Range Fertilization in the Northern Great Plains

J. ROSS WIGHT

Highlight: Nutrient deficiency, primarily nitrogen (N), is a major plant growth-limiting factor on northern Great Plains rangelands. Applications of 30 to 50 lb N/acre/year have commonly doubled forage production with an N-use efficiency of about 20 lb dry matter/lb N applied, or in grazing situations about 1 lb beef/lb N applied.

Range fertilization can also increase water-use efficiency and improve forage quality and palatability. With applications of 50 lb N/acre/year or less, changes in species composition are gradual and can largely be controlled by timing of fertilizer applications and by season and intensity of grazing. Drastic changes in species composition are usually limited to applications greater than 150 lb N/acre/year.

The northern Great Plains as described by Lodge (1970) covers approximately 400,000 square miles. Its general boundaries are the Rocky Mountains from the Wyoming-Colorado border north to Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, thence southeast to the intersection of the 98th meridian and the U.S.-Canadian border, thence south along the 98th meridian to the 41st parallel, and thence west to the Rocky Mountains. Native range accounts for approximately 70% of the land area and is primarily of the mixed prairie types with some tall grass prairie on the eastern extreme and some short grass prairie on the southwestern extreme. The Chestnut, Brown, and Dark Brown soils comprise the major soil groups within the area.

The northern Great Plains has a climate which is generally considered harsh and extreme. Precipitation is irregular and often in short supply. In the east, annual precipitation averages more than 20 inches and in the north and west, as little as 10 inches per year. Among-year variation is even greater, particularly the seasonal precipitation, which often varies 100% or more. However, precipitation patterns within the northern Great Plains affect the vegetation more than does the total amount. About three-fourths of the annual precipitation occurs during the 6-month growing season (April through September) and about half during May, June, and July. Average growing season of the northern Great Plains decreases from 160 to 116 days going from south to north.

Livestock production is a major segment of the economy in the northern Great Plains. Lodge (1970) estimated that this area supports some 12 million animal units of beef cattle and sheep. However, increasing demands for wheat and other cultivated crop products have resulted in the conversion of large acreages of range to crop land. Thus, to meet the demands for red meat products, more emphasis is being placed on better and more intensive management systems. Only within the past few years has manipulation of soil fertility (fertilization) been considered seriously as a range management tool. The purpose of this paper is to summarize, in terms of forage and animal responses, range fertilization research conducted in the northern Great Plains.

A Grassland Ecosystem

A mixed prairie plant community described by Wight and Black (unpublished data) is typical of coarse- to medium-textured upland range sites in the northern Great Plains. Annual precipitation averaged about 13.5 inches, with 80% received in April-September. The soil with a well-developed lime layer beginning at 12 to 18 inches and with 80 to 90% of the root material in the upper 12 inches indicated a history of limited precipitation. Major forage species included western wheatgrass (Agropyron smithii), blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis), prairie Junegrass (Koeleria cristata), needleandthread (Stipa comata), and some upland sedges—primarily threadleaf sedge (Carex filifolia) and needleleaf sedge (Carex eleocharis). Aboveground dry matter production averaged 954 lb/acre/year over a 6-year period. Root biomass in the top 12 inches of soil profile was approximately 18,500 lb/acre, an amount similar to that reported by Goetz (1969) at Dickinson, N. Dak., but a little less than that reported by Power and Alessi (1971) at Mandan, N. Dak. This amount of root material represented 188 and 13.9 lb/acre of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), respectively. Total N and P requirements for this mixed prairie plant community was 59 and 4.9 lb/acre/year, respectively, with 12 and 1.4 lb/acre of N and P, respectively, appearing in aboveground biomass.

Nutrient Cycling

Because of the large quantity of low N-content root material in the soil, large quantities of inorganic N are immobilized when N fertilizers are applied. Power (1972) estimated that in central North Dakota up to 300 lb N/acre may be immobilized by this "N-sink." To maintain a pool of available inorganic N, the "sink" requirements must be satisfied. This theory helps to explain the apparent lack of response that has been obtained with low-rate applications of N on native range as compared with the two- and three-fold increases reported in the past decade with N-rates of 100 lb/acre or more.

Theoretically, once the soil system has been charged or enriched by fertilization, it should through decomposition cycle plant nutrients at a much higher level. While research results indicate that the nutrient cycling rate is increased by fertilization, it does not attain a level that is in balance with available water, i.e., not enough N is made available through

The author is range scientist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Northern Plains Soil and Water Research Center, Sidney, Montana 59270.

