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Highlight: Tanoak is considered a weed species in northwestern California. Dry-matter and cellulose digestibility trials were made with sheep to determine the possibility of using ground waste tanoak as an energy source in livestock rations. In vitro dry-matter and cellulose digestibility values were consistently higher than the in vivo values. The in vitro dry-matter digestibility averaged 12.7% for four sheep, while the in vivo dry-matter digestibility averaged 8.9%. The in vitro cellulose digestibility averaged 5.0%; the in vivo cellulose digestibility averaged 1.0%. It was concluded that tanoak residues can only have consideration as an energy source to ruminants when some form of pretreatment can release the wood carbohydrates from their association with lignin.

Tanoak (Lithocarpus densiflorus (Hook. and Am.) Rehd.) (Fig. 1), considered to be a weed species, is the most abundant hardwood found in woodlands of northwestern California (Fowells 1965). It often grows in brush fields and reduces timber production and livestock grazing. Tanoak is also left lying on cut blocks as a logging residue.

Recycling of waste materials, like tanoak, as livestock feeds has become increasingly important. Wood industry by-products such as sawdust, chips, and logging slash show potential for conversion into inexpensive feeds that replace grain or supplements in livestock rations. Various studies have been conducted on the value of ground wood cellulose as an energy source in livestock rations. In vitro studies by Stranks (1959), Dinius and Baumgardt (1968), Millet et al. (1970), and Radwan and Crouch (1974) and an in vivo study by Mellenberger et al. (1971) show some untreated hardwoods to have relatively high digestibility values when used as feed materials. Brush also appears to have potential as a source of energy for ruminants (Bissell and Weir 1957; Furbush et al. 1960; Furbush 1962; Hickman 1975).

The purpose of the following experiment was to determine the dry-matter and cellulose digestibility of tanoak, and to compare and evaluate two microtechniques used for the determination of wood digestibility.

Materials and Methods

Four rumen-fistulated Corriedale ewes, maintained on an all-alfalfa hay diet, provided inocula for in vitro trials and were the subjects of in vivo determinations.

Fig. 1. A tanoak plant growing beside a Douglas-fir stump on the study site.
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Table 1. Dry-matter and cellulose digestibility (%) of tanoak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables and categories</th>
<th>Dry-matter means</th>
<th>Cellulose means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of trials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 1</td>
<td>13.2 a</td>
<td>2.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 2</td>
<td>10.2 b</td>
<td>4.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 3</td>
<td>10.0 b</td>
<td>3.0 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 4</td>
<td>10.0 b</td>
<td>3.0 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.6 a</td>
<td>2.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.6 a</td>
<td>2.9 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.9 a</td>
<td>4.0 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.4 a</td>
<td>2.7 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>12.7 a</td>
<td>5.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>8.9 b</td>
<td>1.0 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different (0.05) as determined by Duncan's multiple range test. All comparisons are made within each column and variable.

The cellulose content of tanoak was analyzed before and after all digestibility trials by the method of Crampton and Maynard (1938). A modification by Hershberger et al. (1959) was used in the digestion step. Each cellulose analysis included one standard tanoak sample of a predetermined cellulose content.

A factorial analysis of variance was used to test for differences between replications, sheep, time of trial, procedure used, and the interactions between these factors. Differences between means of the two digestibility procedures were tested by Duncan's multiple range test (Steel and Torrie 1960).

Results and Discussion

The overall cellulose and dry-matter digestibility values for tanoak were higher than for many hardwoods tested in previous studies. In addition, the in vitro dry-matter and cellulose digestibilities were significantly higher than the in vivo values ($P \leq 0.05$).

Dry-Matter Digestibility

The method used and the time of trial were found to have significant differences at the 0.05 level of probability in the dry-matter digestibility determination. There were no significant differences among sheep (Table 1).

The in vitro dry-matter digestibility averaged 12.7% and the in vivo averaged 8.9%. In all replications, the in vitro dry-matter digestibility values were equal to or greater than the in vivo values. Apparently the unique features of each method led to the differences in dry-matter digestibility.

