Emergence date effects on resource partitioning between diffuse knapweed seedlings
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Abstract

Diffuse knapweed (Centaurea diffusa Lam.) has reduced forage production, watershed quality, and biodiversity, and increased soil erosion on millions of hectares of rangeland. Diffuse knapweed has evolved mechanisms that allow it to dominate sites in nearly monotypic stands. Understanding these mechanisms may provide useful information in developing weed management strategies. Objectives of this study were to investigate interference, growth rates, and resource partitioning between early and late emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings. Seeds of diffuse knapweed were planted 21 March (early emerging) and 14 April (late emerging) 1993 in addition series mixtures with total stand densities ranging from 1,000-7,000 plants m\(^{-2}\). Shoots were harvested on 1 and 2 June 1993. The greatest interference was among co-emerging seedlings. Resource partitioning ratios (51 and 1398) indicated substantial partitioning between seedlings having different emergence dates. Continuous seedling emergence may allow diffuse knapweed to occupy all available safe sites.
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Individual knapweed plants possess many traits that are advantageous over perennial grasses in site occupation. Intense competitiveness, rapid growth rates, large seed output, and extended growing periods all contribute to the successful domination of grasslands by knapweeds (Sheley et al. 1993, Sheley and Larson 1994a, Sheley and Larson 1994b).

Population characteristics also may provide insight into the monotypic domination of grasslands by diffuse knapweed. Seed development and release by diffuse knapweed allows continuous seed rain upon the soil surface (unpublished data, R.L. Sheley and L.L. Larson). Moreover, diffuse knapweed exhibits germination polymorphism which distributes seed germination over time (Nolan and Upadhyaya 1988). Life history models of diffuse knapweed suggest that a conspecific hierarchy of plant size classes result from continuous seedling emergence (unpublished data, R.L. Sheley and L.L. Larson). Continuous weed seedling recruitment into a population may be an important mechanism providing intraspecific temporal resource partitioning and safe site occupation.

Understanding the mechanisms which allow weeds to dominate rangeland provides the basis for identifying plant traits and population strategies of desirable species that may minimize weed invasion (Larson et al. 1994). Knowledge of these mechanisms may allow development of more effective and efficient management strategies. The objectives of this study were to compare the growth rate of seedlings of diffuse knapweed having 2 emergence dates, and to investigate interference and resource partitioning between early and late emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings.

Materials and Methods

Study Sites

Field studies were conducted during 1993 in southeastern Washington (site 1: 45° 57' N, 119° 22' W; site 2: 46° 2' N, 119° 26' W) to evaluate the effect of emergence date on resource partitioning between diffuse knapweed seedlings. Study site 1 lies within the bluebunch wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata (Pursh.) Löve)-needle and thread grass (Stipa comata Trin. & Kupr.) habitat type. The soil, a Warden very fine sandy loam (coarse, silty, mixed, mesic, Xerollic Camborthids) has a 15 to 30% southerly slope and an elevation of 450 m. Study site 2 is located within the bluebunch wheatgrass-Sandberg bluegrass (Poa sandbergii Vasey) habitat type (Daubenmire 1970). The soil
at this study site was a Ritzville silt loam (coarse, silty, mixed, mesic, Calciotrophic Haploxerol), with zero slope and an elevation of 1,150 m. Average annual precipitation for study site 1 ranges from 155 to 228 mm and 228 to 305 mm for study site 2.

Both sites were dominated by diffuse knapweed at the time of the study. Seeds used to establish diffuse knapweed seedlings during the study were collected from the study sites during the summer of 1992.

Temperature (maximum and minimum), precipitation (24 hour), and soil water potential (MPa) were monitored daily at each site using the Washington Public Agriculture Weather System (Wright and Ley 1990). Data were summarized (means or totals) on 12-day intervals to correspond with experimental harvest dates (Table 1).

Table 1. Environmental conditions at study sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Period (1993)</th>
<th>Mean soil water potential</th>
<th>Mean temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>Mean soil water potential (MPa)</td>
<td>max. °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21 March - 2 April</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21 March - 2 April</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 April - 14 April</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 April - 14 April</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 April - 26 April</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 April - 26 April</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 April - 8 May</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 April - 8 May</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 May - 1 June</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21 May - 1 June</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental conditions were monitored daily. Twelve day values are presented during the study to correspond with harvest dates.

Soil water potential measured at 20 cm.

