

Meteoritics & Planetary Science 42, Nr 7/8, 1135–1143 (2007) Abstract available online at http://meteoritics.org

The origin of presolar nova grains

Jordi JOSÉ^{1, 2*} and Margarita HERNANZ^{2, 3†}

¹Departament de Física i Enginyeria Nuclear, EUETIB, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya C. Comte d'Urgell 187, E-08036 Barcelona, Spain
²Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya, Ed. Nexus-201, C. Gran Capità 2-4, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain
³Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (CSIC), Campus UAB, Facultat de Ciències, Torre C5-parell, 2^a planta, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
*Corresponding author. E-mail address: jjose@ieec.fcr.es

(Received 20 October 2006; revision accepted 21 March 2007)

Abstract–Infrared observations reveal that classical novae often form dust in their expanding shells ejected into the interstellar medium as a consequence of violent outbursts. Recent experimental efforts have led to the identification of presolar nova candidate grains from the Acfer 094 and Murchison meteorites. Recently, however, concerns have been raised about the stellar paternity of these grains by new measurements on another sample of SiC grains: these grains are characterized by $^{12}C/^{13}C$ and $^{14}N/^{15}N$ ratios similar to the ones reported for the nova grains, but a number of different imprints suggest that a possible supernova origin cannot be excluded. Here we review the predicted nucleosynthetic imprints accompanying nova explosions and discuss the chances to synthesize heavier species, such as titanium, in nova-like events.

PRESOLAR GRAINS

Presolar grains are tiny pieces of stardust found in primitive meteorites and interplanetary dust particles. They are characterized by huge isotopic anomalies linked to a suite of nucleosynthetic processes that took place in their parent stellar sources. Detailed analyses of these grains have opened up an amazing new field of astronomy (for recent reviews, see Clayton and Nittler 2004; Lodders and Amari 2005; Lugaro 2005; Meyer and Zinner 2006; Zinner 2004). So far, silicon carbide (SiC), graphite (C), diamond (C), silicon nitride (Si₃N₄), silicates (Messenger et al. 2003; Nguyen and Zinner 2004; Mostefaoui and Hoppe 2004), and oxides, such as corundum (Al₂O₃) or spinel (MgAl₂O₄), have been identified as presolar grains. Ion microprobe analyses of individual grains have indeed revealed a variety of isotopic signatures that point toward several stellar sources, such as asymptotic giant branch stars (AGBs) and supernovae (SNe).

Until now, SiC grains have been the most extensively studied. They can be classified into different populations (that is, different stellar birthplaces) on the basis of their C, N, and Si isotopic ratios (see Hoppe and Ott 1997). It is now widely accepted that about 93% of all SiC grains, the so-called mainstream population, are formed in the winds accompanying solar-metallicity AGB stars (Gallino et al. 1993; Lugaro et al. 2003; Ott and Begemann 1990). About 1% correspond to X grains, which are characterized by large

excesses of ⁴⁴Ca (attributed to in situ ⁴⁴Ti decay) (Amari et al. 1992; Hoppe et al. 2000) and ²⁸Si, fingerprints of type II (i.e., core-collapse) supernovae. In addition, a variety of carbonrich J-type stars are expected to account for about 4–5% of the overall SiC grains, the so-called A and B grains (with bornagain AGB stars, such as the Sakurai's object V4334 Sgr, or other C-rich stellar types, like R or CH stars, not being totally excluded) (Amari et al. 2001a). Other populations include Y (about 1%) and Z grains (about 1%), whose origin is probably linked to low-metallicity AGB stars (Amari et al. 2001b; Hoppe et al. 1997).

A rare variety of SiC grains (<1%) that exhibit a suite of isotopic signatures characteristic of classical nova outbursts, together with a couple of graphite grains, have been reported in the recent years (Amari et al. 2001c; Amari 2002). Details on the isotopic composition of those grains are summarized in Table 1. Since the first studies of dust formation in classical novae (Clayton and Hoyle 1976), all efforts devoted to the identification of potential nova candidate grains relied mainly on the search for low ²⁰Ne/²²Ne isotopic ratios (with ²²Ne attributed to ²²Na decay, a signature of a classical nova). In contrast, the nova candidate grains reported by Amari et al. (2001c) and Amari (2002) have been identified on the basis of a handful of different isotopic ratios: the SiC grains have very low ¹²C/¹³C and ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios (much below the typical values reported for X grains), while the graphite grains have low ¹²C/¹³C, but normal ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios. However, it has been

Table 1. Presolar grains with an inferred nova origin.

Grain	Composition	¹² C/ ¹³ C	¹⁴ N/ ¹⁵ N	$\delta^{29}Si/^{28}Si$	$\delta^{30}Si/^{28}Si$	²⁶ Al/ ²⁷ Al	²⁰ Ne/ ²² Ne
AF15bB-429-3	SiC	9.4 ± 0.2	_	28 ± 30	1118 ± 44	_	_
AF15bC-126-3	SiC	6.8 ± 0.2	5.22 ± 0.11	-105 ± 17	237 ± 20	_	_
KJGM4C-100-3	SiC	5.1 ± 0.1	19.7 ± 0.3	55 ± 5	119 ± 6	0.0114	_
KJGM4C-311-6	SiC	8.4 ± 0.1	13.7 ± 0.1	-4 ± 5	149 ± 6	>0.08	-
KJC-112	SiC	4.0 ± 0.2	6.7 ± 0.3	_	-	_	-
KFC1a-551	С	8.5 ± 0.1	273 ± 8	84 ± 54	761 ± 72	_	-
KFB1a-161	С	3.8 ± 0.1	312 ± 43	-133 ± 81	37 ± 87	_	< 0.01
Solar		89	272	0	0	0	14
Nova models		0.2–3	0.1-1900	-950 to1800	-1000 to 47,000	0.01-0.9	0.1-2900

The solar N ratio in the table is that from terrestrial air. Grains AF are from the Acfer 094 meteorite, whereas grains KJ and KF are from the Murchison meteorite (see Amari et al. 2001c and Amari 2002 for details). Errors are 1σ .