The in vivo technique relied on nylon bags of a mesh size which presumably allowed microbes to pass into the bag. Possibly some small food particles were able to pass into the bag also. This would have added to the substrate, thereby lowering its apparent digestibility. Also, in the rumen, the microbes may have been preferentially selecting the alfalfa hay of the diet over the tanoak in the nylon bags. The in vitro technique of Mellenberger et al. (1970) optimized digestibility for woody plant species. In addition, the inocula used was subjected to straining and differential centrifugation, thus separating the microbes from the alfalfa particles of the diet. Therefore, both the in vivo and in vitro conditions probably contributed to the higher in vitro, lower in vivo digestibility values.

Sheep had no significant differences in their ability to digest tanoak. Mean dry-matter digestibility values ranged from 10.6% to 11.4%. However, these figures are somewhat misleading. The in vitro dry-matter digestibility for each sheep ranged from 12.3% to 13.2% and the in vivo ranged from 8.4% to 9.7% (Fig. 2). The consistent results from each sheep indicate that the in vitro technique was the most efficient means of estimating the dry-matter digestibility of tanoak.

Fig. 2. In vitro and in vivo dry-matter and cellulose digestibility (%) of tanoak among sheep.
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Fig. 3. Change in dry-matter and cellulose digestibility (%) over time.
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Time had a significant influence on the dry-matter digestibility of tanoak. There was greater digestibility during the first period of the study than during subsequent periods (Table 1). After the first period the dry-matter digestibility leveled off for the remainder of the study. Both in vitro and in vivo results followed this pattern (Fig. 3). The marked difference between period one and following periods presumably was caused by stress from handling the sheep, not from any influence of tanoak. Changes in rumen environment such as aeration and repeated draining for ingesta collection may have caused fluctuations in the number and kind of anaerobic rumen microorganisms. In time, and with no increasing stress, a steady digestibility curve would likely occur (Fig. 3). Effects due to any influence of tanoak on rumen microorganisms would be expected to increase over time, which was not the case.

In vitro and in vivo dry-matter digestibility of tanoak was higher than some hardwoods of previous studies (Stranks 1959; Mellenberger et al. 1970; Millet et al. 1970; Mellenberger et al. 1971). In part this can be explained by the sampling procedures used. Leaf, bark, and bole material were included in the tanoak samples, whereas bole material was the primary sample source used in previous studies. Millet et al. (1970) found that bark, buds, and twigs of hardwoods yielded higher dry-matter digestibility values than bole material, since they contained less lignin and more digestible components.

**Cellulose Digestibility**

In vitro cellulose digestibility was significantly greater than that determined in vivo. Significant differences were also found among the sheep and times of the trials (Table 1).

No pattern or consistent results were found for time of trial or sheep. Cellulose digestion randomly differed among the sheep and times (Figs. 2 and 3). In all in vitro replicates for each sheep and trail, cellulose digestion was greater than zero. This was not true for the in vivo cellulose digestibility results. At least one value of zero cellulose digestibility was found for three of the sheep and three of the four trials. Presumably preferential selection of substrates by the rumen microbes lowered overall in vivo cellulose digestibility values. In addition, small food particles including cellulose may have been able to infiltrate the nylon bag adding to cellulose content.

Values for digested cellulose were consistently lower than those for dry-matter digested for each technique. This agrees with the findings of Radwan and Crouch (1974) for red alder (*Alnus rubra*). Cellulose digested by the in vitro technique represented almost 40% of in vitro dry-matter loss. In vivo cellulose digested represented slightly over 10% of in vivo dry-matter digested.

These results strengthen the idea that the conditions of each technique were contributing to the differing digestibility values. The in vitro technique, with optimized artificial conditions, resulted in consistently greater digestibility. In contrast, the in vivo or "true" rumen digestion technique revealed lower digestibility values, probably due to microbial selection of an alternate feed source, the alfalfa ration.

**Conclusion**

As evident from these findings, tanoak residues can only have consideration as an energy source to ruminants when some form of pretreatment can release the wood carbohydrates from their association with lignin. Further research will be necessary to find commercially acceptable means of increasing tanoak's digestibility.
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