The study is a contribution from the Western Region, U.S. Dep. Agr., Agr. Res. Serv., in cooperation with the Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, Journal Series No. 616.

JOURNAL OF RANGE MANAGEMENT 29(3), May 1976
decomposition for maximum use of available water as measured in terms of dry matter production. This condition exists primarily in colder climates where photosynthesis is more efficient than decomposition. In the northern Great Plains, time periods during which soil water and soil temperatures are at adequate levels for decomposition can be very short. To make maximum use, in terms of forage production and a limiting water supply, nutrient enrichment or fertilization must be practiced on a continuing basis despite the fact that very little N is removed from the ecosystem by grazing animals. Whether or not such practices are economical depends on several factors, including the kind and degree of plant responses and subsequent animal responses.

**Plant Responses**

**Forage Yield**

A good review of range fertilization research in the northern Great Plains has been published by Rogler and Lorenz (1974). From results of published research, it is apparent that N deficiencies do limit forage production in the northern Great Plains. Nearly every study reported that N fertilization increased forage production. Degree of response varied among sites with their variation in vegetation and prevailing climate and was also a function of fertilizer application rates. Single applications of N (50 lb/acre or less) have generally resulted in forage increases of less than 100% and have shown limited carryover or residual effect. At N applications above 100 lb/acre, forage yields have increased 100 to 200% with a carryover or residual effect which lasts for several years, depending upon the application rate. Wight and Black (unpublished data) found yield increases of 40 and 80% the 6th year after single applications of 100 and 900 lb N/acre, respectively. However, applications of about 40 lb N/acre/year can be expected to double forage yields. Low-rate applications also provide more flexibility to respond to varying climates and forage needs and reduce some of the potential hazards associated with high-rate applications, like nitrate toxicities and undesirable changes in species composition.

Nitrogen is the major growth-limiting plant nutrient. Usually when P was applied alone, there was little or no response. However, with high N rates (Wight and Black, 1972) or after years of N fertilization (Lorenz and Rogler, 1972) P becomes limiting; and there have been significant yield responses to P applications with forbs and shrubs responding more than grasses.

In addition to N and P, other plant nutrients like potassium, sulfur, and some micronutrients have been evaluated as fertilizer materials. Results have not indicated a need for these elements. However, if production rates are increased through use of N and P, probably other nutrients will become limiting. While these nutrients are not now measurably limiting growth, plant levels are being reduced to the point where they may be limiting animal response.

**Species Composition**

Along with economic considerations, the effects of fertilization on species composition is a major consideration. Past research results indicated a differential response by species to nutrient enrichment, particularly N. Usually, cool-season species, particularly western wheatgrass, have responded most to N fertilization. Some forage yield increases have been due almost entirely to western wheatgrass, a rhizomatous species which responds to N by increasing both in number and vigor. In one experiment (Wight and Black, unpublished data), 300 lb N/acre increased western wheatgrass culms from 31 to 138 ft² and average upstretched leaf length from 16 to 27 inches. As cool-season species increase, warm-season species decrease, particularly blue grama. With rates of 40 and 80 lb N/acre/year for an 8-year period, western wheatgrass culms increased an average of 528 and 788%, respectively, while blue grama basal cover decreased an average of 26 and 44% (Lorenz and Rogler, 1972). This effect can be somewhat offset by timing of fertilizer applications; late spring or summer applications tend to benefit warm-season species more than cool-season species (Rehm et al., 1972). However, if application rates are high enough so there is a significant carryover, then cool-season species will benefit the next spring to the detriment of warm-season grasses. Early grazing will also reduce the competitive advantage of cool-season species.

High N applications (usually in excess of 150 lb/acre) may have drastic effects on species composition. Sometimes they beneficially decrease clubmoss (Selaginella densa) and threadleaf sedge and increase desirable perennial grasses. Sometimes responses are adverse; weedy species like tansy mustard (Descurainia sophia), cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum), and Japanese brome (B. japonicus) are greatly stimulated by high-rate N applications. These weedy invaders begin growth early in the spring and have a priority on available water and plant nutrients. Thus, if N is available from fertilization in the early spring or carryover from previous application, these
species will benefit most and will gain a competitive advantage over more desirable perennial species. Fringed sagewort (Artemisia frigida), common on nearly all mixed prairie rangelands, shows a marked initial response to N-fertilization at nearly all rates. The visual appearance of this response has caused much concern and has discouraged N fertilization in many instances. However, when considered in proportion to the other species, fringed sagewort response to N-fertilization is not as great as it appears and usually decreases after the first year.