Table 2. Presolar SiC g	rains from Nittler	and Hoppe (2005).

Grain	¹² C/ ¹³ C	¹⁴ N/ ¹⁵ N	$\delta^{29}Si/^{28}Si$	$\delta^{30}Si/^{28}Si$	²⁶ Al/ ²⁷ Al	δ ⁴⁶ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti	δ ⁴⁷ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti	δ ⁴⁹ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti	δ ⁵⁰ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti
M11-151-4	4.02 ± 0.07	11.6 ± 0.1	-438 ± 9	510 ± 18	0.27 ± 0.05	28 ± 59	215 ± 57	82 ± 55	100 ± 123
M11-334-2	6.48 ± 0.08	15.8 ± 0.2	-489 ± 9	-491 ± 18	0.39 ± 0.06	-61 ± 33	-5 ± 36	380 ± 47	-20 ± 59
M11-347-4	5.59 ± 0.13	6.8 ± 0.2	-166 ± 12	927 ± 30	_	-	-	_	-

argued that the original ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios of these two graphite grains could have been much lower because there is evidence that indigenous N in presolar graphites can be isotopically equilibrated with terrestrial nitrogen. Indeed, most presolar graphite grains show a large spread in C isotopic ratios but essentially terrestrial N composition (Hoppe et al. 1995). ²⁶Al/²⁷Al ratios have been determined only for two of the SiC grains (KJGM4C-100-3 and KJGM4C-311-6) and are very high (>10⁻²). In turn, a determination of the ²⁰Ne/²²Ne ratio has been inferred only for the graphite grain KFB1a-161 (<0.01). This low ²⁰Ne/²²Ne ratio suggests that ²²Ne likely originated from in situ decay of 22 Na (with a mean lifetime τ = 3.75 yr), although the specific value is considerably lower than theoretical predictions for novae. Concerns about the likely nova paternity of these grains have recently been raised (Nittler and Hoppe 2005) on the basis of new measurements performed on three additional micron-sized SiC grains isolated from the Murchison meteorite (see Table 2). These grains have very low ¹²C/¹³C and ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios, similar to the ones reported for the nova candidate grains (Amari et al. 2001c; Amari 2002), but a number of additional imprints suggest that a supernova origin cannot be ruled out.

In this paper, we revisit nova outbursts and discuss whether these explosions constitute a likely stellar birthplace for the sample of grains reported by Amari et al. (2001c), and more recently, by Nittler and Hoppe (2005). Indeed, we will examine some extreme models of nova explosions, evolved at lower initial metallicities or luminosities, for which more violent outbursts are expected, and hence, heavier nucleosynthetic endpoints may be reached. The structure of the paper is as follows: in the Classical Nova Explosions section, we outline the main properties of classical nova outbursts. Their predicted nuclear signatures, in terms of C, N, Ne, Al, and Si isotopic ratios, are discussed in the Predicted Isotopic Ratios in Nova Shells section. A final discussion on the likelihood of a nova paternity for this rare variety of grains will be addressed in the Discussion and Conclusions sections.

CLASSICAL NOVA EXPLOSIONS

Classical novae are fascinating objects that have captivated the interest of astronomers since ancient times. They display a spectacular and extremely fast rise in optical luminosity, reaching peak values of $\sim 10^4 - 10^5 L_{\odot}$.

The cornerstone for understanding the nature of these events is linked to the observational analysis of old novae (Walker 1954; Kraft 1963). These seminal works have settled the binary nature of a nova, consisting of a large, cool main sequence star that fills its Roche lobe, and a small white dwarf star. Mass transfer through the inner Lagrangian point leads to the formation of an accretion disk that surrounds the compact star. A fraction of this H-rich material ultimately spirals in and ends up on top of the white dwarf, where it accumulates. This forms an accreted envelope in semidegenerate conditions until a thermonuclear runaway (TNR) ensues.

Several hydrodynamic computations of nova outbursts have analyzed the chemical pattern in the ejecta (see Kovetz and Prialnik 1997; Starrfield et al. 1998; and José and Hernanz 1998 and references therein). Due to the high temperatures achieved in the envelope during the TNR, with typical peak values $T_{\text{peak}} \sim (2-3) \times 10^8$ K, novae eject significant amounts of nuclear processed material into the interstellar medium. This has raised the issue of the potential role of classical novae in the Galactic abundances. Typically, 10^{-4} – 10^{-5} M_{\odot} are ejected per nova outburst, contributing to the enrichment of the interstellar medium in a handful of nuclear species, mainly ¹³C, ¹⁵N, ¹⁷O, and to some extent, ⁷Li (CO novae) and ²⁶Al (ONe novae).

This so-called thermonuclear runaway model for the

nova outburst (see José et al. 2006 for a recent review) nicely accounts for the gross observational properties that define these events, including the shape of the light curve, the maximum brightness (or luminosity) attained by the star, and the chemical composition of the ejected shells. The nuclear history of the explosion is somewhat recorded in the specific chemical abundance pattern, revealing the characteristic time scale of the TNR as well as the temperatures (and hence the nuclear processes) to which the envelope material has been exposed. Despite several key issues associated with the modeling of the explosion that are not yet fully understood (for instance, the nature of the mixing mechanism that dredges up material from the outermost layers of the white dwarf core into the solar-like accreted envelope, providing the source of metallicity enrichment required to match observations), models account reasonably well for the specific abundance pattern inferred from the ejecta. This includes atomic abundances for a set of elements (cf. H, He, C, O, Ne, Na, etc.), and a plausible nucleosynthetic endpoint around Ca (José and Hernanz 1998; Starrfield et al. 1998).