Growth of Isolated Plants

Isolated plants were established by broadcast seeding 50 diffuse knapweed seeds into 0.25 m² plots. The seeds were hand separated to provide a uniform distribution, and lightly covered (< 2 mm) with soil. Each plot was watered at the rate of 1 liter m² at the time of planting to synchronize germination and then thinned to a single individual 7 days after emergence. Plots were established on either 21 March (early emerging treatment) or 14 April (late emerging treatment) 1993. Treatments (plots) were replicated to provide 5 harvest dates and 5 blocks in a randomized-block design (2 emergence dates, 5 harvest dates, 5 blocks). The experiment was conducted at each site. Harvest dates occurred on 12-day intervals beginning 24 days after planting. Final harvest occurred on 1 June (site 1) and 2 June (site 2) 1993. Shoots were dried at 60°C for 48 hours and weighed. Data were analyzed as a split-plot using analysis of variance with site as the wholeplots and isolated individuals as subplots. Shoot weight comparisons between isolated early and late emerging seedlings were made using data from 24, 36, and 48 days after planting.

Interference and Resource Partitioning

Mixtures of early and late emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings were grown to assess interference and resource partitioning between seedlings having 2 emergence dates. Mixtures of diffuse knapweed seeds were planted 21 March (early emerging) and 14 April (late emerging), 1993 in 0.5 m² plots using the same procedures described for the growth rate study. The majority of seeds planted on 21 March emerged on 14 April and the majority of the seeds planted on 14 April emerged 8 May 1993. Diffuse knapweed seedlings were then thinned 7 days after emergence to provide an addition series (Spitters 1983). Early to late emerging seedling densities were 500:500, 500:1500, 500:2500, 500:3500, 1500:500, 1500:1500, 1500:2500, 1500:3500, 2500:500, 2500:1500, 2500:2500, 2500:3500, 3500:500, 3500:1500, 3500:2500, 3500:3500 plants m⁻². Early emerging seedlings were labeled on 16 and 17 April using a plastic color coded wire placed around their base. Density treatments were replicated 5 times to form a randomized-complete-block design (16 density combinations, 5 blocks) at each study site. Ten randomly selected early and late emerging plants from the interior of each plot were harvested on 1 (site 1) and 2 (site 2) June 1993. Harvested biomass was dried at 60°C for 48-hours and weighed. Rosettes harvested from plots with high and low densities had 3-4 and 7-8 leaves, respectively.

Addition series data were incorporated into multiple linear models using SPSS/PC+ least squares regression procedures (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois) of the form:

\[ W_e = \beta_{e0} + \beta_{e1}N_e + \beta_{e2}N_l \]

where \( W_e \) and \( W_l \) were the average per-plant shoot weights for early and late emerging knapweed, respectively, and \( N_e \) and \( N_l \) were their respective densities. Scatterplots of the residual vs. standardized predicted values were used to determine the homogeneity of variances and degree of model fit. T-tests (P < 0.05) were used to determine significance of the regression coefficients.

The regression coefficients \( \beta_{e0} \) and \( \beta_{l0} \) estimate maximum shoot weight of an isolated early or late emerging knapweed seedling, respectively. Regression coefficients \( \beta_{e1} \) and \( \beta_{l1} \) estimate intraspecific interference among co-emerging seedlings. Interference between early and late emerging seedlings is estimated by the \( \beta_{e2} \) and \( \beta_{l2} \) regression coefficients. Coefficient of determination (R²) values were calculated to indicate the proportion of the variation found in shoot weight (\( W_e \) or \( W_l \)) that was accounted for using plant density (\( N_e \) and \( N_l \)).

Coefficient ratios, \( \beta_{e2}/\beta_{l2} \) and \( \beta_{l1}/\beta_{e1} \), were used to determine the relative influence of seedling emergence date on shoot weight. Zero was used for non-significant coefficients in regression models, and a constant of 0.0001 was used in ratio calculations (Roush 1988). The \( \beta_{e2}/\beta_{l2} \) ratio was used to assess partitioning (niche separation) of resources between early and late emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings (Connolly 1986). Deviations from unity indicate increased resource partitioning.

Results and Discussion

Growth of Isolated Individuals

Analysis of variance showed that the accumulation of shoot weight was similar between early and late emerging knapweed seedlings at 24, 36, and 48 days after planting and between sites. Differences in the accumulation of shoot weight at any specific harvest, therefore, were due to the duration of growth rather than
environmental effects for isolated individuals. Combined shoot weight increased with increasing harvest dates (P < 0.0001), and were 1.5 ± 0.4, 4.1 ± 1.7, and 14.6 ± 4.7 mg for 24, 36, and 48 days after planting, respectively. Mean shoot weight of the early emerging isolated knapweed seedlings was 141 ± 14.2 mg 72 days after planting.

**Interference and Resource Partitioning**

Analysis of variance of regression coefficients calculated from addition series data for each replication indicated the results were not significantly different between sites. However, data were not combined because of poor model fitness for the late emerging seedlings at site 2.

The regression models indicated that co-emerging knapweed seedlings influenced their own shoot weight more than did seedlings emerging either later or earlier (Tables 2 and 3). On site early-emerging seedlings (Table 3). The predicted shoot weight of isolated individuals emerging late was 16.4 and 22.9 mg. A 1,000 plant m² increase in late emerging seedlings reduced the predicted shoot weight of late Emerging seedlings by 3.7 mg for site 1 and 5.2 mg for site 2. The same increase in early-emerging seedlings reduced the predicted shoot weight of later-emerging seedlings by less than 1.3 mg.

