
1753	$6.0 \pm 1.1$	$143 \pm 9$	197
1752	4.7 ± 1.7	$155 \pm 14$	198
1751	$2.5 \pm 1.3$	$174 \pm 11$	199
1750	$5.1 \pm 1.2$	$154 \pm 10$	200
1748	$4.5 \pm 1.7$	$160 \pm 14$	202
1747	$6.9 \pm 1.7$	$142 \pm 14$	203
1746	$7.4 \pm 1.2$	$139 \pm 10$	204
1745	$4.6 \pm 1.3$	$162 \pm 10$	205
1744	$2.8 \pm 1.7$	$1/8 \pm 14$	200
1743	$5.0 \pm 1.1$	$101 \pm 9$	207
1742	$5.5 \pm 1.8$	$158 \pm 14$	200
1741	$1.1 \pm 1.3$	$193 \pm 10$	209
1720	$5.0 \pm 1.7$	$139 \pm 14$ $154 \pm 10$	210
1739	$0.4 \pm 1.3$	$1.34 \pm 10$ $1.48 \pm 14$	211
1727	$7.2 \pm 1.7$	$140 \pm 14$ $140 \pm 16$	212
1726	$7.5 \pm 2.0$ $7.4 \pm 2.0$	$149 \pm 10$ $140 \pm 16$	$\frac{213}{214}$
1730	$7.4 \pm 2.0$ $7.3 \pm 1.8$	$149 \pm 10$ 150 + 15	214
1734	$7.3 \pm 1.0$ $80 \pm 23$	$130 \pm 13$	215
1733	$8.9 \pm 2.3$	$139 \pm 10$ $140 \pm 15$	217
1732	$3.9 \pm 1.0$ 71 + 14	$140 \pm 13$ 155 + 12	218
1731	$7.1 \pm 1.4$ 56 + 14	$155 \pm 12$ 168 + 11	219
1730	$10.6 \pm 1.1$	129 + 15	220
1729	$12.8 \pm 1.8$	113 + 14	221
1728	$11.6 \pm 1.8$	$123 \pm 15$	222
1727	$14.2 \pm 1.8$	$103 \pm 15$	223
1726	$18.0 \pm 1.5$	$75 \pm 12$	224
1725	$13.1 \pm 1.1$	$114 \pm 9$	225
1724	$12.8 \pm 1.0$	$117 \pm 8$	226
1723	$13.5 \pm 1.3$	$113 \pm 10$	227
1722	$12.7 \pm 1.2$	$120 \pm 9$	228
1721	$13.7 \pm 1.2$	$114 \pm 10$	229
1720	$14.8 \pm 1.0$	$105 \pm 8$	230
1719	$16.3 \pm 1.0$	94 ± 8	231
1718	$17.0 \pm 0.8$	$90 \pm 7$	232
1717	$15.8 \pm 1.0$	$101 \pm 8$	233
1716	$17.5 \pm 1.3$	$88 \pm 10$	234
1715	$16.7 \pm 1.2$	$95 \pm 10$	235
1714	$17.9 \pm 1.2$	$8/\pm 10$	236
1713	$17.6 \pm 1.2$	$90 \pm 10$	231
1712	$13.9 \pm 1.2$	$121 \pm 10$	238
1711	$18.5 \pm 0.9$	$85 \pm 1$	239
1/10	$1/.5 \pm 1.0$	94 ± 8	240

cal			cal
AD	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1709	$16.9 \pm 1.3$	$100 \pm 10$	241
1708	$15.4 \pm 1.2$	$113 \pm 9$	242
1707	$15.0 \pm 0.8$	$116 \pm 10$	243
1706	$14.4 \pm 1.3$	$122 \pm 10$ $107 \pm 10$	244
1703	$10.3 \pm 1.3$ $10.1 \pm 0.0$	$107 \pm 10$ $87 \pm 7$	245
1704	$19.1 \pm 0.9$ $17.7 \pm 0.9$	99 + 7	247
1702	$15.2 \pm 0.8$	$120 \pm 6$	248
1701	$19.0 \pm 1.0$	$91 \pm 8$	249
1700	$17.5 \pm 0.9$	$104 \pm 7$	250
1699	$18.0 \pm 1.1$	$101 \pm 8$	251
1698	$17.4 \pm 1.1$	$107 \pm 8$	252
1697	$18.2 \pm 1.1$	$101 \pm 8$	253
1696	$16.6 \pm 1.0$	$115 \pm 8$	254
1695	$15.8 \pm 0.8$	$122 \pm 0$ 110 + 7	200
1694	$10.3 \pm 0.9$ $15.7 \pm 1.0$	$119 \pm 7$ $125 \pm 8$	250
1693	$15.7 \pm 1.0$ $163 \pm 1.0$	$123 \pm 0$ 121 + 8	258
1691	$10.3 \pm 1.0$ 14.7 ± 1.0	$134 \pm 8$	259
1690	$13.9 \pm 0.9$	$142 \pm 7$	260
1689	$15.7 \pm 1.3$	$128 \pm 11$	261
1688	$16.6 \pm 1.3$	$122 \pm 10$	262
1687	$14.1 \pm 1.1$	$143 \pm 9$	263
1686	$17.6 \pm 0.9$	$116 \pm 8$	264
1685	$13.5 \pm 2.4$	$150 \pm 19$ $154 \pm 14$	203
1683	$15.2 \pm 1.0$ $11.5 \pm 1.8$	$154 \pm 14$ 167 + 14	267
1682	$11.3 \pm 1.0$ 122 + 19	$167 \pm 14$ 163 + 15	268
1681	$12.0 \pm 1.9$ 12.0 ± 1.8	$166 \pm 14$	269
1680	$12.9 \pm 1.7$	$159 \pm 13$	270
1678	$8.5 \pm 1.8$	$197 \pm 14$	272
1677	$9.9 \pm 1.2$	$186 \pm 9$	273
1676	$11.3 \pm 1.2$	$176 \pm 10$	274
1675	$12.0 \pm 1.7$	$1/2 \pm 14$	213
1672	$9.3 \pm 1.4$	$194 \pm 11$ $147 \pm 10$	210
1672	$13.4 \pm 1.3$ $10.0 \pm 1.8$	$147 \pm 10$ $183 \pm 14$	278
1671	$10.9 \pm 1.0$ 151 + 13	$105 \pm 14$ 151 ± 11	279
1670	$9.8 \pm 1.8$	$194 \pm 14$	280
1669	$11.2 \pm 1.9$	$184 \pm 15$	281
1668	$12.0 \pm 2.0$	179 ± 16	282
1667	$10.2 \pm 1.9$	$194 \pm 15$	283
1666	$8.3 \pm 1.8$	$209 \pm 14$	284
1665	$6.7 \pm 1.4$	$223 \pm 11$	283
1004	$0.1 \pm 1.1$ $87 \pm 10$	$229 \pm 9$ 209 + 15	280
1662	75 + 18	$200 \pm 15$ $220 \pm 15$	288
1661	$9.0 \pm 1.9$	$209 \pm 15$	289
1660	$3.9 \pm 1.3$	$250 \pm 10$	290
1659	$6.2 \pm 1.9$	$233 \pm 16$	291
1658	$9.4 \pm 1.9$	$209 \pm 15$	292
1657	$4.4 \pm 1.5$	$249 \pm 12$	293
1656	$4.6 \pm 1.6$	$249 \pm 13$	294

