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Highlight

Plant communities in the Great Basin are highly susceptible to invasion by hosts of alien annual species. Highly competitive native annuals did not evolve in the Great Basin to occupy a low seral situation created by intensive grazing. The introduced annual species have been the shadows of domestic livestock since the beginning of agriculture. The alien annuals have highly developed breeding systems which permit adaptation to changing environments.

Invasion of alien annual plant species influences all phases of wildland research in the Great Basin. Similar invasions by the same or similar species have occurred in many other parts of the New World. However, the relative impoverished flora of many plant communities of the Great Basin makes it simpler to ascertain the impact of aliens. Our purpose in this review is to bring perspective to the biological importance of this invasion.


2 In order to maintain continuity to the flow of ideas being presented, we deleted literature citations except for those which introduced the concepts being presented. We realize that many contributions to the literature are not included.

Original Vegetation

The extent and character of the sagebrush association in pristine condition will probably never be known accurately (Ellison, 1960).

It appears that native plant communities within the big sagebrush/bunchgrass vegetation type are extraordinarily subject to invasion by alien annual species (Jardine and Anderson, 1919).

Piemeisel (1951) concluded that alien weeds in big sagebrush (A. tridentata Nutt.) communities are largely limited to entering voids in the native vegetation. Apparently, no highly competitive, native annual species have evolved to play a successional role in the low seral situations in these communities. The number of individual native annual species occurring in these communities can be quite large, but rarely if ever, do native annuals dominate low seral communities in this vegetation type. In studies of the big sagebrush/bunchgrass vegetation of an un-
grazed kipuka\textsuperscript{3} in southern Idaho, 6 shrubs, 7 grasses, and 10 forbs were mentioned but the only annual was the alien, downy brome (\textit{Bromus tectorum} L.) (Tisdale et al., 1965). In the description of a near pristine area in central Oregon, Driscoll (1964) recorded nine annuals of which three were aliens. The native annuals such as \textit{Orthocarpus tenuifolius} (Pursh) Benth., \textit{Cryptantha ambigua} (Gray) Greene, \textit{Lieanthus harkessii} (Curran) Greene, and six weeks fescue (\textit{Festuca octoflora} Walt.) were present, but represented only a minor portion of this community. These, and all other available descriptions, show that native annual species are not abundant in ungrazed big sagebrush vegetation either quantitatively or qualitatively.

\textbf{Successional Patterns}

In one century big sagebrush communities have known three conditions: pristine; unlimited exploitation by grazing and fire; and attempted complete suppression of fire and attrition by grazing as stand renewal processes.

\textbf{Pristine Succession}

Before the settlement by European man of western North America, big sagebrush was undoubtedly periodically destroyed in wildfires (Stewart, 1963) or defoliated by larvae of the moth \textit{Aroga websteri}, but the perennial grass portion of the native communities probably benefitted from the reduced competition (Blaisdell, 1953). Piemeisel (1951) observed that in remote areas where seeds of alien species have not been carried by man's activities, bare or poorly occupied soil is eventually taken over by native perennial species without a seral state dominated by annuals.

The dominant native herbaceous seral species in big sagebrush/bunchgrass communities are short-lived perennial grasses such as squirreltail (\textit{Sitanion hystrix} (Nutt.) J. C. Sm.), and Sandberg bluegrass (\textit{Poa secunda} Presl.) (Piemeisel, 1945; Daubenmire, 1940; Hironaka and Tisdale, 1963). In conjunction with short-lived perennial grasses the native root sprouting shrubs (\textit{Chrysothamnus nauseosus} (Pall.) Britton, \textit{C. viscidiflorus} (Hook) Nutt., \textit{Tetradymia canescens} DC., \textit{Prunus andersonii} Gray, and \textit{Gutierrezia sarothrae} (Pursh) Britton and Rusby) colonize burned big sagebrush communities (McKell and Chilcote, 1957). Some species of these shrubs persist in areas of recurring natural disturbances such as active dunes, washouts, and talus slopes. These native short-lived perennial grasses and root-sprouting shrubs must be very effective competitors in their successional situations because no alien species of this growth habit has become established over appreciable areas in big sagebrush/bunchgrass vegetation. \textit{C. viscidiflorus} is also sufficiently competitive to invade dense downy brome stands. This native shrub can also invade stands of the alien, but desirable, and, therefore, widely planted perennial crested wheatgrass (\textit{Agropyron desertorum} (Fisch. ex Link) Schult.) which, once established, can suppress downy brome (Tueller and Evans, 1961).

