Understory species response to Utah juniper litter

CHAD S. HORMAN AND VAL JO ANDERSON

Research Associate and Professor, Botany and Range Science Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, Ut. 84602.

Abstract

A greenhouse study was conducted to determine the effects of litter leachate and litter depth of Utah juniper [Juniperus osteosperma (Torr.) Little] on seedling emergence and emergence rate of 8 common herbaceous understory species. Species tested were: ‘Secar’ bluebunch wheatgrass [Pseudoroegnaria spicata (Pursh) A. Love], bottlebrush squirreltail [Elymus elymoides (Raf.) Swezey], cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum L.), ‘Paiute’ orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata L.), ‘Appar’ Lewis flax (Linum lewisii Pursh), ‘Delar’ small burnet (Sanguisorba minor Scop.), antelope bitterbrush [ Purshia tridentata (Pursh) DC.], and mountain big sagebrush [Artemisia tridentata spp. vasyana (Rydb.) J. Boivin]. Three water treatments (distilled water, 1%, and 10% litter leachates) and 3 litter depths (0, 3, and 5 cm) were tested. Leachates decreased seedling emergence of orchardgrass and small burnet. Emergence rate was unaffected by leachate treatments. Seedling emergence of all species tested decreased significantly with increasing litter depth. Emergence rate was initially slower in pots with litter, but after 2 weeks no differences were found.
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Se condujo un estudio de invernadero para determinar los efectos del lixiviado del mantillo y la profundidad del matillo de “Utah juniper” [Juniperus osteosperma (Torr.) Little] en la emergencia de plantulas y la tasa de emergencia de 8 especies herbaceas comunes. Las especies evaluadas fueron: ‘Secar’ “Bluebunch wheatgrass” [Pseudoroegnaria spicata (Pursh) A. Love], “Bottlebrush squirreltail” [Elymus elymoides (Raf.) Swezey], “Cheatgrass” (Bromus tectorum L.), “Paiute” “Orchardgrass” (Dactylis glomerata L.), “Appar” “Lewis flax” (Linum lewisii Pursh), “Delar” “Small burnet” (Sanguisorba minor Scop.), “Antelope bitterbrush” [ Purshia tridentata (Pursh) DC.] y “Mountain big sagebrush” [Artemisia tridentata spp. vasyana (Rydb.) J. Boivin]. Se probaron tres tratamientos de agua (agua destilada y lixiviados del mantillo al 1% y 10%) y tres profundidades de mantillo (0, 3 y 5 cm). Los lixiviados disminuyeron la emergencia de plantulas del “Orchardgrass” y “Small burnet”. La tasa de emergencia no fue afectada por los tratamientos de lixiviado. La emergencia de plantulas de todas las especies disminuyo significativamente al incrementar la profundidad del mantillo. La tasa de emergencia inicialmente fue lenta en macetas con mantillo, pero después de dos semanas no se encontró diferencia.
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Materials and Methods

This study tested the effects of 3 water treatments (distilled water (control), 1% and 10% leachate concentrations made from Utah juniper litter) and 3 litter depths (0, 3, and 5 cm) on seedling emergence and emergence rate on the following species: ‘Secar’ bluebunch wheatgrass [Pseudoroegneria spicata (Pursh) A. Love], bottlebrush squirreltail [Elymus elymoides (Raf.) Swezey], cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum L.), ‘Paiute’ orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata L.), ‘Appar’ Lewis flax (Linum lewisii Pursh), ‘Delar’ small burnet (Sanguisorba minor Scop.), antelope bitterbrush [Purshia tridentata (Pursh) DC.], and mountain big sagebrush [Artemisia tridentata var. vaseyana (Ryd.) J. Boivin].

Seed for all of the species, except mountain big sagebrush and cheatgrass, were purchased from a local seed dealer. Mountain big sagebrush seed was obtained from the USDA Forest Service Shrub Research Lab in Provo, Ut. Cheatgrass seed was collected in the summer preceding the study in Spanish Fork Canyon, Utah Co., Ut. Seeds were visibly inspected and used in the study if they had adequate seed fill and lacked any evidence of predation or parasitism. Seed viability, based on germination trials, ranged from 56% for orchardgrass to 99% for mountain big sagebrush, with most species in the 80–90% range.