PREDICTED ISOTOPIC RATIOS IN NOVA SHELLS

Isotopic abundance ratios for grains of putative nova origin are available for C, N, Ne, Al, Si, and Ti. Here we will focus on their theoretical counterparts, obtained from stateof-the-art hydrodynamic models of the explosion. Calculations have been carried out with the one-dimensional, implicit, Lagrangian, hydrodynamic code SHIVA (see José and Hernanz 1998 for details) that follows the course of the explosion from the onset of accretion up to the expansion and ejection stages.

Carbon

The TNR that powers a classical nova outburst is triggered by the cold CNO reaction ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma)$, which very efficiently synthesizes huge amounts of ${}^{13}C$ in the envelope. This takes place through ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma){}^{13}N(\beta^+){}^{13}C$, which in turn leads to a decrease in the final amount of ${}^{12}C$, thus explaining the very low ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratios (see Fig. 1), ranging from 0.3 to 3 (solar ratio = 89). We conclude that a very low ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratio represents a clear signature of a classical nova outburst, since low ratios are systematically obtained in all models (CO or ONe), no matter how massive the white dwarf hosting the explosion is.

Nitrogen

In sharp contrast, a much wider range of variation is found regarding the final ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios (see also Fig. 1). Whereas explosions involving ONe white dwarfs yield low ratios, around 0.3–4 (for comparison, the terrestrial atmosphere has ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N = 272$; actually, the solar value could



Fig. 1. Nitrogen versus carbon isotopic ratios from a suite of hydrodynamic models of CO and ONe novae (José and Hernanz 1998; Hernanz et al. 1999; José et al. 1999, 2001, 2004). For comparison, data from presolar nova candidate grains (Amari et al. 2001c; Amari 2002; Nittler and Hoppe 2005; Heck et al. 2007), extracted from the Murchison and/or Acfer 094 meteorites, are also shown. Points with arrows represent only upper limits.

well be different) (Owen et al. 2001), CO nova models are characterized by higher ratios, typically around 3–130, but as high as 1900 for extremely light (i.e., 0.6 M_{\odot} CO) white dwarfs, as a result of the marginal nuclear activity achieved in those models. Since both CO and ONe models start with the same initial ¹⁴N content, the different ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios reported reflect somewhat different thermal histories during the explosion (in particular, the values of T_{peak} , but also the characteristic time scales of the TNRs). The higher peak temperatures achieved in the ONe models (see José and Hernanz 1998) favor proton-capture reactions on ¹⁴N, leading to ¹⁴N(p, γ)¹⁵O(β^+)¹⁵N, and are responsible for a higher ¹⁵N content in the ejecta and hence a low ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratio.

It is therefore clear that nova explosions are not characterized by a narrow range of ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios, although this can be used as a diagnosis for distinguishing between CO (high ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios) and ONe (low ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios) novae.

Neon

The ²⁰Ne/²²Ne isotopic ratio is considered a main fingerprint for the identification of nova grains, with ²²Ne coming from ²²Na decay. In general, neon isotopic ratios in the ejecta accompanying nova outbursts are deeply influenced by the amount of ²⁰Ne that is dredged up from the outermost



Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1 for aluminum versus carbon isotopic ratios.

layers of the white dwarf core and ultimately mixed with the solar-like accreted envelope. Hence, neon novae (cf. ONe models) are characterized by large ²⁰Ne/²²Ne isotopic ratios, typically ranging from 90 to 2900 (solar ratio = 14). In contrast, CO models yield ²⁰Ne/²²Ne ratios below solar, ranging from 0.1 to 0.7. It is therefore clear that ²⁰Ne/²²Ne ratios can be used to infer the nature of the underlying white dwarf (cf. CO versus ONe), provided that the specific neon isotopic ratio in the grains corresponds to the original value in the ejected nova shells. However, one has to bear in mind that neon is a noble gas that hardly condenses as a stable compound into a grain (Amari 2002, 2006). Actually, it has been suggested that neon incorporates into grains via implantation, a mechanism not fully understood that can induce large differences between the predicted ratios and those inferred from laboratory analyses of grains.

Aluminum

As pointed out by Ward and Fowler (1980), the synthesis of ²⁶Al requires moderate peak temperatures of the order of $T_{\text{peak}} \sim (2-3) \times 10^8$ K and a fast decline from maximum temperatures, conditions which are commonly achieved in nova outbursts. The production of ²⁶Al in nova explosions takes place through proton-capture reactions on ²⁵Mg, which lead to both ²⁶Al ground (²⁶Al^g) and short-lived isomeric (²⁶Al^m) states. This synthesis mechanism suggests that production of ²⁶Al in novae is very sensitive to the initial composition of the envelope, which depends critically on the nature of the underlying white dwarf. Indeed, it has been

shown that ONe novae are more important ²⁶Al producers than CO novae because of the presence of seed "NeNa-MgAl" nuclei (²⁵Mg in particular) in the former.

Production of ²⁷Al in novae is far more complicated. This nucleus is mainly destroyed by proton-capture reactions, whereas several reactions compete in its synthesis: one is ${}^{26}Mg(p,\gamma)$ (both the initial ${}^{26}Mg$ as well as the contribution from ${}^{26}Al^{m}$ decay); an alternative path involves ${}^{27}Si(\beta^+){}^{27}Al$, with ${}^{27}Si$ coming from proton captures on both ${}^{26}Al^{g,m}$.