\textbf{Modern Succession}

The rapid and continued turnabouts in stand renewal processes have created a vegetation of excess in much of western North America. Especially, the disturbed big sagebrush communities have not been able to equilibrate under any one stand renewal process.

A complicating factor in understanding current successional patterns in big sagebrush communities is that the density of big sagebrush has greatly increased on over-grazed ranges (Stoddart, 1941). This increase has largely been at the expense of perennial grasses. Depleted big sagebrush stands with virtually no understory are not vulnerable to wildlife except under conditions of extreme fire hazard. It is difficult to get the fire to spread from shrub to shrub with no understory. If the depleted communities burn and grazing pressure is relaxed, succession can proceed to perennial grass domination (Blaisdell, 1958). A much more typical situation involves an increase in herbaceous alien annuals beneath the big sagebrush overstory. This type of community is closed to invasion by desirable perennials (Robertson and Pearce, 1945). During years of more than average spring precipitation these communities are extremely susceptible to wildfires. Adaptation to repeated burning is a characteristic which is shared by the majority of the aliens. Burning can be used as a control measure for alien annual grasses if it is properly timed (McKell et al., 1962). However the aliens are admirably adapted to escaping seed destruction by most wildfires. Kearney et al. (1914) described the succession on burned sagebrush-grass communities as follows:

"The fire consumes the dry herbaceous growth and the sagebrush plants are usually burned to the ground. They do not sprout up from the old stumps, and the result is usually the complete removal of the \textit{Artemisia}. In the following year a mat of herbaceous vegetation composed chiefly of cheatgrass (downy brome) and redstem filaree (\textit{Erodium cicutarium} (L.) L'Her.) covers the ground among the blackened stumps."

Although consuming the woody portions of the community, wildfires usually occur after the annual grasses mature and their seeds have dropped to the ground, while the seeds of the native perennial grasses and herbs are still attached to the plant (Warg, 1938). Cottam and Evans (1945) attributed the prominence of downy brome in an area ungrazed for at least 40 years to frequent fires.

Fire undoubtedly burned in pristine big sagebrush communities both from natural occurrence and as a function in the ecosystems of the endemic aborigines (Stewart, 1963). A tremendous increase in

\textsuperscript{3}Kipuka—old land surface surrounded by recent lava flows, in this case isolated from grazing.
Changing Livestock Pattern

Big sagebrush communities in western North America have been subjected to attrition by grazing of domestic livestock for the past century. The range livestock industry has undergone radical changes over that period of time and these changes influence the stand renewal process in the grazed communities. The abundance of the various classes of livestock grazed has changed during the century. The decline in numbers of range sheep and horses in Nevada reflects the trend in all of western North America. Feral horse herds became so large at the turn of the century it was necessary to destroy or trap and ship to market great numbers of animals (McKnight, 1964). Today the feral horses must be protected to save them from "extinction." Wintering of horses on the range kept grazing pressure on the native plant communities during the season when cattle and sheep received supplemental feeding on ranches.

Close herding of range sheep from established bed-grounds virtually eliminated all vegetation in some areas (Fleming, 1922). The range sheep industry has undergone a period of decline and improved open herding methods have greatly reduced this destruction. Griffiths (1902) considered indiscriminate grazing by migratory sheep bands whose owners had no commensurate property to be the prime factor in the destruction of big sagebrush communities in the Great Basin. The migratory bands provided a means of dispersal for seeds of aliens as well as creating low seral environments.