Allopathic potential is usually tested using leachates made from plant material (Jameson 1970, Jobidon 1986). Leachate used in this experiment was made from juniper litter collected beneath Utah juniper trees in Spanish Fork Canyon. Litter was sifted through #20 hardware mesh to separate soil from litter. A 1% leachate solution was prepared by soaking 1 g of litter in 100 ml of distilled water for 24 hours at 20°C. The leachate was then filtered through a #60 mesh filter. A 10% leachate was made in the same fashion except that 10 g of litter per 100 ml of water was used (Jobidon 1986).

Twenty centimeter diameter pots were filled with a soil mixture of 1 part sand and 1 part standard potting soil (Sunshine Mix #3). Litter treatments of 3 depths (0, 3, and 5 cm) were applied using litter collected beneath Utah juniper trees in Spanish Fork Canyon. The litter was placed in the pots on the soil surface at depths representative of the those found in the interspace (0 cm), the mid-canopy area (3 cm), and near the trunk (5 cm), respectively. Each pot was seeded with 1 of the 8 species, with 50 seeds per pot. The seeds were broadcast on top of the surface material, either soil or litter, and then covered by lightly raking them into the surface material. Antelope bitterbrush was planted in 10 caches of 5 seeds at a depth of 2.5 to 3 cm beneath the surface material. This was done to simulate rodent caching, a common form of antelope bitterbrush seed dispersal (Vander Wall 1994). The pots were then watered until the soil was saturated with the respective water treatments (distilled water, 1% or 10% leachate) and placed outside in a lath house for 6 weeks (late December 1996 to early February 1997), to simulate a short wintering period. To prevent precipitation diluting the leachate, pots were placed beneath benches whose tops were covered with clear plastic. No additional watering occurred during this time, since soils were frozen. In February 1997, the pots were moved into a greenhouse with a 12 hour diurnal 20°/15°C temperature regime. Supplemental lighting was provided in order to increase existing photoperiod to springtime conditions of approximately 12 hours, using 400 watt sodium vapor lamps. Inside the greenhouse, the pots were watered to saturation with their assigned water treatment once a week. The experiment was a 2 factor complete factorial design with 3 litter depths (0, 3, and 5 cm) and 3 leachate concentrations [distilled water (control), 1%, and 10% leachate] replicated 4 times in a randomized block design. Seedling density was counted daily for the first 3 weeks and then every 3 days for the remaining 5 weeks of the study.

An ANOVA analysis was performed, by species, using SAS (SAS 1999) statistical package and a Fisher’s protected LSD was used for mean separation (Ott 1993). Differences were deemed significant at P < 0.05 unless otherwise noted.

Results & Discussion

The results of the 2 leachate treatments were not significantly different and were pooled for presentation. No significant interactions occurred, thus only the main effects (litter and water treatments) are presented here.

Of the 8 herbaceous understory species tested, only small burnet and Lewis flax showed any response to the leachate treatments (Fig. 1). Seedling emergence of small burnet and Orchard grass were reduced by 25% and 23% compared to the control treatment, respectively.

Emergence rate was defined as the total number of emerged seedlings per week. The leachate treatments had no significant effect on emergence rate (data not shown).

These results indicate that allelopathic effects of Utah juniper are species specific. Similar findings were reported for Rocky Mountain juniper by Peterson (1972) and for 1-seed juniper by Lavin et al. (1968). The differences in the results of this study as compared to those indicating that juniper is allelopathic, may be due to methodology. The majority of allelopathy studies (Jameson 1961, Lavin et al. 1968, Peterson 1972) have tested leachates made from fresh foliage. It is possible that the negative effects observed in those studies...
may have been due to compounds that may be allelopathic, but are quickly degraded and lost once the foliage begins to decompose. Jameson (1966) identified 2 potentially allelopathic compounds in Utah juniper foliage and litter that behaved in just such a manner. The first compound, although allelopathic, degraded quickly enough that it never reached toxic concentration. The second compound had a slower decomposition rate and could accumulate if the right conditions occurred. This same thing may apply to other compounds in Utah juniper litter.