Fertilization used with herbicides has improved range condition. Rogler and Lorenz (1974) changed a range from poor condition class to excellent in a 3-year period with applications of 40 lb N/acre/year plus spraying with 2,4-D and without any grazing deferment. Rogler and Lorenz (1957) also reported in one study that 2 years of fertilizing a heavily grazed range improved range conditions more than did 6 years of deferment.

Most forbs and shrubs can be effectively controlled by herbicide applications. Control of the annual bromegrasses, cheatgrass and Japanese brome, is difficult; and when these species are components of the native range, fertilization could be a hazardous practice. However, progress is being made in developing effective herbicides for these species.

Forage Quality

Forage quality is significantly affected by fertilization. Up to two-fold increases in forage N and P contents were reported by Black and Wight (1972) with N and P applications of 100 lb/acre or more. Sometimes, the N content or crude protein is higher than that actually needed by a particular class of animals. Rogler and Lorenz (1965) found no measurable increase in yearling gains per head as a result of grazing fertilized grass high in protein and concluded that at least during the early part of the year the protein content was greater than the minimal requirements. In this study, mid-May crude protein content was increased 38 and 47% by applications of 40 and 80 lb N/acre/year, respectively. In October, crude protein content still averaged 22 and 36% higher for the 40 and 80 lb N/acre/year treatments, respectively, than for the check plots. Black (1968) working on a P deficient glacial till soil reported that N alone reduced P content and that a single application of 20 or 40 lb P/acre raised the P content of native range from 0.10 to 0.12%. On a P deficient range, increases in P content and that a single application of 20 or 40 lb P/acre raised the P content of native range from 0.10 to 0.12%. On a similar site, Black and Wight (1972) found that 100 lb P/acre increased P content of mature grasses to about 0.20%, slightly more than the 0.18% recommended by the National Research Council (1970) for normal growth of beef cattle.

Palatability of fertilized range is greatly enhanced by N fertilization as evidenced by preferential grazing of fertilized plots by nearly all classes of livestock, including wildlife. This feature of range fertilization necessitates management systems where grazing is restricted to fertilized or nonfertilized pasture units. Given free choice the animals will nearly always graze or overgraze fertilized areas and ignore nonfertilized areas. Fertilizer can also be used to increase animal utilization of unpalatable species such as red threeawn (Andisetia longiseta) (Lorenz, personal communication) and porcupine grass (Stipa spartea) (Roath and Ryerson, unpublished data).

Fertilizing introduced species, especially crested wheatgrass (Agropyron cristatum), has been more common than fertilizing native range. Recommended rates vary with precipitation zones, fertilizer costs, and forage values. In areas with 15 inches or less annual precipitation, recommended rates are usually less than 100 lb N/acre/year with rates of 30 to 60 lb N/acre/year being most common. Burt et al. (1971) reported a formula for calculating residual N for crested wheatgrass based on the March through June precipitation for the years since the last N application and the long-time March through June precipitation average. This calculated residual N can then be considered when making an N-rate recommendation.

Introduced species respond well to fertilization. In eastern Montana, applications of 20, 40, and 60 lb N/acre/year increased forage yields of intermediate wheatgrass (Agropyron intermedium) from 2,000 to 2,500, 3,100, and 3,250 lb/acre/year, respectively, and Russian wildrye (Elymus junceus) yields from 2,000 to 2,900, 3,100, and 3,500 lb/acre/year, respectively, over a 5-year period (Black and Reitz, 1969). Crested wheatgrass fertilized with 0, 40, and 80 lb N/acre/year produced an average hay crop of 1,680, 3,040, and 3,260 lb/acre/year, respectively, over a 10-year period (Rogler and Lorenz, 1969). Fertilizing introduced species has generally been more productive than fertilizing native range, especially in terms of grazeable forage or beef production. Lorenz and Rogler (1971) reported that Russian wildrye fertilized with 40 lb N/acre/year produced 163 lb beef/acre/year compared with 106 lb/acre/year by native range under the same fertilizer and grazing treatments.