Stand Renewal by Range Improvement

The application of range improvement practices to vast areas of big sagebrush has introduced a new type of stand renewal process. The use of esters of (2,4-dichlorophenoxy) acetic acid (2,4-D) makes it possible to remove the shrub overstory without resorting to fire (Hull and Vaughn, 1951). However, the success of this technique is dependent on how many remnant perennial grasses are left in the understory (Hyder, 1954). Removing the shrubs by spraying 2,4-D can result in domination of the site by aliens just as in the case of wildfires.

Establishment of desirable wheat-grasses in big sagebrush communities is the only range improvement possibility when the perennial understory is too depleted to benefit from spraying the brush. If these seedlings fail to establish, annual domination results just as surely as it did on abandoned farmlands in the 1930's.

Origin of Competitive Aliens

The importance of aliens as weeds in western North America has long been recognized. However, the true origin of the aliens which dominate the big sagebrush/bunchgrass seral communities is somewhat obscure. The major grasses and the broadleaf species have been broadly categorized by American authors as Mediterranean in origin. These species have a wide distribution in the heavily grazed portions of the Mediterranean basin but they are also found as aliens in areas of South America and Australia with similar climates and intensive grazing.

The only other area of the world with vegetation similar to the big sagebrush/bunchgrass type of western North America is the Artemisia steppe of central Asia (Mirov, 1951). The highly competitive annual species which now play such a dominant role in the succession of big sagebrush communities in the Great Basin fulfill the same role in central Asia.

The annual colonizing species may have originated elsewhere, but they found conditions in central Asia conducive to the selection of highly competitive genotypes. The Artemisia steppe of Asia has been subjected to centuries of intensive
grazing by a wide spectrum of herbi- 

vores. Soon after the beginnings of agri-

culture in the region from India to the Medi-

terranean, domestic livestock spread into the 

steppes of Eurasia (Sauet, 1956). 

In central Asia agriculture be-

came pastoral with nomadism. The 

movements of nomadic tribes and the 

restricted distribution of potable or stock water produced con-

centrations of grazing livestock which tended to create and main-

tain low seral plant communities. 

Kubanskaja (1956) could identify 

the ancient migratory routes in the 

Bet-Pak-Dala desert of the "people 

who are no more," by the predomi-

nance of weedy annuals. 

Flannery (1969) likens the de-

velopment of agriculture to another 

equivalent of second cybernetics. 

Starting with a relatively stable con-

figuration of plant and animal species about 10,000 B.C., early cul-

tivation took two genera of seral grasses and two genera of small 

ungulates out of their habitat and artificially increased their numbers 

while they underwent a series of genetic changes, many of which were 

favorable in terms of compatiblility with man. These favor-

able changes made feasible a still greater investment of human labor 

in agriculture and a greater artificial expansion of some species at the 

expense of species not adaptable to man's influence. At this point, the 

ecosystem was no longer in a cyber-

netic state; all former rules which had controlled succession were lost. 

If the domestication of plants and animals were man's foredrop to 

civilization, then the alien annual weeds of grazing lands are the 

shadow of civilization. The development of concentration of do-

mestic herbivores created the low seral situations and forced selec-

tions of species to fill these vacant niches. 

The gregarious herbivores of Eurasia largely escaped extinction at 

the end of the Pleistocene by do-

mestication. In the Great Basin, 

there was no escape and the large herbivores became extinct or with-

drew their range from the area. 

Therefore, for about 10,000 years prior to the introduction of do-

mestic livestock, there were no re-

quirements for plant species to 

grow in seral habitats created and main-

ained by grazing. 

