CARBON CYCLING AND ORGANIC RADIOCARBON RESERVOIR EFFECT IN A MEROMICTIC CRATER LAKE (LAC PAVIN, PUY-DE-DÔME, FRANCE)

Patrick Albéric^{1,2} • Didier Jézéquel³ • Laurent Bergonzini⁴ • Emmanuel Chapron¹ • Eric Viollier³ • Marc Massault⁴ • Gil Michard³

ABSTRACT. Lac Pavin is a meromictic maar lake for which the interpretation of sediment radiocarbon dates is complicated by the existence of a largely undefined reservoir effect resulting from degradation of carbon stored in the bottom layer of the water column. A data set of the contemporary ¹⁴C distribution of dissolved and particulate organic pools in the water column is presented to address this issue. Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and organic carbon (DOC), plankton, suspended particulate organic carbon (POC_{susp}), sinking POC (POC_{sink}), and bottom sediment organic carbon (SOC) were analyzed. Presentday Δ^{14} C values of DIC were measured ranging from -750% in the monimolimnion to atmospheric values in lake surface waters and in spring inlet waters. A range of Δ^{14} C values between -200 and -300% was observed for superficial POC_{susp}, POC_{sink}, SOC, and DOC. This relatively uniform ¹⁴C offset of the exported organic production from the surface waters to the bottom represents a contemporary reservoir effect of ~2500 yr. Laminated buried sediment samples and terrestrial vegetal macro-remains were used to evaluate temporal reservoir effect variations since the formation of the crater lake (7 ka cal BP). Buried sediment layers presented a similar offset or showed larger differences between Δ14C values of bulk sediment and terrestrial plant remains (-400 to -500%). Furthermore, an almost 0-yr reservoir effect was inferred from the sediment layers deposited just above the volcanic bedrock at the early flooding of the crater, and increasing slightly within the first centuries of the lake's history. A second objective was to tentatively model a defined scenario of the cycling of carbon in the lake capable of predicting a modern reservoir effect. Alternative scenarios were then tested for which a larger contribution of deeper DIC would provide a model compatible with larger past reservoir effects. It is concluded that using Δ^{14} C SOC variation in laminated lake sediments as a proxy of paleolimnological conditions may be valuable provided that more data on the dynamics of the ¹⁴C composition of plankton and more detailed sampling of laminated sediment layers are available.

INTRODUCTION

Carbon inputs and cycling in freshwater contexts are known to greatly complicate interpretation of the radiocarbon dating of sediments and biological remains given that they present significant age offsets when compared with atmospheric values (Geyh et al. 1998; Ascough et al. 2010; Fernandes et al. 2012; Keaveney and Reimer 2012). Large temporal variations in this so-called reservoir effect in lakes have been previously addressed, mostly for carbonate fractions of sediments, and linked principally with variations in the water level (Geyh et al. 1998). Discrepancies between the ¹⁴C ages of aquatic and aerial organic matter preserved in sedimentary archives as well as varve chronologies have previously been used to study long-term changes in fossil carbon fluxes and recycling processes in the water column of lakes (Pazdur et al. 1995). Recent contributions to the understanding of biogeochemical cycles (Mayorga et al. 2005; McNichol and Aluwihare 2007) have led to more integrated attempts in the description of reservoir effect ranges and variations in time, which are better addressed by studying complete lake ecosystem carbon pools (Keaveney et al. 2012; Zigah et al. 2012a; Fernandes et al., these proceedings). The 2 main goals of this work were (1) to describe and discuss variation in the ¹⁴C content of different carbon pools in the water column of a meromictic crater lake for which modeling of the chemical functioning has been recently improved (Lopes et al. 2011; Jézéquel et al. 2012b); and (2) to evaluate the modeling of the ¹⁴C content of particulate

¹ISTO (Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans), Université d'Orléans CNRS UMR 7327, 1A rue de la férollerie, 45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France.

²Corresponding author. Email: patrick.alberic@univ-orleans.fr.

³LGE (Laboratoire de Géochimie des Eaux), Université Paris-Diderot & IPGP CNRS UMR 7154, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France.

⁴IDES (Interactions et Dynamique des Environnements de Surface), Université d'Orsay-Paris Sud CNRS UMR 8148, Bâtiment 504 rue du Belvédère, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

organic sinking fluxes compared to modern conditions and then apply it to the prediction of past reservoir effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Overview of Lac Pavin Limnology

Lac Pavin is a volcanic crater lake (92 m depth, 750 m diameter) about 7000 yr old (Juvigné 1992) that has been extensively studied in many aspects (see respectively for hydrobiology, geochemistry, physical structure, and paleoclimatology: Carrias et al. 1998; Michard et al. 1994; Aeschbach-Hertig et al. 2002; Schettler et al. 2007). A detailed modeling attempt of biogeochemical processes in Lac Pavin has been developed by Lopes et al. (2011) using the AquaSim® software (Reichert 1994, 1998) and applied to determine major methane oxidation pathways in the water column.

The 2 main factors controlling geochemical and microbiological processes in the deep-water column are a permanent anoxic bottom layer between 60 to 92 m depth (referred to as the monimolimnion) and underwater springs stepped inflows. Examples of components and mechanisms studied in this context are trace metals and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) behavior (Viollier et al. 1995; Albéric et al. 2000), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) cycling (Assayag et al. 2008; Jézéquel et al. 2012b), methane oxidation (Lopes et al. 2011), and bacterial community stratification (Lehours et al. 2005; Biderre-Petit et al. 2011). Inflows into the bottom layer of the lake of Li-rich, ¹⁴C-free mineral waters with more negative δ^{18} O values than surface waters (Jézéquel et al. 2012a; Assayag et al. 2008) together with the limited exchange of solutes (and heat) characterizing the steep gradient transition zone (chemocline) at 60 m depth (Aeschbach-Hertig et al. 2002) contribute to the persistence of a deep inorganic carbon reservoir that is ¹⁴C depleted relative to atmospheric values. A simulation of DIC ¹⁴C and δ¹³C profiles in the Lac Pavin monimolimnion using AquaSim at steadystate conditions allowed Jézéquel et al. (2012b) to determine the depth and flow of mineral water input that are used in this study. Improved knowledge of the water balance of the lake enabled the temporal evolution of the monimolinion structure to be modeled (AquaSim) by the progressive densification of the bottom waters over a period of several centuries (Jézéquel et al. 2012a). This feature is favorable for generating large ¹⁴C age offsets for the organic material produced in the surface waters of the lake. Seasonal variations prevailing in the mixolimnion (surface to 60 m depth) required dynamic modeling as described below.

Sediments are laminated diatom oozes showing seasonal successions of thick, light diatom layers and thin, dark autumn layers (Schettler et al. 2007). Sedimentation in the 1990s is made of unusually thick diatom layers possibly reflecting anthropogenic influences (Schettler et al. 2007; Schwab et al. 2009). High-resolution seismic reflection and multibeam bathymetric surveys have highlighted a wide range of gravity reworking phenomena affecting the basin (Chapron et al. 2010, 2012).