TABLE 2. Single-Year Data (Continued)

 TABLE 2. Single-Year Data (Continued)

		2	
cal			cal
AD	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1655	$6.3 \pm 1.6$	$236 \pm 13$	295
1654	$7.1 \pm 1.3$	$231 \pm 10$	296
1653	$4.0 \pm 1.9$	$256 \pm 15$	297
1651	4.9 ± 1.9	$251 \pm 15$	299
1650	$5.7 \pm 1.3$	$246 \pm 10$	300
1649	$4.0 \pm 1.3$	$260 \pm 10$	301
1648	$3.3 \pm 1.8$	$267 \pm 14$	302
1647	$4.0 \pm 1.9$	$263 \pm 16$	303
1646	$2.6 \pm 1.8$	$275 \pm 14$	304
1645	$2.2 \pm 1.7$	$279 \pm 14$	305
1644	$2.2 \pm 1.0$	$280 \pm 8$	306
1643	$3.8 \pm 1.8$	$268 \pm 15$	307
1642	$1.5 \pm 1.8$	$288 \pm 14$	308
1641	$2.1 \pm 2.2$	$283 \pm 17$	309
1640	$-2.5 \pm 1.3$	$321 \pm 11$	310
1639	$0.6 \pm 1.1$	$298 \pm 9$	311
1638	$-2.6 \pm 1.7$	$324 \pm 14$	312
1637	$0.6 \pm 2.2$	$299 \pm 17$	313
1636	$1.5 \pm 1.3$	$293 \pm 10$	314
1635	$1.3 \pm 1.2$	$295 \pm 10$	315
1634	$-3.4 \pm 1.8$	$334 \pm 15$	316
1633	$-0.2 \pm 1.8$	$310 \pm 15$	317
1621	$-3.0 \pm 1.8$	$338 \pm 15$	318
1630	$-3.5 \pm 1.0$ $-4.0 \pm 1.8$	$337 \pm 13$ $342 \pm 15$	319
1620	$-4.0 \pm 1.0$ $-1.0 \pm 1.2$	$343 \pm 13$ 310 + 10	320
1629	$-1.0 \pm 1.2$ $-4.7 \pm 1.8$	$319 \pm 10$ $351 \pm 15$	321
1627	-0.4 + 1.8	$317 \pm 15$	323
1626	-6.4 + 1.8	366 + 15	324
1625	$-0.8 \pm 1.1$	$322 \pm 9$	325
1624	$-4.0 \pm 1.4$	$349 \pm 11$	326
1623	$2.0 \pm 2.0$	$302 \pm 16$	327
1622	$-2.6 \pm 1.3$	$339 \pm 10$	328
1621	$-2.3 \pm 1.8$	$338 \pm 14$	329
1620	$-0.6 \pm 1.3$	$325 \pm 10$	330
1619	$-0.3 \pm 1.8$	$324 \pm 14$	331
1618	$-1.5 \pm 1.7$	$335 \pm 14$	332
1617	$-4.1 \pm 1.8$	$357 \pm 15$	333
1616	$-5.4 \pm 1.7$	$368 \pm 14$	334
1615	$-4.5 \pm 1.3$	$362 \pm 10$	335
1614	$-1.3 \pm 1.8$	$337 \pm 15$	336
1613	$-5.2 \pm 1.7$	$369 \pm 14$	331
1612	$-1.5 \pm 1.8$	$340 \pm 15$	338
1610	$-0.4 \pm 1.3$	$381 \pm 11$	240
1600	$-2.7 \pm 1.8$ $-3.1 \pm 1.6$	$332 \pm 13$ 356 $\pm 12$	540 371
1608	$-3.1 \pm 1.0$ -3.1 + 1.4	$350 \pm 13$ 357 + 12	342
1607	-48 + 20	$377 \pm 12$	342
1606	-47 + 11	$372 \pm 10$ 372 + 0	344
1605	-5.3 + 1.1	$378 \pm 11$	345
1604	-7.2 + 1.3	394 + 10	346
1603	$-4.3 \pm 1.9$	$372 \pm 15$	347
1602	$-2.0 \pm 1.8$	$354 \pm 15$	348

TABLE 2	. Single-Year	Data (Contin	ued)
cal			cal
AD	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1601	$-1.5 \pm 1.3$	$351 \pm 11$	349
1600	$0.8 \pm 1.2$	$334 \pm 10$	350
1599	$-1.0 \pm 1.8$	$349 \pm 14$	351
1598	$0.5 \pm 1.7$	$338 \pm 14$ $355 \pm 15$	352
1596	$-1.5 \pm 1.8$ $-0.4 \pm 1.7$	$333 \pm 13$ 347 + 14	354
1595	$2.2 \pm 1.7$	$327 \pm 14$	355
1594	$2.5 \pm 1.8$	$326 \pm 14$	356
1593	$0.5 \pm 1.7$	$343 \pm 13$	357
1592	$-0.3 \pm 2.0$	$351 \pm 16$	358
1591	$3.7 \pm 1.7$	$319 \pm 14$	359
1590	$4.4 \pm 1.8$	$314 \pm 14$	360
1589	$3.1 \pm 1.1$	$321 \pm 14$ $343 \pm 14$	362
1587	$1.1 \pm 1.0$ 1.3 + 1.7	$343 \pm 14$ 342 + 14	363
1586	$2.5 \pm 1.7$	$334 \pm 14$	364
1585	$3.7 \pm 1.8$	$325 \pm 15$	365
1584	$0.8 \pm 1.8$	$349 \pm 14$	366
1583	$4.1 \pm 1.7$	$324 \pm 13$	367
1582	$3.1 \pm 1.7$	$333 \pm 14$	368
1581	$-0.2 \pm 1.8$	$361 \pm 14$	369
1580	$3.6 \pm 1.7$	$331 \pm 14$	370
1578	$2.3 \pm 1.7$ 5 2 + 1 7	$341 \pm 14$ $320 \pm 14$	372
1578	$3.4 \pm 1.7$	$320 \pm 14$ 336 + 15	373
1576	$1.8 \pm 1.8$	$349 \pm 14$	374
1575	$3.9 \pm 1.7$	$334 \pm 14$	375
1574	$4.1 \pm 1.8$	$333 \pm 14$	376
1573	$7.9 \pm 1.9$	$303 \pm 15$	377
1572	$6.3 \pm 1.8$	$317 \pm 14$	378
1570	$5.4 \pm 1.9$	$325 \pm 15$ $317 \pm 15$	3/9
1570	$0.0 \pm 1.8$ 56 + 17	$317 \pm 13$ $325 \pm 14$	381
1568	$4.4 \pm 1.8$	$325 \pm 14$ 336 ± 15	382
1567	$4.1 \pm 1.3$	$339 \pm 10$	383
1566	$8.0 \pm 1.2$	$309 \pm 10$	384
1565	$7.0 \pm 1.9$	$318 \pm 15$	385
1564	$5.5 \pm 1.9$	$331 \pm 16$	386
1563	$5.1 \pm 1.8$	$335 \pm 14$	387
1561	$5.9 \pm 1.7$	$330 \pm 14$ $323 \pm 15$	380
1560	$3.2 \pm 1.8$	354 + 15	390
1559	$7.6 \pm 1.8$	$320 \pm 14$	391
1558	$8.5 \pm 1.7$	$313 \pm 14$	392
1557	$5.7 \pm 1.8$	$337 \pm 15$	393
1556	$9.1 \pm 1.8$	$310 \pm 15$	394
1554	$9.3 \pm 1.7$	$309 \pm 13$	393 206
1554	$9.2 \pm 1.8$ 73 + 18	$311 \pm 14$ $327 \pm 14$	390 307
1552	$13.2 \pm 2.1$	$281 \pm 16$	398
1551	$9.7 \pm 2.0$	$310 \pm 16$	399
1550	9.4 ± 1.1	314 ± 9	400
1549	$11.6 \pm 2.1$	297 ± 17	401