Surprisingly enough, similar ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ratios are predicted for both CO and ONe nova models, typically ~0.01–0.9 (Fig. 2). This results from the fact that the initial ${}^{27}Al$ abundance in ONe novae is more than two orders of magnitude larger than in CO novae (and more important, is not significantly modified during the course of the TNR). This large ${}^{27}Al$ content somewhat compensates the larger synthesis of ${}^{26}Al$ in ONe novae.

In contrast to the ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratio, the typical ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ratios associated with novae do not constitute a clear signature of the parent stellar source. In fact, core-collapse supernovae lead to somewhat similar ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ratios (for instance, ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ranges from ~0.001 in the inner shells of a 25 M_o SN II model up to ~0.2 in the He/N-rich shells) (Woosley and Weaver 1995; Meyer et al. 1995). Therefore, we conclude that, in general, the ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ratio is neither diagnostic for claiming a nova or supernova origin (except for very high ratios), nor useful for distinguishing between CO and ONe novae (although it can be useful in conjunction with other isotopic ratios).

Silicon

CO novae are characterized by a very limited nuclear activity beyond the CNO mass region. The reason is twofold. First, because of the moderate peak temperatures attained during the explosion, and second, because of the lack of significant amounts of "seed" nuclei above this mass range. Therefore, models of CO novae yield in general close-to-solar Si isotopic ratios in the ejecta (usually expressed as δ values, deviations from solar abundances in permil, $\delta^{29,30}Si/^{28}Si = [(^{29,30}Si/^{28}Si)/(^{29,30}Si/^{28}Si) - 1] \times 1000).$

A quite different pattern is found for ONe models, partially because of the higher peak temperatures achieved in the explosion, but mainly because of the large initial ²⁷Al abundance, a source of ²⁸Si and heavier species. The abundance of ²⁸Si reaches a maximum for the 1.25 M_{\odot} ONe models. This is due to the fact that, below $T \sim 3 \times 10^8$ K, $^{27}\text{Al}(p,\gamma)^{28}\text{Si}$, and $^{26}\text{Al}^{m,g}(p,\gamma)^{27}\text{Si}(p,\gamma)^{28}P(\beta^+)^{28}\text{Si}$ dominate over ${}^{28}Si(p,\gamma)$, but for higher temperatures (achieved only for more massive white dwarf models), destruction of ²⁸Si through proton-capture reactions dominates all ²⁸Si synthesis mechanisms. In contrast, production of both ^{29,30}Si increases monotonically with the white dwarf mass: they are synthesized by $^{28}\text{Si}(p,\gamma)^{29}\text{P}(\beta^{+})^{29}\text{Si}$ and bv 29 Si(p, γ) 30 P(β^+) 30 Si, respectively, which dominate over the corresponding destruction reactions.

The main consequences of the interplay between the different nuclear processes acting on the Si isotopes (see details in José et al. 2004) is an increase of δ^{30} Si/²⁸Si with the white dwarf mass (see Fig. 3). Whereas 1.0 M_o ONe models show a noticeable destruction of ³⁰Si, 1.15 M_o models yield close-to-solar δ^{30} Si/²⁸Si values. Excesses of ³⁰Si show up only for white dwarf masses larger than 1.25 M_o, a result of the higher temperatures attained in such models. It is also worth mentioning that, on the other hand, ²⁹Si/²⁸Si ratios are usually below solar, and only approach close-to-solar values when the white dwarf mass reaches 1.35 M_o.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Condensation in O-Rich Environments: Paving the Road to Oxide Grains?

Except for explosions hosting very massive white dwarfs, the C/O ratio in the shells ejected during nova outbursts is almost always below unity. It is, however, generally believed that C > O is required for the formation of SiC or graphite grains. Otherwise, if oxygen is more abundant than carbon, all C is locked up in the very stable CO molecule, and the free O atoms form only oxides and silicates as condensates. Nevertheless, C-rich dust has been observed around several novae (Gehrz et al. 1998; Gehrz 2002 and references therein). Several explanations have been proposed to solve this puzzle. First, equilibrium condensation models have shown that the C/O ratio is not a unique criterion to determine the expected mineralogy in nova shells (see José et al. 2004 for details). Actually, the presence of significant amounts of Al, Ca, Mg, and Si (very common in ONe novae) can dramatically affect the C and O chemistries, leading in some cases to the synthesis of C-rich grains (SiC and graphite) even when C < O. Other studies (Shore and Gehrz 2004) suggest instead that condensation takes place kinetically rather than at equilibrium, dramatically reducing the role of the CO molecule in the expected mineralogy. A final possibility involves variations in the initial C/O ratio in the outer layers of the white dwarf hosting the explosion: it has been shown that if C is slightly more abundant than O in such layers, the subsequent ejected layers become C-rich.

In any case, and because it is easy to drive nova outbursts characterized by O-rich ejecta, one may expect to find nova candidate grains among the oxide population. ¹⁶O is by far the most abundant oxygen isotope in both CO and ONe white dwarfs. Hence, the ¹⁶O/¹⁷O and ¹⁶O/¹⁸O ratios in the accreted envelope are initially very high. Different nuclear processes during the TNR, which partially transform ¹⁶O into ¹⁷O and/or ¹⁸O, are responsible for a substantial decrease of these isotopic ratios in the ejecta, whose final values depend strongly on the nova type (i.e., CO or ONe) and on the



Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 1 for silicon abundances, expressed as delta values (deviations from solar Si ratios in permil; see text for details).