Competitive Characteristics 

The introduced annual weeds of 

big sagebrush communities and es-

pecially downy brome have fre-

quently been rated as vigorous competitors (Hull, 1944). Pickford 

(1932) reported downy brome made up less than 1% of the natural 

vegetation of ungrazed and un-

burned ranges. Where disturbance had created voids in perennial 

big sagebrush communities, Stewart and Hull (1949) counted 1,080 to 15,000 

downy brome seedlings per m². What contrasting populations from 

less than 1% of undisturbed native communities to complete dom-

inance of seral communities. Which 

successional situation most fully characterized the inherent competi-

tive potential of the alien annuals? 

Investigation has shown that seed-

ing perennial grasses into annual 

dominated communities most often 

ends in failure unless some means 

is employed to reduce competition (Platt and Jackman, 1946). 

In controlled greenhouse experi-

ments, Evans (1961) demonstrated that downy brome at 690 and 2,760 

plants per m² severely curtailed shoot and root growth and greatly 

increased mortality of crested wheat-

grass seedlings. 

In southeastern Washington, 

Daubenmire (1940) and Harris 

(1967) observed that downy brome has inserted itself successfully into 

climax bunchgrass stands that have been protected from grazing or fire 

for as long as 50 years. For how many years had native vegetation 

at these sites evolved toward an equilibrium which fully occupied the 

potential of the environment? Is not the successful establishment of 

downy brome in these communities the ultimate in competitive 

ability? Does downy brome com-

pete with the native plant com-

munity in equilibrium or do the 

aliens exist on environmental po-

tential untapped by the native species? 

During the past 50 years, attempts 
to replace the alien annual species with native perennial grass species have generally ended in failure despite the eloquent pleas by Ken-
nedy (1908) that the natives reflect inherently perfect adaptation to the local environment. Millions of acres have been revegetated with perennial wheatgrass from central Asia (Love and Hansen, 1932). How many seasons did these alien wheat-

grasses complete with the alien an-

nals in their native habitats before they were both introduced into North America? 

We may ask, is intensive pressure by man and his grazing animals 
necessary to evolve competitive an-

nual colonizers? 

Nature of Competitive Ability 

During the past 35 years, range scientists have gradually comprehen-
ded the magnitude of the inher-

tent competitive ability of alien 

annuals. A series of investigations has been conducted to determine the characteristic which allows the alien weeds to compete so success-

fully (see Klemmedson and Smith 

(1964) for many of these studies). 

These investigations have shown dramatic advantages for the alien species, but fail to show any single overriding competitive advantage shared by all the aliens. 

In virtually undisturbed big sage-

brush communities in pristine con-

dition, the perennial species prob-

ably did not need to reproduce every year to maintain stand den-

sity. Considering the erratic climate of the big sagebrush areas, it is 

possible that seedlings of many of the perennial species only became 

established during these irregularly occurring years with above normal 

precipitation. Harris (1967) found that seedlings of bluebunch wheat-

grass (Agropyron spicatum (Pursh) Scribn. and Sm.) survived and be-

came established only in summers with above average precipitation. 

The aliens must complete their life
cycle annually so their reproductive ecology must be very efficient. The aliens not only germinate and become established, but they also close the reproductive niches of the native perennials. To accomplish this, the aliens must have both phenotypic and genotypic plasticity to survive in the below average years and to preempt the environmental potential in the above average seasons (Harper and Gajic, 1961).

Seedbed Characteristics

The annual habit of the alien species makes their seeds or caryopses the key to their continued occupancy of the site. Unfortunately, a static concept of germination of downy brome and medusahead has become widely accepted (Hulbert, 1955) in the western United States. It has become accepted that seeds of the aliens germinated the year they were produced without a residue of seeds in the soil and litter. Chepil et al. (1946) clearly determined this was not true and recent investigations by Young et al. (1969) have shown how the alien annual species can have the advantages of both simultaneous and continuous germination.

In order to occupy disturbed areas of disjunct distribution, the alien annuals must have highly developed dispersal mechanisms. Except for investigation of the dispersal of downy brome by Hulbert (1955) and Lehrer and Tisdale (1956), little is known of dispersal mechanisms of the aliens.