TABLE 2	. Single-Year	Data ( <i>Contin</i>	ued)
cal			cal
AD	$\Delta^{14}C$ (%0)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1548	$12.1 \pm 1.8$	$294 \pm 14$	402
1547	$12.6 \pm 1.8$	$291 \pm 14$	403
1546	$8.8 \pm 1.8$	$323 \pm 15$	404
1545	$10.9 \pm 1.8$	$306 \pm 14$	405
1544	$8.6 \pm 1.7$	$325 \pm 14$	406
1543	$10.2 \pm 1.6$	$314 \pm 13$	407
1542	$10.0 \pm 1.2$	$317 \pm 10$	408
1541	$12.1 \pm 1.2$	$301 \pm 9$	409
1540	$10.0 \pm 1.2$	$318 \pm 10$	410
1539	$12.5 \pm 1.3$	$300 \pm 10$	411
1538	$12.3 \pm 1.3$	$302 \pm 10$	412
1537	$15.6 \pm 1.2$	$277 \pm 10$	413
1536	$11.4 \pm 1.3$	$311 \pm 10$	414
1535	$12.4 \pm 1.2$	$305 \pm 10$	415
1534	$11.1 \pm 1.3$	$315 \pm 10$	416
1533	$12.3 \pm 1.2$	$307 \pm 10$	417
1532	$14.5 \pm 1.3$	$291 \pm 10$	418
1531	$14.3 \pm 1.7$	$293 \pm 13$	419
1530	$11.5 \pm 1.8$	$316 \pm 14$	420

TABLE 2. Single-Year Data (Continued)

	Single real		
cal			cal
AD	$\Delta^{14}$ C (%)	<sup>14</sup> C BP	BP
1529	$12.8 \pm 1.8$	$307 \pm 14$	421
1528	$12.6 \pm 1.6$	$310 \pm 13$	422
1527	$11.5 \pm 1.8$	$319 \pm 14$	423
1526	$12.4 \pm 1.8$	$313 \pm 14$	424
1525	$10.6 \pm 1.3$	$328 \pm 10$	425
1524	$10.0 \pm 1.8$	$334 \pm 14$	426
1523	$10.2 \pm 1.7$	$334 \pm 14$	427
1522	$9.1 \pm 2.0$	344 ± 16	428
1521	$11.0 \pm 2.0$	329 ± 16	429
1520	$8.5 \pm 1.8$	$350 \pm 14$	430
1519	$6.4 \pm 1.9$	367 ± 16	431
1517	$11.6 \pm 1.8$	$328 \pm 14$	433
1516	$9.2 \pm 1.7$	$348 \pm 14$	434
1515	$8.5 \pm 1.6$	$355 \pm 13$	435
1514	$10.0 \pm 1.8$	$344 \pm 14$	436
1513	$10.5 \pm 1.8$	$341 \pm 14$	437
1512	$10.0 \pm 1.8$	$346 \pm 14$	438
1511	6.9 ± 1.7	371 ± 13	439
1510	8.5 ± 1.8	$359 \pm 14$	440

# VARIATIONS OF RADIOCARBON IN TREE RINGS: SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE OFFSET PRELIMINARY RESULTS

# F. G. McCORMAC,<sup>1</sup> A. G. HOGG,<sup>2</sup> T. F. G. HIGHAM,<sup>2</sup> M. G. L. BAILLIE,<sup>1</sup> J. G. PALMER,<sup>3</sup> LIMIN XIONG,<sup>3</sup> J. R. PILCHER,<sup>1</sup> DAVID BROWN<sup>1</sup> and S. T. HOPER<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The Queen's University of Belfast, Northern Ireland and University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand radiocarbon laboratories have undertaken a series of high-precision measurements on decadal samples of dendrochronologically dated oak (*Quercus patrea*) and cedar (*Libocedrus bidwillii*) from Great Britain and New Zealand, respectively. The results show a real atmospheric offset of  $3.4 \pm 0.6\%$  ( $27.2 \pm 4.7$  <sup>14</sup>C yr) between the two locations for the interval AD 1725 to AD 1885, with the Southern Hemisphere being depleted in <sup>14</sup>C. This result is less than the value currently used to correct Southern Hemisphere calibrations, possibly indicating a gradient in  $\Delta^{14}$ C within the Southern Hemisphere.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

A number of studies have demonstrated a measurable difference between the <sup>14</sup>C activities of dendrochronologically dated trees between the hemispheres (Lerman, Mook and Vogel 1970; Vogel *et al.* 1986; Vogel *et al.* 1993). Vogel *et al.* (1993) measured  $\Delta^{14}$ C in fourteen pairs of dendrochronologically dated wood from South Africa and the Netherlands and calculated an offset of 5.15 ± 0.59‰ (41 ± 5 <sup>14</sup>C yr), with the Southern Hemisphere wood giving the older dates. This value is used to correct Southern Hemisphere radiocarbon determinations for age calibration. However, Sparks *et al.* (1995) found no hemispheric offset in New Zealand matai (*Prumnopitys taxifolia*) from a single, non-crossdated tree spanning AD 1335–1745. Barbetti *et al.* (1995) reported a negligible offset in Tasmanian wood compared with European oak at 10,000–9,500 cal BP and minimal offsets between AD 1600 and 1800, although the <sup>14</sup>C measurements for these series lack high levels of precision (Barbetti *et al.* 1992, 1995).