Previous Lac Pavin Radiocarbon Sediment Dating

A weak deposit accumulation thickness was suggested by previous 14 C dates (Delibrias et al. 1972) obtained on bulk superficial Lac Pavin sediment sampled in a short core (1 m long). Without any age offset correction, the oldest 14 C dates found in that core (Gif-1261: 6050 ± 145 BP; Gif-1263: 5770 ± 140 BP) were considered to be roughly compatible with the age of the Pavin volcanic eruption at the origin of the crater lake, which is based on tephra chronology in peats (i.e. 7000 cal BP or ~ 6000 BP, Juvigné 1992). Deeper coring (Schwab et al. 2009, Chapron et al. 2010), varve counting (Stebich et al. 2005; Schettler et al. 2007), and sedimentation rate measurements (Martin et al. 1992) documented rates of up to 5 mm/yr and the existence of a sediment deposit over 10 m thick in

the deepest area of the lake, demonstrating that surface sediment dates were affected by a large age offset. Coring this deposit down to the stony volcanic substratum gave a 14 C age of the presumed initial laminated diatom ooze layers of ~ 6000 BP, suggesting no initial 14 C reservoir effect in the early lake conversely to the present day (Chapron et al. 2010).

Sampling

Water Column

Sampling of the water column was conducted in the central part of the lake at 92 m depth. Water samples for ¹⁴C analysis of DOC and DIC and suspended particulate organic carbon (POC_{susp}) were pumped *in situ* (1–3 L) and on-line filtered (450 °C precombusted GF/F filters) during 2 campaigns in June 2007 and July 2009. Filtered water samples for DIC were stored refrigerated in 1-L glass bottles filled without head space. DOC in acidified water samples (2 L acidified with 100 drops of H₃PO₄ 75% per L) was concentrated at 30–35 °C in about 30 mL using a rotary evaporator. The concentrated solutions were then introduced into the combustion quartz tubes, dried overnight at 60 °C, and connected to the vacuum line for the CO₂ production step (see below). Particulate matter on fiberglass filters related to POC_{susp} was rinsed with H₃PO₄ acidified water and dried overnight at 100 °C. A 20-μm mesh plankton net was used for vertical tows between the surface and 6 and 10 m depths in April 2007 and February 2008, respectively. Plankton material was rinsed with HCl 10% and dried. Sinking particles related to POC_{sink} were collected using a set of cylindrical sediment traps moored during 2 periods (July–August 2006 and November 2006–March 2007) at 4 different depths: 23, 58, 70, and 88 m. Particles collected by the traps were isolated by filtration (GF/F) and dried (Thiam 2009).

Sediment

Sampling of sediment material was made at mid-depth (46 m) and in the central deeper part of the lake (90 m) by short gravity coring (Chapron et al. 2012) and also 1 piston coring (PAV08 core at the 46-m-depth site) to reach the volcanic substratum underlying the sediment cover. Only the top section (1 meter long) and the bottom section (0.6 m long) of the 5-m-long piston core were used in this work given the presence of a thick disrupted slump layer in the middle section of the core (Chapron et al. 2010). Sediment material was used for ¹⁴C analysis of sediment organic carbon (SOC) and macro-remains (tree leaves) when found.

Radiocarbon Analysis

Analyses were performed by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) either at the Poznań Radiocarbon Laboratory (Poland) for most sediment material (bulk sediment and leaf macro-remains) or at the LMC14 Artemis AMS facility (Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) for the water column samples and some bulk sediment samples (Tables 1 and 2). For analyses performed at the LMC14 accelerator, CO_2 production and conversion to graphite targets were conducted at the IDES laboratory (Orsay University). Extraction of CO_2 from DIC was done by adding H_3PO_4 and stripping water samples through a vacuum line. Conversion of organic carbon to CO_2 was done at 850 °C in precombusted quartz tubes connected to the vacuum line (Louvat 1987). Complete combustion was ensured by a rapid rise in temperature and controlled by $\delta^{13}C$ IRMS analysis of CO_2 aliquots.

Pretreatment prior to the CO₂ production step for plankton, DOC, and POC_{susp} related samples was restricted to the acid treatments mentioned above. Bulk sediment (accounting for sediment organic carbon SOC), sediment-trap (POC_{sink}), and macro-remains samples were analyzed either at the Saclay LMC14 facility or at the Poznań Radiocarbon Laboratory after acid-alkali-acid (AAA) pre-

P Albéric et al.

Table 1 Radiocarbon data for the water column and superficial sediment.

