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Highlight

Aerial parts of the caespitose type of bluebunch wheatgrass (Agropyron spicatum) have been shown to direct rainwater and to concentrate it in the soil immediately beneath individual plants. The degree to which water collects beneath the bunches appeared to be related to the size of the canopy.

It is possible that the rapid decline of bluebunch wheatgrass under heavy grazing is related to soil moisture redistribution caused by the removal of its aerial parts.

Many workers have emphasized the importance of bluebunch wheatgrass (Agropyron spicatum) on the native ranges of western North America and considerable information is available on the response of this species to grazing pressure. In spite of the attention given to the productivity of the wheatgrass, little attention has been paid to the factors which enable the species to dominate well-managed ranges.

Several reports have pointed out that bluebunch wheatgrass succumbs easily to heavy grazing and that under such conditions, the species is weakened and its habitat is invaded by annuals, such as cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum L.), and shrubs, such as big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata Nutt.), (Tisdale, 1947; Ellison, 1960; Harris, 1967; Ndawula-Senyimba, 1969). Harris (1967) pointed out that although cheatgrass seedlings often do better in competition with the wheatgrass seedlings, mature plants of the wheatgrass are resistant to the invasion of annual plants.

In East Africa Glover and Gwynne (1962) and Gwynne (1966) demonstrated that aerial parts of a plant may collect rainwater and deliver it to the soil at the base of the plant. Earlier Glover (1950) had shown that the depth of rainwater penetration in the soil under a vegetation cover varied with the species composition of that cover. In 1962 Glover et al. also developed mathematical expressions which attempted to relate the depth of water penetration to the heights of plants of known species under specific soil conditions. Gwynne (1966) concluded that, in the dry savannas of East Africa, many plants growing in arid places survive on very light rains by directing the water to their rooting zone.

The question posed by the present work was whether or not a caespitose grass, such as bluebunch wheatgrass, growing in an arid to semi-arid climate in a temperate latitude "collects" rainwater in much the same manner as do the caespitose species of the drylands of East Africa.

Materials and Methods

Bluebunch wheatgrass is the most important grass on the dry ranges of south-central British Columbia. The grass is perennial and generally caespitose in form, although rhizomatous varieties exist (Passey and Hugie, 1963). The culms are slender and the leaves are narrow and smooth with the inner surface (upper) grooved and slightly pubescent.

On dry sites under climax conditions the species tends to form pure stands characterized by large bunches almost uniformly spaced. There are between neighboring bunches large areas which are bare or sparsely occupied by small, short-lived, shallow-rooted species such as sandbergs bluegrass (Poa secunda Presl.). Established bunches are relatively long lived and young seedlings are rarely seen in old stands.

Field observations were made on bluebunch wheatgrass plants growing in the native grassland of the Thompson and Nicola valleys in south-central British Columbia. The climate of the area is arid to semi-arid with an average annual precipitation varying from slightly less than 180 mm in the driest parts to 440 mm in the wettest parts. Most of the rains come in summer (Tisdale, 1947) in relatively gentle storms with no extensive run-off except on extremely steep or denuded slopes.

Rainwater penetration of the soil under the bunches of the wheatgrass was demonstrated in the field as follows. Trenches about 30 cm deep were dug on level ground through selected bunches shortly after rain had fallen. The moisture front below the bunches and bare ground could usually be seen (Fig. 1). Penetration patterns were observed under light and heavy grazing at three sites in the Thompson and Nicola valleys.

To confirm the water penetration patterns observed in the field, a trial was conducted in the greenhouse at the University of British Columbia. A wooden box, 45 cm × 130 cm × 75 cm high, was filled with packed garden soil. As the box was filled with soil Bouyoucos moisture blocks (Bouyoucos et al., 1947) were set in three vertical rows at 70, 50, 25 and 10 cm depths (Fig. 2). Two transplanted groups of bluebunch wheatgrass were planted on top of two of the three vertical rows of moisture blocks leaving the middle row of blocks (C) under bare ground as a control. One month was allowed to elapse before moisture readings were started to let the transplanted bunches establish. A mist spray system was constructed to discharge water fairly uniformly over the required area. The uniformity of the mist sprayed at the canopy level of the test plants was tested by replacing the test box with cans and measuring
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the amount of water trapped in each can after a given time of spraying. The mist-spray system was used to prevent run-off and the tendency to over-saturate the soil along the walls of the box.

Moisture applications and readings started one month after transplanting and continued for three and one-half months. In each test water was applied for one hour. The moisture status of the soil was measured with a wheatstone bridge immediately before and one hour after watering. Watering and moisture readings were undertaken biweekly. After testing four times, one group (B) was clipped to ground level and testing continued a further three times.

Since little lateral movement of water occurs in soil it was assumed that the moisture difference recorded in each row of blocks was due to the downward penetration of water applied.