Recently, Damon, Cheng and Linick (1989), Damon *et al.* (1992) and Damon (1995a,b) have identified significant differences (*ca.* 4–7‰) between contemporaneous tree rings in the same hemisphere from Tucson, the Olympic Peninsula of Washington State and the Mackenzie Valley in the Arctic Circle. McCormac *et al.* (1995) have suggested location-dependent differences in <sup>14</sup>C from contemporaneous trees in Ireland and the United States; Stuiver and Braziunas (1998) and McCormac *et al.* (1998) have shown variations in the value of the interhemispheric <sup>14</sup>C offset. These authors have suggested that regional effects may not be temporally constant.

In this paper, we describe a research program designed primarily to verify the existence and magnitude of the hemispheric offset in <sup>14</sup>C between New Zealand and Great Britain. The program is a collaborative effort among the radiocarbon laboratories at The Queen's University of Belfast, Northern Ireland (QUB) and the University of Waikato in New Zealand (Wk) and the tree-ring laboratories at the Department of Plant Sciences at Lincoln University in New Zealand and the Palaeoecology Centre at QUB. We are in the process of measuring  $\Delta^{14}$ C in successive decadal samples of dendrochronologically dated Irish/English oak and New Zealand cedar spanning the period AD 1000–1945 to investigate location dependence and the hemispheric offset. In this paper, we describe our methodology, provide the results of a preliminary intercomparison exercise designed to identify any interlaboratory differences and give preliminary results for the offset between Great Britain and New Zealand in the interval AD 1725 to 1885. Our preliminary measurements between AD 1885 and AD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Queen's University of Belfast, School of Geosciences, Belfast, BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland <sup>2</sup>Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, New Zealand <sup>3</sup>Plant Sciences Department, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand

1935 (not discussed in detail here) indicate a hemispheric response to fossil fuel input in the Northern Hemisphere (McCormac *et al.* 1998).

## METHODS

The intrahemispheric location-dependent differences in  $\Delta^{14}$ C identified by McCormac *et al.* (1995) are small (on the order of 2.5%) and may be time dependent. As a consequence, intercomparison of results from different regions tends to be difficult and laboratory offsets can easily mask real atmospheric variation. The only satisfactory way to determine the magnitude of regional offsets, should they exist, is to measure  $\Delta^{14}$ C in contemporaneous sample pairs within a single laboratory and replicate the measurements in another laboratory. Duplication of the measurements by the two laboratories has enabled us to compare inter- and intralaboratory data sets and to obtain duplicate high-precision (HP;  $\Delta^{14}$ C  $\sigma \leq 2\%$ ) curves for the validation of results. The advantage of duplicate intralaboratory measurements is that there are no external offsets to consider, hence relative differences between the <sup>14</sup>C dates of wood from both hemispheres should be real.

Three components are critical to the success of the research program: dendrochronology, wood pretreatment and analytical reproducibility.

# Dendrochronology

It is critical that the wood used in HP <sup>14</sup>C calibration comes from securely crossdated and well replicated tree-ring chronologies. Dendrochronological errors can easily create false offsets in the  $\Delta^{14}$ C values between regions or between significant sections of the calibration chronologies (Kromer *et al.* 1996). In designing this study we have used oak from the long-established Irish oak master chronology (Pilcher *et al.* 1984) and the recently developed New Zealand cedar (*Libocedrus bidwillii*) chronology (Xiong 1995). The dendrochronology of the oak from the British Isles has been both externally and internally cross-linked and has provided the basis for previous calibration studies (Baillie 1995; Pearson and Stuiver 1986, 1993). The oak trees actually used for the measurements shown in this paper were obtained from parkland at Shane's Castle, County Antrim, Northern Ireland (54°44'N, 06°16'W) (AD 1935–1755) and Sherwood Forest, England (53°12'N, 01°04'W) (AD 1725–1745).

The New Zealand cedar chronology is now established for the period AD 1950 to AD 1140 and is derived from 11 different sites and over 200 trees (Xiong 1995). The specific tree selected for this study was one of 43 crossdated trees from the Hihitahi State Forest, near Waiouru in the central North Island of New Zealand (39°32'S, 175°44'E).

All wood samples were split into decadal blocks each weighing between 120 and 180 g.

# **Wood Pretreatment**

By necessity, the tree species used for this study was different in each of the hemispheres. We therefore sought to pretreat the wood to a reliable fraction of cellulose that would reflect the ambient atmospheric conditions at the time of growth and would not be affected by variable lignin fractions or species-specific differences. A variety of methods have been applied to pretreat wood for radiocarbon calibration because of the possibility of translocation of resins and sugars across rings (Tsoumis 1969: 60–89; Olsson 1979). The de Vries method (Stuiver and Quay 1981) utilizes treatment with dilute NaOH and HCl to remove resins, sugars and some lignins. A full description is given in Stuiver, Burk and Quay (1984), detailing the method for pretreatment to  $\alpha$ -cellulose used at the University of Washington, Seattle laboratory for the 1986 calibration measurements (Stuiver and Pearson 1986). The Belfast laboratory used a different method involving the bleaching of the wood using sodium chlorite and 0.018N HCl at 70°C followed by charring of the cellulose at 500°C to produce a carbon-rich residue (Pearson and Stuiver 1986). Linick *et al.* (1986) pretreated bristlecone pine to holocellulose using acetic acid and sodium chlorite. For this study we have pretreated both wood types to  $\alpha$ -cellulose. The method used is described in detail in Hoper *et al.* (1998), where an investigation into the effectiveness of the sample pretreatment method is described.

#### **Radiocarbon Analysis**

Both Waikato and Belfast laboratories measure  $\Delta^{14}$ C by liquid scintillation counting of benzene, using the same type of spectrometers (Wallac Quantulus  $1220^{TM}$ ) and similar benzene synthesis systems. The Wallac Quantulus  $1220^{TM}$  is an optimized spectrometer designed for low-level counting (Polach *et al.* 1988). For high-precision measurements, the stability and performance of the Quantulus for  $\Delta^{14}$ C measurement may be further increased by manual control of the high voltage (HV) supply to the guard and sample photomultiplier tubes (McCormac 1992). The Waikato laboratory operates two factory-modified manual high-precision versions of the Quantulus using a similar system. One is set up using manual HV control, the other using automatic HV.

