Mobile element analysis by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) of impactite matrix samples from the Yaxcopoil-1 drill core in the Chicxulub impact structure

Horton E. NEWSOM1*, Melissa J. NELSON1, Charles K. SHEARER1, and Burkhard O. DRESSLER2

1Institute of Meteoritics, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA
25481 Oceanview Terrace, Nanaimo, British Columbia, V9V 1G7, Canada
*Corresponding author. E-mail: newsom@unm.edu
(Received 25 February 2005; revision accepted 29 September 2006)

Abstract—The concentrations of the fluid mobile trace elements lithium, beryllium, boron, and barium were measured in samples of the altered matrix of several impactite breccias of the Yaxcopoil-1 drill core using secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) to determine the extent of transport due to aqueous or hydrothermal processes. Three of the elements, Li, Be, and B, have higher concentrations in the upper suevite impact breccias than in the lower impact melt deposits by factors of 3.5, 2.2, and 1.5, respectively. Lithium and B are the most enriched elements up section, and appear to have had the greatest mobility. The similar fractionation of Li and B is consistent with fluid transport and alteration under low-temperature conditions of less than 150 °C based on published experimental studies. In contrast to Li, Be, and B, the concentration of Ba in the altered matrix materials decreases upward in the section, and the concentration of Ba in the matrix is an order of magnitude less than the bulk concentrations, likely due to the presence of barite. The origin of the elemental variations with depth may be related to different protolith compositions in the upper versus the lower impactite units. A different protolith in the altered matrix is suggested by the Mg-rich composition of the lower units versus the Al-rich composition of the upper units, which largely correlates with the mobile element variations. The possibility that vertical transport of mobile elements is due to a postimpact hydrothermal system is supported by published data showing that the sediments immediately overlying the impactites are enriched in mobile elements derived from a hydrothermal system. However, the mobile elements in the sediments do not have to originate from the underlying impactites. In conclusion, our data suggests that the impactites at this location did not experience extensive high-temperature hydrothermal processing, and that only limited transport of some elements, including Li, Be, and B, occurred.

INTRODUCTION

Hydrothermal processes have been documented in many large terrestrial impact structures such as the Sudbury structure, the Ries crater, the Manson structure, and craters in Russia (e.g., Newsom et al. 1986; Ames et al. 1998; McCarville and Crossey 1996; Naumov 2002). Aqueous and hydrothermal processes in Martian impact craters may have contributed to the mobile element content of the Martian soil (e.g., Newsom and Hagerty 1997; Newsom et al. 1999; 2001; Bullock et al. 2004) and possibly to the rock component of the soil (Nelson et al. 2005). Hydrothermal alteration in terrestrial craters occurs in association with the impact melt deposits on the crater floor, at the crater rim, in the central uplift, and in the shocked basement adjacent to the crater fill (Newsom et al. 2001; Naumov 2002). For example, the Haughton structure in Canada exhibits chimneys (degassing pipes) mineralized with quartz, sulfide, sulfate, and carbonate minerals, with formation temperatures ranging from less than 100 °C to greater than 200 °C (Osinski et al. 2001; Osinski et al. 2005). Hydrothermal processes have recently been documented in small craters including the Kärdla crater, which is 4 km in diameter (Kirsimae et al. 2002; Puura et al. 2004), based on chloritization and the presence of secondary quartz containing fluid inclusions formed at 150–300 °C. The Lonar crater, which is 1.8 km in diameter, is about the smallest crater to have evidence of limited postimpact hydrothermal alteration, which is found in basement rocks beneath the crater floor (Hagerty and Newsom 2003).

The Chicxulub impact structure in the Yucatán Peninsula is one of the largest impact craters identified so far on the Earth, with a diameter of 180–200 km, and is associated with
the mass extinction at the K/T boundary (e.g., Alvarez et al. 1980; Hildebrand et al. 1991; Pope 2002). An improved understanding of the formation of such large impact craters or basins can provide important insights into the nature and history of the hydrothermal processes associated with large impacts into planetary bodies (Newsom 1980; Brackenridge et al. 1985; Newsom et al. 1986, 2001; Naumov 2002).

The Yaxcopoil-1 drill hole (Yax-1) is located just outside of the transient cavity of the crater, which explains some of the unusual characteristics of the core stratigraphy (Dressler et al. 2003). In particular, the impact melt and breccias are only 100 m thick, which is surprising considering the thicker breccia accumulations encountered by various industrial and scientific drill holes relatively nearby (e.g., Hildebrand et al. 1991; Claeys et al. 2003).