Samping SacA-9602 SacA-9605 SacA-9605 SacA-9605 SacA-9606 SacA-9606 SacA-9606 SacA-9606 Water column DIC Samping Samping SacA-9607 SacA-9607 SacA-1966 Water column DIC Samping Samping SacA-9609 SacA-9606 SacA-1966 Water column DIC Samping SacA-9609 SacA-1966 SacA-1966 Water column DIC Samping SacA-1960 SacA-1966 Water column DOC Samping SacA-1966 SacA-1966 Water column DOC Samping Samping SacA-1966 SacA-1966 Water column DOC Samping Samping SacA-1966 SacA-1966 Water column DOC Samping S	Sampling	Sample	Sampling	Sampling	Age	±	Δ ¹⁴ C	
Tributary	1 0							Lab nr
Water column Vater column DIC 10 June 2007 6630 35 -565 SacA-9603 Water column DIC 60 June 2007 10,060 40 -716 SacA-9603 Water column DIC 70 June 2007 9840 40 -708 SacA-9698 Water column DIC 75 June 2007 9690 50 -703 SacA-9599 Water column DIC 80 June 2007 9155 35 -682 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9600 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 21 July 2009 9025 40 -711 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 180 <	-		depth (iii)			10		
Water column DIC 60 June 2007 8785 40 -667 SacA-9605 Water column DIC 70 June 2007 9840 40 -716 SacA-9608 Water column DIC 70 June 2007 9840 40 -708 SacA-9598 Water column DIC 85 June 2007 9850 50 -703 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 85 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8720 35 -665 SacA-9604 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 3355 30 -222 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17968 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9970 60 -679 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1800 60 -217			10					
Water column DIC 65 June 2007 10,060 40 -716 SacA-9598 Water column DIC 75 June 2007 9840 40 -708 SacA-9599 Water column DIC 80 June 2007 9690 50 -703 SacA-9599 Water column DIC 80 June 2007 8955 40 -676 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8955 40 -675 SacA-9604 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 3355 30 -32 56 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17963 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 9070 60 6-679 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130								
Water column DIC 70 June 2007 9840 40 -708 SacA-9599 Water column DIC 80 June 2007 9155 35 -682 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 80 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8720 35 -662 SacA-9600 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 925 40 -711 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9925 40 -611 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17967 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 1630 100 118								
Water column DIC 75 June 2007 9690 50 −703 SacA-9599 Water column DIC 80 June 2007 9155 35 −682 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8720 35 −665 SacA-9600 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 −22 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 21 July 2009 3355 30 −32 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 9725 40 −711 SacA-17968 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9907 60 −679 SacA-17968 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 1810 130 −207 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130 −207 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2101 170 −227<								
Water column DIC 80 June 2007 895 35 -682 SacA-9601 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9604 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9604 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17990 Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9970 60 -679 SacA-17960 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1800 60 -217 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2130 130 -227 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Water column DIC 85 June 2007 8995 40 -676 SacA-9604 Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8720 35 -665 SacA-9600 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17963 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17963 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9970 60 -679 SacA-17963 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 9970 60 -679 SacA-17963 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17967 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2730 130 -294 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Water column DIC 90 June 2007 8720 35 -665 SacA-9600 Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17990 Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17967 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 210 170 -227 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-19673 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 1630 100 -189		-						
Water column DIC 5 July 2009 120 30 -22 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 21 July 2009 3355 30 -346 SacA-17966 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17968 Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 8630 40 -661 SacA-17967 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 1630 100 -		_						
Water column DIC 21 July 2009 3355 30 -346 SacA-17965 Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17963 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17967 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 1630 100 -188 SacA-19672 Water column POC susp 5 July 2009 1880 60								
Water column DIC 61 July 2009 7725 35 -620 SacA-17990 Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 8630 40 -661 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2101 170 -227 SacA-17967 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC susp 5 July 2009 3030 120		-		3				
Water column DIC 71 July 2009 9925 40 -711 SacA-17963 Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19672 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 1880 60								
Water column DIC 81 July 2009 9070 60 -679 SacA-17967 Water column DIC 89 July 2009 8630 40 -661 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19672 Water column DOC Susp 5 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-19673 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 3475 45 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				,				
Water column DIC 89 July 2009 8630 40 -661 SacA-17968 Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-19679 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19672 Water column DOC StNDa 89 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17989 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-17989 Water column POC Susp 61 July 2009 4640 <td< td=""><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		_		,				
Water column DOC 5 July 2009 1900 60 -217 SacA-17966 Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17999 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19669 Water column DOC 5KDa 89 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-19673 Water column POC susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-19673 Water column POC susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17987 Water column POC susp 61 July 2009 3475								
Water column DOC 21 July 2009 1810 130 -207 SacA-17965 Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17999 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19669 Water column DOC <5KDa	Water column				8630	40		
Water column DOC 61 July 2009 2010 170 -227 SacA-19675 Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17999 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19673 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17987 Water column POC Susp 5 July 2009 1830 50 -209 SacA-17989 Water column POC Susp 61 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-17987 Water column POC Susp 81 July 2009 4420 30 -427 SacA-17990 Water column POC Susp 81 July 2009 4325								SacA-17966
Water column DOC 71 July 2009 2730 130 -294 SacA-17999 Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19673 Water column DOC<5KDa	Water column							SacA-17965
Water column DOC 81 July 2009 1630 100 -189 SacA-19672 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19669 Water column DOC 89 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17987 Water column POC 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17987 Water column POC 5 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-19674 Water column POC 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-19674 Water column POC 81 July 2009 4420 30 -427 SacA-19674 Water column POC 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17990 Water column POC 89 July 2009 4325 30 -4	Water column				2010	170		SacA-19675
Water column DOC 89 July 2009 2940 140 -311 SacA-19669 Water column DOC<5KDa	Water column							SacA-17999
Water column DOC<5KDa 89 July 2009 3030 120 -319 SacA-19673 Water column POC _{susp} 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17987 Water column POC _{susp} 5 July 2009 1830 50 -209 SacA-17989 Water column POC _{susp} 21 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-19674 Water column POC _{susp} 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-17990 Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -427 SacA-17993 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17998 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006<	Water column	DOC			1630	100		SacA-19672
Water column POC susp 5 July 2009 1880 60 -214 SacA-17987 Water column POC susp 5 July 2009 1830 50 -209 SacA-17989 Water column POC susp 21 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-19674 Water column POC susp 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-17990 Water column POC susp 81 July 2009 4420 30 -427 SacA-17994 Water column POC susp 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17993 Water column POC susp 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column POC sink 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC sink 58 July-Aug 2006		DOC		July 2009	2940	140		SacA-19669
Water column POC _{susp} 5 July 2009 1830 50 -209 SacA-17989 Water column POC _{susp} 21 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-19674 Water column POC _{susp} 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-17990 Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17993 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 58	Water column	DOC<5KDa	89	July 2009	3030	120		SacA-19673
Water column POC _{susp} 5 July 2009 1830 50 -209 SacA-17989 Water column POC _{susp} 21 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-19674 Water column POC _{susp} 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-17990 Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17993 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 58	Water column	POC_{susp}	5	July 2009	1880	60	-214	SacA-17987
Water column POC _{susp} 21 July 2009 3475 45 -356 SacA-19674 Water column POC _{susp} 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-17990 Water column POC _{susp} 71 July 2009 4420 30 -427 SacA-17994 Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17993 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 58 <td< td=""><td>Water column</td><td>POC_{susp}</td><td>5</td><td>July 2009</td><td>1830</td><td>50</td><td>-209</td><td>SacA-17989</td></td<>	Water column	POC_{susp}	5	July 2009	1830	50	-209	SacA-17989
Water column POC _{susp} 61 July 2009 4640 40 -443 SacA-17990 Water column POC _{susp} 71 July 2009 4420 30 -427 SacA-17994 Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17993 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 58 July-Aug 2006 2470 30 -271 SacA-17991 Water column POC _{sink} 70	Water column	POC_{susp}	21	July 2009	3475	45	-356	SacA-19674
Water column POC _{susp} 71 July 2009 4420 30 -427 SacA-17994 Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17998 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-17996 Water column POC _{sink} 58 July-Aug 2006 2470 30 -270 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 70 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 1920 45 -218 SacA-17991 Water column POC _{sink} 88	Water column	POC_{susp}	61	July 2009	4640	40	-443	SacA-17990
Water column POC _{susp} 81 July 2009 4335 45 -421 SacA-17993 Water column POC _{susp} 89 July 2009 4325 30 -420 SacA-17988 Water column Plankton 0-6 Apr 2007 3210 35 -334 SacA-14130 Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-17996 Water column POC _{sink} 58 July-Aug 2006 2470 30 -270 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 70 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 1920 45 -218 SacA-17991 Water column POC _{sink} 88 July-Aug 2006 2450 30 -268 SacA-17998 Lake bed 46 m SOC (0.2-0.7	Water column	POC_{susp}	71	July 2009	4420	30	-427	SacA-17994
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Water column	POC_{susp}	81	July 2009	4335	45		SacA-17993
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Water column	POC _{susp}	89		4325	30	-420	SacA-17988
Water column Plankton 0-10 Feb 2008 3605 35 -366 SacA-14131 Water column POC _{sink} 23 July-Aug 2006 2040 40 -230 SacA-17997 Water column POC _{sink} 23 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 2780 120 -297 SacA-17996 Water column POC _{sink} 58 July-Aug 2006 2470 30 -270 SacA-18002 Water column POC _{sink} 70 July-Aug 2006 2480 30 -271 SacA-17999 Water column POC _{sink} 70 Nov 2006-Mar 2007 1920 45 -218 SacA-17991 Water column POC _{sink} 88 July-Aug 2006 2450 30 -268 SacA-17998 Lake bed 46 m (PAV08-P1)b SOC (0.2-0.7)c 2008 2070 40 -233 SacA-28952 Lake bed 91 m (PAV09-B1)b SOC (0.5-1.0)c 2009 2965 30 -314 SacA-28953	Water column	Plankton	0–6		3210	35	-334	SacA-14130
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Water column	Plankton	0-10			35	-366	SacA-14131
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Water column	POC_{sink}	23	July-Aug 2006	2040	40	-230	SacA-17997
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		POCsink	23			120		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Water column	POCsink		July-Aug 2006				SacA-18002
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Water column	POCsink						SacA-17999
Water column Lake bed 46 m (PAV08-P1) ^b Lake bed 91 m (PAV09-B1) ^b Lake bed 91 m SOC (1.0-1.5) ^c 2009 2009 2445 30 -268 SacA-17998 2070 40 -233 SacA-19655 30 -314 SacA-28952 2445 30 -268 SacA-28952	Water column	POC	70			45		SacA-17991
Lake bed 46 m (PAV08-P1)b	Water column	POCsink	88		2450	30		
(PAV08-P1)b Lake bed 91 m (PAV09-B1)b SOC (0.5–1.0)c 2009 2965 30 -314 SacA-28952 Lake bed 91 m SOC (1.0–1.5)c 2009 2445 30 -268 SacA-28953		SOC		, <u>e</u>				
(PAV09-B1) ^b Lake bed 91 m SOC (1.0–1.5) ^c 2009 2445 30 –268 SacA-28953			(
Lake bed 91 m SOC (1.0–1.5) ^c 2009 2445 30 –268 SacA-28953		SOC	$(0.5-1.0)^{c}$	2009	2965	30	-314	SacA-28952
	Lake bed 91 m	SOC	(1.0–1.5) ^c	2009	2445	30	-268	SacA-28953