The Waikato and Belfast laboratories use different methods to obtain an analytical precision of *ca*.  $\pm 2\%_0$ . The Belfast laboratory uses single 15 mL aliquots of benzene to achieve high levels of precision ( $\pm 2-3\%_0$ ). Standard deviations at Belfast include the Poisson counting error and an error multiplier to account for replicate sample variability. The Waikato laboratory utilizes duplicate 7.5 g (*ca*. 8 mL) aliquots of benzene for each equivalent single HP measurement and then combines the two measurements, provided the results are statistically representative of the same mean value. This provides a continuous measure of internal reproducibility. The precision of each decadal measurement is *ca*.  $\pm 2.5\%_0$ , with a final mean precision for each decadal sample of *ca*.  $\pm 2\%_0$ .

#### Intercomparison

We have undertaken an intercomparison exercise to quantify Wk/QUB offsets, beginning by remeasuring multiple samples of the International Reference Materials HOxI and HOxII (Long 1995). The Belfast and Waikato HOxI/HOxII activity ratios are presented in Table 1.

ured at Walkato and Belfast (error-weighted mean)		
Laboratory	Ratio HOxI/HOxII	
Waikato mean Belfast mean	$\frac{1.2887 \pm 0.0013}{1.2895 \pm 0.0008}$	

TABLE 1. HOxI/HOxII Activity Concentration Ratios Measured at Waikato and Belfast (error-weighted mean)

The error-weighted mean calculated was statistically indistinguishable from the international weighted average reported by Mann (1983) of  $1.2893 \pm 0.0004$ .

Despite the consistency of these standards, the cedar and oak measurements made independently in Waikato and Belfast do show measurable differences. When we compare the measurements on identical oak and cedar samples, the laboratory differences are  $9.1 \pm 6.4$  and  $10.9 \pm 5.6$  yr, respectively. It seems likely that this difference may be explained in part by the use of different standards in the two laboratories (HOxII in Belfast and ANU Sucrose in Waikato).

#### RESULTS

The results of both the QUB and Wk paired measurements on cedar and oak for the interval AD 1725 to 1935 are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The offsets between the cedar and oak determined independently in both laboratories are shown in Figure 3. By combining the results from both laboratories and calculating the error-weighted mean of the difference over the interval, we obtain an offset of  $27.2 \pm 4.7$  <sup>14</sup>C yr. This is less than the value reported by Vogel *et al.* (1986, 1993) and may indicate a regional difference in the hemispheric offset because in both this study and that of Vogel *et al.* (1986, 1993) the offsets were determined by intralaboratory measurements. A recent publication by Stuiver and Braziunas (1998) shows data from Chile, Tasmania and the United States that give a hemispheric offset value of  $23 \pm 4$  yr for the 19th century.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Intralaboratory <sup>14</sup>C measurements of Southern and Northern Hemisphere wood avoid laboratory biases and enable determination of the offset in  $\Delta^{14}$ C between the hemispheres without reconciling interlaboratory differences. Using this protocol and producing replicate measurements in QUB and Wk of the  $\Delta^{14}$ C content of  $\alpha$ -cellulose derived from decadal samples of dendrochronologically



Fig. 1. <sup>14</sup>C measurements (yr BP) made at QUB and Wk on decadal blocks of cedar from New Zealand

dated oak from Northern Ireland and cedar from the North Island of New Zealand, we have found that an offset of  $3.4 \pm 0.6\%$  (27.2  $\pm 4.7$  <sup>14</sup>C yr) exists between the two locations for the interval AD 1725 to AD 1885.



Fig 2. <sup>14</sup>C measurements (yr BP) made at QUB and Wk on decadal blocks of oak from England and N. Ireland



Fig 3. Hemispheric differences (yr) between individual decadal measurements of oak from the British Isles (~54°N) and cedar from New Zealand (~39°S) made at QUB and Wk

#### REFERENCES

- Baillie, M. G. L. 1995 A Slice Through Time: Dendrochronology and Precision Dating. London, Routledge: 176 p.
- Barbetti, M., Bird, T., Dolezal, G., Taylor, G., Francey, R., Cook, E. and Peterson, M. 1992 Radiocarbon variations from Tasmanian conifers: First results from late Pleistocene and Holocene logs. *In* Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Proceedings of the 14th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 34(3): 806–817.
- \_\_\_\_\_1995 Radiocarbon variations from Tasmanian conifers: Results from three Holocene logs. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 361–369.
- Damon, P. E. 1995a A note concerning "Location-dependent differences in the <sup>14</sup>C content of wood" by Mc-Cormac *et al. In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 829–830.

- Damon, P.E. 1995b Note concerning "Intercomparison of high-precision <sup>14</sup>C measurements at the University of Arizona and the Queen's University of Belfast Radiocarbon Laboratories" by Kalin *et al.* (1995) and the regional effect. *Radiocarbon* 37(3): 955–959.
- Damon, P. E., Burr, G., Cain, W. J. and Donahue, D. J. 1992 Anomalous 11-year  $\Delta^{14}$ C cycle at high latitudes. *Radiocarbon* 34(2): 235–238.
- Damon, P. E., Cheng, S. and Linick, T. W. 1989. Fine and hyperfine structure in the spectrum of secular variations of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C. *In* Long, A., Kra, R. S. and Srdoč, D., eds., Proceedings of the 13th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 31(3): 955–959.
- Hoper, S. T., McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Higham, T. F. G. and Head, J. 1998 Evaluation of wood pretreatments on oak and cedar. *In* Mook, W. G. and van der Plicht, J., eds., Proceedings of the 16th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 40(1): 45–50.
- Kromer, B., Ambers, J., Baillie, M. G. L., Damon, P. E., Hesshaimer, V., Hofmann, J., Jöris, O., Levin, I., Man-

ning, S. W., McCormac, F. G., van der Plicht, J., Spurk, M., Stuiver, M. and Weninger, B. 1996 Report: Summary of the workshop "Aspects of High-Precision Radiocarbon Calibration". *Radiocarbon* 38(3): 607–610.

