of the so-called “nonmarket” benefits of range improvements. Here range technicians and public land agencies can make a very significant contribution.

LITERATURE CITED

BOHMONT, D. W. 1954. Chemical sagebrush control, good and bad. Wyoming Agricultural Experiment Station Mimeo Circular No. 56.


PINGREY, H. B. AND E. J. DORTIGNAC. 1957. Cost of seeding northern New Mexico rangelands. New Mexico Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 413.

TECHNICAL NOTES

A TECHNIQUE FOR STUDYING FORAGE REMOVAL BY GAME AND LIVESTOCK

ARTHUR D. SMITH AND ARTHUR M. SHEETS, JR.

Professor of Range Management, Utah State University and Game Biologist, Utah State Fish and Game Department; and Formerly Biologist, Utah State Fish and Game Department.

On western ranges domestic livestock and big game often compete for range forage. Enclosures, which may be of various sizes, are commonly used to secure information on competition. They have several shortcomings, not the least of which is their cost. In an attempt to overcome these shortcomings, we have used the “basket” or “cage” approach to separate the effects of deer and livestock.

Plots are arranged in sets of four. Thus, four “treatments” are applied: total protection, protection during winter from deer, protection during summer from livestock and an unprotected plot. A sufficient number of these sets are employed to satisfy sampling requirements.

Since but two of the plots are under protection at any one time, only two baskets need be provided for each set of four plots. In the fall before deer

FIGURE 1. Basket of non-welded wire protecting a bitterbrush plant.
able utilization technique can be employed.

This procedure can only be used effectively where there is a seasonal separation of use by game and livestock. This condition is satisfied on many ranges in our areas which deer occupy during winter and early spring and which are used by livestock in late spring or fall.

Because browse plants are of especial concern under the conditions described, the usual "basket" or "cage" in use elsewhere is inadequate. The low basket normally in use, although satisfactory in reseeded areas or wherever the stature of plants is low, is not sufficiently tall to cover plants of interest to us which may grow to several feet in height. These and other considerations led to the type of cage shown in Figure 1. This is a simple cylinder, 4.55 feet in diameter, open at the top, made of heavy gauge wire cut to the desired size and placed around the plot to be protected. The wire is cut on the ground at the time of installation, hence transportation difficulties are minimized since the original roll is compact. Upon installation the free ends of the wire are secured by means of number two hog rings. Tent stakes driven alongside the baskets provide adequate means of anchoring the wire in most situations.

Several types of wire were tried. All were of the same 2 x 4 inch rectangular size (2" horizontal, 4" high). Two gauges of wire were used, number 11 and number 9, and welded and non-welded types were used. The most satisfactory in our opinion is the welded wire, 11 gauge and 4 feet high. This height is satisfactory for all shrubs of moderate height. Where taller shrubs must be enclosed, five foot wire has been used, although we have yet to see evidence of animals reaching into the four foot cages to browse. Wire of this gauge and construction forms more rigid cages than does the un-welded wire in the same gauge. It is, moreover, lighter in weight. One disadvantage to the welded wire is that 11 gauge wire is not everywhere available for purchase.

Wire of the kinds tried is available in 100 foot rolls and each roll can be made to provide seven baskets (14.3 ft. in circumference). This encloses an area adequate to encompass most shrubs encountered on winter ranges in our area. It will also accommodate a plot of 0.6 square feet, either round or square, which is a convenient size if weight estimates are to be the basis for forage inventory. Other sizes could be used.

At the outset we felt some concern regarding the possibility of the baskets being displaced by rubbing. To date, cattle have not caused any disturbance of the baskets. A few instances of deer running into them have been noted. Elk have been seen rubbing on baskets placed near their feeding grounds.

A METHOD FOR RANDOM LOCATION OF SAMPLE UNITS IN RANGE INVESTIGATIONS

George M. Van Dyne

Instructor, Animal Industry and Range Management Department, Montana State College, Bozeman, Montana.

Plots, transects, or tagged plants often must be located randomly to allow greatest statistical interpretation in range investigations. One of the most common means of randomizing the position of range sample units is by gridding out an area into squares or rectangles with a large number of possible locations. Developing such a grid on an area involves considerable time and expense, especially if the study units need to be relocated. For this reason, it was considered desirable to devise a technique wherein a minimum number of marker stakes would need to be set in order to permanently locate study units.

A system of radius vectors was used successfully during the 1958 field season to locate sample units in range investigations. Clusters of sample unit locations were randomly determined on aerial photographs for herbage production and basal area studies. The centers of these clusters were marked on the aerial photographs and the points then located as nearly as possible in the field. A 7-foot steel fence-post was driven approximately 3 feet into the ground at the determined cluster centers. These cluster marker posts were each assigned a number and were painted with yellow stripes to aid in relocation.

An area with a 20-foot radius around the post was expected to receive excessive use due to livestock concentration and was not included in the sampling area. The area between the inner circle of 20 feet and an outer circle with a radius of 120 feet was designated at the area in which sample units could be located. This "doughnut shaped" area was subdivided into ten equal parts by concentric circles of appropriate varying radii by the following method:

The area of the individual subdivisions (At) is found by

\[
A_t = \pi (r_{i+1}^2 - r_i^2)
\]

Acknowledgement is extended to Dr. F. S. McFeely of the Statistical Laboratory for his aid in this work.