adopted white dwarf mass. In general, CO models are characterized by high ¹⁶O/¹⁸O ratios, ranging from 20 to 39,000 (solar ratio = 499), but moderate ${}^{16}O/{}^{17}O$ ratios, ranging from 8 to 230 (solar ratio = 2622). In contrast, ONe models show in general much lower ratios, with ¹⁶O/¹⁸O ratios ranging from 10 to 400, and ¹⁶O/¹⁷O ranging from 1 to 10. The larger white dwarf masses and the larger characteristic time scales of the TNRs in novae hosting ONe white dwarf cores lead to higher peak temperatures than CO models, and therefore to an increase of the nuclear activity. As a consequence, larger amounts of both ^{17,18}O are synthesized in ONe novae, which result in much lower ¹⁶O/¹⁷O and ¹⁶O/ ¹⁸O ratios than models of CO novae. The ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios predicted in models of nova outbursts (José et al. 2004) are consistent with the values reported from oxide grains (Nittler et al. 1994, 1998; Lodders and Amari 2005), although some models hosting ONe white dwarfs can actually reach $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ~ 0.1. In sharp contrast, the expected ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ ratios, ~0.01–1, are in general well above the values reported from oxide grains. The same applies for the expected ²⁶Al/²⁷Al ratios. With respect to Mg, nova models yield very low ²⁴Mg/²⁵Mg [-0.02-0.3] and low ²⁶Mg/²⁵Mg ratios [-0.07-0.2], except for grains condensed in novae hosting low mass CO white dwarfs (cf. 0.6 M_{\odot}), for which close-to-solar values are expected (see José et al. 2004). However, it is likely to expect much larger ²⁶Mg/²⁵Mg ratios in oxide grains of putative nova origin because of the contribution from ${}^{26}\text{Al}(\beta^+){}^{26}\text{Mg}$.

Hints of the discovery of putative nova oxide grains have been pointed out recently by Nittler and Hoppe (2005). For instance, the O isotopic ratios inferred for the corundum grain T54 (Nittler et al. 1997), with ${\rm ^{16}O/^{17}O} \sim 71$ and ${\rm ^{16}O/^{18}O} \sim 2000$, suggest its likely condensation in the nova shells ejected from an outburst on a 0.8 M $_{\odot}$ CO white dwarf (José et al. 2004). We expect that more oxide grains with a putative nova origin will be identified in the near future.

Stardust from Novae

The isotopic signatures of the nova candidate grains qualitatively agree with current predictions from hydrodynamic nova models. In fact, a detailed comparison between grain data and models suggests that the grains reported by Amari et al. (hereafter A01 grains) may have formed in ONe nova explosions hosting white dwarfs of at least 1.25 M_{\odot} . However, as shown in Figs. 1–3, in order to quantitatively explain the grain data, one has to assume that material newly synthesized in the nova outburst was mixed with more than ten times as much unprocessed, isotopically close-to-solar material before grain formation. It is not clear if the interaction between the ejected shells and the surrounding disk can account for this mixing process.

It is by no means clear if the measurements performed on the three new SiC grains reported by Nittler and Hoppe (2005) (hereafter NH05 grains) can be actually used to infer the paternity of the A01 grains. A close look at Tables 1 and 2 reveals some remarkable differences between the two sets of grains. Hereafter, we will restrict to the SiC fraction of the A01 grains for a fair comparison. Whereas the specific C and N ratios of the two sets are very similar, the NH05 grains show much larger ²⁶Al/²⁷Al ratios than the A01 grains. Although ratios of ²⁶Al/²⁷Al ~0.3–0.4 are in principle compatible with nova models, such differences may in fact suggest another parent stellar source. Moreover, the NH05 grains M11-151-4 and M11-334-2 are much more depleted in 29 Si (δ^{29} Si/ 28 Si ~ -440 and -490, respectively) than any of the A01 grains (for which δ^{29} Si/²⁸Si ranges from -105 to +55). Furthermore, all SiC grains of the A01 sample, for which $\delta^{30}Si/^{28}Si$ values are available, show a noticeable enhancement in ³⁰Si; in contrast, grain M11-334-2 has a negative δ^{30} Si/²⁸Si ~ -490.

The isotopic differences reported between the two samples make it hard to claim a unique same stellar parent for all the grains (actually, Nittler and Hoppe conclude that grain M11-334-2 is actually an X grain formed in a core-collapse supernova). There are arguments favoring both a nova and a supernova origin (Nittler and Hoppe 2005), and certainly, more multi-element data would be required to disentangle this controversy.

It is worth noting that, in any case, a potential supernova origin is also puzzling, since no single supernova zone (see Woosley and Weaver 1995) is predicted to have ³⁰Si enhancements and simultaneous ²⁹Si depletions as observed in some of these grains. Furthermore, as discussed by Travaglio et al. (1999), no single supernova zone is

characterized by very low ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ and ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios simultaneously, and even after a fine-tuning mixing of different zones, the ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios are much too large (even to account for normal X grains). Nevertheless, it has been suggested that inclusion of rotation may drive ${}^{14}N$ injection into the He-burning shells of massive stars, leading to a significant increase in the final amounts of ${}^{15}N$, hence decreasing the expected ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios (see Langer et al. 1997).

Another SiC grain (240-1) for which a nova origin has been claimed was reported in a recent meeting of the Meteoritical Society (Nittler et al. 2006). Both the ¹²C/¹³C and ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios of grain 240-1 are lower than for any reported presolar grain and consistent with pure nova ejecta with CO white dwarf masses of ~1.0–1.2 M_o. As the same authors conclude, a supernova origin is unlikely since the non-explosive H burning in such stars cannot produce such low ¹²C/¹³C ratios. However, the reported ²⁹Si excess (²⁹Si/ ²⁸Si > 100) in such grain is clearly at odds with current theoretical predictions for nova outbursts (José et al. 2004), which yield close-to- or slightly-lower-than-solar ²⁹Si/²⁸Si values.

