As the region was settled, severe overgrazing reduced grass cover (Youngblood and Cox 1922), exposed soil and accelerated erosion. Recurrent droughts and control of naturally occurring fires, combined with the loss of grass cover, encouraged the growth of ashe juniper (Smeins 1990). Juniper encroachment continues to plague the area (Smeins and Merrill 1988) to the point where it has become the most crucial ecological and economical rangeland management issue on the Edwards Plateau (Smeins 1990).

Goat browsing can aid in the control of juniper (Taylor et al. 1996). The forage value of juniper is considered relatively low (Pritz et al. 1997), and vegetation growth beneath its canopy is reduced (Fuhlendorf et al. 1996). Juniper growth in the presence of desirable rangeland flora may cause disappearance of the more desired forage species with time (Rykiel and Cook 1986; Fuhlendorf and Smeins 1997).
Experimental Area

Coastal bermudagrass hay. Those of live oak, alfalfa hay, and intake of ashe juniper relative to include digestibility, metabolizability, and intake of ashe juniper to those of live oak, alfalfa hay, and Coastal bermudagrass hay.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design

The trials were conducted during spring (2 trials) and fall (3 trials) using metabolism stalls located in an open air building. Spring trials were conducted from 31 May—18 June. Fall trials were conducted from 24 October—26 November. Each stall measured 2.35 × 1.14 × 1.70 m and had an expanded steel floor to allow feces to drop through to collection pans which were covered with 0.03 cm mesh wire screen. Urine ran through the screen to a sloping pan surface which drained into collection vessels.

Animals

Thirteen mature Angora mutton goats (2 to 5 years old, 40 ± 5 kg) were used for the metabolic trials. Eight of the 13 goats (2 per diet) were used for each trial. Goats that were not being used in a trail were maintained on alfalfa hay ad libitum and a pelleted ration (12% CP) consisting of 30% cottonseed hulls and 70% concentrates. During the feeding trials, forages were presented ad libitum, and animals always had unlimited access to water and mineral blocks (containing salt and trace elements).

Diets

Experimental diets used in this study were alfalfa (AH) and Coastal bermudagrass (CBH) hays, live oak foliage (LO), and ashe juniper foliage plus Coastal bermudagrass hay (Jun/CBH). Female ashe juniper was used in these trials because it was determined in preference trials (Riddle et al. 1996) to be the most preferred species and sex of juniper. Preliminary trials indicated that the animals could not be maintained on diets of only juniper for the 15-day test period. Therefore, animals assigned to the juniper diet were fed, in addition, Coastal bermudagrass hay. A Coastal bermudagrass treatment was added to assess the nutritive value of the grass hay and allow calculations by difference of the values for juniper. Alfalfa served as the control diet for these trials.

Metabolic Trials

The trials were conducted during spring (2 trials) and fall (3 trials) using metabolism stalls located in an open air building. Spring trials were conducted from 31 May—18 June. Fall trials were conducted from 24 October—26 November. Each stall measured 2.35 × 1.14 × 1.70 m and had an expanded steel floor to allow feces to drop through to collection pans which were covered with 0.03 cm mesh wire screen. Urine ran through the screen to a sloping pan surface which drained into collection vessels.

Juniper and live oak foliages were harvested daily and presented to the animals at approximately 1000 hours in a specially designed feeder, which could hold 6 branches at a time. The advantages of this type of feeder included easy removal and replacement of branches as well as the manner of presentation being similar to that of a real tree.

One unbrowsed portion of each forage was placed outside of the metabolism stalls to determine moisture loss and chemical content of the foliage. Moisture loss was calculated by the same method used for the preference trials (Riddle et al. 1996). After moisture loss had been determined, foliage samples were removed from the

Average long-term precipitation of 609 mm is highly variable and skewed with more years of below average rainfall than above. Peak precipitation months are May, June, and September. Growing season precipitation averaged 409 mm over 70 years (Taylor et al. 1993).

The most common soils on the station are Tarrant silty clay and Tarrant stony clay (Clayey-skeletal, montmorillonitic, Lithic Calciustolls) with some Kavett silty clay soils (Clayey, montmorillonitic, thermic, lithic, Petrocalcic Calciustolls) in low-lying areas (Taylor et al. 1993). The Tarrant stony clays are the dominant soils which overlay a fractured limestone substrate and are generally 15 to 50 cm deep. These soils contain 5 to 70% limestone fragments or slabs of limestone outcrops. The topography is typified by rolling, stony hills with slopes of 3 to 4%, which produce patterns of shallow divides, limestone outcrops, and low lying areas of deeper soils (USDA-SCS 1972).