The [\(\beta_{le}/\beta_{le}\)] double ratio was 1398 and 51 based on shoot weight for site 1 and site 2, respectively (Table 4). This indicates that strong resource partitioning occurs between late and early emerging knapweed seedlings which may be central to the ability of this species to dominate rangelands in near-monotypic stands.

It has been hypothesized that initial plant densities and timing of emergence have strong impacts on the dynamics of plant communities because they create asymmetries in plant size and resource capture (Grace 1990, Harper 1977, Ross and Harper 1972, Weiner 1990). Asymmetric competition (Weiner 1990) or resource preemption (Grace 1990) is thought to occur because of a positive feedback between growth and resource capture (Grime 1979, Harper 1977, Keddy 1990). In such a scenario, those individuals emerging and establishing earlier possess a one-sided competitive advantage (Firbank and Watkinson 1987). Our research suggests that competition between diffuse knapweed seedlings is 2-sided. Earlier emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings preempt resources and occupy safe sites proportional to their size, until all safe sites are captured, similar to that predicted by Ross and Harper (1972) and reported by Watts (1984). Early emerging seedlings do not encroach into areas already occupied by the smaller, later emerging plants, and further increase in size depends on vertical growth (Firbank and Watkinson 1987). In such a case, we speculate that the early emerging seedling roots extend deep enough into the soil that interference with late emerging seedling roots is minimized. Continuous seedling emergence allows diffuse knapweed to occupy all available safe sites with minimal interference from seedlings having different emergence dates. Species possessing mechanisms that allow continual seedling recruitment can capitalize on frequent but minimal periods of precipitation, characteristic of arid lands, by controlling and occupying safe sites as they become available.

Spatial pattern plays an important role in the mortality and flowering ability of diffuse knapweed. Mortality among seedlings and the number of non-flowering established plants increased with proximity to established plants (Powell 1990). Our study suggests that temporal pattern also may play a key role in the biology of diffuse knapweed. Using early and late emerging knapweed densities to predict shoot weight, the coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.77 and 0.80 (site 1) and 0.91 and 0.54 (site 2) for early and late emerging knapweed seedlings, respectively. Weldon and Slauson (1986) proposed that the R² values

---

**Table 2.** Multiple regression analysis for the prediction of shoot dry weight (mg) of early emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings³.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>(\beta_{eo})</th>
<th>(\beta_{el})</th>
<th>(\beta_{el})</th>
<th>(\beta_{le}/\beta_{el})</th>
<th>(R^{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>144.73</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.77(0.77³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>167.75</td>
<td>0.0120</td>
<td>-0.0032</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01(0.90)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³The intercept \(\beta_{el}\) estimated the shoot weight of an isolated early emerging knapweed seedling. Interference among emerging seedlings is measured by the regression coefficient \(\beta_{el}\). The effects of interference between early and late emerging seedlings on early emerging seedlings is estimated by \(\beta_{le}\). Numbers in parentheses are standard errors for coefficients significantly different from zero.

**Table 3.** Multiple regression analysis for the prediction of shoot dry weight (mg) of late emerging diffuse knapweed seedlings³.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>(\beta_{lo})</th>
<th>(\beta_{le})</th>
<th>(\beta_{le})</th>
<th>(\beta_{le}/\beta_{le})</th>
<th>(R^{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>-0.0009</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.80(0.76³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.625)</td>
<td>(0.0002)</td>
<td>(0.0002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>-0.0052</td>
<td>-0.0013</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.54(0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.02)</td>
<td>(0.0005)</td>
<td>(0.0005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³The intercept \(\beta_{le}\) estimated the shoot weight of an isolated late emerging knapweed seedling. Interference among late emerging seedlings is measured by the regression coefficient \(\beta_{le}\). The effects of interference between early and late emerging seedlings on late emerging seedlings is estimated by \(\beta_{le}\). Numbers in parentheses are standard errors for coefficients significantly different from zero.

---
generated from addition series regression models estimate the amount of the target plant's response to interference. In our study, all regression models indicate that interference plays an important role in shoot growth of co-emerging knapweed seedlings (Tables 2 and 3). We believe that spatial and temporal pattern interact to determine the outcome of interference and ultimately the success of diffuse knapweed individuals within the population.

In a plant community, various species continually vie to occupy safe sites that are compatible with their requirements for survival. Collectively, these species determine the structure and function of the plant community. Those species with the ability to capture safe sites and provide maximum community structure and function dominate (Larson et al. 1994). We speculate that diffuse knapweed, a semelparous perennial (Thompson and Stout 1991), has the ability to monotypically dominate rangelands, in part, because it continuously occupies safe sites and the hierarchy of size classes within the population maximizes plant community structure.
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