The impact breccias in the Yax-1 drill hole were divided into six units (Dressler et al. 2003, 2004), which we use here. An alternative numbering system by Tuchscherer et al. (2004) combines the Dressler units 1 and 2 into a unit 1, reducing the number of units from six to five. The two uppermost units are redeposited suevite (unit 1, ~13 m thick) and suevite (unit 2, 808.82–822.88 m). The lower four units are interpreted to be a ground surge deposit, with the upper two consisting of relatively fewer large clasts and melt clasts among fine-grained groundmass (unit 3, brown melt-bearing breccia 845.82–861.08 m), and a coarse suevitic breccia (unit 4, 845.82–861.08 m) below. Below unit 4 is melt breccia consisting of green, laminated melt fragments, silicate clasts, and calcite (unit 5, 861.08–884.94 m); the final unit is very Ca-rich, with silicate melt fragments among what may be carbonate melt (unit 6, 10 m thick). We have concentrated our analytical work on the fine-grained groundmass (matrix) between the larger basement clasts in units 2–5.

According to Ames et al. (2004), there are 25 m of basal tertiary carbonate overlying the impactites (770.43–789.61 m). The carbonates contain sulfide-smectite aggregates with elevated contents of Ni, Ag, Au, Bi, and Te in marcasite, and Cd and Ga in sphalerite. Enrichments in other fluid mobile elements have been noted at the base of this sequence by Rowe et al. (2004). The enrichments could be due to hydrothermal upwelling from a mafic source (Rowe et al. 2004; Ames et al. 2004).

Initial studies of the mineralogy and geochemistry of the impactites in the drill core identified the presence of alteration phases due to postimpact hydrothermal processes (Tuchscherer et al. 2004, 2005; Hecht et al. 2004; Ames et al. 2004; Zürcher and Kring 2004). Variations in the concentrations of mobile elements in the core have been documented with bulk analyses of the impactite breccias by Tuchscherer et al. (2004, 2005), and by bulk analyses of the carbonate-rich sediments overlying the impact breccias by Rowe et al. (2004). We have analyzed altered and hydrated material having the textural characteristics of clays in thin section with electron microprobe, SIMS, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) to see if there is trace element evidence for fluid mobile element transport. These alteration phases are in the matrix or groundmass in units 3 and 4, but also include altered clasts in the upper units 2 and 3.

**ANALYTICAL APPROACH**

**Electron Microprobe (EMP) and X-ray Diffraction (XRD)**

Prior to trace element analysis, individual phases in the Yaxcopoil thin sections were imaged and analyzed for major elements using the JEOL 8200 electron microprobe and the JEOL 5800LV SEM in the Institute of Meteoritics of the University of New Mexico. The JEOL 8200 uses a LaB6 electron gun, and five wavelength-dispersive (WD) spectrometers. Quantitative analyses were obtained with a 15 KeV accelerating voltage and 20 nA sample current, and using ZAF correction routines. The mineral calibration standards were orthoclase (Al2O3, SiO2, K2O), albite (NaO), diopside (MgO, Ca), and olivine (FeO).

X-ray diffraction studies of separated clay minerals from the core samples were also conducted to determine the mineralogical nature of the clays. The visible matrix between target rock clasts was extracted using a drill, larger grains were sifted out, and further clay separation was done with a centrifuge (Moore and Reynolds 1997). Clay slurries were then prepared for analysis in a Scintag PadV XRD, over the 2θ range from 2 to 35 or 40 degrees, with 0.05 degree step intervals, and a dwell time of 4 s. Automatic background removal was done for the profiles. The main 2θ peak data, in degrees, for the samples are as follows: from Yax-1 647 unit 4–6.9° air, 5.35° glycolated, Yax-1 647 unit 4–7.6° air, 7.05° glycolated, 9.1° baked, from Yax-1 628 unit 2–7.1° air, 6.85° glycolated, and Yax-1 641 unit 5–6.45° air, 6.65° glycolated.

**Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS)**

The SIMS technique has been routinely used in recent years for the determination of the abundances of Li, Be, B, and Ba in common igneous minerals (e.g., Herd et al. 2002, 2004; Hervig 2002; Lentz et al. 2000, 2001), and some pegmatite phases like tourmaline (e.g., Grew et al. 1997, 1998a, 1998b, 1998c, 2003). Hawthorne et al. (1995) reported, for example, that “calibration curves using this technique for Li/Si, Be/Si, and B/Si hold over extended ranges of concentration from ppm to weight percent for light elements in matrices with silica contents ranging from 20% to 80% SiO2.” However, analyses of hydrous minerals and clay minerals by SIMS are scarce. Bridges and Grady (1999, 2000), for example, analyzed the abundance of Ba in the Lafayette Martian meteorite. To better validate the technique for alteration minerals, we analyzed a range of samples from hydrous clays to glass standards to examine the potential matrix effects and the linearity of the instrument response for
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A large set of samples with trace element abundances measured by other methods (e.g., Grew et al. 1998a, 1998b, 1998c, 2003) were analyzed by SIMS including the NIST 610 and NIST 612 geochemical reference standards, which cover a large range of abundances of Li, Be, B, and Ba (Table 1). Other samples were specifically included to investigate the potential for matrix effects due to iron and manganese.