a<5 kDa DOC in ultrafiltrated water.

treatment (Goslar and Czernik 2000). AAA pretreatment applied to bulk biogenic sediment may cause the weight losses of a large proportion of the organic as well as of the bulk siliceous material (Olsson 2009). Humics from soils in the watershed of the lake are thought to make a very minor contribution to Lac Pavin and humic-like compounds present in sediment have rather a planktonic origin. One fortuitous replicate test done on bottom-core sediment with a milder alkaline attack gave a

^bWater depth and core section.

^cSediment layer depth (cm).

 14 C age that was 750 yr older (Poz-48070 and Poz-45411, Table 2), suggesting a higher percentage of reservoir effect free aerial macrorests in the residual fraction. In this type of sediment, the proportion of residual organic fraction may be small, and given the aims of the present study, it might have been preferable to restrict pretreatment to the acid attack. However, the standard pretreatment procedure was retained for the purpose of comparison between tree leaves and bulk sediment material. The results of 14 C analyses (conventional 14 C ages) listed in Tables 1 and 2 are expressed later in the text using the Δ notation (Stuiver and Polach 1977; Stuiver 1980).

Table 2 Radiocarbon data for the buried sediment.

Core section	Sample	Sediment layer	Sampling	Age		$\Delta^{14}C$	
Water depth	type	depth (cm)	date	BP	1σ	(‰)	Lab nr
PAV09-B1 91 m	SOC	94–94.5	2009	6170	50	-540	SacA-19657
PAV09-B1 91 m	Leaves	96.5	2009	150	35	-25	Poz-33126
PAV09-C2 45 m	SOC	34.5-35.5	2009	4130	45	-406	SacA-19660
PAV09-C2 45 m	Leaves	35	2009	155	40	-26	SacA-19662
PAV08-1A1 46 m	SOC	3–4	2008	5205	45	-481	SacA-19656
PAV08-1A1 46 m	SOC	22.5-23.5	2008	3765	35	-379	Poz-31852
PAV08-1A1 46 m	Leaves	23	2008	1210	60	-146	Poz-31851
PAV08-2A1 46 m	SOC	70.5-71.5	2008	5960	50	-527	SacA-19658
PAV08-2A1 46 m	Leaves	71	2008	1290	35	-154	Poz-27046
PAV08-2A1 46 m	SOC	96.5-97.5	2008	6795	45	-574	SacA-19659
PAV08-2A1 46 m	Leaves	97	2008	1430	30	-169	Poz-27047
PAV08-2B2 46 m	SOC	441-442	2008	7620	50	-613	Poz-45411
PAV08-2B2 46 m	SOC	441-442	2008	8370	50	-647	Poz-48070
PAV08-2B2 46 m	SOC	450-451	2008	5865	35	-518	Poz-45413
PAV08-2B2 46 m	SOC	460–461	2008	6580	40	-559	Poz-45414

Radiocarbon Cycle Modeling

Modeling the 14 C composition of particulate organic carbon (POC_{sink}) formed in the surface water column and sedimenting to lake bottom was tested using the AquaSim software (Reichert 1994, 1998). Using this software, Lopes et al. (2011) developed a detailed reactive transport model describing biogeochemical processes applicable to Lac Pavin. Key issues of importance in the model settings and relevant to the present modeling attempt are the following: depth distribution of vertical mixing coefficients ($K_{(z)}$) that govern mixing due to eddy diffusion; discharge of water inflows in the lake depths that govern advection in the water column; concentration of inflowing dissolved compounds; transformation rates; diffusion coefficients in porewaters that determine benthic fluxes; CO_2 exchange rate at the lake surface; and sedimentation velocity of the particles. Biogeochemical and physical processes are expressed by a set of partial differential transport-reaction equations solved for each dissolved and particulate state variable with a vertical resolution of 1 m. Each chemical process is characterized by a specific rate constant (possibly variable with time and depth) and stoichiometric coefficients.

Attempting to simulate in detail DIC and POC ¹⁴C in time and depth in surface waters would have been far beyond the possibilities of this work. Due to biological and environmental variability, photosynthetic production in aquatic systems is subjected to too many factors: for instance, seasonal or even daily depth variation in maximum photosynthesis yields in a photic zone extending down to 30 m depth (Amblard and Bourdier 1990); or recycling of carbon by bacterial re-assimilation and

protistan bacterivory in the metalimnion (thermocline layer) (Maurin et al. 1997; Carrias et al. 1998) where the DIC Δ^{14} C gradient is the steepest (see below). Furthermore, sufficient field data to be compared with model outputs would have been in any case lacking. The objectives of the modeling approach were to fix a limited number of parameters, in accordance with knowledge acquired in the field and previous modeling attempts, that would give schematic 14 C POC $_{sink}$ values within the range of observed modern offset values. Then, by changing the length of seasons (each characterized by a defined $K_{(z)}$ depth distribution in the mixolimnion, see below), different scenarios leading to a larger contribution of deeper DIC providing model outputs compatible for larger reservoir effects were tested.