- Lerman, J. C., Mook, W. G. and Vogel, J. C. 1970 <sup>14</sup>C in tree-rings from different localities. In Olsson, I. U., ed., Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology: Proceedings of the Twelfth Nobel Symposium Held at the Institute of Physics at Uppsala University. Stockholm, Almquist and Wiksell: 652 p.
- Linick, T. W., Long, A., Damon, P. E. and Ferguson, C. W. 1986 High-precision radiocarbon dating of bristlecone pine from 6554 to 5350 BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 943–953.
- Long, A. 1995 From the editor [NIST Standard nomenclature]. *Radiocarbon* 37(1): iii-iv.
- Mann, W. B. 1983 An international reference material for radiocarbon dating. *In* Stuiver, M. and Kra, R.S., eds., Proceedings of the 11th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 25(2): 519–527.
- McCormac, F. G. 1992 Liquid scintillation counter characterization, optimization and benzene purity correction. *Radiocarbon* 34(1): 37–45.
- McCormac, F. G., Baillie, M. G. L., Pilcher, J. R. and Kalin, R. M. 1995 Location-dependent differences in the <sup>14</sup>C content of wood. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 395–407.
- McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Higham, T. F. G., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Broecker, W. S., Baillie, M. G. L., Palmer, J., Xiong, L., Pilcher, J. R., Brown, D. and Hoper S. T. 1998 Temporal variation in the interhemispheric <sup>14</sup>C offset. *Geophysical Research Letters* 25: 1321–1324.
- Olsson, I. U. 1979 The importance of the pretreatment of wood and charcoal samples. *In* Berger, R. and Suess, H. E., eds., *Radiocarbon Dating. Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference, Los Angeles and La Jolla, 1976.* Los Angeles, University of California Press: 135–146.
- Pearson, G. W. and Stuiver, M. 1986 High-precision calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, 500–2500 BC. *In Stuiver, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 839–862.
- 1993 High-precision bidecadal calibration of the ra-

diocarbon time scale, 500–2500 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Ra*-*diocarbon* 35(1): 25–34.

- Pilcher, J. R., Baillie, M. G. L., Schmidt, B. and Becker, B. 1984 A 7,272-year tree-ring chronology for western Europe. *Nature* 312: 150–152.
- Polach, H. A., Kaihola, L., Robertson, S. and Haas, H. 1988 Small sample <sup>14</sup>C dating by liquid scintillation spectrometry. *Radiocarbon* 30(2): 153–155.
- Sparks, R. J., Melhuish, W. H., McKee, J. W. A., Ogden, J., Palmer, J. G. and Molloy, B. P. J. 1995 <sup>14</sup>C calibration in the Southern Hemisphere and the date of the last Taupo eruption: Evidence from tree-ring sequences. *In* Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International <sup>14</sup>C Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 155– 163.
- Stuiver, M. and Braziunas, T. F. 1998 Anthropogenic and solar components of hemispheric <sup>14</sup>C. *Geophysical Research Letters* 25: 329–332.
- Stuiver, M., Burk, R. L. and Quay, P. D. 1984 <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios in tree rings and the transfer of biospheric carbon to the atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 89: 11,731–11,748.
- Stuiver, M. and Pearson, G. W. 1986 High-precision calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, 1950–500 BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 805–838.
- Stuiver, M. and Quay, P. D. 1981 Atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C changes resulting from fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> release and cosmic ray flux variability. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 53: 349–362.
- Tsoumis, G. 1969 Wood as raw material: Source, structure, chemical composition, growth, degradation, and identification. Oxford, Pergamon Press: 276 p.
- Vogel, J. C., Fuls, A., Visser, E. and Becker, B. 1986 Radiocarbon fluctuations during the third millennium BC. *In Stuiver*, M. and Kra, R., eds., Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 935–938.
- \_\_\_\_\_1993 Pretoria calibration curve for short-lived samples, 1930–3350 BC. *In* Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds., Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 73–85.
- Xiong, L. (ms.) 1995 A dendroclimatic study of *Liboce-drus bidwillii* Hook F. (kaikawaka). Ph.D. thesis, Lincoln University, Canterbury, New Zealand.

# **RADIOCARBON UPDATES**

### Call for <sup>14</sup>C Calibration Data

*RADIOCARBON* is planning a "comparison issue" as a companion to INTCAL98. It will supplement and perhaps refine the calibration curve presented in this issue, derived primarily from tree-ring and coral data, with comparisons based on other chronologies such as varved sediments, ice cores and speleothems. If you are working on a record of this type and would be interested in contributing to this volume, you are encouraged to contact one of the project editors:

Hans van der Plicht	Warren Beck	
Centre for Isotope Research	Department of Physics and Atmospheric Sciences	
The University of Groningen	The University of Arizona	
Nijenborgh 4	PO Box 210081	
9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands	Tucson, Arizona 85721 USA	
email j.van.der.plicht@phys.rug.nl.	email wbeck@physics.arizona.edu	

#### Online Database of RADIOCARBON Articles

The *RADIOCARBON* World Wide Web server now has a full-text searchable database of articles published from Volume 36 (1994) to present. The archived articles are in text-only form (no graphics, equations, special characters, *etc.*), but we hope that they will prove a useful resource for researchers seeking to locate references to names, sites, locations and other features. Access is limited to *RADIO-CARBON* subscribers (individual or institutional); for information, please refer to URL http:// www.radiocarbon.org/Subscribers/search.html.

#### Award

Dr. Rodger Sparks, manager of the Rafter Radiocarbon Laboratory at the Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences, New Zealand, has received the 1998 Marsden Medal awarded by the New Zealand Association of Scientists. The Marsden Medal is awarded annually for "outstanding service to science and in recognition of services rendered to the cause or profession of science". (The full text of Dr. Sparks' citation can be found on the NZAZ website at http://nzas.rsnz.govt.nz/awardlst.html.)

### New Laboratory

Uruguay now has a radiocarbon laboratory at the Universidad de la República in Montevideo. Counting is done using a Packard 1600TR liquid scintillation counter. The main research fields at present are Late Pleistocene and Holocene coastal conditions in Uruguay and the prehistoric mounds of the Laguna Merin basin of eastern Uruguay.

Laboratory information:

URU Drs. Ma. Cristina Ures and Roberto Bracco Laboratorio de 14C Facultad de Química Universidad de la República Gral. Flores 2124 Montevideo, Uruguay Tel: +598 2 924 8571; Fax: +598 2 924 1906 E-mail: radquim@bilbo.edu.uy

# 1162 Radiocarbon Updates

### Laboratory Address Changes

The radiocarbon laboratory previously housed at the University of Leipzig has moved to the "Umweltforschungszentrum" (Centre for Environmental research) in Halle, Germany. The new address is:

LZ Dr. Achim Hiller UFZ-Umweltforschungszentrum Leipzig-Halle GmbH Sektion Hydrogeologie Arbeitsgruppe Paläoklimatologie Theodor-Lieser-Strasse 4 D-06120 Halle, Germany Tel: +49 345 5585 226; Fax: +49 345 5585 559 E-mail: hiller@hdg.ufz.de

Please note the following corrections and updates to our laboratory listing for the Physical Research Laboratory in Ahmedabad, India:

PRL Dr. Sheela Kusumgar and Mr. M. G. Yadava Radiocarbon Dating Research Unit Oceanography and Climate Studies Area Earth Sciences and Solar System Division Physical Research Laboratory Navrangpura Ahmedabad 380 009 India Tel: +91 79 462129; Fax: +91 79 6560502 Telegram: "Research" E-mail: skusum@prl.ernet.in; myadava@prl.ernet.in

#### **Publication Received**

Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Tipping, R. M. 1997 NERC radiocarbon measurements 1977–1988. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 16: 925–927. [This issue of *QSR* includes a CD-ROM containing all of NERC's <sup>14</sup>C age reports between 1977 and mid-1988. The reports may be searched by fields (submitter, location, etc.) or by full text using an included search engine.]