When extracts from fresh foliage are used, they may contain compounds that may be harmful, but since they decompose or volatilize quickly they never reach toxic levels in a natural setting. This may explain the weak allelopathic response of the species tested to leachates made of decomposing litter.

Litter depth was found to have a more profound effect on seedling emergence than did leachates. All 8 species exhibited decreasing emergence with increasing litter depth (Fig. 2). The impact of as little as 3 cm of litter was quite dramatic across all species, expect for antelope bitterbrush, with a 70–90% decrease in emergence compared to control pots. A 90–100% decrease in emergence occurred in pots with 5 cm of litter compared to pots with no litter, except for antelope bitterbrush. Though not as severe as the other species, emergence of antelope bitterbrush was still strongly impacted by litter depth with a 34% and 75% decrease in emergence in pots with 3 cm and 5 cm of litter, respectively, compared to pots with no litter.

Emergence rate was also affected by litter depth. The response of bluebunch wheatgrass, shown in Figure 3, was typical of all species tested. During the first week of the study, seedling emergence was faster in pots with no litter than in pots with 3 or 5 cm of litter. However, by the end of the second or third week very little subsequent emergence occurred in any of the 3 litter treatments.

These findings support those of other researchers both in the laboratory and field. Johnsen (1962) reported that blue grama emergence was significantly reduced by as little as 2.5 cm of one-seed juniper litter. Jameson (1966) likewise reported that litter depth, not canopy shading, was responsible for low blue grama basal area and production in pinyon-juniper stands of Arizona. Schott and Pieper (1985) found that litter depth was negatively correlated with grass basal cover around one-seed juniper trees in New Mexico.

There are 2 possible reasons why litter depth reduces emergence. First, the litter may drain and dry out quickly which would limit moisture for imbibition. This would especially be the case if the seeds were at or near the litter surface as they were in this study, representing natural dispersal. The antelope bitterbrush results appear to support this hypothesis. The seeds were planted 2.5 to 3 cm below the surface material. In pots with 3 cm of litter, seeds were deposited at the soil-litter interface. While seeds in pots with 5 cm of litter were planted at the same depth, they still had about 2 cm of litter beneath them. The fact that emergence in the pots with 3 cm of litter was relatively higher compared to the other species was probably due to the seeds having adequate imbibition because of contact with the soil and the litter acting as a protective mulch. Whereas, antelope bitterbrush seeds in the pots with 5 cm of litter did poorly because they were in a much drier environment due to their placement in the middle of the litter profile.

This explanation may be influenced by the intensity and duration of a precipitation event. In the greenhouse the pots were
watered with a watering can which dispersed the water rather quickly, much like a high intensity, short duration thunderstorm. In this type of storm the litter would probably drain and dry rather quickly creating the aforementioned conditions. If, however, the storm was of low intensity and long duration then the litter would stay moist longer which would allow for more imbibition to occur and subsequent higher germination.

The second possible explanation for the effect of litter depth is that the litter may simply be too deep creating an establishment barrier. It is possible that the emerging radicle may never be able to reach mineral soil in order to obtain water and nutrients. In trying to reach mineral soil the seedling uses up all of its energy stores and dies. The depth and physical structure of the litter may be a critical factor in determining seedling success for extremely small seeded species, such as sagebrush, that have limited energy reserves for radical growth. If the seedling radicle can reach mineral soil, then the litter ceases to be a barrier.

**Conclusion**

In summary, this study showed that Utah juniper litter leachates had a limited allelopathic effect on emergence of orchardgrass and small burnet under greenhouse conditions, supporting previous research that any allelopathic effects are species specific.

Litter depth was shown to drastically affect emergence and emergence rate. All of the understory species used in the investigation had a reduction in emergence as litter depth increased. Emergence rates of all 8 species were initially lower. However, by the end of the second week this effect was not noticeable. These findings indicated that litter beneath Utah juniper trees has a much stronger affect on plant emergence than does allelopathy.
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