Figure 1 Eddy diffusion coefficient ($K_{(z)}$) versus depth for the 4 seasons defined scenario compatible with modern day reservoir effect (Climate 1) and for an alternative scenario (Climate 2) for which a larger contribution of deeper DIC would provide a model compatible with larger past reservoir effects (see Figures 5 and 6).

Transformation mechanisms and state variables were limited to photosynthesis (POC production from DIC), respiration (POC mineralization to DIC), methanogenesis (POC fermentation to DIC and CH₄), and methane-oxidation (CH₄ transformation to DIC). Each component or compound was represented by 2 state variables accounting for ¹²C and ¹⁴C chemical species. Translocation of carbon between compounds was operated at constant Δ^{14} C values. POC respiration and methane oxidation were localized in the mixolimnion and the chemocline. Methanogenesis was restricted to the sediment. CO₂ exchange between air and surface waters was adjusted at each model step proportionally to the difference between DIC and atmospheric CO2. POC production in surface waters was adjusted according to the organic carbon export values defined by Lopes et al. (2011). The methanogenesis rate controlling methane and DIC fluxes from the sediment was kept constant with time and adjusted to be compatible to quasi steady-state ¹⁴C compositions (DIC) and concentrations (DIC, CH₄) observed in the monimolimnion (this work; Lopes et al. 2011; Jézéquel et al. 2012b). $K_{(2)}$ values, which depend on (and can be computed from) density gradients through the water column, were fixed (see Figure 1) in accordance with previous work (Aeschbach-Hertig et al. 2002; Lopes et al. 2011). The monimolimnion $K_{(z)}$ value was maintained constant over the year (0.05 m²/ day) while the $K_{(2)}$ value at the chemocline (59–65 m depth) was fixed at 0.004 m²/day. Accounting for the presence of an ice cover, the winter period was defined by a constant and small $K_{(z)}$ value (0.1 m²/day) through the entire mixolimnion water column and by organic carbon export values <10⁻⁵ mol/m³/day. The spring period differed from winter by the presence of a rather thin epilimnion (surface $K_{(z)}$ 1 m²/day) limited by a shallow thermocline ($K_{(z)}$ 0.05 m²/day at 4 m depth) and a POC maximum yield around 5 m depth (4×10^{-3} mol/m³/day). The summer period thermocline was set at 10 m depth and the photosynthetic maximum between 11 and 17 m depth, yielding ~ 3×10^{-3} mol/m³/day. Finally, the fall period was characterized by a deeper extension of high $K_{(z)}$ values (5 m²/day) down to 18 m depth due to the vanishing of the thermocline, and a POC export around 10^{-3} mol/m³/day. Mean depth inputs and inflows of underground and surface waters as well as atmospheric precipitations were also kept constant over the year. The POC sedimentation velocity in Lac Pavin, which may vary from 1.5 to 6 m/day (Lopes et al. 2011), was fixed at 2 m/day. Calculation was conducted over 27 yr to reach quasi steady-state conditions at the decadal scale.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water Column and Superficial Sediment

Depth profiles of basic parameters (temperature T, dissolved O₂, and specific conductivity C25) obtained in June 2007 and July 2009 during 2 sampling campaigns are shown in Figure 2. The deep anoxic layer, limited by the steep C25 gradient chemocline, represents the monimolimnion, and is assumed to be in a quasi steady-state for several hundred years (Jézéquel et al. 2012a). Overlying layers from the surface to 60 m depth (combined as mixolimnion) experience summer thermal stratification and fall overturn with variable yearly intensity. June 2007 represents a situation following a warm and windy winter with only a few days of ice covering of the lake surface. This led to a greater mixing in the water column down to 60 m depth together with a sharper transition between the mixo- and monimolimnion (Figure 2a). July 2009 followed a cold winter with a long freezing period, which explains the upward moving of the anoxic limit above 60 m depth (Figure 2b) and the smoothing of T and C25 profiles across the transition.




Figure 2 Depth profiles for T (°C), dissolved O_2 (mg/L), and specific conductivity at 25 °C (C25, μ S/cm) during the 2 principal sampling campaigns for 14 C analyses: a) June 2007; b) July 2009.

Water column 14 C results are compiled in Table 1 and summarized in Figure 3. DIC Δ^{14} C values were up to 700% more negative in the water column when compared with atmospheric and creek values. Profiles in the monimolimnion were almost identical for the 2 sampling sets in accordance with the steady state of that stratum. Minimum values were found at a depth of ~65 m. Combined with a water balance of the lake based on δ^{18} O data (Jézéquel et al. 2012a) and the Li/Na ratio, DIC 14 C profiles were modeled in accordance with a dead carbon carbo-gaseous mineral water inflow of ~130 m 3 d $^{-1}$ at 68 m depth (Jézéquel et al. 2012b).

The main result concerning organic carbon pools was the limited Δ^{14} C range (compared to DIC) for superficial SOC, either at mid-depth or at bottom (respectively at 46 and 91 m depth, Table 1 and Figure 3), and POC_{sink} through the whole water column. The mean $\Delta^{14}C$ value and amplitude of variation for the modern biogenic sedimentary flux ($\sim -263 \pm 48\%$) accounts, however, for a mean age offset of \sim 2500 \pm 500 14 C yr. Adding DOC in the comparison does not make a significant statistical difference to the mean value ($-258 \pm 65\%$) but slightly increases the range of variation of organic Δ^{14} C in the water column. This observation accords with DOC concentration increasing (up to 20 mg/L) down the monimolimnion as a result of SOC transformation in the superficial sediment and upward migration of solutes (Albéric et al. 2000). Comparing plankton and suspended POC (POC_{susp}) to the modern biogenic flux $\Delta^{14}C$ range defined above $(DOC + POC_{sink})$ average, approximately $-260 \pm 60\%$) gave 2 distinct cases. The upper mixolimnion samples had values within or close to the modern range (plankton and POC_{susp} at 21 m depth were however 50% more negative than the minimum range value, a possible consequence of acid-only pretreatment). Average monimolimnion POC_{susp} samples were closer to $-428 \pm 10\%$, a value that is significantly more negative and could be attributed to different ways of organic matter production that exist in surface waters. Material sampled at these depths was made of gray sulfide particles supporting methanotrophic or sulfo-oxidant autotrophic bacteria (Lehours et al. 2005; Biderre-Petit et al. 2011), which may partly rely on the more Δ^{14} C negative inorganic carbon sources present in the monimolimnion. The AMS δ^{13} C value for POC_{susp} sampled at 60 m depth (-40%) supports this hypothesis when compared to shallower or deeper samples (-25%).




Figure 3 Δ^{14} C depth profiles. Plankton was sampled in April 2007 and February 2008 from surface to 6–10 m water depth; DIC water column in June 2007 and July 2009; DIC creek in February 2008; POC_{susp} and DOC in July 2009; POC_{sink} in July–August 2006 and November 2006–March 2007; SOC lake bed at 46 and 91 m depth in 2008 and 2009, respectively. Air CO₂ value deduced from annual mean atmospheric trend (Levin and Kromer 2004).