## CORRECTIONS

We regret a textual error and poorly reproduced figure in one of the articles from the Groningen Conference proceedings. Please take note of the following corrections:

Bas van Geel, Johannes van der Plicht, M. R. Kilian, E. R. Klaver, J. H. M. Kouwenberg, H. Renssen, I. Reynaud-Farrera and H. T. Waterbolk. The sharp rise of  $\Delta^{14}$ C *ca.* 800 cal BC: Possible causes, related climatic teleconnections and the impact on human environments. *Radiocarbon* Vol. 40, No. 1 (1993): 535–550.

Abstract, p. 535, second line: for "paleological", read "paleoecological".

Figure 2, p. 593: please see the replacement figure on the following page.







quarterly journal of expert archaeology

ANTIQUITY is a journal of archaeological research publishing about 1000 pages per year. For 72 years it has been the main journal of international archaeological debate and reporting, and aims to present interesting, topical and accessible material to a wide audience. Each issue includes a personal editorial, research papers and notes, brief reports on current matters of concern to archaeologists, and a full review coverage of new archaeological books. Its world-wide audience reflects its world-wide content. ANTIQUITY readers range from professionals, academics, students and others actively involved in archaeological research to keen amateurs and adult students, and it is found in all university libraries concerned with archaeology.

See our web site at http://intarch.uk/antiquity/

ANTIQUITY's new Supplement provides essential information on conferences, educational programmes, research opportunities, jobs, meetings & exhibitions. Very reasonable rates for non-commercial advertising.

Subscription to the journal is simple, contact: Subscriptions Department, The Company of Biologists, Bidder Building, 140 Cowley Road, Cambridge CB4 4DL, England phone (44)-1223-426164; FAX (44)-1223-423353; e-mail mandy@thecob.demon.co.uk

Rates:	personal institutional	UK & Europe £35 UK & Europe £65	USA and rest of world US\$66 USA and rest of world US\$115	
		and a special reduced student rate:		
		UK & Europe £23	USA and rest of world US\$44	

Editorial and advertising enquiries: Antiquity, New Hall, Cambridge CB3 0DF phone (44)-1223-762298; FAX (44)-1223-423353; e-mail catm20@cus.cam.ac.uk



# Jerusalem 2000 ירושלים

# **17th International Radiocarbon Conference** *Preliminary Announcement*

We have the pleasure to announce that the 17th International Radiocarbon Conference is scheduled to take place June 18–23 in the year 2000 in Israel.

The Conference will be held at a beautiful location, in the rural setting of *kibbutz* Ma'ale Hahamisha, which is just 15 km west of Jerusalem. The kibbutz offers an attractive self-contained arrangement of excellent accommodations and conference facilities, which will enable a high degree of interaction between the conference participants. The City of Jerusalem with its unique history and tourist attractions is nearby and can easily be reached by bus or taxi.

The scientific program will include a wide variety of topics in the tradition of past Radiocarbon Conferences, with a glance into a new millennium: *e.g.*, Archaeology, Environment past and present, Groundwater, Oceanography, Calibration and Measurement Techniques. More details will be given in the first circular, to be issued soon.

The social program of the conference will include an afternoon walking tour in the Old City of Jerusalem and a one-day tour in the unique Dead Sea area.

Suggestions about conference topics, as well as proposals for workshops, etc., are very much welcome and can be sent to the organizing committee by fax or e-mail.

The Organizing Committee:

Israel Carmi, Chairperson Radiocarbon Laboratory ESER Department and Kimmel Center for Archaeological Sciences The Weizmann Institute of Science Phone +972 8 934 2554 Fax +972 8 934 4124 cicarmii@wis.weizmann.ac.il

Dr. Elisabetta Boaretto, Secretary The Weizmann Institute of Science Fax +972 8 934 4124 elisa@wis.weizmann.ac.il

Dr. Hendrik J. Bruins Ben-Gurion University of Negev Fax +972 7 659 6867 hjbruins@bgumail.bgu.ac.il Prof. Michael Paul Hebrew University of Jerusalem Fax +972 2 658 6347 paul@vms.huji.ac.il

Dror Segal Israeli Antiquities Authority Fax +972 8 934 4124

Dr. Yoseph Yechieli Geological Survey of Israel Fax +972 2 538 0688 yechi@mail.gsi.gov.il

# Jerusalem 2000 ירושלים

# RADIOCARBON



An International Journal of Cosmogenic Isotope Research

Phone +1 520 881-0857 Fax: +1 520 881-0554 The University of Arizona Department of Geosciences 4717 E. Ft. Lowell Rd., Rm. 104 Tucson, AZ 85712-1201 USA

E-mail: orders@radiocarbon.org http://www.radiocarbon.org/

# **1999 PRICE LIST**

Proceedings of the 16th International Radiocarbon Conference	\$70.00*	
(Vol. 40, Nos. 1 and 2, 1998)		
INTCAL98 (1998 Calibration issue; Vol. 40, No. 3, 1998)	40.00	
Proceedings of the 15th International Radiocarbon Conference (Vol. 37, No. 2, 199	50.00	
Liquid Scintillation Spectrometry 1994 (ISBN: 0-9638314-3-7; 1996)	20.00	
Liquid Scintillation Spectrometry 1992 (ISBN: 0-9638314-0-2; 1993) (ISBN: 0-9638314-0-2; 1993)		
Special offer—LSC 92 and LSC 94 package—save \$5.00	25.00	
Late Quaternary Chronology and Paleoclimates of the Eastern Mediterranean (ISBN: 0-9638314-1-0; 1994)	30.00	
Tree Rings, Environment and Humanity (ISBN 0-9638314-2-9; 1996)40.00(Proceedings of the International Tree-Ring Conference, Tucson, Arizona, 1994)40.00		
SUBSCRIPTION RATES VOLUME 41, Nos. 1–3, 1999		
Institution	120.00	
Individual	65.00	
Lifetime Subscription—Institutional	2000.00	
Lifetime Subscription—Individual	700.00	
BACK ISSUES (except conference proceedings and special issues)	Single issue 40.00	
VOLUMES 1–9 each volume	40.00	
VOLUMES 10–21 each volume	65.00	
VOLUMES 22–38 each volume	100.00	
Radiocarbon Conference Proceedings 50		
SPECIAL FULL-SET OFFER-Volumes 1-40 (1959-1998) 800.00		
Big savings. Includes 11 out-of-print issues. Take \$50.00 off for each additional set.		

