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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to investigate modifying microclimatic effects of spring burning in tall grassland, long-term burning trials at Ukulinga, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. Soil temperature (at 50 mm), soil heat, net radiation and surface reflection coefficient were monitored on various cloudless days before and after burning. Four days after burning there was no significant increase in soil temperature but soil heat and net radiation increases and surface reflection coefficient decreases (from 15% to nearly 3% at local noon) were evident. Between burning date and first day of measurements, a rainfall of less than 2 mm occurred causing greater evaporation at the burnt site (due to greater net radiation) and hence lower soil temperatures, compared to the control site. Burning also resulted in an increase in sensible plus latent plus photosynthetic heat densities (from a daily total density of 9.0 MJ m\(^{-2}\) before to 9.9 MJ m\(^{-2}\) after burning) with soil heat density increasing by 50%. Four weeks after, soil temperature and soil heat were greater for the burnt site compared to the control, but net radiation and surface reflection coefficient were not significantly different between the two sites. The appearance of green material some short time after burning is therefore probably a result of more favourable soil-plant water and surface energy relations.

Fire has been a natural phenomenon in the development of the tall grassland of Natal (Scott 1971). Today it is a widely used agricultural tool where grazing is seldom sufficient to ensure a uniform removal of top growth (Tainton 1978). Burning benefits plant growth primarily because of changes in the physical rather than chemical environment (Brown 1967, Fisher 1978).

Daubenmire (1968), in the brief section on microclimate in his review of the ecology of fire in grasslands, concluded: "... with the exception of the limited (soil) temperature data that have been summarized, they (microclimate measurements) are almost non-existent." Since then, Old (1969) has investigated some aspects. Effects of fire on the grassland microclimate are reviewed by Savage (1980b) and Cass et al. (1983).

Burning alters the grassland microclimate, and this paper reports on an investigation of some of these alterations, particularly with regard to surface reflection coefficient, soil heat flux density, soil temperature at 50 mm, and surface net radiant flux density. Although reflection coefficients for many surfaces have been reported, few measurements are available for natural grasslands (Ripley and Redmond 1976) and even fewer for burnt grasslands. The reflection coefficient of a stand is an important microclimatological characteristic relevant to its energy balance (Ross 1975).

For convenience of the reader, terminology used in this paper will be defined (see Savage 1979, 1980b):

- **incident short wave radiation**: solar energy incident per unit time (flux) per unit area (density) of surface (J s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\) or W m\(^{-2}\))
- **surface reflection coefficient**, \(r\): percentage of incident short wave radiation reflected by a surface (%)
- **soil heat or soil heat flux density**, \(F_h\) : energy per unit time per unit area entering the soil surface but measured at a very small distance away from the soil surface (W m\(^{-2}\))
- **latent heat/latent heat flux density**, \(F_l\) : energy per unit time per unit area expended in evaporating (energy loss by the surface) or condensing (energy gain by the surface) water, without a change in temperature (W m\(^{-2}\))
- **photosynthetic heat/heat flux density**, \(F_p\) : energy per unit time per unit area expended in assimilating carbon dioxide (including crop heat storage) (W m\(^{-2}\))
- **sensible heat/heat flux density**, \(F_s\) : energy per unit time per unit area expended in heating or cooling the atmosphere (W m\(^{-2}\))
- **net radiation/net radiant flux density**, \(I_{net}\) : net radiant energy flux per unit surface area, or, more conveniently, \(I_{net} = F_w + F_h + F_l + F_p\) (all terms in W m\(^{-2}\)).

Study Area

Long-term burning trials are being conducted at Ukulinga (Tainton and Mentis 1983), the University of Natal research farm, about 5 km southeast of Pietermaritzburg, Natal, South Africa. The 30-year mean annual rainfall at Ukulinga is 733 mm with a standard deviation of ±126 mm. Average annual air temperature is 17.8°C and mean annual Class A-pan evaporation is 1422 mm (Savage 1981).

All measurements were performed at 2 virtually level sites (areas of 12.7 m by 18.3 m). The "control" site has had biennial burns for the last 23 years with the last burn being a spring burn in 1979. The burnt site treatment was an annual spring burn with the 1980 burn occurring on 11 September.

Materials and Methods

Soil heat flux density \(F_h\) (with SI unit W m\(^{-2}\)) was measured at the 2 positions at both sites using factory calibrated Middleton \(\text{CN1}\) soil heat flux plates. The plates were as close to the surface as possible causing no vegetation damage and very little soil disturbance. All plates had the same orientation. Lead wires emerging from the soil were staked to the ground to prevent plate disturbance and plates were buried 2 months before the first day of measurement.

Net radiation \(I_{net}\) (W m\(^{-2}\)) was measured using a factory calibrated Middleton CN1 net radiometer. Calibration errors were reduced by using the same radiometer over both sites. The radiometer has a pair of plastic domes covering the temperature sensing area sensing
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Incoming and reflected radiation were measured using a Kipp and Zonen solarimeter with a Moll thermopile. The instrument was heat shielded and calibrated against a Linke-Feussner actinometer (factory calibrated and traceable to a national standard). The solarimeter has a 150 mm diameter radiation shield to prevent underside sensor heating which reduces the voltage output corresponding to the incident short wave radiation. Most commercially available line pyranometers (tube solarimeters) are inadequate for the present purpose for this reason, but such a shield may easily be constructed. The glass dome of the solarimeter ensures measurement of short wave radiation (between 300 and 3000 nm).

