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ABSTRACT

Previous reports suggest that skin blood flow is reduced in arms of women with lymphedema due to breast cancer treatment. Since tissue oxygenation depends on blood flow, we sought to determine if transcutaneous oxygen tension (TcPO₂) is also reduced and if so, if therapy that reduces edema has a beneficial effect. TcPO₂ was measured in fibrotic areas of affected arms and in corresponding sites on non-affected arms of 15 women with unilateral arm lymphedema before and after CDP therapy sequences. Fibrosis was assessed by indentation recovery times (IRT) after applying an indenter-like device to tissue. Volumes and edema percentages were determined from circumferences using automated software calculations. Treatment significantly (p< 0.01) reduced arm edema from 28.6 ± 22.9% to 18.1 ± 17.7% (mean ± SD) and fibrotic segment edema from 42.6 ± 30.1% to 25.0 ± 20.4%, and softened fibrotic tissue judged by reductions in IRT (88.7 ± 60.7 sec vs. 23.1 ± 38.8 sec, p<0.001). TcPO₂ did not differ between arms initially and did not change with treatment, being 60.1 ± 8.8 mmHg at the start and 61.8 ± 9.2 mmHg at the end of treatment. Thus, despite significant amounts of initial edema, TcPO₂ was not initially less in affected arms nor was it changed by therapy that improved both edema and fibrosis.

Diffusion of oxygen from dermal capillaries and likely from small arteries and arterioles normally supplies the nutritional needs of the skin and underlying tissue (1). Measurements of transcutaneous oxygen tension (TcPO₂) have been used to assess the degree to which either or both microvascular perfusion and oxygen flux are altered in conditions such as diabetes (2,3), lower extremity vascular pathology (4,5), radiation therapy (6), and for wound healing prognosis (7-9). In many conditions, edema presence is associated with a decreased TcPO₂ (10,11) but therapy-related reductions in edema may (10) or may not (11) be associated with a significant improvement in TcPO₂. In patients with arm lymphedema secondary to breast cancer treatment, arm skin blood perfusion is reported to be reduced prior to therapy (12-14) and to be improved after therapy that significantly reduces the lymphedema (12,13). Contrastingly, total blood flow in arms with lymphedema has been reported as either greater (15-17) or not different (18) than in paired normal arms although blood flow per unit arm volume was reported as less in edematous arms (18). Venous outflow abnormalities in arms with lymphedema have also been described (19). Since tissue oxygenation depends partly on blood flow, we sought to determine if tissue oxygen, as assessed by TcPO₂, is also reduced and if so, if therapy that reduces edema and tissue hardness has a beneficial effect.
METHODS

Subjects

The study population consisted of 15 women with unilateral arm lymphedema who were about to undergo complete decongestive physiotherapy (CDP) with manual lymph drainage according to the Vodder method (20). All patients were referred for therapy by their attending physicians and treatment was given by experienced therapists certified in the technique. Prior to participating in the research part of the study, all patients signed an informed consent that was approved by the University’s Institutional Review Board. Data are presented as mean ± SD (range). Patients were 75.6 ± 8.0 years of age (58-86 years), had had their mastectomy 13.4 ± 12.4 years earlier (0.5-44 years) and had their arm lymphedema for 5.2 ± 5.5 years (0.2-18 years).

Measurements and Protocol

Research-related measurements were done within two days prior to the start of each patient’s first CDP treatment (pretreatment) and repeated within two days of their last treatment (post-treatment). Patients received 8-10 treatments spread over 16 ± 2 days. During the pre-treatment session the arm with lymphedema (affected arm) was examined and palpated in order to identify a region with the greatest amount of fibrosis. This region was outlined, photographed and its center marked and used as the target site for subsequent affected arm measurements. A corresponding site on the contralateral non-affected arm was also identified and marked. The following measurements and procedures were done on each arm in sequence with the patient comfortably seated.

