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Highlight: In vivo and in vitro studies were conducted on native hay from the Flint Hills harvested at three stages of maturity from an area burned annually in late spring. Intake and digestibility declined with stage of maturity. In vivo organic matter intake and digestibility was satisfactorily estimated using fecal nitrogen and fecal organic matter output data as independent variables. A somewhat less reliable estimate of digestibility was provided by in vitro fermentation.

The Flint Hills of Kansas form a unique rangeland area of approximately 1.8 million hectares. It is used for continuous grazing by beef cows and for summer grazing by steers. Further improvement in production would be likely with estimations of intake and nutrient digestibilities of native pastures. A series of studies was therefore conducted to establish prediction equations for organic matter digestibility and intake from esophageally collected samples and fecal residue. The results obtained using hay harvested at different stages of maturity are presented in this report.

Methods

Native hay was harvested during June, July, and September, 1971, from an area burned annually in late spring. Harvested hay was allowed to sun dry for 2 days, then was baled and stored indoors until used.

Two groups of six yearling Angus steers (average weight, 272 kg) were used in four metabolism trials in a randomized incomplete block design. For 10 days prior to a 7-day collection period, the steers were maintained on hay (amount offered daily being equivalent to 2.50% of body weight). Mineralized salt blocks and water were readily accessible.

Four esophageal samples from each hay were collected at four different times, two replications on each of four occasions. Simultaneously, a sample from each cutting of hay was hand picked for the control. Samples were frozen immediately and stored until analyzed.

Feed and fecal samples were dried in a forced-air oven at 50°C for 72 hr for proximate analyses (A.O.A.C., 1970); those used for cell-wall constituents were dried in acetone according to Goering and Van Soest (1970).

In vitro studies, we used the two-stage, 24-hour fermentation method of Tilley and Terry (1963). Inoculum was obtained from two ruminally fistulated Hereford steers maintained on a mixture of prairie and alfalfa hays plus 2.0 lb of a 16% protein concentrate with minerals. In vitro dry matter digestibilities of esophageally and hand sampled hays were compared to in vivo digestibilities determined from total collection. In vitro digestibility values of esophageal samples were used to establish a regression for estimating in vivo dry matter digestibility of the three individual hays.

Data were subjected to least squares analyses of Harvey (1960). Duncan’s multiple range test was used to separate significant differences among means (Steel and Torrie, 1960). Regression analyses were obtained by a stepwise deletion procedure described by Draper and Smith (1966). Data differing at the 5% level of probability have been considered significant.

Results and Discussion

Crude protein, ash, crude fiber, and nitrogen-free extract values for esophageally collected hay samples were different from those for the hand-picked samples: crude protein and ash were higher; crude fiber and nitrogen-free extract lower (Table 1). There was no difference resulting from frequency of collection; nor was there any sample x time interaction.

A threefold increase in phosphorus and decreased calcium were observed in esophageal collections. Phosphorus in fistulae samples is increased significantly by salivary contamination (Lesperance et al., 1971). Excessive saliva removal may decrease calcium content (Anstotegi et al., 1971).

Digestibility and Intake

Dry and organic matter intakes for the three hays declined
Table 1. Means and standard errors of chemical composition (%) of native hays cut at three growth stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time and collection method</th>
<th>Crude Ash</th>
<th>Crude N-free extract</th>
<th>Calcium</th>
<th>Phosphorus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hay harvest time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>7.7&lt;a,b&gt;</td>
<td>5.8&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>33.0&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>45.9&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>7.4&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>4.8&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>34.8&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>45.7&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>8.9&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>3.8&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>33.0&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>46.6&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. E.</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample collection method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal</td>
<td>9.3&lt;a&gt;c</td>
<td>5.1&lt;b&gt;c</td>
<td>32.8&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>44.8&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handpicked</td>
<td>6.7&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>4.4&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>34.4&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>47.3&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. E.</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Standard error.
2 Each value in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% probability level as determined by Duncan's new multiple range test.