Analyses were performed with a Cameca IMS 4-f ion microprobe (Institute of Meteoritics, University of New Mexico) using primary O\(^-\) ions accelerated through a nominal potential of 10 kV. A primary beam current of 10 nA is focused on the sample over a spot diameter of 8 to 10 \(\mu\)m. Sputtered secondary ions are energy filtered using an offset of 105 V and an energy window of 50 V (e.g., Grew et al. 1998a, 1998b). A typical spot analysis consists of 20 counting cycles, with individual peak-counting times for \(^7\)Li\(^+\) (2 s), \(^9\)Be\(^+\) (5 s), \(^11\)B\(^+\) (3 s), \(^30\)Si\(^+\) (2 s), and background at a mass of 6.5 (2 s). The area to be analyzed is first sputtered in raster mode for 3 min to clean off any residual surface contamination, especially boron. After switching to a stationary beam, the magnet is cycled through the mass sequence before the initial data accumulation cycle is started. Even with these precautions the resulting counts for each element in each counting cycle are examined, as occasionally surface contamination, such as boron, can be present in a few of the early cycles. Analyses where the data continuously vary throughout the collection period are rejected. Absolute concentrations of each element are calculated for unknown sample concentrations relative to the NIST 610 geochemical reference standard (see justification below) using the relationship of the intensity of the peak for the element of interest, normalized to the intensity of the peak for Si multiplied by the known SiO\(_2\) content in wt% (only silicates were analyzed in this study). The formula used in the calculations (Shimizu and Hart 1982) using lithium as an example is as follows:

\[
\text{Li}_{\text{unknown}} \text{ ppm} = \left[ \frac{(\text{Li}_{\text{unknown}})/\text{Si}_{\text{unknown}})}{(\text{Li}_{\text{std}})/\text{Si}_{\text{std}})} \right] \times \text{SiO}_2 \text{ ppm}
\]

References: a = data from Rosholl et al. (2000); b = data from Pearce et al. (1997); c = data from Grew et al. (1998b); d = data from E. S. Grew, personal communication (1998); e = data from Paul (2005); f = data from Dyar et al. (2001); g = data from A. Brearley, personal communication (2001); h = data from G. Layne, personal communication (1994); i = data from Wallace and Carmichael (1992); j = data from Newsom et al. (1986).
The reported values refer to the silicon normalized ratios (SNR) for the intensities of the trace element and silicon in the form of $\frac{[\text{Element/Si}]\text{SIMS intensity ratio}}{\text{Concentration SiO}_2\text{standard}}/\text{Concentration Element standard}$, as explained in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Lithium</th>
<th>Lithium 610 only</th>
<th>Beryllium</th>
<th>Beryllium 610 only</th>
<th>Boron</th>
<th>Boron 610 only</th>
<th>Barium</th>
<th>Barium 610 only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of analyses</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR: Mean</td>
<td>4.05E-02</td>
<td>3.95E-02</td>
<td>1.03E-01</td>
<td>9.71E-02</td>
<td>9.95E-03</td>
<td>9.11E-03</td>
<td>1.45E-03</td>
<td>1.46E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR: Standard dev.</td>
<td>5.56E-03</td>
<td>2.19E-03</td>
<td>8.28E-03</td>
<td>5.08E-03</td>
<td>2.45E-03</td>
<td>7.82E-04</td>
<td>1.29E-04</td>
<td>8.65E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR: Percent std. dev.</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR: Population std. dev.</td>
<td>8.68E-04</td>
<td>6.92E-04</td>
<td>1.62E-03</td>
<td>1.60E-03</td>
<td>4.27E-04</td>
<td>2.36E-04</td>
<td>2.35E-05</td>
<td>2.74E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR: Percent pop. std. dev.</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results clearly show that the slope factors for each known sample are reproducible and independent of concentrations (Table 2; Figs. 1a–d). For example, the measurements of the average slope factor for the NIST 610 standard (Table 2) have a population standard deviation of 2% for Li, Be, and Ba, and less than 3% for B, for analyses over a five-year period. This small analytical precision permits comparison of analyses done at different times.

The linear response of the instrument as a function of concentration is indicated by the relatively small uncertainty of the data for the known samples (Table 2; Figs. 1a–d). The slope factor data show no systemic differences as a function of concentration down to less than 10 ppm for Li, Ba, and B, and down to 4 ppm for Be. The two geochemical reference standards (NIST 610 and NIST 612) fall into the middle of the more scattered results for the natural standard materials. This is to be expected due to the homogeneity of the artificial standards and the large number of analyses of these materials.

Utilizing the calibration value determined for each run avoids any slight drift of the calibration that can occur over several years. Tying the results to the NIST 610 standard also allows future correction of these results to any minor changes in the accepted abundances of this standard. For example, the Bridges and Grady (1999, 2000) analyses of Ba in the Lafayette Martian meteorite used the NIST 610 glass standard, but assumed a Ba concentration of 351 ppm, whereas the current best value for this standard is 430.7 ppm (Rocholl et al. 2000).

A possible matrix effect for lithium due to different FeO + MnO abundances was discussed by Ottolini and Hawthorne (2001). Ottolini et al. (1993) showed that energy filtering reduces the low-energy ions responsible for matrix effects. Using energy filtering analyses of Fe-rich glass and biotite standards are independent of FeO + MnO concentration. This indicates that no matrix effect is present, given the uncertainties of the trace element abundances in the available materials with known compositions.