Transcutaneous Oxygen Tension

TcPO$_2$ was measured at the center of the target site using a Novametrix TCO2M Monitor Model 860 (http://www.novametrix.com/products/860/860.html) (Fig 1).

The sensing probe was calibrated prior to each use, and measurements were made at a temperature setting of 44°C. Baseline TcPO$_2$ values (TcPO$_2$$_{5R}$) were recorded 25 minutes after placement of the sensor on the target skin site. During this interval the patient’s arm was horizontal and rested on a soft surface at the patient’s heart level. At the end
of the 25 minute interval the patient’s arm was passively raised to a near vertical orientation with the aid of the therapist and was held in this position for two minutes. After two minutes, and with the arm still raised, the TcPO2 value was again recorded (TcPO2n). The same procedure was subsequently done on the other arm.

**Arm and Segmental Volumes**

During the 25 minute intervals in which TcPO2 was being measured, the total volume of the other arm was determined. Circumferential measurements were made using a Gulick tape measure (http://www.fwonline.com/gtape.htm) at previously marked 4 cm intervals starting at the wrist. Circumference values were entered into a software program that automatically calculated segmental and total arm volumes (LVP3.0, http://bioscience-research.net/lymphedema.html) based on the frustum model of the arm (21-25). The segmental volume of the target region corresponded to a 4 cm arm segment that included the site of the TcPO2 measurements.

**Tissue Indentation Recovery**

Aspects of the physical properties of the tissue at the target sites were estimated by measuring the tissue indentation recovery time (IRT) following tissue indentation with a 1.5 cm diameter spherical surface using a standardized fixed force of 360 grams for 20 seconds. The device (TissuPress, http://bioscience-research.net/tissupress.html), works like a calibrated thumb, but provides a uniform indentation force. The IRT is determined by palpating the indented region immediately after removal of the force and registering the number of seconds for the indented tissue to return to its pre-indentated condition. IRT is used as an index to assess changes in underlying edematous and/or fibrotic tissue.

**Data Analysis**

Possible effects of treatment on total and segmental volumes, TcPO2 and IRT were separately tested for by using a general linear model for repeated measures with hand as the between factor (SPSS version 7.0). Statistical tests for differences in measured quantities between arms were tested using paired T-tests with a p-value < 0.01 taken as significant.

**RESULTS**

Results for whole arm and target segment before and after CDP treatment are shown in Table 1.

**Whole Arm**

For total arm volume, there was a significant treatment effect (p<0.001) resulting in a significant reduction in the affected arm total volume (p=0.003). The affected arm total volume was significantly greater than the control arm volume before treatment (p=0.002) and remained greater after treatment (p=0.006). Both edema volume and percentage edema were significantly reduced (p<0.01) after treatment.

**Target Segments**

As for the total arm, there was a significant treatment effect (p<0.001) for target segments resulting in a significant reduction in the affected arm’s target segment volume (p=0.001). The affected arm target segment volume was significantly greater than for the control arm before treatment (p=0.003) and remained greater after treatment (p=0.01). Both edema volume and percentage edema were significantly reduced (p<0.01) after treatment. For the control arm, IRT was less than one second which is recorded as 0.00 seconds in Table 1. For the affected arm, there was a significant treatment effect on IRT (p<0.001) resulting...


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters Before and After Therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume (ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3075 ± 1401*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2377 ± 952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2770 ± 1184*§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2346 ± 946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema Volume (ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>698 ± 721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432 ± 504§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Edema (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.6 ± 22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.1 ± 17.7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume (ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283 ± 148*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191 ± 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236 ± 116*§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema Volume (ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.2 ± 98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.4 ± 60.0§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Edema (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.6 ± 30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0 ± 20.4§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRT (sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.7 ± 60.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.1 ± 38.8*§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TcPO2a (mmHg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.3 ± 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.8 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.7 ± 10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TcPO2v (mmHg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.3 ± 8.0§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.6 ± 8.5§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.0 ± 9.7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5 ± 10.2§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. *significantly different than control arm (p<0.01), § significantly different from pre-treatment (p<0.01), ‡ significantly less than baseline TcPO2a (p<0.01). TcPO2a is the baseline transcutaneous oxygen tension with the arm horizontal at heart level. TcPO2v is the value while the arm is vertically raised. IRT is the indentation recovery time.

in a significant reduction (p<0.001) from its pretreatment value of 88.7 seconds to a post-treatment value of 23.1 seconds.