**Results**

Field observations indicated that rainwater penetrated deeper into the soil beneath the bunches of bluebunch wheatgrass than in the patches of bare ground between (Fig. 1). There seemed to be a relation between the size of bunches and the depth of water penetration in roughly sinusoidal moisture-fronts. For example, moisture penetration in the field, following a 29 mm rain, was 12 cm under bare ground in contrast to 15, 17, and 20 cm under plants 30, 40, and 53 cm tall. The moisture fronts beneath very small bunches of the wheatgrass in soil on the heavily-grazed areas were relatively uniform in depth.

Observations in the greenhouse (Fig. 2) represent the average of four determinations of the levels at which moisture penetration was sensed after one hour of mist spraying when both groups (A and B) were intact and the average of three determinations made after Group B had been clipped. Results obtained in this experiment supported the observation that rainwater penetrates deeper or at least more rapidly beneath the bunches of wheatgrass than in the soil under bare ground. There seemed to be a relationship between the size of the plant canopy and the depth to which moisture penetrated. The fact that the clipped bunch gave similar readings to the control suggests that fluctuations in soil moisture penetration are related to the aerial parts of the plant.

**Discussion**

Observations made in the field and in the greenhouse support the belief that the deeper penetration of water beneath individuals of caespitose bluebunch wheatgrass is due to a "funnelling" effect of the aerial parts, and that the plant canopy directs light summer rain into the rooting zone of an individual plant. It is also possible that bunches of the wheatgrass impede the movement of rainwater running over bare areas, thus increasing the amount of water which penetrates in the soil around the bunches. However, owing to the nature of the rains in the grasslands studied (Tisdale, 1947), contribution to soil moisture from sheet flow may not be very great.

The ability of the aerial parts of the wheatgrass to intercept and redistribute the incident moisture may have a bearing on the capability of the species to withstand grazing and interspecies competition. It is known that bluebunch wheatgrass easily succumbs to very heavy grazing. In the seeding stage and under heavy grazing the plant does not compete well with annual invaders such as cheatgrass. However, it is clear that annuals rarely invade well developed stands of the wheatgrass (Ndawula-Senyimba, 1969). Although he suspected the competition to be for soil nitrates, Harris (1967) admitted that the wheatgrass did better when competing with cheatgrass in places receiving summer...
rains. Whereas reduced nitrates may have a part to play in the annual-perennial grass relationship soil moisture might play a very important role. It is possible that the extensive roots of mature blaubunch wheatgrass (Coupland and Johnson, 1965) utilize all the moisture in the topsoil early in spring. If there are any recharges in the form of rain most of the water received is concentrated beneath the bunches. This would keep patches of bare ground too dry for cheatgrass and other species to colonize. When the aerial parts of caespitose species are removed annuals share the uniformly distributed moisture in the topsoil and competition is intensified.

Although it cannot be established that the caespitose habit of blaubunch wheatgrass is simply or solely an adaptive morphological feature which relates the thriftiness of the species to a regime of limited soil moisture, the observations strongly suggest that the association is important.
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Highlight

Grasses producing high forage yields in a 20 to 28 inch precipitation zone of northeastern Oregon on volcanic ash soil (Tolo silt loam) cleared of a stagnant forest were Greenar intermediate wheatgrass, Sherman big bluegrass, and Regar bromegrass. Tall oatgrass, meadow foxtail, and creeping meadow foxtail were high yielding when fertilized. Annually fertilizing with 60 lbs. N, 10 lbs. P, and 11 lbs. S/acre increased the mean annual forage yield 1800 lbs./acre. Fertilizing increased downy brome in species not well adapted. Fertilized forage contained a slightly lower nitrogen concentration than non-fertilized forage.

Range managers and foresters readily agree that high priority must be given to revegetating forest land which has been denuded of vegetation either purposely or accidentally. A properly managed cover of grasses reduces erosion, provides grazing and income for several years, allows for the return to timber, and aids in eliminating “doghair” stands of trees (Rummell and Holscher, 1955). Adaptation and characteristics of the species seeded affect the success of the seeding and successional patterns during the gradual replacement of herbaceous plants by shrubs and trees. This paper reports forage and fertilizing results obtained from a grass nursery grown on a soil derived from volcanic ash which had been cleared of forest.

Study Area and Methods

This study was conducted in the ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa)—Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii)—grand fir (Abies grandis) forest-range zone of the Wallowa Mountains in northeast Oregon. Mean annual precipitation of this zone is 20 to 28 inches. The bulk of the precipitation occurs as rain or snow from October through June. July and August are warm and dry but summer showers do occur. The particular site was a north slope at 3500 feet elevation with a mean annual precipitation of 26 inches. The site had been cleared of a stagnant forest stand dominated...