An important limitation of the SIMS technique as applied here is the need to determine the SiO₂ abundance of the unknown sample as part of the analytical procedure. In this study, the fine-grained nature and heterogeneity of many of the materials analyzed (reported below) may explain some of the variability of analyses made on the same thin section. In addition, interference from Al³⁺ in aluminum-rich phases could be a significant problem for some of the beryllium analyses.

**RESULTS**

The samples used for this study represent units 2–5 (808.82–884.94 m) of the impact breccias identified in the drill core (Dressler et al. 2003, 2004). The primary materials analyzed for this study are found associated with the matrix material between larger melt clasts, including altered glass fragments and vesicle and vein fillings. Because of the complexity of the petrography, and to avoid confusion over nomenclature, secondary electron or backscattered electron images (Fig. 3) are presented with the location of every SIMS...
analysis point in this study. The areas selected for analysis usually have what we call a desiccation texture consisting of cracks that appear to represent shrinkage due to dehydration, consistent with the presence of alteration clay minerals. The low totals for the microprobe analyses (Table 3) are consistent with the presence of water, supporting the interpretation that these materials consist of water-bearing phyllosilicate minerals as detected in the X-ray diffraction study of the clay-size separated fraction.

The detailed nature and source stratigraphic units of the samples and thin sections analyzed in this study are as follows:

- **Unit 2.** Designated as redeposited suevite (Dressler et al. 2004), lower sorted suevite (Stöffler et al. 2004), or reworked suevite (Tuchscherer et al. 2004). In addition to the groundmass, the unit contains many round to angular fragments of vesicle rims. These fragments sometimes exhibit shard-like appearance and clay linings, often with flow texture around them. The material analyzed in sample Yax-1 628 from 809 m depth consisted of a clast of altered glass with a desiccated texture, vesicle linings, and vesicle fillings (Figs. 3a–c).

- **Unit 3.** Designated as chocolate brown melt breccia (Dressler et al. 2004), upper suevite (Stöffler et al. 2004), or suevite (Tuchscherer et al. 2004). Observations by Nelson and Newsom (2006) suggest that the material in sample Yax-1 634, 837 m depth (Fig. 3d) consists of vesicular clasts and shards that appear to be surrounded by a fine-grained, carbonate-rich vesicular melt. The possible implications of these observations are not considered here, as the materials analyzed in this section do not include the possible melts. The SIMS analyses only included possible glass shards in the matrix, and vesicle fillings with a desiccated texture.

---

Fig. 1. Si-normalized intensity ratios (see text) for (a) lithium, (b) boron, (c) beryllium, and (d) barium as a function of the concentration of the elements in the standards. The identification of the largest outliers are labeled as in Table 1.
Unit 4. Designated as variegated glass-rich suevitic breccia (Dressler et al. 2004), middle suevite (Stöffler et al. 2004), or variegated suevite (Tuchscherer et al. 2004). Two samples were studied from this unit, Yax-1 647, 848 m depth, and Yax-1 641, 857 m depth. The material analyzed in this sample consists of a groundmass containing altered vesicular glass shards, surrounded by Fe-poor saponite composition phyllosilicate with desiccation textures (Figs. 3e–h). The material analyzed in sample Yax-1 641 consists of only vesicle fillings with desiccated texture and an altered melt clast.

Unit 5. Designated as green monomict autogene melt breccia (Dressler et al. 2004), brecciated impact melt rock (Stöffler et al. 2004), and green impact melt breccia (Tuchscherer et al. 2004). This unit is chemically similar to unit 4, but the unit exhibits less schlieren texture than in unit 4, and clasts and fragments are more angular in appearance. The material analyzed in thin section Yax-1 643 consists of only vesicle fillings with desiccated texture and an altered melt clast.

The trace element results from this study are listed in Table 3, along with major element abundances determined by electron microprobe on the same spots. These microprobe data and X-ray diffraction data are consistent with the presence of smectite, probably montmorillonite, which dominates in units 2 and 3. Mg-saponite dominates in unit 4 and unit 5. Using X-ray diffraction and mineral chemical analyses, Ames et al. (2004) identified the presence of K montmorillonite in Units 1, 2, and the top half of 3, and Mg saponite in the bottom half of unit 3 and below. The swelling behavior of these clays after glycolation is also consistent with smectite. Ames et al. (2004) also occasionally found celadonite, an optically green clay with relatively high FeO content (18–22 wt%), in units 1 and 2, but no clays with this composition are represented in our samples.

The analytical data for the altered matrix material show interesting trends as a function of depth in the drill core (Figs. 4a–c, 5a–c, 6a–b). The concentrations of elements Li, B, and Be generally increase upward along the core, with Li > B > Be, whereas the Ba concentration in the altered matrix materials decreases upward. The abundances of the elements Li and B are well correlated with each other (Fig. 5b) and somewhat correlated with Be (Fig. 5c), with correlation coefficients for Li-B = 0.89, B-Be = 0.71, and Li-Be = 0.50. Ratios between trace element concentrations in the different units are listed in Table 4.