The vegetation is a mosaic of juniper and grasses, forbs, and woody species. For a complete description of the climate, soils, and vegetation at the research station see Smeins et al. (1976).

Warm summers and mild winters allow for an average growing season (March through October) of 240 days. Average long-term precipitation of 609 mm is highly variable and skewed with more years of below average rainfall than above. Peak precipitation months are May, June, and September. Growing season precipitation averaged 409 mm over 70 years (Taylor et al. 1993).

The most common soils on the station are Tarrant silty clay and Tarrant stony clay (Clayey-skeletal, montmorillonitic, Lithic Calciustolls) with some Kavett silty clay soils (Clayey, montmorillonitic, thermic, lithic, Petrocalcic Calciustolls) in low-lying areas (Taylor et al. 1993). The Tarrant stony clays are the dominant soils which overlay a fractured limestone substrate and are generally 15 to 50 cm deep. These soils contain 5 to 70% limestone fragments or slabs of limestone outcrops. The topography is typified by rolling, stony hills with slopes of 3 to 4%, which produce patterns of shallow divides, limestone outcrops, and low lying areas of deeper soils (USDA-SCS 1972).

Experimental Design

Metabolic trials were conducted to determine the intake, digestibility, and nitrogen balance of 4 diets by goats. Two goats were assigned randomly to each of the 4 diets in 5 trials of 15 days each (1 animal per stall). Each trial consisted of a 10-day adjustment period followed by a 5-day collection period for feces and urine. Data were averaged across days for each animal before analysis. Independent variables were diet (fixed), season (fixed), diet by season (fixed), trial within season (random), and diet and trial within season (random). Individual animals and trials were considered replicates. Standard errors (SE) and probabilities of differences (P) were used to make statistical inferences.

Animals

Thirteen mature Angora mutton goats (2 to 5 years old, 40 ± 5 kg) were used for the metabolic trials. Eight of the 13 goats (2 per diet) were used for each trial. Goats that were not being used in a trail were maintained on alfalfa hay ad libitum and a pelleted ration (12% CP) consisting of 30% cottonseed hulls and 70% concentrates. During the feeding trials, forages were presented ad libitum, and animals always had unlimited access to water and mineral blocks (containing salt and trace elements).
unbrowsed juniper and live oak branches to resemble the removal by goats from the browsed branches. Samples of the alfalfa and Coastal bermudagrass hays were also collected at this time, and the process was repeated at every feeding. Weights of diet, refusals, and feces were recorded. Subsamples of each were composited and stored at -15°C. Frozen samples were freeze-dried for subsequent laboratory analyses. Volatilization of ammonia from urine was prevented by the addition of 10 ml of 25% H₂SO₄ to the polypropylene urine containers. Dry matter (DM) and nitrogen were determined using standard procedures (AOAC 1965).

Contributions of juniper to the Jun/CBH diet were determined by difference. Intake and digestibility of the combined diet and dry matter proportions that each contributed to the diet were determined. Dry matter intake and fecal dry matter (FDM) contributed by the Coastal bermudagrass hay based on the digestibility estimate obtained for the Coastal bermudagrass hay diet were subtracted. Calculations were made according to the following formulae:

\[ \text{DMD(Jun)} = \frac{\text{DMI(Jun)} - \text{FDM(Jun)}}{\text{DMI(Jun)}} \]  
\[ \text{FDM(Jun)} = \frac{\text{DMI(Jun)}}{\text{DMI(CB)}} \]  
\[ \text{DMD(CB)} = \text{DMI(CB)} \times (1-\text{DMD(CB)}) \]  
\[ \text{FDM(CB)} = \text{DMI(CB)} \times (1-\text{DMD(CB)}) \]  

Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed by the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure (SAS 1988). The dependent variables were daily intake of dry matter (g day⁻¹), fecal output (g day⁻¹), water intake (liters day⁻¹), urine excretion (liters day⁻¹), dry matter digestibility (%), nitrogen intake (g day⁻¹), nitrogen feces (g day⁻¹), nitrogen digestibility (%), nitrogen in urine (g day⁻¹), and nitrogen balance (g day⁻¹). The independent variables were diet, season, and trial. Least squares means were used to test the differences among diets and seasons. A diet X season interaction was observed and a second analysis was conducted in which season was pooled for the alfalfa and Coastal bermuda grass hay (the same materials were fed during both seasons) but considered separately for live oak forage (LO) and ash foliage/Bermuda grass (Jun/CBH) treatments.