A noble-gas rich grain (SiC070) of a likely nova origin has also been very recently reported by Heck et al. 2007. Although originally classified as a type A+B grain, its C ($^{12}C/$ $^{13}C \sim 3.4$), N ($^{14}N/^{15}N \sim 317$), and Ne (with $^{22}Ne \gg ^{20}Ne$) isotopic abundance ratios agree qualitatively with the values predicted for <1 M_{\odot} CO novae (José et al. 2004).

Titanium from Nova-Like Explosions?

Titanium lies beyond the standard nucleosynthetic endpoint for classical nova outbursts (i.e., Ca). At a first glance, the presence of substantial amounts of titanium (much above solar) in a presolar grain would rule out a nova paternity, no matter how well other isotopic ratios are matched. Of course, the presence of Ti isotopic anomalies in two of the NH05 grains does not necessarily imply that the A01 grains were Ti-rich, although both samples share similarities (and differences as well) in some isotopic ratios. Actually, the specific Ti isotopic pattern in the NH05 grains reveals an unexpected complexity, with no clear trend. One grain, M11-334-2, shows large ⁴⁹Ti excesses (39% above solar) but close-to-solar 46,47,50Ti. In sharp contrast, grain M11-151-4 exhibits a deficit in ⁵⁰Ti (10% below solar, but solar within 1σ error), close-to-solar 46,49 Ti and 47 Ti excesses (22% above solar). In general, X grains attributed to corecollapse supernovae are characterized by large ⁴⁹Ti excesses and close-to-solar ^{46,47,50}Ti, matching the pattern described for M11-334-2 but not that corresponding to M11-151-4.

In order to shed light into the controversial origin of these grains, we have performed additional hydrodynamic simulations with the aim to analyze the feasibility of titanium synthesis in novae. This, of course, necessarily implies a more

Table 3. Mean isotopic abundance ratios in the ejecta of models A and B.

Model	¹² C/ ¹³ C	¹⁴ N/ ¹⁵ N	δ ²⁹ Si/ ²⁸ Si	$\delta^{30}Si/^{28}Si$	²⁶ Al/ ²⁷ Al	δ ⁴⁶ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti	δ ⁴⁷ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti	δ ⁴⁹ Ti/ ⁴⁸ Ti
A	2.4	0.21	2310	15,000	0.5	1590	655	1660
B	3.5	0.07	6980	30,800	0.09	10,800	4960	630

violent outburst (see Yaron et al. 2005) in order to overcome the standard nucleosynthetic endpoint achieved in such explosions.

The strength of the explosion is actually determined by the pressure at the base of the envelope (P_{base}) (Fujimoto 1982; MacDonald 1983), which, for a white dwarf of a given mass and radius (M_{wd}, R_{wd}) , basically depends on the overall amount of mass piled up in the envelope, ΔM_{env} . Actually, the envelope mass can be increased by decreasing the adopted mass-accretion rate, the initial luminosity of the star, and/or the metallicity of the incoming stream of material from the companion. In the first case, compressional heating is reduced since material accumulates more slowly on top of the white dwarf; as a result, more (cooler) material piles up in semidegenerate conditions until a TNR ensues. The same applies for lower luminosity (that is, lower central temperature) white dwarfs. Since the triggering reaction for driving a TNR is actually ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma)$, lower metallicity envelopes will somehow require the accumulation of more material to power the explosion (José et al. 2007).

In this paper we have explored the second possibility by performing two new simulations in which the initial luminosity of the white dwarf, usually assumed to be $\sim 10^{-2}$ L_{\odot} , has been decreased arbitrarily by factors of 3 (model A) and 10 (model B), respectively (that is, $L_{wd} = 3.3 \times 10^{-3} L_{\odot}$ and $10^{-3} L_{\odot}$). These values correspond to cooler white dwarfs that have experienced longer cooling times before masstransfer from the companion star ensues. Actually, it is expected that such low luminosities could be reached by some cataclysmic binary systems (Sion 1999).

The violent TNRs obtained in models A and B are now capable of bypassing Ca, extending the nuclear activity several mass units beyond (Table 3). Indeed, model A shows large ^{46,49}Ti excesses and somewhat lower amounts of ⁴⁷Ti, whereas model B yields large 46,47Ti excesses and moderate amounts of ⁴⁹Ti. Both models are characterized by huge ³⁰Si excesses in all the ejected shells, whereas ²⁹Si is much above solar in the innermost layers but depleted in the most external ones. The fact that $\delta^{30}Si/^{28}Si$ is always >0 seems to rule out classical novae as the likely parent source of grain M11-334-2. This conclusion is corroborated by the 50% excess in ⁴⁴Ca (attributed to in situ decay of ⁴⁴Ti) measured in this grain, hence supporting a supernova origin. With respect to M11-151-4, we cannot discard at this stage that an extreme nova may account for its specific abundance pattern, although the initial luminosities required seem a bit too extreme for such events. Clearly, more simulations exploring in detail the parameter space are required to answer the question.

All in all, we conclude that the origin of the A01 and NH05 grains probably involves more than one progenitor, with classical novae being likely birthplaces for most of the A01 grains. Somewhat extreme explosions may actually account for some of the NH05 grains, although evidences suggest that at least grain M11-334-2 was actually made in a core-collapse supernova (Nittler and Hoppe 2005). An additional conclusion of this study is the need for a simultaneous measurements for several elements in order to better constrain the origin of presolar nova grain candidates, since some isotopic ratios are, at least qualitatively, consistent with both a supernova and a nova origin.