In comparing response of native range to introduced pastures under fertilization treatments, beef production usually increases disproportionately as compared with dry matter production. Usually, tame pastures produce a greater proportion of grazeable forage than do native ranges. For data reported by Rogler and Lorenz (1965 and 1969), native range fertilized with 0, 40, and 80 lb N/acre/year produced average dry matter yields of 1,978, 2,950, and 3,741 lb/acre/year, respectively; for a similar period, the same N treatments on crested wheatgrass produced 1,740, 2,760, and 3,120 lb/acre/year, respectively. For these same treatments beef yields were 48, 90, and 118 lb/acre/year, respectively, for the native range and 101, 169, and 176 lb/acre/year, respectively, for crested wheatgrass. Crested wheatgrass yield determinations in this study were made at 1-inch heights rather than at ground surface and, therefore, were slightly underestimated.

Animal Responses

While research has shown that fertilization increases forage production and improves forage quality as measured by increased crude protein and P content, little work has been done to show benefits in terms of livestock production. The work reported by Rogler and Lorenz (1974) is probably the most complete to date in this area. In one study, they found that applying 40 and 80 lb N/acre/year to native range increased beef production from 50 to 97 and 125 lb beef/acre/year, respectively, over an 11-year period. However, gains per head were not significantly increased by fertilization. In other studies, they found that applications of 40 lb N/acre/year on crested wheatgrass and Russian wildrye pastures increased beef production by 68 and 90 lb/acre/year, respectively. In Nebraska, Burzlaff and Diagger (1972) reported that applications of 30 lb N and 4 lb of P/acre/year increased beef gains 12% the first year, 54% the second year, and 59% the third year. Choriki et al. (1969) reported that in central Montana, an application of 300 lb N/acre increased beef production from 24 to 120 lb/acre the first year after application. With an additional 100 lb P/acre, yields were
increased to 150 lb beef/acre. Residual effects of these treatments could be expected to last several years. In a recent grazing trial on a mixed prairie range in east-central Montana, an application of 150 lb N and 50 lb P/acre increased beef production from 20 to 63 lb/acre the first year after treatment (Cade, 1974).

Other important aspects of animal response to range fertilization have not yet been evaluated. Animal fertility, calf weights, and similar aspects of animal response are likely improved by the increased nutrition resulting from fertilized range. These factors can have an important influence on evaluating fertilization treatments.

Nitrate Toxicities

Accumulation of nitrates in ground water and at toxic levels in forage under range fertilization has been a concern. To date, no incidence of either ground water contamination or nitrate toxicity due to fertilization has been reported. Power (1970) found no nitrate movement below 3 feet in the soil profile after applying up to 360 lb N/acre. Chovinik et al. (1969) reported that with fertilizer application of up to 600 lb N/acre only green needlegrass (Stipa viridula) accumulated enough nitrate to be considered toxic and that the levels were toxic only in late June. Houston et al. (1973) found that some annual forbs accumulated toxic levels of nitrates at N application rates of 200 lb/acre or more. Where these forbs are a major portion of the animal's diet, nitrate toxicity could certainly occur.

Nitrogen-Use Efficiency

N-use efficiency is the units of forage or meat produced per unit of N applied and is calculated as the difference in production between fertilized and nonfertilized plots divided by N-application rate. To compare various N rates over time, N rates are expressed in terms of annual N-rate equivalents. For example, the efficiency of a single application of 150 lb N/acre measured over a 3-year period is about the same as 50 lb/acre applied annually for 3 years. Using the data of Houlton (1975), N-use efficiencies were calculated for various rates of N and total herbage production measured over a 6-year period on a mixed prairie upland range site near Havre, Mont. Total N applications for the 6-year period included 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 450, 500, 600, 750, and 900 lb N/acre applied in one, two, or three applications. In the fall of 1968, seven replicated plots were fertilized with ammonium nitrate at the rates of 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 lb N/acre. Two-thirds of each plot received the same treatment in 1969, and one-third received the same treatment in 1970. The N-use efficiency, (Y) was closely related (R²=0.93) to the annual rate equivalents (X) by the function Y=Ae^bX, where A=28.9, b=0.00905, and e is the base of the natural logarithm (Fig. 1).

N-use efficiencies calculated with data from eastern Montana (Wight, 1975) and central North Dakota (Power and Alessi, 1971) followed this same general relationship.

Frequency of N application (Fig. 1) whether a single application or several proportionally smaller ones with the same total magnitude had little effect on N-use efficiency. This is generally true throughout the northern Great Plains except when initial or single applications are insufficient to at least partially satisfy the N-sink requirements. This initial N-requirement may vary from about 50 to more than 100 lb N/acre and increases as precipitation increases and temperature gradients decrease. To obtain maximum forage yields rather than efficiency, the initial N-requirements are somewhat greater.