Spatial variability of top-core sediments was addressed by only 2 samplings, one at mid-depth under oxic bottom water conditions and the other in the central part of the lake with anoxic conditions. The Δ^{14} C difference of top-core SOC did not depart from the range of values defining modern biogenic sedimentary fluxes, suggesting a weak influence of the redox conditions on the 14 C signature. Bulk sediment Δ^{14} C difference between adjacent 0.5-cm sediment layers at the top of the PAV09-B1 core section (Table 1) exceeds the redox difference and probably rather reflects variation in the age offset of the biogenic surface production than SOC compositional differences as observed lake-wide (Zigah et al. 2012b). Increasing the proportion of terrestrial organic inputs would tend to give less negative (younger) bulk sediment Δ^{14} C values, provided that the age of (re)sedimented debris does not exceed the age offset characterizing the modern water column biogenic flux (2500 ± 500 14 C yr).

Buried Sediment

As discussed above, SOC in bulk superficial sediments had Δ^{14} C values from about -220 to -320% (Table 1), consistent with the values of the water column sedimentary flux and leading to a modern age offset of $\sim 2500 \pm 500^{14}$ C yr. The depth-age relation for core section PAV09-B1 is based on the varve counting depth-age model proposed by Schettler et al. (2007). For the core section PAV09-C2, the single point SOC age was directly compared to that of the macro-remains sampled at the same level (Table 2). For the top sections of the piston core PAV08-1A1 and -2A1, the depth-age model relies on macro-remains dates and modern age for the top layer (Chapron et al. 2010). For the bottom section of the piston core (PAV08-2B2, with no macro-remains), the depth-age model assumed \sim 6000 BP for the volcanic layer underlying the deposit bed and an accumulation rate of 1 \pm 0.5 mm/yr (compatible with the mean value given by Schettler et al. 2007 and the compaction effect). The period 1500-5500 BP was not documented due to the presence of the thick slump deposit. In most cases, SOC Δ^{14} C values in buried sediments (Table 2) were not supported by depthage model ages, even those corrected by a modern reservoir effect. Differences between Δ^{14} C depthage model values and measured bulk sediment SOC values are plotted in Figure 4 versus depth-age model 14 C ages of the layers in chronological order. Although 1 Δ^{14} C offset at ~1300 BP compares well with the present mean value (Figure 4), most calculated offsets for the top section first meter were found in the -400 to -500% range, corresponding to a 4000- to 6000-yr reservoir effect. This could potentially indicate that the lake reservoir effect experienced large fluctuations during the last millennium. In the early period of lake formation, the offset remained nearly zero during the time of deposition of the 25 cm bottom sediment. Nevertheless, the occurrence of an apparent offset of about -100\% at 35 cm from the bottom (PAV08-2B2 441-442 cm layer, Table 2) suggests the beginning of carbon recycling in the lake system. As mentioned above, the difference in alkaline pretreatment may account for the variability observed within that replicate (Table 2).

Modeling

Modeling present conditions (henceforth Climate 1) was done by alternating 4 seasonal yearly periods each defined (see Materials and Methods) by specific $K_{(z)}$ profiles (Figure 1) and photosynthesis conditions (depth of maximum yields). Results are summarized in Figures 5 and 6. Model outputs for $\Delta^{14}C$ DIC are plotted versus depth for every month of year 24 (Figure 5a) and versus dates (years 24 to 26) for several depths (Figure 5c). $\Delta^{14}C$ POC_{sink} values plotted versus dates (years 24 to 26) satisfactorily bracket available data inside the current –200 to –300% range (Figure 6). The goal was to establish a credible alternative scenario fitting the –400 to –500% range (see Figure 4) by considering mechanisms that increase ^{14}C -depleted DIC rising from deep waters to the surface or by minimizing atmospheric CO_2 inputs. Modeling attempts to simulate more complete mixing of mixolimnion waters during fall and winter did not succeed in decreasing the ^{14}C contents of photosyn-




Figure 4 Δ^{14} C offset between measured bulk sediment organic carbon (SOC) values and depth-age model values versus depth-age model ¹⁴C ages in sediment cores. Uncertainty in the sedimentation rate at the bottom of the core is shown by the error bars.

thetic zone DIC and thus POC_{sink} (results not shown). Increasing mixing seems rather to enhance atmospheric CO_2 penetration in the water column, although the air-water compartment is certainly the least constrained by the model and available data. Therefore, the validity of the single very negative $\Delta^{14}C$ DIC data (–565‰) found at 10 m depth in June 2007 (following a greater mixing period in winter, see Figure 2), which seems to contradict the model and organic carbon water column data, is perhaps questionable. For the purpose of demonstration, a simple scenario with only 2 seasons was defined, corresponding to an alternative climate with a cooler summer than the present day. In such a scenario, the thermal stratification of the mixolimnion during cool summer and fall periods was chosen to fit Climate 1 spring conditions while keeping POC export characteristics unchanged. All other conditions (creek and underwater-spring input flows, interface exchanges) were kept identical. The results depicted as Climate 2 in Figure 5b and 5d and Figure 6 illustrate a possible type of weather change corresponding to lesser mixing of the mixolimnion and in accordance with the lower $\Delta^{14}C$ values found in some core sections of the sediment (Figure 4). However, other mechanisms or a different timing of alternating stratification and mixing periods could also cause such a large offset but would require more complex scenarios.

In addition to the scenarios studied in this section, which rely mainly on variation in seasonal stratification and POC production and export in surface waters, several different types of mechanisms could be considered: (1) Climatic variations of atmospheric precipitations and underground water inflows impacting the deep mixolimnion chemical stratification as inferred by Schettler et al. (2007) from varved sediment studies; (2) Massive slumps of sediment (Chapron et al. 2010) that could have a several-year effect on the water column stratification and carbon recycling; (3) Possible related events such as gas outbursts or overflows described in other maar lakes (De Benedetti et al. 2008; Caracausi et al. 2009) could also represent major perturbations to the ¹⁴C budget. By modifying the chemical stratification of the lake and meromictic conditions, these mechanisms may have a more drastic impact than the variability depicted by the Climate 1 and 2 model scenarios.

Understanding the Apparent Past Reservoir Effect in Lac Pavin

Estimation of the apparent past 14 C offset was calculated by the difference between the bulk Δ^{14} C SOC value and the conventional depth-age model value (Figure 4). The choice of sample pretreatment procedure may be critical on 14 C results (Olsson 2009) but was not explored in detail during




Figure 5 Model outputs for DIC Δ^{14} C versus depth for each month of the year: (a) Climate 1 and (b) Climate 2 scenarios. Idem versus time at different depths, (c) Climate 1; (d) Climate 2. Climate 1 represents modern conditions. Climate 2 represents climatic conditions having a cooler summer (shallower summer thermal stratification). Cross plot marks in (a) and (b) are Figure 3 DIC data for comparison.

this study. Since sediment material in Lac Pavin is mostly composed of autochthonous material, humic-like fractions extracted by hydroxide will not reflect terrestrial contamination but rather will contain the information that is being sought. It is the insoluble fraction that may risk being contaminated by terrestrial plant remains. The question may be not pertinent for water column samples that gave ¹⁴C values within the same range, whether treated with hydroxide or not. However, buried sediment past reservoir effect estimations based on untreated bulk sediment might be possibly greater. The terrestrial plant fraction was assumed to be negligible in Lac Pavin diatom ooze whole sediment as confirmed by Rock-Eval pyrolysis (data not shown), but microscopic observations may add further useful information on this issue.