Voltage output from the three sensor types was measured using a portable multimeter, at roughly each hour of the day (for both sites) on four cloudless days, two of the days being before burning and two after.

Soil and air temperatures were measured at both sites using three-wire PT-100 resistance thermometers (Savage 1980a). Soil thermometers were placed horizontally in undisturbed soil (about a horizontal distance of 1 m from the soil heat flux plates) at a depth of 50 mm, two months prior to measurement. Temperature was read directly in °C using a Fluke RTD portable thermometer unit.

**Results and Discussion**

Figures 1, 2, and 3 show net radiation $I_{em}$, soil heat flux density $F_s$, and surface reflection coefficient $r$ for 2 dates before burning and 2 dates after for both burnt and control sites. Burning was on 11 September 1980 with less than 2 mm of rainfall (on 12 September 1980) between burning date and first measurement day following burning (15 September 1980). Four days after burning, the burned surface local noon (South African Standard Time) reflectivity was 3.2% compared to 14.2% before burning (on 21 August) and 14.7% on the control site. Surface reflectivity of the burnt site may have been less than 3.2% immediately after burning were it not for the 2 mm rainfall. This reflectivity value is probably the lowest ever reported for a vegetated surface, although use of artificial mulches can result in values less than 5% (Kalma and Fuchs 1976).

Reporting on work by Harrison (1978), Gandar (1979) quotes a surface reflectivity midday value of 7% following burning at Nylsvley Nature Reserve (near Pretoria). This value was half that of the control site but a total of 23 mm rainfall occurred between date of burn and first surface reflectivity measurements (more than a ten day interval).

Reduction in midday surface reflection coefficient ($r$) value due to burning, resulted in the local noon net radiation ($I_{em}$) value increase (761 W m$^{-2}$ compared to 564 W m$^{-2}$ for the control site 4
The various radiation flux density values were integrated (over the whole day) for 15 September and 9 October for both sites to obtain energy densities with unit MJ m$^{-2}$ and expressed as a percentage of total short wave radiant density (Table 1). Comparing control and burnt sites following burning, there was a slight increase (9.0 to 9.9 MJ m$^{-2}$) in sensible plus latent plus photosynthetic heat energy densities (subsequently referred to as remaining term), with increases in net and soil heat densities. Between 15 September and 9 October for the burn site, the remaining term decreased from 55% of incident short wave radiation to 48%. Immediately after burning, it is probable that sensible heat ($G_s$) would dominate in the remaining term but that its importance would decrease with time after burning. The mean daily surface reflection was 4.3% for the burn site and 13.9% for the control site, 4 days after burning.

The mean soil temperature at 50 mm (averaged between 08h00 and 17h00) was 17.9 and 18.3°C for burn and control sites, respectively, on 15 September and 24.8 (burnt) and 22.0°C (control) for 9 October. Soon after burning soil temperature at 50 mm appeared to decrease because of increased soil surface evaporation resulting from the 2 mm rainfall. It would appear that increases in soil temperature at this depth cannot play an immediately important role in vegetation change associated with burning, provided there is ample soil water, as emphasized by many studies (Mes 1958, Peet 1971, Raison 1979, Rice and Parenti 1979). The appearance of green material some short time after burning (Fisher 1978) is therefore as a result of more favourable soil-plant water (Fisher 1978, Redmann 1978) and surface energy relations. This study shows (Table 1) an immediate increase in soil heat energy density (4.0 compared to 2.7 MJ m$^{-2}$) and an overall increase (9.9 to 9.0 MJ m$^{-2}$) in the remaining term (sensible, latent and photosynthetic heat energy density), following burning.

Four weeks after burning (9 October), soil heat density for the burnt site was slightly greater than that of the control but there was no significant difference between net radiation, the remaining term (Table 1) and surface reflection coefficient (Fig. 3) for the 2 sites. Greater amount of soil heat for the burn site was due to the lesser attenuation of radiation through the canopy, compared to the control site; this in turn caused greater soil temperatures for the burn site.

Conclusions

Burning decreased the surface reflection coefficient which in turn increased net radiation, energy entering the soil and energy terms associated with sensible and latent heat and photosynthetic. It was probably these factors, in the presence of water, which caused rapid vegetation growth following burning and not soil temperature increases. Four days after burning, there was no measurable increase in soil temperature, at 50 mm, due to burning, mainly because of rainfall of nearly 2 mm that occurred between date of burning and first post-burn measurements. Four weeks after burning, soil temperature and soil heat were greater for the burn site due to observed vegetation height differences, but there was no significant difference in surface reflection coefficient and net radiation between the two sites.
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Table 1. Values of the energy density terms (MJ m$^{-2}$), also expressed as a percentage of the total incident short wave radiant density, for both sites for 15 September and 9 October 1980, 11 September being the date of the spring burn (calculated prior to rounding off process).