Transcutaneous Oxygen Tension

Despite the large pre-treatment differences between arms in volume, edema and IRT, there was no significant difference in baseline TcPO2a between arms either prior to the start of treatment (p=0.662) or after treatment (p=0.547), and there was no significant treatment effect (p=0.924). The arm-raising maneuver caused a significant reduction in TcPO2a (p<0.001), but this reduction was not different between affected and control arms.

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study indicates that despite significant lymphedema in affected arms of the present patient group, no significant difference in resting TcPO2 between affected and non-affected arms was detectable. Treatments that significantly reduced edema volume and improved tissue properties had no detectable effect on TcPO2. These results run counter to what we expected; that the presence of significant initial edema would reduce TcPO2 and that effective lymphedema treatment would tend to improve TcPO2 values.

In trying to explain these findings we have considered a number of possibilities that may have influenced the results. One possibility is that the amounts of arm edema and tissue changes present in this patient group were not large enough to significantly affect TcPO2 but that greater amounts would possibly have had a measurable impact. However, the relationship between edema amount and its effect on TcPO2 is complex since even in cases of significant...
postoperative edema of the lower extremity, no differences in TcPO₂ in edematous vs.
non-edematous feet are detectable (26) and edema presence in ulcerated lower extremities
appears to have little effect on TcPO₂ (11). Corresponding data for the upper extremities
is not available. A second possibility
considered was that TcPO₂ features of upper
extremities may be different from those
reported for lower extremities. Most clinical
assessments of TcPO₂ have targeted lower
extremities in which reductions in TcPO₂
have been documented for conditions in
which blood flow derangements or deficits
were known or likely to be present (27,28).
However, recent measurements of TcPO₂ on
hands, without vascular impairment, indicate
TcPO₂ levels to be between about 58 mmHg
(29) and 74 mmHg (30), a range that
encompasses the measured values on the
arms of our patients. An additional possibility
considered is that, although the resting levels
of TcPO₂ did not differ between affected and
non-affected arms, a difference might emerge
under conditions in which muscular activity
causes an increase in oxygen demand. Thus,
it is possible that for the resting conditions
used in the present study, microcirculatory
blood flow was adequate to sustain resting
TcPO₂ in the affected arm, but exercise might
have demonstrated microvascular reserve to
be inadequate. Indirect evidence for such a
possibility comes from measurements of hand
blood flow and TcPO₂ in patients in whom
the radial artery of one arm was harvested for
coronary artery bypass grafting (29,31).
Although these authors found that artery
removal was associated with a 15-20% decrease in total blood flow at rest, reductions
in TcPO₂ of the operated hand compared to
the normal hand were demonstrated only
during isometric hand grip exercises (29).
Whether similar features are present in
patients with unilateral arm lymphedema is
unknown and cannot be judged on the basis
of the present evaluations that were done
under resting conditions. However, in view
of the potential clinical implications of this
possibility, it seems that new studies are
warranted to investigate the impact of
isometric arm muscle contraction as is
present when patients engage in a variety
of normal activities.

CONCLUSION

In this limited study of a small group of
post-mastectomy patients, treatment of the
affected arm significantly reduced total arm
and fibrotic segment edema and softened
fibrotic tissue. However, despite significant
amounts of initial edema of the affected arm,
its TcPO₂ value was not initially less in the
non-affected contralateral arm nor was
TcPO₂ changed by therapy.
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