Results and Discussion

Forage intake did not differ (P = 0.79) between spring and fall trials (944 vs 962 g day⁻¹ respectively). However, during spring, goats digested more dry matter (P = 0.06), consumed more water (P < 0.001), and produced more urine (P < 0.001) than during fall (Table 1). Dry matter concentrations were similar in the spring and fall seasons for live oak and juniper. Nitrogen content was highest in the alfalfa hay, slightly lower in the Coastal bermudagrass hay and lowest for live oak and juniper foliage (Table 2). These values indicate a typical high-quality alfalfa, a highly fertilized Coastal bermudagrass hay that may have contained up to 1% or more non-protein nitrogen, and live oak and ashe juniper foliages that were within the ranges of those reported previously from the research site (Huston et al. 1981). The higher fall values were surprising, especially for live oak, which usually contains higher nitrogen during spring (1.6%) then declines as current season leaves age. However, the nitrogen contents of these foliages are influenced by moisture and temperature conditions, which may have modified the usual pattern. This finding is consistent with the similarity in forage moisture contents (spring vs fall) and large differences in water consumption. Generally, spring foliage is lower in dry matter content. Water intake and urine volume of goats was greater on the hay than the shrub diets which may be a reflection of the higher protein content and dry matter intake of the hays.

Intakes of the hays were higher than those of the shrub foliage, although intake of juniper (555 g day⁻¹) was only part of total intake (total Jun/CBH intake = 975 g day⁻¹). Intake of live oak was greater than intake of ashe juniper. Live oak is more palatable than ashe juniper (Taylor unpublished data), possibly because tannins in live oak are less aversive than terpenoids in ashe juniper. This concept is supported by higher intake of live oak during spring when tannins would be higher in concentration.

Table 1. Mean nutritional parameters of 4 forages fed to goats during spring and fall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Coastal bermudagrass hay</th>
<th>Alfalfa hay</th>
<th>Live oak</th>
<th>Ash juniper¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of goats</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter intake</td>
<td>1430²</td>
<td>1228²</td>
<td>1187ʰ</td>
<td>914ʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g/day)</td>
<td>511ᵃ</td>
<td>459ᵃ</td>
<td>458ᵃ</td>
<td>451ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feces (g/day)</td>
<td>64.³ᵃ</td>
<td>62.ᵃ</td>
<td>61.ᵃ</td>
<td>50.ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter dig, (%)</td>
<td>7.2ᵃ</td>
<td>6.ᵃ</td>
<td>5.ᵇ</td>
<td>1.ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake (l/day)</td>
<td>4.3ᵇ</td>
<td>4.ᵇ</td>
<td>2.ᵇ</td>
<td>4.⁰ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen content (%)</td>
<td>39.⁸ᵃ</td>
<td>37.ᵃ</td>
<td>12.⁰ᵇ</td>
<td>14.ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen in feces (g/day)</td>
<td>10.ᵐᵇ</td>
<td>8.²ᵇ</td>
<td>7.⁴ᵇ</td>
<td>6.ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen digestibility (%)</td>
<td>73.¹ᵇ</td>
<td>78.²ᵇ</td>
<td>38.³ᵇ</td>
<td>54.²ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen in urine (g/day)</td>
<td>20.²ᵇ</td>
<td>14.ᵇ</td>
<td>5.²ᵇ</td>
<td>4.⁵ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen balance (g/day)</td>
<td>8.⁹ᵇ</td>
<td>15.³ᵇ</td>
<td>-6ᵇ</td>
<td>3.⁴ᵇ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Values for dry matter intake, feces, dry matter digestibility, Nitrogen content, Nitrogen in feces, and Nitrogen digestibility were determined by difference and apply to juniper foliage. Values for water intake, Urine excreted, Nitrogen in urine, and Nitrogen balance are for the combined coastal bermudagrass/juniper diet.

