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Highlight: Planning for commercial utilization of mesquite wood requires a procedure for estimating biomass in a given stand. A study of virgin mesquite trees at three range sites in each of seven counties of the Rolling Plains of Texas was initiated. Data revealed a highly significant linear relationship ($r = .933$) exists between stem area at 60 cm above ground and the green weight of the tree. The green weight of individual trees can be predicted from a random sample of 256 trees when a prediction equation ($Y = .410X$) is used. $Y =$ green weight of the individual tree expressed in kilograms. $X =$ stem area at a 60 cm height above ground level expressed as square centimeters.

The biomass of trees has commonly been estimated by relating stem diameter to weight. Shrubs have also shown the potential for weight estimation due to the high correlation existing between stem diameters and weight of shrub parts (Brown 1976, Lyon 1970, Telfer 1969, Whitaker 1965). Brown (1976) established methods for estimating shrub biomass from basal diameters for 25 northern Rocky Mountain shrub species. Mesquite biomass estimation is complicated by a variable growth form which ranges from a small multiple-stemmed shrub to a large single-stemmed tree.

The objective of this study was to develop an acceptable procedure for predicting the green biomass of mesquite stands with varying productive potential found within the Rolling Plains region of Texas.

Materials and Methods

Study areas were established in Hardeman, Dickens, Knox, Fisher, Runnels, Shackelford, and Borden counties of Texas. These counties were selected because they contain a great diversity of mesquite size and growth form. Soil surveys provided by the Soil Conservation Service were the basis of site selection. Virgin stands of mesquite were used for the studies.

At each of the study areas, nine .04-ha plots were delineated and all the trees within the plots were counted, measured, and weighed. These nine plots were evenly divided among shallow-land, deep upland, and bottomland sites. Measurements and weights were taken from October 1, 1975, to May 15, 1976, of 1,223 mesquite trees with a measurable stem diameter at 60 cm. Initially, measurements were taken of height, canopy area, number of stems, stem diameter at ground level, stem diameter at 60 cm above ground level, and green weight of the tree. Stem diameter measurements were converted to stem area. When a tree has a multi-stemmed trunk, its stem area is an accumulation of the area of each stem. After data from the first 235 trees were analyzed, height of tree demonstrated a relatively low correlation to weight ($r = 0.679$) and was not recorded for the remainder of the study. When measurements were complete, the trees were cut as close to ground level as possible with a chain saw. The larger trees were reduced to manageable pieces for weighing. Green weights were recorded for each tree and were used in all calculations.
Table 1. Correlation matrix of the variables.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>SAG</th>
<th>SA2</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAG</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA2</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NS = number of stems
SAG = stem area at the ground
SA2 = stem area at 60 cm.
CN = canopy
WT = weight

Prediction equations, based on a linear relationship between the stem area at 60 cm above ground (SA2) and green weight, were developed for each site and for the overall group of sites. Stepwise multiple regression (Dixon 1974) was used to develop a correlation matrix for the variables used in the study.

Results and Discussion

The correlation matrix (Table 1) indicates that the variables used in the study were highly interdependent. Consequently, the stepwise multiple regression analysis was abandoned in favor of a linear regression analysis between SA2 and green weight.

A significant linear relationship between stem area at 60 cm and green weight existed at each production site: shallowland $r = .892$, deep upland $r = .934$, and bottomland $r = .932$. This permitted the development of equations to predict the weight of individual trees (Table 2). When average weight per tree is multiplied by the number of trees per unit area for a specific site a reliable estimate of biomass is provided. Since most mesquite trees occur in stands that grow on sites of a heterogenous nature, it is recommended that the overall formula (Table 2) be used.

Table 2. Prediction equations for estimating green biomass of mesquite trees in the Rolling Plains of Texas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Trees/ha</th>
<th>Canopy area $m^2$</th>
<th>SA2 $cm^2$</th>
<th>SA3 $cm^2$</th>
<th>Green weight per tree (kilos)</th>
<th>Production per hectare (metric tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shallowland</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep upland</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottomland</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>147.3</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Stem area ground level
2. Stem area at 60 cm height

Shallowland site had fewer and smaller trees than either of the other sites. This resulted in a green weight biomass of 4.1 metric tons/ha (11.8 tons/acre) of mesquite as compared to 19.4 metric tons/ha (8.6 tons/acre) for the deep upland site and 36.1 metric tons/ha (16.1 tons/acre) for the bottomlands.

The Soil Conservation Service has classified the mesquite stands of the Rolling Plains into three groups based on stand density: sparse, medium, and dense. The assumption is made that this classification corresponds closely to the shallowland, deep hardland, and bottomland sites measured in this study. This makes it possible to estimate the amount of woody material available for utilization. Acreage estimates as prepared for the 1973 Brush Survey indicated 1,486,630 ha of sparse, 2,063,309 ha of medium, and 1,831,544 ha of dense mesquite in the Rolling Plains.

Based on the assumption that it would be economically unfeasible to harvest the sparse mesquite of the shallow sites (low yield, difficult terrain), it is recommended that these areas not be considered a source for commercial mesquite wood. Utilizing the yields from the plots, the data indicate that there are 40,028,194 metric tons of mesquite from the deep hardland site and 66,118,740 metric tons of material from the bottomland site available for commercial utilization in the Rolling Plains of Texas.
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