Following Piemcisl's (1951) scheme of succession in low seral communities on big sagebrush sites, there is a strong relation between reproductive potential, dispersal mechanisms, and seral level. The lowest seral species, Russian thistle and halogeton, produce a great many very small seeds and have advanced dispersal mechanisms to shower surrounding areas with their seeds. The annual grasses which occupy a higher seral position produce fewer, but much larger caryopses. Harper et al. (1965) stressed the physical characteristics of the seedbed as the factor determining population size and species composition of colonizing communities. Evans and Young (1970) have equated population size of specific species of alien annuals to individual parameters of the microenvironment of the seedbed (i.e. air and soil temperature and soil moisture) which, in turn are manifested by specific conditions of the seedbed (i.e. litter coverage, microtopography, and soil texture). Litter accumulation is directly related to seral stage of the plant community in that germination and establishment of the higher successional species (downy brome and medusahead) require environmental conditions less harsh than those of bare soil. Lower successional species (Russian thistle and tumble mustard) can become established on bare soil.

Differences in safe site requirements for establishment among species are, in part, due to physical characteristics of the seed or caryopses. Seed size, specific gravity, and character of the seed coat are all important in relation to the microscale of the seedbed and its providing a suitable environment for one species and not another. Because there is succession among annual species, competition for safe sites and the varying efficiency in the germination process among species must also be a vital dynamic operative in these communities.

Breeding Systems

The most conspicuously successful alien annuals are predominately self-pollinated. Analyses of the genetic systems of certain of the most successful alien colonizing species of the annual ranges of California indicate that these systems represent a compromise between the high recombinational potential of out-breeding species and the stability traditionally postulated for self-pollinated species (Stebbins, 1957). These species appear to be capable of adjusting their genetic systems to obtain variability rapidly by virtue of ready modification of levels of out-crossing, crossover rates, and other factors which govern recombination rates. Allard (1965) postulates that successful colonizers have genetic systems optimum both for opportunistic settlement and enduring occupation of diverse and complex habitats.

Investigations of the variability within and among selections of downy brome and medusahead from a variety of habitats have shown significant variability for a number of characteristics important to the establishment and reproduction of alien species (Hulbert, 1955; McKell et al., 1962; Young et al., 1970).

Stebbins (1957) in his review of the genetics of successful selfpollinating species stated:

"Each successful biotype maintains itself a constant, genetically homoygous pureline for a large number of generations and is represented by hundreds or thousands of individuals. It is normally isolated by self-fertilization from other biotypes of the same species with which it grows sympatrically. Occasionaly, however, accidental crossing between biotypes may take place..."

Stebbins indicated the majority of the recombinations are more poorly adapted than the parental types, but a few form the progenitors of new lines.

In genera of which the phylogenetics of species have been studied (i.e. Bromus) (Stebbins, 1957), the self-fertilized species appear to be more specialized in morphological characteristics than many of their cross fertilized relatives. A very high proportion of them have annual life cycles (Stebbins, 1950), a condition which is generally regarded by morphologists to be derived from the perennial growth habit.

To explain the presence of flower structures which are associated with cross fertilization in self-fertilized species, Stebbins (1957) cites the principle that the origination of elaborate structures, which depends on the interaction of a complex
combination of genetic factors, requires much stronger selective pressures than their maintenance once they have originated. Can this same principle be applied to the complex of morphologic and physiologic characteristics which make alien annuals so competitive? Do genera such as *Agropyron* lack basic genomes, present in *Bromus*, permitting the selection of ecotypes which are highly competitive under intensive grazing in big sagebrush vegetation? The noxious alien annual, *Eremopyrum triticeum* (Gaertn.) Nevski (*Agropyron triticeum* Gaertn.), has been introduced to western North America, but is relatively rare in comparison to downy brome.