Much remains to be done in our understanding of nova explosions (see a detailed discussion in José and Shore 2007), and certainly laboratory analyses of presolar stardust will become a powerful method to constrain our theoretical predictions. We are still facing a problem of statistics, with only a handful of grains tentatively attributed to nova-like explosions. New devices, such as the NanoSIMS, are expected to play a major role in the search for new grains of putative nova origin. But here again, new unexpected surprises will show up. In fact, the improved spatial resolution and sensitivity of the NanoSIMS have already allowed to measure abundance gradients inside some grains (in particular, in certain graphite spherules). Ernst Zinner has certainly been a major player in this amazing story since the beginning, and we hope that he will continue unveiling the mysteries of presolar grains for another seventy years.

Acknowledgments-We would like to thank the referees as well as associate editor Larry Nittler for their constructive criticism in reviewing this manuscript. We are specially indebted to Ernst Zinner for his insights and invaluable criticism during a fruitful collaboration that has already lasted for nearly a decade. His efforts to link stellar models and laboratory measurements of presolar grains have become a driving force in our search for better models. This collaboration began during a lunch with Sachiko Amari and Roberto Gallino in Volos (Greece), where the Fifth Nuclei in the Cosmos Workshop took place. We are very grateful to Sachiko and Roberto for sharing their great expertise and enthusiasm, and for making this collaboration with Ernst Zinner's group possible. We have also greatly benefited from many valuable discussions with Donald D. Clayton, Andy Davis, Peter Hoppe, Katharina Lodders, Larry Nittler, and Steve Shore, among others. This work has been partially supported by the Spanish MEC grants AYA2004-06290-C02-01 and -02, by the Catalan DURSI/DEU and by EU FEDER funds.

Editorial Handling-Dr. Larry Nittler

REFERENCES

- Amari S. 2002. Presolar grains from novae: Their isotopic ratios and radioactivities. *New Astronomy Reviews* 46:519–524.
- Amari S. 2006. Presolar graphite from the Murchison meteorite: Neon revisited. New Astronomy Reviews 50:578–581.
- Amari S., Hoppe P., Zinner E., and Lewis R. S. 1992. Interstellar SiC with unusual isotopic compositions: Grains from a supernova? *The Astrophysical Journal* 394:L43–L46.
- Amari S., Nittler L. R., Zinner E., Lodders K., and Lewis R. S. 2001a. Presolar SiC grains of type A and B: Their isotopic compositions and stellar origins. *The Astrophysical Journal* 559:463–483.
- Amari S., Nittler L. R., Zinner E., Gallino R., Lugaro M., and Lewis R. S. 2001b. Presolar SiC grains of type Y: Origin from lowmetallicity AGB stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* 546:248–266.
- Amari S., Gao X., Nittler L., Zinner E., José J., Hernanz M., and Lewis R. 2001c. Presolar grains from novae. *The Astrophysical Journal* 551:1065–1072.
- Clayton D. D. and Hoyle F. 1976. Grains of anomalous isotopic composition from novae. *The Astrophysical Journal* 203:490– 496.
- Clayton D. D. and Nittler L. R. 2004. Astrophysics with presolar stardust. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics 42:39– 78.
- Fujimoto M. Y. 1982. A theory of hydrogen shell flashes on accreting white dwarfs. I—Their progress and the expansion of the envelope. *The Astrophysical Journal* 257:752–766.
- Gallino R., Raiteri C. M., and Busso M. 1993. Carbon stars and isotopic Ba anomalies in meteoritic SiC grains. *The Astrophysical Journal* 410:400–411.
- Gehrz R. D., Truran J. W., Williams R. E., and Starrfield S. M. 1998. Nucleosynthesis in classical novae and its contribution to the interstellar medium. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 110:3–26.
- Gehrz R. D. 2002. Infrared and radio observations of classical novae: Physical parameters and abundances in the ejecta. Proceedings, International Conference on Classical Nova Explosions. pp. 198–207.
- Heck P. R., Marhas K. K., Hoppe P., Gallino R., Baur H., and Wieler R. 2007. Presolar He and Ne isotopes in single circumstellar SiC grains. *The Astrophysical Journal* 656:1208– 1222.
- Hernanz M., José J., Coc A., Gómez-Gomar J., and Isern J. 1999. Gamma-ray emission from novae related to positron annihilation: Constraints on its observability posed by new experimental nuclear data. *The Astrophysical Journal* 526:L97– L100.
- Hoppe P., Amari S., Zinner E., and Lewis R. S. 1995. Isotopic compositions of C, N, O, Mg, and Si trace element abundances, and morphologies of single circumstellar graphite grains in four density fractions from the Murchison meteorite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 59:4029–4056.
- Hoppe P., Annen P., Strebel R., Eberhardt P., Gallino R., Lugaro M., Amari S., and Lewis R. S. 1997. Meteoritic silicon carbide grains with unusual Si-isotopic compositions: Evidence for an origin in low-mass, low-metallicity asymptotic giant branch stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* 487:L101–L104.
- Hoppe P. and Ott U. 1997. Mainstream silicon carbide grains from meteorites. In Astrophysical implications of the laboratory study of presolar materials, edited by Bernatowicz T. J. and Zinner E. Woodbury, New York: American Institute of Physics. p. 27.