The abundances of the major elements FeO and Al₂O₃ as a function of depth are shown in Fig. 6. The composition of the individual microprobe analyses (Table 3) reflect the compositions of the altered matrix materials. The altered matrix materials in the upper units generally have higher FeO and Al₂O₃ contents than reflected by the bulk analyses of Tuchscherer et al. (2004), with a smectite composition. The lower units have the Mg saponite composition with lower FeO and Al₂O₃ contents than shown in the bulk analyses from Tuchscherer et al. (2004).

In addition to analyzing the altered matrix materials that have a desiccated texture, melt clasts were analyzed to understand the possible protolith for the altered matrix. We have determined the abundances in the melt clasts from unit 5 (Yax-1 643) and from melt in units 3 and 4. Our results favorably compare (Table 5) with those of Ames et al. (2004) and Tuchscherer et al. (2004). The melt clasts have Al₂O₃, and Li, Ba, and Be abundances that are surprisingly similar to the bulk continental crust abundances of Taylor and McLennan (1985). A recently revised Li abundance in the continental crust of 35 ppm (Teng et al. 2004) is higher than the earlier estimate of 13 ppm (Taylor and McLennan 1985). However, boron abundances in the melt clasts are about 5 times higher than the continental crust abundances. A continental crustal composition for the protolith is consistent with the nature of the bedrock at Chicxulub, and the presence of quartz in the distal K/T boundary clay.

Compared to the melt clasts, Li and B in the altered matrix have similar abundances in the lower units 4 and 5, and are enriched in the upper units 2 and 3 (Figs. 4a and 5a). The Be concentrations in the altered matrix range from equal to somewhat lower than the melt clasts in units 4 and 5, to equal and somewhat higher in the upper units 3 and 4 (Figs. 4b and 5c). In contrast, the Ba concentrations in the altered matrix material are lower than the Ba concentrations in the melt clasts and the continental crust (Fig. 4c).
DISCUSSION

Evidence for Hydrothermal Alteration

Petrographic evidence for hydrothermal alteration has been documented in the Yax-1 impactites by several studies (Ames et al. 2004; Hecht et al. 2004; Lüders and Rickers 2004; Zürcher and Kring 2004; Rowe et al. 2004; Tuchscherer et al. 2004, 2005; Goto et al. 2004). This alteration has been divided into a sequence with several different stages by Zürcher and Kring (2004). Their earliest stage is the metasomatic replacement of pyroxene and plagioclase at a temperature greater than 300 °C. During this stage, primary augite is replaced by secondary diopside, and Na plagioclase replaced primary plagioclase. The widespread occurrence of feldspar with variable substitution of Na and K for Ca is consistent with a combination of replacement and deposition of hydrothermal plagioclase. This could have occurred due to...
a reduced fluid together with the deposition of sulfide. This proposed early event, with temperatures >200 °C, is supported by studies of fluid inclusions in quartz crystals in vugs hosted by Cretaceous limestone below the impact breccias (Lüders and Rickers 2004). However, the existence of this early stage of alteration has been disputed by Tuchscherer et al. (2004) and was not recognized by Hecht et al. (2004).

The formation of the altered materials that are the target for the SIMS analyses in this study followed the possible earlier high-temperature phase of alteration. Hecht et al. (2004) related to this stage three generations of secondary mineral formation. First was the alteration of glass to Al-rich Mg-poor smectite in units 2 and 3, which is consistent with our microprobe and X-ray diffraction data. Second was the formation of rims on vesicles in units 2 and 3. The last stage of phyllosilicate formation is represented by vesicle fillings (Mg-rich Al-poor saponite composition). The alteration of the melt clasts and matrix to Mg-rich saponite in the lower units resulted in a volumetrically greater amount of alteration material than in the upper units. Our petrographic observations of the secondary mineral occurrences in the thin
sections are generally in agreement with the observations by Hecht et al. (2004). However, there are no obvious differences in the trace element compositions of the different types of secondary minerals, which could be linked to the different stages of alteration as recognized by Hecht et al. (2004). This could be due to equilibration of the altered matrix materials with the last fluid, or else the fluids did not change much during a single alteration episode.

Our microprobe data show that the Al$_2$O$_3$ contents of the altered matrix in the upper units 2 and 3 are similar to the abundances in the melt rocks (Table 4; Fig. 6b). The low Al$_2$O$_3$ abundances in the matrix of the lower units compared to the bulk abundances may be due to dilution with a dolomite component (Nelson and Newsom 2006).

