

Editorial

Range Management's Share of Agricultural Research

THADIS W. BOX

*Professor of Range Management,
Texas Technological College, Lubbock.*

The front cover of our Journal carries a statement of the purpose of our Society. Among other things, we are challenged to advance the science and art of grazing land management and to promote progress in conservation and sustained use. This is quite a challenge.

Grazing is still the largest single agricultural use of land in America. Over one billion acres are devoted to grazing by domestic animals and wildlife (Thomas and Ronnigen, 1965). A national survey conducted in 1962 (USDA, 1962) indicated that these lands were producing only about half their potential.

My association with people in the range management profession has convinced me that there are no more devoted or able scientists in any group in the world than in the American Society of Range Management. Yet with these dedicated men working long and hard, our ranges still are producing only about half of the potential.

One of the major reasons we are barely holding our own is shown graphically in a recent report jointly sponsored by the U. S. Department of Agriculture and the Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges entitled "A National Program of Research for Agriculture" (Agriculture Research Institute, 1966). There simply are not enough people working in the range management research area.

Although the figure of 146 man years annually conducting range research does not include those working at non-land grant universities and for private organizations, it can be used as a basis

of comparison with other fields. For instance in a "closely related" area of timber and forest products there are 1004 annual man years of research to our 146. There is one more man year, 147, devoted to potato research each year than to research on the entire billion acres of rangeland.

Cotton, our major surplus commodity, has 467 man years annually devoted to it. Tobacco, with all its recent publicity as a man killer, has 151 man years of research. Several other individual crops have more man years of research than the entire range management field: citrus fruit 242 man years, small fruit and tree nuts 527, ornamentals and turf 245, corn 298, wheat 304.

Each major species of domestic animal produced in this country has far more annual man years of research than the entire range field. The man years for each animal are poultry 469, beef cattle 514, dairy cattle 601, swine 259, and sheep 203.

Not only does the report show we have fewer people working in range than in many less important agricultural areas, but the projected growth in our field is behind other agricultural areas. The projection shows that 175 man years will be needed in range in 1977, while 1550 men will be needed in the area of improving biological efficiency of field crops.

These figures should say something to us as a profession and as concerned individuals charged with the "wise use" of the largest block of our nation's agricultural land. Either we have not made our needs known, or we are politically ineffective in getting the support our resource deserves. In either case, we must re-examine our position and improve our tactics if we are to meet our responsibilities.

We must make our needs known at all levels. We must not be reluctant to tell our story in the popular press or spend funds

on advertising. We may or may not agree with the Sierra Club and the Audubon Society, but I dare say more congressmen know them and their objectives than know the American Society of Range Management.

If we are to truly live up to the aims of our Society, we cannot be content when only 146 man years of research each year are devoted to one billion acres of grazing land. We need to act as individuals, and corporately through our Society, to ensure that range management research is put in its proper perspective.

LITERATURE CITED

- AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE. 1966. A national program of research for agriculture. Agr. Res. Inst., Washington, D.C. 271 p.
- THOMAS, G. W., AND T. S. RONNIGEN. 1965. Rangelands—our billion acre resource. Agr. Sci. Rev. 3:11-18.
- U.S.D.A. 1962. Basic statistics of the national inventory of soil and water conservation needs. USDA Statistical Bul. 317. 164 p.

Editorial

The Use of Common Names in The Journal of Range Management

ALAN A. BEETLE

*Range Management Section Head,
Plant Science Division
University of Wyoming, Laramie*

In the early decades of the Twentieth Century, American literature developed a wealth of common names for plant species. In fact so many sprang into use that the American Joint Committee on Horticultural Nomenclature sponsored the first compilation called "Standardized Plant Names" (1917). This list was enlarged, revised, and republished in 1941. Now that this, also, is out of print, more and more splinter lists (Bureau of Land Management, Forest Service, Weed Society of America, and the like) are appearing. Does the range management