Interannual variations in mixing intensity in the mixolimnion as depicted in Figure 2, which may impact exchange and reactivity of chemicals through the chemocline (e.g. dissolved O₂), have to be understood as minor fluctuations within a given climate pattern without major repercussions on the age offset of the organic matter produced or consecutive dietary reservoir effect (Fernandes et al.,

Figure 6 Model Δ^{14} C versus time for POC produced in surface waters according to 2 different climate scenarios at 70 and 90 m depth. Climate 1 represents modern conditions. Climate 2 represents climatic conditions having a cooler summer (shallower summer thermal stratification).

Months

these proceedings). Conversely, the difference between the Climate 1 and 2 scenarios, even though quite small, persisted durably over dozens of years.

Deep bacterial production in the monimolimnion if rightly represented by POC_{susp} samples seems characterized by greater offset values than algal surface production. Although, monimolimnion carbon export appears far lower than surface export (Thiam 2009), which could have been otherwise in different past conditions and may have contributed more largely to the reservoir effect.

The position of the chemocline around 60 m depth and the characteristics of the monimolimnion act in Lac Pavin as a reservoir effect amplifier tuned by the seasonal stratification of the mixolimnion. The link between meromicticity and high offset values may be addressed schematically (with no attempts to model change in geochemistry like in Moreira et al. 2011) by eliminating the chemocline (i.e. extending high $K_{(z)}$ values down to the bottom). Although very shallow POC production will have the same 14 C signature, strong holomicticity will mostly erase any reservoir effect in the lake (result not shown). As according to Jézéquel et al. (2012a), the transition from holomicticity to meromicticity will take at least 200 yr, detecting sediment deposited during possible holomictic periods seems to be achievable, provided that a fairly continuous sampling of sediment laminae is carried out. So far, this was found only for layers sampled at the base of the core, which are assumed to represent the early period of lake formation estimated to have lasted for at least a few hundred years (Figure 4).

CONCLUSIONS

The data presented in this paper on different organic carbon pools in the water column and bed sediments of Lac Pavin document a contemporary Δ^{14} C offset in the range -200 to -300%, corresponding to an average age reservoir effect of \sim 2500 yr. This relatively uniform value found through the water column at different collection dates may be considered as a mean value integrating the complexity of organic matter production in surface waters. This rather large reservoir effect results from the physical structure of the lake by meromicticity and storage of dead carbon in the monimolimnion.

During the last millennium, offset values deduced from the sedimentary record may have been much larger (-500%), but almost zero at the early formation history of the lake 7000 yr ago. Modeling

attempts have allowed us to define a seasonal scenario capable of predicting modern conditions and to test different scenarios for which there is a larger contribution of deeper DIC, compatible with larger past reservoir effects. The conclusion is that variation of SOC Δ^{14} C in laminated lake sediments is certainly an interesting proxy to study the history of lake systems such as Lac Pavin, but at least 2 future lines of research should be followed to more adequately model and understand past reservoir effect variations: (1) obtaining more data on the dynamics of the 14 C composition of plankton; and (2) carefully sampling laminated sediment layers to study continuous deposit sequences instead of discrete distant levels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

¹⁴C analysis benefited from funding by INSU-CNRS at the Artemis facility (CEA-Saclay) and by DREAL63. Fieldwork was facilitated thanks to accommodation at the Besse biological station (Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand). This work was part of the METANOX project funded by ANR-EC2CO. We thank Ricardo Fernandes and Christine Hatté for constructive comments.

REFERENCES

- Aeschbach-Hertig W, Hofer M, Schmid M, Kipfer R, Imboden DM. 2002. The physical structure and dynamics of a deep, meromictic crater lake (Lac Pavin, France). *Hydrobiologia* 487:111–36.
- Albéric P, Viollier E, Jezequel D, Grosbois C, Michard G. 2000. Interactions between trace elements and dissolved organic matter in the stagnant anoxic deep layer of a meromictic lake. *Limnology and Oceanog*raphy 45:1088–96.
- Amblard C, Bourdier G. 1990. The spring bloom of the diatom *Melosira italica* subsp. subarctica in lake Pavin: biochemical, energetic and metabolic aspects during sedimentation. *Journal of Plankton Research* 12:645–60.
- Ascough PL, Cook GT, Church MJ, Dunbar E, Einarsson A, McGovern TH, Dugmore AJ, Perdikaris S, Hastie A, Fridriksson A, Gestsdottir H. 2010. Temporal and spatial variations in freshwater ¹⁴C reservoir effects: Lake Mývatn, northern Iceland. *Radiocarbon* 52(3): 1098–112.
- Assayag N, Jézéquel D, Ader M, Viollier E, Michard G, Prévot F, Agrinier P. 2008. Hydrological budget, carbon sources and biogeochemical processes in Lac Pavin (France): constraints from δ¹⁸O of water and δ¹³C of dissolved inorganic carbon. *Applied Geochemistry* 23:2800–16.
- Biderre-Petit C, Boucher D, Kuever J, Albéric P, Jézéquel D, Chebance B, Borrel G, Fonty G, Peyret P. 2011. Identification of sulfur-cycle prokaryotes in a low-sulfate lake (Lake Pavin) using aprA and 16S rRNA gene markers. *Microbial Ecology* 61:313–27.
- Caracausi A, Nuccio M, Favara R, Nicolosi M, Paternoster M. 2009. Gas hazard assessment at the Monticchio crater lakes of Mt. Vulture, a volcano in southern Italy. *Terra Nova* 21:83–7.
- Carrias J-F, Amblard C, Bourdier G. 1998. Seasonal dynamics and vertical distribution of planktonic ciliates

- and their relationship to microbial food resources in the oligomesotrophic Lake Pavin. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 143:227–55.
- Chapron E, Albéric P, Jézéquel D, Versteeg W, Bourdier J-L, Sitbon J. 2010. Multidisciplinary characterisation of sedimentary processes in a recent maar lake (Lake Pavin, French Massif Central) and implication for natural hazards. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sci*ences 10:1815–27.
- Chapron E, Albéric P, Jézéquel D, Ledoux G, Massault M. 2012. Les archives sédimentaires de l'histoire du lac Pavin. In: Le Lac Pavin. Revue des Sciences Naturelles d'Auvergne 74–75:57–65.
- De Benedetti AA, Funiciello R, Giordano G, Diano G, Caprilli E, Paterne M. 2008. Volcanology, history and myths of the Lake Albano maar (Colli Albani volcano, Italy). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Re*search 176:387–406.
- Delibrias G, Guillier MT, Labeyrie J. 1972. Gif natural radiocarbon measurements VII. *Radiocarbon* 14(2): 280–320.
- Fernandes R, Bergemann S, Hartz S, Grootes PM, Nadeau M-J, Melzner F, Rakowski A, Hüls M. 2012. Mussels with meat: bivalve tissue-shell radiocarbon age differences and archaeological implications. *Ra-diocarbon* 54(3–4):953–65.
- Fernandes R, Dreves A, Grootes PM, Nadeau MJ. 2013. A freshwater lake saga: carbon routing within the aquatic food web of Lake Schwerin. *Radiocarbon*, these proceedings, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16357.
- Geyh MA, Schotterer U, Grosjean M. 1998. Temporal changes of the ¹⁴C reservoir effect in lakes. *Radiocar*bon 40(2):921–31.
- Goslar T, Czernik J. 2000. Sample preparation in the Gliwice Radiocarbon Laboratory for AMS ¹⁴C dating of sediments. *Geochronometria* 18:1–8.
- Jézéquel D, Sarazin G, Prévot F, Viollier E, Groleau A,