**Evidence for Mobile Element Transport and Hydrothermal Processes**

The available geochemical data for the impactites and overlying sediments in the Yax-1 core record substantial variations in elemental abundances as a function of depth. These variations, as discussed in more detail below, include enrichments of mobile elements in the sediments immediately above the impactites, and enrichments in the upper suevitic
Table 3. Electron microprobe (EMP) major element oxide data and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) trace element data (Li, Be, B, and Ba) of altered clasts and secondary clay minerals in thin section. Data are reported to three significant figures to avoid rounding errors. The accuracy of these analyses was estimated by repeat analyses of the NIST 610 standard during the same runs as the analyses reported here, giving a one sigma standard deviation of <6% for Li, Be, Ba, and <9% for B (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit 2</th>
<th>Sample Analysis</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>SiO₂ (wt%)</th>
<th>Al₂O₃ (wt%)</th>
<th>FeO (wt%)</th>
<th>MgO (wt%)</th>
<th>CaO (wt%)</th>
<th>Na₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>K₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>EMP Total (wt%)</th>
<th>Li (ppm)</th>
<th>Be (ppm)</th>
<th>B (ppm)</th>
<th>Ba (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A432S1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A431S2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A434S3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A433S5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A415S6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A14S1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A16S2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A14S1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A16S2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yax 628</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y634A8-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 3</td>
<td>837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y634A8-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y634A8-1</td>
<td>837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y634A8-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y634A8-2</td>
<td>837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y634A8-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y634A8-3</td>
<td>837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y634A8-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y634A8-4</td>
<td>837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y634A8-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y634A8-5</td>
<td>837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y647A5-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 4</td>
<td>848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y647A5-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y647A5-1</td>
<td>848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y647A5-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y647A5-2</td>
<td>848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y647A5-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y647A5-3</td>
<td>848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y647A5-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y647A5-4</td>
<td>848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y647A5-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y647A5-5</td>
<td>848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A3151</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 5</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A3102</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A3151</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A3113</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A3102</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A3148</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A3113</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A3158</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A3148</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A4L5S3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Melt</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A4L5S4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A4L5S3</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A2613</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A4L5S4</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643A2742</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y643A2613</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y643</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Y643</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe electron microprobe (EMP) totals for the altered materials in this study do not include water.*
impact units compared to the lower more melt-rich units. In addition to understanding the magnitude of element transport, information about the temperature of the hydrothermal system can be obtained from the relative fractionation of elements such as Li and B. There are several possible explanations for the geochemical variations:

1. Variations in the protolith contributions to the matrix material for each unit may be responsible for the high abundances of Li, Be, and B in the upper impactite units. Tuchscherer et al. (2004) documented an increase in calcium with depth, consistent with the greater proportion of limestone and dolostones. Nelson and Newsom (2006) show evidence for the presence of a dolomitic melt into the lower units, explaining the Mg-rich nature of their matrix. Perhaps the lower abundances of Li, Be, and B in this material could explain the contrast with the suevite units, instead of a difference in precursor materials.

2. Could vertical transport of mobile elements due to upwelling of hydrothermal fluids produce enrichments in the upper units? In the upwelling model, the fluids could have depleted the lower impact breccias in Li, B, and Be and enriched the uppermost impact breccias (seen in both bulk data and the SIMS analyses), and

Fig. 4 (a–c) SIMS results for Li, Be, and Ba contents as a function of depth in thin section samples analyzed in this study (Table 1) and in bulk samples analyzed by Rowe et al. (2004) using ICP-AES. The “sediments” analyzed by Rowe et al. (2004) consist of carbonate-rich biomicrites. The “impactite clay” represent materials with alteration textures from units 2–4 analyzed in this study (Table 1). The “impactite melt” are samples of the breccia clasts analyzed in this study (Table 1).
released fluids containing mobile elements that were incorporated into the sediments overlying the impact breccias (Rowe et al. 2004). There is some limited evidence that fluids rather than protolith variations were responsible for the Li, B, and Be in the alteration phases. The lower suevite Unit 3 and upper impact melt Unit 4 contain both Mg-rich and Mg-poor compositions and Al-rich and Al-poor compositions. However, the trace element abundances in each unit are relatively homogeneous, arguing against the strict control by protolith composition.

3. The unexpectedly thin impactite deposits at the Yax-1 site suggest the possibility that this sector of the ejecta cooled off more quickly, leading to downwelling of seawater during the late stages of cooling and alteration, as opposed to upward flow of hydrothermal fluids. In this view, the higher values of the elements in the upper units could reflect the scavenging of the mobile elements from the fluid by the alteration material in the upper units. The lower units would receive water with lower concentrations of the mobile elements (except Ba).

Fig. 5. SIMS data on materials in thin sections from units 2–5 illustrate the behavior of boron concentrations in the core. a) The concentration of B as a function of depth in the impactite. b) The correlation between Li and B concentrations in the impactites. c) The possible correlation between concentration data for Be and B in the impactites.
We will discuss the variations in the trace element abundances at the Yaxcopoil-1 core site in terms of these possibilities.