²Means with the same superscript within a row are not significantly different (P < 0.05).
The spring foliages of both shrubs were similar in digestibility to the hays but lower during the fall. Therefore, spring foliages from both shrubs were similar to the hays in their capacities to provide digestible energy if similar levels of intake could be obtained. In these trials and as previously noted, intake of live oak was relatively high yet still lower than the hays, and animals will not readily consume juniper as a single diet ingredient. It is suggested that for digestible energy purposes, both foliages should be considered as high value during spring and when consumed as a component of multi-component diets. Similarly, the fall values, though lower than during spring, compare favorably with most alternative dietary components on fall rangeland in the region (Huston et al. 1981).

Larger differences were observed between diets and seasons for nitrogen digestion/metabolism. Although nitrogen intake was similar for the 2 hays (slightly higher for Coastal bermudagrass hay), nitrogen in Coastal bermudagrass hay was nonprotein, rapidly absorbed and excreted in the urine. The low digestibility of nitrogen and negative nitrogen balance for spring live oak reflected the high tannins in that foliage (Nastis and Malechek 1981) compared with the fall foliage. For ashe juniper, the fall foliage nitrogen was less digestible and contributed to a lower nitrogen balance. Immature ashe juniper has lower concentrations of terpenoids than mature juniper (Taylor et al. 1997). Although juniper is an evergreen, goats tend to select the more immature growth thereby minimizing the interference factor that may be associated with terpenoids. The actual nitrogen balance values reported are for the combined diet (Jun/CBH) because the urinary excretion of nitrogen was not partitioned according to source. Overall, these data confirm that these shrub foliages are of less value as a nitrogen source than for an energy source compared with the hays included in the study.

**Summary and Management Implications**

Voluntary intake, rather than digestibility, seems to limit the nutritional value of live oak and Ashe juniper foliage for goats. Phytochemicals in live oak (tannins) and especially in juniper (terpenoids) are negatively related to intake. Although many phytochemicals in forages and browse plants reduce intake (Bryant 1992; Bush and Burton 1994), the overall effects on animal metabolism are varied and sometimes beneficial. Tannins are known to bind protein which can prevent its degradation in the reticulorumen and increase the proportion of dietary amino acids that are subjected to intestinal digestion. This can be important when specific amino acids limit protein synthesis. Feeding of live oak leaves increased nitrogen retention and mohair growth in Angora goats (Huston and Shelton 1967). However, Pritz et al. (1997) reported that nitrogen balance of goats may be negatively affected when substantial amounts of juniper are consumed.

The energy requirements of a 40 kg Angora female at maintenance (low activity), while pregnant, and during lactation (1 kg of 4% fat-corrected milk per day) are approximately 2.7, 3.7, and 4.2 Mcal digestible energy (DE) per day, respectively (NRC 1981). Estimating that each Mcal DE would be equivalent to 225 g of digestible dry matter, requirements would be approximately 608, 832, and 945 g day⁻¹ for an Angora female at maintenance, while pregnant, and during lactation, respectively. Other species (e.g. sheep) consume similar amounts of forage when at maintenance and while pregnant but approximately 35% more during lactation (Huston and Engdahl 1983). The Angora muttons used in the digestion trial weighed approximately 40 kg and consumed 582, 309, 919, and 769 g digestible dry matter from live oak, juniper/Coastal bermudagrass hay, Coastal bermudagrass hay, and alfalfa hay, respectively. Because animals tend to eat less in stalls than while grazing, both Coastal bermudagrass hay and alfalfa hay seem adequate for Angora goats whether at maintenance, while pregnant, or during lactation. However, live oak and juniper (even in a mixture with Coastal bermudagrass hay) though probably adequate for maintenance, were inadequate for goats that are either pregnant or lactating. Ashe juniper, as well as live oak can provide nutrients at important periods in a goat’s annual production cycle but probably only as a partial diet. The intake of a pure diet of juniper forage would be too low for maintenance. Volatile oil concentrations in juniper may limit the amount of dry matter that can be consumed and metabolized. However, ashe juniper is of sufficiently high quality (i.e., 50% DMD) to significantly contribute to the diets of grazing/browsing animals that have access to other forages and/or supplemental feeds.
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