Using the function in Figure 1, N-use efficiencies can be calculated for any N rate. For example, with an annual N-rate equivalent of 40 lb/acre, each lb of N will produce an additional 20 lb of dry matter. Approximately 75% of the total forage production on a normal upland range site is composed of grazable species (Larry M. White, unpublished data). Assuming 75% utilization of these species, 56% of the additional forage will be consumed which represents about 11 lb of forage and is only slightly more than the 10.5 lb calculated as the amount of forage necessary to produce 1 lb of gain on normal-growth yearling steers grazing a blue grama-western wheatgrass summer pasture (National Research Council, 1970). Or, 1 lb fertilizer N will produce 1 additional lb of beef when N is applied at an annual rate equivalent of 40 lb/acre. This generalization agrees with the results reported by Lorenz and Rogler (1971) where 40 lb N/acre/year produced an additional 42 lb beef/acre/year over a 6-year period. Hyder et al. (1975) reported that on a short-grass prairie, 20 lb N/acre/year produced an additional 22 lb beef/acre/year; lower total production than in the mixed prairie but about the same N-use efficiency. Thus, in general terms, fertilizer applications of 30- to 50-lb N/acre annual rate equivalents on upland range sites of mixed prairie will produce an additional 1 lb beef/lb N applied.

Introduced species show a N-use efficiency—annual N rate equivalent relationship similar to that of native range. Actual N-use efficiencies for introduced pastures vary with factors like species and stand condition but generally are higher than those for native range. In terms of beef production per unit of N, the introduced species have an advantage over native species since nearly all of the increased production is grazable, whereas, in native range there is always a weedy portion that is not grazed. Using data from Lorenz and Rogler (1971), N-use efficiencies of 1.0, 1.7, and 2.2 were calculated for native, crested wheatgrass, and Russian wildrye, respectively, fertilized with 40 lb N/acre/year.

Water-Use Efficiency

Use of fertilizer, particularly N, has significantly increased the efficiency with which soil water is used. Because of the close relationship between water-use efficiency (WUE) and

---

**Fig. 1. Relationship between N-use efficiency and annual rate equivalents of fertilizer N (From data by Houlton, 1975).**

\[ Y = 28.9e^{0.00905X} \]

\[ R^2 = 0.93 \]
when over-winter precipitation fully recharges the profile, water from the profile than nonfertilized vegetation. Thus, because it will, in effect, be drawing water from a larger, becomes available for plant use (Wight and Black, 1972). The production and available water as it is affected by N fertilization. The data also indicate the importance of maintaining an adequate available N level to most efficiently use available soil water.

Not only does fertilization improve WUE, but it also has the potential of increasing the amount of precipitation that becomes available for plant use (Wight and Black, 1972). The stimulated root system of fertilized vegetation extracts more water from the profile than nonfertilized vegetation. Thus, whenever overwinter precipitation fully recharges the profile, fertilized range will have more water available for plant use because it will, in effect, be drawing water from a larger, soil-water reservoir than nonfertilized range. Also, the efficiency of overwinter recharge increases as the soil profile becomes drier (Black and Power, 1965).

Fertilization and Drought

The question of whether or not N fertilizer aggravates a droughty condition is often asked. So far, little evidence has been presented to indicate that it does. During 1971, water was critically limiting in the northeastern part of Montana and experimental plots were not harvested because of lack of growth (Ford and Siddoway, unpublished data). The next year, 1972, was a good moisture year and yield responses to fertilizer treatments indicated no ill-effects from the combination of fertilization and drought. In fact, high yields indicated almost complete carryover of the unused N fertilizer during the drought year. Rapid withdrawal of soil water by fertilized vegetation tends to advance maturation and senescence dates—particularly in droughty years. This shortening effect on the growing season is somewhat offset by an earlier “green-up” on fertilized as compared with nonfertilized range.

Fertilizer Materials

Because range fertilization has generally necessitated a broadcast method of application, readily available inorganic source materials have been used almost exclusively. For N, ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate are the common sources. Inorganic fertilizers compared in terms of available nutrients have been about equally effective. Some studies have included manures which, when applied in adequate quantities, have been effective (Heady, 1952; Smolik, 1965). Benefits from manures may not be limited to just nutrient enrichment but may also include some beneficial mulch effects and improvement of the soil’s physical characteristics.