**Mobile Elements in Overlying Sediments**

Rowe et al. (2004) presented evidence for hydrothermal transport and deposition of mobile elements in the sediments overlying the impact breccias. Rowe et al. (2004) found that samples of Tertiary biomicrites (consisting of 5–20% bioclasts, and 2% lithoclasts supported by a matrix of carbonate mud) from depths of 794.01 to 777.02 m have higher concentrations of Mn, Fe, P, Ti, Al, Li, and Be relative to samples from higher up in the sediments. They attributed the observed enrichments to elements mobilized by hydrothermal systems in the impact deposits and deposited in the overlying sediments, analogous to hydrothermal deposits in mid-ocean ridge environments. At the Ries crater in Germany, a similar enrichment of mobile elements may be present in the flysch-type graded sandstones and breccias derived from reworked suevite deposited in water in a playa lake environment just above the suevite deposits (Förstner 1977).

One way to look at the possible transport of different elements into the sediments in the Yax-1 core is to apply a mass balance calculation to compare the total mass of an element in the sediments to the amounts in the impactites (Table 5). Using the bulk data from Rowe et al. (2004) and Tuchscherer et al. (2004), the excess Zn, Ba, and FeO in the lower sediments is a small fraction (1.1%, 2.4%, and 4.7%, respectively) of the total in the impact breccias. Similarly, assuming that our abundances in the matrix are representative of the bulk impactites, the extra Li in the sediments above the impact breccias represents less than 7.5%, and Be less than 2.2% of the remaining Li and Be in the altered matrix materials in the impact breccias (units 2–5). Therefore, either the sediments contain only a small amount of the mobilized elements, or the actual amount deposited above the impactites at the Yax-1 site was relatively small.

The sediment data (Rowe et al. 2004) shows an increase in Al₂O₃ just above the impact breccias at the impactite-sediment contact by a factor of 10 compared to higher up in the sediments (Fig. 6b). As aluminum is normally considered an immobile element, the mechanism for the Al₂O₃ enrichment above the contact may involve transport of Al₂O₃-rich clay particles. Rowe et al. (2004) also found an enrichment of FeO by a factor of ten in the sediments directly above the impact breccias, compared to sediments higher up in the stratigraphic section (Fig. 6a), consistent with a higher fraction of silicastic material in the lower sediments. The altered matrix in the upper units has a higher Al₂O₃ content than the bulk rock; therefore, transport of a very small fraction of the alteration phase in the impactite out of the impactites could explain the enrichment in the sediments.

The sediment data of Rowe et al. (2004) support the existence of hydrothermal transport of mobile elements, but the amounts imply only minor transfer to the sediments, or a different source region not connected with the impactites lower in the core. The elements in the sediments could have come from hydrothermal vents in an area with thicker melt deposits and larger heat sources either locally or, less likely, from a more distant source.
Mobile Elements in Impactites

The SIMS data for Li, B, and Be in the altered matrix in the impactites argue for at least local hydrothermal transport at low temperatures. The data of Rowe et al. (2004) for Li and Be, which show enrichments in the sediments directly above the impact breccias (Figs. 6a and 6b), is consistent with hydrothermal mobilization of these elements. However, the different major element composition of the altered matrix material in the upper impactite suggests that a different protolith may have contributed to the upper units.

Possible evidence for upward transport of mobile elements is the enrichment of the altered matrix material in the upper impactite layers in Li, B and Be (and other elements) compared to the lower units. The bulk data of Tuchscherer et al. (2004) for Cs, Au, Rb, and Zn show a similar pattern of enrichment upward in the impactites as the SIMS data for Li, B, and Be in the altered matrix materials (Table 5). For example, Tuchscherer et al. (2004) documented upward enrichments (Table 4) in the concentration of the trace elements in the relative order Cs > Au > Rb = Zn in stratigraphic units 1 to 4. (Note an apparent typo in Tuchscherer et al. 2004. In Table 3, the average Zn in unit 2 should be 55 ppm, not 570 ppm.) The greatest upward increase in the bulk data set is for the abundance of Cs, which increases by a factor of up to 3.7 relative to the lower units, similar to the SIMS data for Li.

FeO can also be mobilized by hydrothermal systems, and is one of the major elements that exhibit upward enrichment in the impactites. Our microprobe data for the points used for SIMS analysis of the altered matrix material shows an enrichment of FeO in unit 2 relative to unit 3 by a factor of 3 (Fig. 6a; Table 4). The bulk data of Tuchscherer et al. (2004) also show some upward enrichment, as the FeO bulk data for units 1 and 2 are higher than that of unit 3.