## POSTAGE AND HANDLING CHART

	U.S.	Foreign
Subscription		\$10.00
Book or Proceedings	\$2.75	\$5.00
Single back issue	\$1.25	\$2.00
Full set	\$35.00	\$75.00

Postage rates are for surface mail. Please contact us for airmail or express delivery rates.

Orders must be prepaid. We accept payments by Visa and MasterCard, or by check or money order payable in \$US to *Radiocarbon*.

\*Postage will be added; see above chart. Subscription rates and book prices are subject to change.

#### **NOTICE TO READERS AND CONTRIBUTORS**

The purpose of *RADIOCARBON* is to publish technical and interpretive articles on all aspects of <sup>14</sup>C and other cosmogenic isotopes, In addition, we present regional compilations of published *and unpublished* dates along with interpretive text. Besides the triennial Proceedings of Radiocarbon Conferences, we publish special issues that focus on particular themes. Organizers interested in such arrangements should contact the Managing Editor for information.

Our regular issues include NOTES AND COMMENTS, LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, RADIOCARBON UPDATES and BOOK REVIEWS. Authors are invited to extend discussions or raise pertinent questions regarding the results of investigations that have appeared on our pages. These sections also include short technical notes to disseminate information concerning innovative sample preparation procedures. Laboratories may also seek assistance in technical aspects of radiocarbon dating. We include a list of laboratories and a general index for each volume.

Manuscripts. When submitting a manuscript, include three printed copies, double-spaced, and a floppy diskette, singlespaced. We will accept, in order of preference, FrameMaker, WordPerfect, Microsoft Word, or any standard word-processing software program on 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" IBM disks, or high-density Macintosh diskettes. We also accept e-mail and ftp transmissions of manuscripts. Papers should follow the recommendations in INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS (1994, Vol. 36, No. 1). Offprints of these guidelines are available upon request. Our deadlines for submitting manuscripts are:

For	Date
Vol. 41, No. 2, 1999	January 1, 1999
Vol. 41, No. 3, 1999	May 1, 1999
Vol. 42, No. 1, 1999	September 1, 1999

Half-life of <sup>14</sup>C. In accordance with the decision of the Fifth Radiocarbon Dating Conference, Cambridge, England, 1962, all dates published in this volume (as in previous volumes) are based on the Libby value, 5568 yr, for the half-life. This decision was reaffirmed at the 11th International Radiocarbon Conference in Seattle, Washington, 1982. Because of various uncertainties, when <sup>14</sup>C measurements are expressed as dates in years BP, the accuracy of the dates is limited, and refinements that take some but not all uncertainties into account may be misleading. The mean of three recent determinations of the half-life, 5730  $\pm$  40.yr, (*Nature*, 1962, Vol. 195, No. 4845, p. 984), is regarded as the best value presently available. Published dates in years BP can be converted to this basis by multiplying them by 1.03.

AD/BC Dates. In accordance with the decision of the Ninth International Radiocarbon Conference, Los Angeles and San Diego, California, 1976, the designation of AD/BC, obtained by subtracting AD 1950 from conventional BP determinations is discontinued in *RADIOCARBON*. Authors or submitters may include calendar estimates as a comment, and report these estimates as cal AD/BC, citing the specific calibration curve used to obtain the estimate. Calibrated dates should be reported as "cal BP" or "cal AD/BC" according to the consensus of the Twelfth International Radiocarbon Conference, Trondheim, Norway, 1985.

Measuring <sup>14</sup>C. In Volume 3, 1961, we endorsed the notation  $\Delta$ , (Lamont VIII, 1961), for geochemical measurements of <sup>14</sup>C activity, corrected for isotopic fractionation in samples and in the NBS oxalic-acid standard. The value of  $\delta^{14}$ C that entered the calculation of  $\Delta$  was defined by reference to Lamont VI, 1959, and was corrected for age. This fact has been lost sight of, by editors as well as by authors, and recent papers have used  $\delta^{14}$ C as the observed deviation from the standard. At the New Zealand Radiocarbon Dating Conference it was recommended to use  $\delta^{14}$ C only for age-corrected samples. Without an age correction, the value should then be reported as percent of modern relative to 0.95 NBS oxalic acid (Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Radiocarbon Dating, Wellington, New Zealand, 1972). The Ninth International Radiocarbon Conference, Los Angeles and San Diego, California, 1976, recommended that the reference standard, 0.95 NBS oxalic acid activity, be normalized to  $\delta^{13}$ C = -19‰.

In several fields, however, age corrections are not possible.  $\delta^{14}$ C and  $\Delta$ , uncorrected for age, have been used extensively in oceanography, and are an integral part of models and theories. Thus, for the present, we continue the editorial policy of using  $\Delta$  notations for samples not corrected for age.

RADIOCARBON is indexed and/or abstracted by the following sources: Anthropological Index; Anthropological Literature; Art and Archaeology Technical Abstracts; Bibliography and Index of Geology (GeoRef); British Archaeological Bibliography; Chemical Abstracts; Chemistry Citation Index; Current Advances in Ecological and Environmental Sciences; Current Contents (ISI); FRANCIS (Institut de l'Information Scientifique et Technique – CNRS); Geographical Abstracts; Geological Abstracts; Oceanographic Literature Reviews Science Citation Index; Social Sciences Citation Index.

# The test of time

# At the Rafter Radiocarbon Laboratory we have been successfully meeting the test of time for more than 45 years.

Athol Rafter established the laboratory in 1952. Today, using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, we carry on the tradition of excellence that Athol Rafter began.

# At Rafter we understand what our clients expect - accurate dating, at competitive rates and superior turnaround times and service.

Recent work undertaken by our team of multi-disciplinary scientists includes:

- improving methods for contaminant removal in textile dating
- refining paleodictary studies
- improving techniques for pollen dating
- overcoming marine shell dating problems.

The Rafter Radiocarbon Laboratory has an international reputation for accurately dating a wide range of organic materials, sediments, textiles, bone, ivory, paper, wood, parchment, charcoal, shell, foraminifera and peat.

We also offer a wide range of archaeometric services that include stable isotope measurements ( $\partial^{15}$ C,  $\partial^{15}$ N,  $\partial^{18}$ O), amino acid profiles, PIXE/**P**IGME, X-ray diffraction, petrology and palynology.

For accurate dating and analysis results that will stand the test of time, talk with Dr Rodger Sparks at the Rafter Radiocarbon Laboratory about your next project.



#### RADIOCARBON LABORATORY

Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences Limited PO Box 31 312, Lower Hutt, New Zealand Telephone: 64 4 570 4671, Facsimile: 64 4 570 4657 Email: r.sparks@gns.cri.nz http://www.gns.cri.nz/atom/rafter/rafter.htm



GEOLOGICAL & NUCLEAR SCIENCES

Radiocarbon dating Services