Recent research has shown urea is a potentially cheaper and more available source of N. Power (1974) reported that at low N-rates (less than 100 lb N/acre) broadcast urea was about as effective as ammonium nitrate. Power (personal communication) has also conducted some research with some slow-release N formulations. The potential advantage of such fertilizers would be to evenly distribute available N throughout the growing season and would benefit warm- as well as cool-season species. To date, such fertilizer formulations have shown very little promise for semiarid ranges.

Use of Range Fertilization

Interest by ranchers in fertilization as a management practice has increased considerably in the past few years. In 1973, a questionnaire was sent to county agricultural extension agents of 12 eastern Montana counties and eight bordering North and South Dakota counties regarding range fertilization practices in their counties. Replies were received from four Montana counties, four North Dakota counties, and one South Dakota county and represented approximately 7.5 million acres of native range and 0.5 million acres of introduced or tame pastures. Results of the survey indicated about 1.2% of the native range and 9.5% of the introduced pastures have been fertilized. Most N applications (68% for native and 83% for introduced pastures) ranged from 0 to 50 lb N/acre and were frequently accompanied by small P applications. Only 1% of the applications exceeded 150 lb N/acre. In response to the question “What percentage of ranch operators who had used fertilizer on rangeland would use it again?”, the county agents estimated that 70% would on native range and 92% would on introduced pastures. In general, range was fertilized more in the North and South Dakota counties than in Montana counties. The following is typical of comments received on the questionnaire: “More and more are fertilizing grassland each year, especially the domestic grasses. Once a rancher sees the results of the first year of fertilizing, he sticks with it. The fellows are very cautious about fertilizing native ranges. Those fertilizing domestic grasses are applying right around 30 to 40 lb actual N.”

One county agent indicated that ranchers were skeptical of fertilizing native range because of management requirements. This is an often overlooked area of concern. Whole pasture units must be fertilized to make efficient use of all range and to prevent overgrazing. However, in some specialized situations, fertilizing of specific areas of a pasture unit can improve livestock distribution.

Most states and provinces within the northern Great Plains have published fertilization recommendations through their extension service programs. Unless a legume is involved, recommendations are usually limited to N at rates of 30 to 75 lb/acre/year. Effective P recommendations can be made the basis of soil tests.

Conclusion

Results of past range fertilization research indicate that N deficiency is a major limiting factor in forage production in
the northern Great Plains. Under proper management, annual applications of 30 to 50 lb N/acre or periodic application of comparable amounts can increase forage production 50 to 100% in most areas of the northern Great Plains. In terms of N-use efficiency, 30 to 50 lb N/acre will produce 18 to 22 lb dry matter/lb N applied or approximately 1 lb beef/lb N applied. Higher rates will produce more beef but less efficiently. As expected, the biggest forage increases from fertilization are obtained on the most productive range sites. Introduced pastures are generally more productive than native pastures and, for grazeable forage or animal production, have a higher potential to respond to fertilization than do native pastures.

The problem regarding fertilization effect on species composition has not yet been resolved, nor will it be resolved until more fertilization research can be conducted under grazing situations for long enough periods to adequately determine changes and trends in species composition under climatic variations. Range fertilization research is at a point where emphasis must be placed on using grazing animals. Plant-animal-management interactions under fertilization regimes must be studied if research is to meet the needs of the livestock industry.

Whether range fertilization pays is the main question asked by ranchers. The economic feasibility of fertilization practices cannot be determined by research alone but is subject to the fluctuations of prices in the livestock industry and needs of individual ranching situations. In the past 3 years, N costs have tripled. Research, however, can supply information relative to expected yield increases from fertilizer applications and recommended application rates. The pound of beef/pound of N relationship should be helpful in making effective management decisions.

Where economically feasible, range fertilization can be an effective and practical management tool. It offers the opportunity to increase forage production to meet the increasing forage demands without buying new land with its associated overhead expenses, like taxes, fencing, and water development. Also, currently, rangeland is difficult, if not impossible, to acquire and when available it is not economically priced. Increasing forage requirements must be produced on existing lands. With the low conversion efficiency of beef animals and an ever-increasing world demand for protein, farmland used for grain production will not likely be converted to grass; the opposite is more likely to happen. Thus, range fertilization may become increasingly important as a range management tool in the quest for increased levels of forage production.
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