In contrast to the elements enriched upward in the section, the bulk data show a decrease in the abundance of U, Ba, Na, and K upward in the units, whereas the Ca abundances are highly variable (Tuchscherer et al. 2004). The behavior of Ba in the impact breccias and altered matrix is different from the other mobile elements (Table 4; Fig. 4c). Barium concentrations are higher in the sediments just above the impact breccias suggesting transport due to hydrothermal fluids. However, in both the bulk data for Ba, and the SIMS data for the altered matrix materials in the impactites, there is a substantial decrease in Ba concentrations from the lower to the upper units, opposite to the other mobile elements. The SIMS data for the matrix material shows only a very slight decrease in Ba by a factor of 0.04–0.06 between the suevite of Unit 2 and lower units. The bulk analyses by Tuchscherer et al. (2004) for Ba shows a depletion by a factor of 0.4–0.8 between the suevite of unit 2 and lower units. However, the concentration of Ba in the altered matrix materials determined by SIMS is much lower than the bulk abundance of Ba in the impact breccias at any level by a factor of 0.04 in unit 2 to 0.8 in Unit 5. A complicating factor for Ba is the presence of barite throughout the impactite section, which could help explain the difference between the bulk data and the SIMS data on the altered matrix materials (e.g., Zürcher and Kring 2004). The possibility that the higher bulk Ba content of the lower impact breccias is due to the higher abundance of sedimentary clasts is less likely. For example, the higher bulk U, Na2O, and K2O contents in the lower breccias is probably due to depletion of these elements in the upper units.

The relative fractionation of Li and Ba within and between units provides strong evidence that the temperature of the fluids during the alteration and transport episode was relatively low (<150 °C). Experimental studies of Li and B distribution (Seyfried et al. 1984; Brenan et al. 1998; Berndt and Seyfried 1990) and studies of Li and B in geothermal systems (Reyes and Vickridge 1996) show that B partitions into aqueous fluids by a factor of ten compared to Li at 900 °C, and by a factor of greater than three at temperatures of 320–350 °C. However, at temperatures of <150 °C, the partitioning is almost equal. Under experimental conditions at low temperatures, Ba and Li were removed from seawater and incorporated into a dominantly smectite alteration phase, mirroring the situation in the Yax-1 impactites (Seyfried et al. 1984). The measured relative fractionation of Li and B between the different units is actually slightly greater for Li than Ba (Table 4). Our data are therefore consistent with a relatively low-temperature late-stage hydrothermal process, consistent with the observations that much of the alteration and vesicle filling occurred during the last stages of alteration of the impactites (e.g., Hecht et al. 2004). The low temperature indicated by the fractionation of Li and B and the difference in the major element abundances in the upper and lower units argue that protolith differences in the matrix materials in the Yaxcopoil-1 impactites, including primary and secondary carbonate components or both, are probably responsible for most of the differences in the mobile elements, although vertical transport cannot be ruled out. Additional data on the nature of the matrix materials, the bulk abundances of mobile trace elements, and stable isotopes will help constrain the nature of the postimpact hydrothermal processes in the Yaxcopoil-1 drill core.

CONCLUSIONS

Secondary ion mass spectrometry was used to determine the elemental contents of mobile trace elements in altered matrix materials from the Yaxcopoil drill core in the Chixculub impact structure and the results provide evidence for mobile element variations that may be consistent with limited mobile element transport, but could also be due to variations in the protolith of the impactite matrix. The concentrations of the elements Li, B, and Be in the alteration material from the matrix of the impactite (Table 4) are enriched upward in the impactite sequence from Unit 5 to
Unit 2, as represented by samples from 862 m to 809 m, by factors of 3.5 (Li), 2.2 (B), and 1.5 (Be). The mobile elements Cs, Au, Rb, and Zn are enriched upward in the bulk analyses of Tuchscherer et al. (2004). Barium is depleted upward in the section, but the formation of barite in the impact breccias probably depleted the Ba concentrations in the fluids and therefore in the altered matrix materials in the upper units. The upward enrichments observed in the impactite section are consistent with the observed enrichments of mobile elements in the sediments immediately above the impact breccias (Rowe et al. 2004). Quantitative consideration of the mass of the mobile elements in the impact melt, the impact breccias, and the overlying sediments suggests that only a small fraction of the mobile elements from the impactites were deposited in the sediments directly above the impact breccias.

The relative fractionation of Li and B in the altered materials provides information on the temperature of the fluids involved in the formation of the late-stage altered matrix materials. Based on experimental data from the literature, the similar fractionation of Li and B in the impactites is consistent with a relatively low-temperature hydrothermal system during the formation of the altered matrix materials (<150 °C). The low temperature and relatively small amount of fractionation of the mobile elements is also consistent with the thin nature of the impactites at this location, which is probably not representative of the melt sheet within the transient cavity. Additional studies, including Li isotopes, may shed more light on the mobile element transport issues at this site.

The thin melt sheet at the Yax-1 location and the low temperature of the fluids implied by the trace element data may imply that only limited transport of mobile elements has occurred. In this case, the vertical variations in the major element composition of the altered matrix material must be due to different protolith compositions for this matrix material.

Acknowledgments—We wish to acknowledge the International Continental Drilling Program and the Chicxulub Scientific Drilling Project team for obtaining the Yax-1 core. We wish to thank Uwe Reimold, Phillipe Claey, Christian Koeberl, and Doreen Ames for helpful reviews. Research was supported by the NASA Planetary Geology and Geophysics program.

Editorial Handling—Dr. Wolf Uwe Reimold

REFERENCES


1944 H. E. Newsom et al.


Rocholl A., Dulske P., and Raczek I. 2000. New ID-TIMS, ICP-MS,