Table 2. Means of herbage intake (kg) and digestibility coefficients (%) of hays cut at three growth stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Digestibility coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry matter</td>
<td>Organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay harvest time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>5.7&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>5.3&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>5.3&lt;a&gt;</td>
<td>4.9&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>4.2&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>3.8&lt;b&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Each value for date of cut represents an average of six replications.
2 Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% probability as determined by Duncan's new multiple range test.

In Vivo vs In Vitro Digestibility

In vitro digestibility of hand-picked samples was lower than that of esophageal samples (Fig. 2). In hand-picked samples, the in vitro digestibility of July hay was 2 or 3 units higher than that of June or September hay. In esophageally collected samples, in vitro digestibility of July and September hay was the same; the June sample was 4 units higher.

The correlation coefficient between in vivo and esophageal in vitro dry matter digestibility was 0.77. The simple regression equation gave this value:

\[ Y = -47.86 + 2.05 \text{(IVDMD)} \]

where

\( Y \) = Estimated dry matter digestibility (%)

IVDMD = In vitro dry matter digestibility (%)

A collaborative study using good quality hay indicated that in vitro dry matter digestibility values ranged from 57.1 to 64.2% with correlation coefficients from 0.79 to 0.97 (Barnes, 1969). In our studies dry matter intake was low, resulting in high in vivo digestibility. Nutritive value indexes for these hays harvested in June, July, and September were 43.48, 35.05, and 28.35, respectively (standard forage value—70.00).
The indigestible residue from in vitro incubation differs from that of animal feces, thus in vivo digestibility is not a constant characteristic of a herbage (Tilley and Terry, 1963). That limits the accuracy with which in vivo digestibility can be predicted from in vitro data. In vivo digestibility possibly varies much more than does in vitro digestibility under controlled conditions (Irvin, 1960). Poor quality hays may require longer fermentation times to duplicate in vivo digestibility values, especially when in vivo intake is low so that retention time is high and in vivo digestibility is increased. Our experimental animals were confined to metabolism stalls, thus introducing an additional bias.

Estimating Intake and Digestibility

All of the previously mentioned organic variables, their squares, and cross-products were subjected to stepwise deletion regression analyses to determine those most closely related to organic matter intake and digestibility. Intake was best described by a simple regression of the crossproducts of fecal nitrogen and organic matter. Digestibility was described by fecal nitrogen minus both fecal organic matter and the square of fecal nitrogen. Both regressions were highly significant.

\[
\begin{align*}
Y \ (OMI) &= 1.128 + 1.7524 \ (F \times N) + 0.44 \\
\hat{Y} \ (OMD) &= -40.69 + 171.98 \ N - 6.48 \ F - 61.79 \ (N)^2 + 3.0
\end{align*}
\]

OMI = Estimated organic matter intake (kg/day)
OMD = Estimated organic matter digestibility (kg/day)
F = Fecal organic matter (kg/day)
N = Fecal nitrogen (g/l00g)

Average estimated organic matter intake was 5.12, 4.96, and 3.89 kg; observed intakes for hays cut during June, July, and September was 5.29, 4.91, and 3.82 kg, respectively (Table 2). The correlation coefficient was 0.78 with a t value of 6.02, both highly significant statistically. Estimates of forage intake are improved by multiple regressions such as these which include terms for fecal output (Arnold and Dudzinski, 1963). Arnold et al. (1964) found no evidence that the relation of organic matter intake, fecal organic matter, and fecal nitrogen is altered under grazing conditions, suggesting that our data with confined animals have merit.

These experiments indicate that both level and digestibility of protein in native Flint Hills hays decrease dramatically with stage of maturity. Gross energy digestibility is depressed only slightly. Estimates of organic matter intake and digestibility require only fecal nitrogen and organic matter in regression equations. Other analytical components from proximate and Van Soest analyses did not improve the equations, probably because no attempt was made to interfere with the animal's selectivity of the hay.
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