- Michard G, Agrinier P, Albéric P, Binet S, Bergonzini L. 2012a. Bilan hydrique du lac Pavin. In: Le Lac Pavin. Revue des Sciences Naturelles d'Auvergne 74–75: 67–90.
- Jézéquel D, Michard G, Viollier E, Prévot F, Groleau A, Sarazin G, Lopes F, Agrinier P, Albéric P, Bergonzini L. 2012b. Le cycle du carbone et les risques d'éruption gazeuse au Pavin. In: Le Lac Pavin. Revue des Sciences Naturelles d'Auvergne 74–75:91–110.
- Juvigné E. 1992. Distribution of widespread late glacial and holocene tephra beds in the French Central Massif. Quaternary International 14:181–5.
- Keaveney EM, Reimer PJ. 2012. Understanding the variability in freshwater radiocarbon reservoir offsets: a cautionary tale. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39(5):1306–16.
- Keaveney EM, Barry CD, Reamer PJ, Foy RH. 2012. ¹⁴C as a tool to trace terrestrial carbon in a complex lake system: implications for food-web structure and carbon cycling. Poster presented at 21st International Radiocarbon Conference 2012, Paris.
- Lehours AC, Bardot C, Thenot A, Debroas D, Fonty G. 2005. Anaerobic microbial communities in Lake Pavin, a unique meromictic lake in France. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 71:7389–400.
- Levin I, Kromer B. 2004. The tropospheric ¹⁴CO₂ level in mid-latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere (1959–2003). *Radiocarbon* 46(3):1261–72.
- Lopes F, Viollier E, Thiam A, Michard G, Abril G, Groleau A, Prévot F, Carrias J-F, Albéric P, Jézéquel D.
 2011. Biogeochemical modelling of anaerobic vs. aerobic methane oxidation in a meromictic crater lake (Lake Pavin, France). *Applied Geochemistry* 26: 1919–32
- Louvat D. 1987. Géochimie isotopique du soufre et du carbone et circulation aquifères en roches cristallines de Suède centrale (STRIPA) et de Finlande. Thèse Université Paris-Sud.
- Martin J-M, Meybeck M, Nijampurkar VN, Somayajulu BLK. 1992. ²¹⁰Pb, ²²⁶Ra and ³²Si in Pavin lake (Massif Central, France). *Chemical Geology* 94:73–181.
- Maurin N, Amblard C, Bourdier G. 1997. Phytoplanktonic excretion and bacterial reassimilation in an oligomesotrophic lake: molecular weight fractionation. *Journal of Plankton Research* 19:1045–68.
- Mayorga E, Aufdenkampe AK, Masiello CA, Krusche AV, Hedges JI, Quay PD, Richey JE, Brown TA. 2005. Young organic matter as a source of carbon dioxide outgassing from Amazonian rivers. *Nature* 436(7050):538–41.
- McNichol AP, Aluwihare LI. 2007. The power of radiocarbon in biogeochemical studies of the marine carbon cycle: insights from studies of dissolved and particulate organic carbon (DOC and POC). *Chemical Review* 107:443–66.
- Michard G, Viollier E, Jezequel D, Sarazin G. 1994. Geochemical study of a crater lake: the Lake Pavin, France – identification, location and quantification of

- chemical reactions in the lake. *Chemical Geology* 115: 103–15.
- Moreira S, Boehrer B, Schultze M, Dietz S, Samper J. 2011. Modeling geochemically caused permanent stratification in Lake Waldsee (Germany). Aquatic Geochemistry 17:265–80.
- Olsson IU. 2009. Radiocarbon dating history: early days, questions, and problems met. *Radiocarbon* 51(1):1–43
- Pazdur A, Fontugne MR, Goslar T, Pazdur MF. 1995. Late Glacial and Holocene water-level changes of the Gosciaz lake, central Poland, derived from carbon isotope studies of laminated sediment. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 14:125–35.
- Reichert P. 1994. Aquasim a tool for simulation and data analysis of aquatic systems. Water Science and Technology 30:21–30.
- Reichert P. 1998. AQUASIM 2.0 Computer Program for the Identification and Simulation of Aquatic Systems. User Manual. EAWAG Report. ISBN 3-906484-19-5.
- Schettler G, Schwab MJ, Stebich M. 2007. A 700-year record of climate change based on geochemical and palynological data from varved sediments (Lac Pavin, France). *Chemical Geology* 240:11–35.
- Schwab MJ, Schettler G, Bruchmann C, Acksel D, Negendank JFW, Brauer A. 2009. Stratigraphy, chronology and paleoenvironment information of the sediment record from Lac Pavin, Massif Central (France). International Meeting-Lake Pavin and Other Meromictic Lakes, May 14–16, Besse et St Anastaise, France, Abstract. p 30.
- Stebich M, Brüchmann C, Kulbe T, Negendank JFW. 2005. Vegetation history, human impact and climate change during the last 700 years recorded in annually laminated sediments of Lac Pavin, France. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 133:115–33.
- Stuiver M. 1980. Workshop on ¹⁴C data reporting. Radiocarbon 22(3):964–6.
- Stuiver M, Polach HA. 1977. Discussion: reporting of ¹⁴C data. *Radiocarbon* 19(3):355–63.
- Thiam A. 2009. Biogéochimie du molybdène dans un lac de cratère: processus permanents et transitoires, contraintes isotopiques [PhD thesis]. Paris: Diderot University.
- Viollier E, Albéric P, Jézéquel D, Michard G, Pèpe M, Sarazin G. 1995. Geochemical study of a crater lake: the Lake Pavin, France trace element behaviour in the monimolimnion. *Chemical Geology* 125:161–72.
- Zigah PK, Minor EC, Werne JP, McCallister SL. 2012a. An isotopic Δ¹⁴C, δ¹³C, and δ¹⁵N investigation of the composition of particulate organic matter and zooplankton food sources in Lake Superior and across a size-gradient of aquatic systems. *Biogeosciences* 9(9): 3663–78.
- Zigah PK, Minor EC, Werne JP. 2012b. Radiocarbon and stable-isotope geochemistry of organic and inorganic carbon in Lake Superior. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 26: GB1023, doi:10.1029/2011GB004132.