Both Stebbins and Allard relegate crossing between largely self-pollinated individuals to accidental occurrences. However, Stebbins (1957) reviews work of Harlan (1945) which suggested that flower parts governing cross fertilization can respond to changing environmental conditions. Conditions favorable for cross pollination might be absent altogether in climates as highly variable as those in the Intermountain area. Self-fertilization insures fertility in plants subject to periodic droughts and isolated dispersal (Baker, 1955). Do periodic periods of environmental abundance condition cross fertilization? This may be the crucial point in understanding the significance of the genetics of alien species in big sagebrush communities. If the amount of crossing among individuals is a function of the environmental conditions in which the annuals are growing, their breeding system could assume great ecological significance. The reproductive potential of downy brome in terms of number of tillers, panicule spikelets, and florets has shown to be density dependent (Hulbert, 1955; Young et al., 1969). Reduced density results in great increases in rachis production per plant. Do lodicule turgidity, anther exertion, pollen vitality, and stigma exertion and receptivity also respond dynamically to increased environmental potential per individual?

The invasion of medusahead in sagebrush communities on the margin of the Great Basin is accomplished by disjunct introductions which can remain isolated for many generations. These isolated populations may remain very restricted and stable for years before suitable environmental conditions permit rapid invasion and domination of surrounding communities (Young and Evans, 1970).

What is the breeding system of medusahead during these sudden expansions in range? Could there be sufficient hybridization for a population expression of heterosis? Isolated introductions of one or a few propagules necessitates a restricted gene pool (Harper, 1965). Each generation, the individuals of isolated stands, represent opportunity for selection in the inbreeding populations under the local environmental conditions. What happens when two or more long isolated populations merge in a favorable environment?

If heterosis is a factor in the sudden spread of alien annuals there must also be periods of re-cline in vigor as populations segregate, but the aliens are the seral species and "weeds cannot maintain constant population sizes" (Stebbins, 1950). Numerical increases with diversity prepared the way for numerical decline with uniformity (Ford, 1964).

### Biological Vacuum

After the introduction of domestic livestock, there was a considerable lag until alien plant species became abundant. By the turn of the century, alien annuals were absent or extremely rare in northeastern Nevada (Kennedy, 1903) and downy brome had not been introduced to western Nevada (Kennedy and Doten, 1901). A great deal of low seral habitat was prepared and available for the establishment of aliens. The annuals were introduced into a biological near-vacuum (McKell et al., 1962). The period of greatly reduced competition allowed the perpetuation of many of the new genotypes that were created by hybridization and recombination. These genotypes may not have a clear competitive advantage in their present habitat (Young et al., 1970) but given the chance of dispersal the inherent variability insures dominance in a variety of environments.

Presence of these alien annual species on rangelands of the Great Basin truly reflects the effects of past activities of man and his animals. Further, these annual species represents a difficult problem for range managers at present. Only recently have successful methods and techniques been developed to control these species and replace them with higher value, more stable perennial grasses (Evans, et al., 1969).
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Highlight

Relationships between vegetal and edaphic factors and infiltration rates and erosion as measured on 550 infiltrometer plots at chained pinyon-juniper sites in Utah were analyzed by multiple regression analysis. Those factors most important for predicting infiltration rates (regardless of time interval) included total porosity in the 0-3 inch layer of soil, percent bare soil surface, soil texture in the 0-3 inch layer of soil, and crown cover (percent or tons per acre). The ability to predict infiltration rates (as determined by R²) varied with time and geographic location. Not only did predictive ability vary, but independent variables explaining such variance also changed with time and location. Factors that influence sediment discharge were so variable from one geographic location to another that no consistent relation was found.

¹This study was conducted in cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management under contract 14-11-0008-2837. Journal paper 1099, Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Utah State University, Logan. Received June 16, 1971.

Methods

A Rocky Mountain infiltrometer (Dortignac, 1951) was utilized to simulate high intensity (5 inches/hr or greater) rainfall on plots approximately 2.5 ft² in size. Twenty-eight treated and 28 nearby untreated pinyon-juniper sites were sampled during the summers of 1967 and 1968. A total of 550 infiltrometer plots were studied. Descriptions of the sites have been...