- Hoppe P., Strebel R., Eberhardt P., Amari S., and Lewis R. S. 2000. Isotopic properties of silicon carbide X grains from the Murchison meteorite in the size range 0.5–1.5 μm. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 35:1157–1176.
- José J. and Hernanz M. 1998. Nucleosynthesis in classical novae: CO versus ONe white dwarfs. *The Astrophysical Journal* 494:680– 690.
- José J., Coc A., and Hernanz M. 1999. Nuclear uncertainties in the NeNa-MgAl cycles and production of ²²Na and ²⁶Al during nova outbursts. *The Astrophysical Journal* 520:347–360.
- José J., Coc A., and Hernanz M. 2001. Synthesis of intermediatemass elements in classical novae: From Si to Ca. *The Astrophysical Journal* 560:897–906.
- José J., García-Berro E., Hernanz M., and Gil-Pons P. 2007. The first nova explosions. *The Astrophysical Journal* 662:L103–106.
- José J., Hernanz M., Amari S., Lodders K., and Zinner E. 2004. The imprint of nova nucleosynthesis in presolar grains. *The Astrophysical Journal* 612:414–428.
- José J., Hernanz M., and Iliadis C. 2006. Nucleosynthesis in classical novae. Nuclear Physics A 777:550–578.
- José J. and Shore S. Forthcoming. *Classical novae*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kovetz A. and Prialnik D. 1997. The composition of nova ejecta from multicycle evolution models. *The Astrophysical Journal* 477: 356–367.
- Kraft R. P. 1963. Advances in astronomy and astrophysics, vol. 2. New York: Academic Press. p. 43.
- Langer N., Fliegner J., Heger A., and Woosley S. E. 1997. Nucleosynthesis in rotating massive stars. *Nuclear Physics A* 621:457c–466c.
- Lodders K. and Amari S. 2005. Presolar grains from meteorites: Remnants from the early times of the solar system. *Chemie der Erde* 65:93–166.
- Lugaro M. 2005. *Stardust from meteorites: An introduction to presolar grains*. Singapore: World Scientific Publications. 209 p.
- Lugaro M., Davis A. M., Gallino R., Pellin M. J., Straniero O., and Käppeler F. 2003. Isotopic compositions of strontium, zirconium, molybdenum, and barium in single presolar SiC grains and asymptotic giant branch stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* 593:486–508.
- MacDonald J. 1983. CNO abundances and the strengths of nova outbursts and hydrogen flashes on accreting white dwarfs. *The Astrophysical Journal* 267:732–746.
- Messenger S., Keller L. P., Stadermann F. J., Walker R. M., and Zinner E. 2003. Samples of stars beyond the solar system: Silicate grains in interplanetary dust. *Science* 300:105–108.
- Meyer B. S., Weaver T. A., and Woosley S. E. 1995. Isotope source table for a 25 M_☉ supernova. *Meteoritics* 30:325–334.
- Meyer B. S. and Zinner E. K. 2006. *Meteorites and the early solar system II*. Tucson, Arizona: The University of Arizona Press. pp. 69–108.
- Mostefaoui S. and Hoppe P. 2004. Discovery of abundant in situ silicate and spinel grains from red giant stars in a primitive meteorite. *The Astrophysical Journal* 613:L149–L152.
- Nguyen A. N. and Zinner E. 2004. Discovery of ancient silicate stardust in a meteorite. *Science* 303:1496–1499.
- Nittler L. R. 1997. Presolar oxide grains in meteorites. In Astrophysical implications of the laboratory study of presolar materials, edited by Bernatowicz T. J. and Zinner E. Woodbury, New York: American Institute of Physics. pp. 59– 63.
- Nittler L. R., Alexander C. M. O'D., Gao X., Walker R. M., and Zinner E. K. 1994. Interstellar oxide grains from the Tieschitz ordinary chondrite. *Nature* 370:443–446.

- Nittler L. R., Alexander C. M. O'D., and Nguyen A. N. 2006. Extreme ¹³C and ¹⁵N enrichment in a Murchison presolar SiC grain. (abstract #5316). *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 41: A134.
- Nittler L. R., Alexander C. M. O'D., Wang J., and Gao X. 1998. Meteoritic oxide grain from supernova found. *Nature* 393:222.
- Nittler L. R. and Hoppe P. 2005. Are presolar silicon carbide grains from novae actually from supernovae? *The Astrophysical Journal* 631:L89–L92.
- Ott U. and Begemann F. 1990. Discovery of s-process barium in the Murchison meteorite. *The Astrophysical Journal* 353:L57–L60.
- Owen T., Mahaffy P. R., Niemann H. B., Atreya S., and Wong M. 2001. Protosolar nitrogen. *The Astrophysical Journal* 533:L77– L79.
- Shore S. N. and Gehrz R. D. 2004. Photo-ionization induced rapid grain growth in novae. Astronomy & Astrophysics 417:695–699.
- Sion E. M. 1999. White dwarfs in cataclysmic variables. *Publications* of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 111:532–555.
- Starrfield S., Truran J. W., Wiescher M. C., and Sparks W. M. 1998. Evolutionary sequences for Nova V1974 Cygni using new

nuclear reaction rates and opacities. *Monthly Notices of the Royal* Astronomical Society 296:502–522.

- Travaglio C., Gallino R., Amari S., Zinner E., Woosley S., and Lewis R. S. 1999. Low-density graphite grains and mixing in type II supernovae. *The Astrophysical Journal* 510:325–354.
- Walker M. F. 1954. Nova DQ Herculis (1934): An eclipsing binary with very short period. *Publications of the Astronomical Society* of the Pacific 66:230–232.
- Ward R. A. and Fowler W. A. 1980. Thermalization of long-lived nuclear isomeric states under stellar conditions. *The Astrophysical Journal* 238:266–286.
- Woosley S. E. and Weaver T. A. 1995. The evolution and explosion of massive stars. II—Explosive hydrodynamics and nucleosynthesis. *The Astrophysical Journal* 101:181–235.
- Yaron O., Prialnik D., Shara M. M., and Kovetz A. 2005. An extended grid of nova models. II—The parameter space of nova outbursts. *The Astrophysical Journal* 623:398–410.
- Zinner E. K. 2004. *Meteorites, comets, and planets*, edited by Davis A. Treatise on Geochemistry, vol. 1. Oxford: Elsevier. pp. 17–39.