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Abstract

Our ability to assess the continental impacts of woody encroachment remains compromised by the paucity of studies quantifying regional encroachment rates. This knowledge gap is especially apparent when it comes to quantifying the impact of woody encroachment on large-scale carbon dynamics. In this study, we use a combination of aerial photography from 1985–1986 and 2005 and near-annual Landsat satellite imagery over the same period to assess the rates of encroachment by western juniper, Juniperus occidentalis Hook., into the grasslands and shrublands of eastern Oregon. The approximately 20-yr Landsat reflectance trajectories identified for the juniper woodlands of eastern Oregon did not correlate well with changes in juniper crown cover over the same period, suggesting that systematic trends in reflectance are being driven by vegetation other than juniper. Using a random sample of 150 aerial photography plots, we estimate the average aboveground accumulation of carbon in undisturbed juniper woodlands to be 2.9 kg C·m⁻²·yr⁻¹; about 0.20 Tg C·yr⁻¹ across all of Oregon. However, juniper removal by cutting and or burning, occurring at a rate of <1% yr⁻¹, counteracted regional encroachment by about 35%, bringing the net change in aboveground carbon down to 1.9 kg C·m⁻²·yr⁻¹, about 0.13 Tg C·yr⁻¹ across all of Oregon. This study illustrates the capacity of woody removal, over very small areas, to offset encroachment over very large areas and cautions against scaling site-level encroachment studies over entire regions.
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INTRODUCTION

The expansion and infilling of woody species into grasslands or trees into shrublands, commonly referred to as woody encroachment, occurs in semiarid ecosystems throughout the world (Archer 1994; Archer et al. 1995; van Auken 2000). Woody encroachment has long been a concern to resource managers because woody plants often expand at the expense of higher value livestock forage, and can represent a shift away from grassland and shrubland communities already made scarce or otherwise altered by agricultural activities. Localized studies aimed at understanding the causes and impacts of woody encroachment in North America have helped us understand how climate, land use, and fire can influence the interaction between woody plants and the nonwoody species with which they compete (see reviews by Archer et al. 1988, 1995; Scholes and Archer 1997). However, our ability to assess the continental impacts of woody encroachment remains compromised by the paucity of studies measuring large-scale
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regional encroachment rates (Asner et al. 2003; Strand et al. 2008). This knowledge gap is especially apparent when it comes to quantifying the impact of woody encroachment on large-scale carbon dynamics. Several recent assessments of terrestrial carbon pools across North America have identified woody encroachment as a potentially major, yet highly uncertain, component of the continental carbon budget (Houghton et al. 1999; Pacala et al. 2001; Houghton and Goosdale 2004; CCSP 2007).

Because woody encroachment occurs primarily in precipitation zones marginal for forest establishment, the gross rates of aboveground carbon accumulation attributable to woody encroachment are small compared to forest production. However, unlike forest growth which is balanced by natural disturbance, timber harvest, and land conversion, woody encroachment is assumed to be largely one-directional with the potential result of a North American net carbon sink equivalent to that occurring across all forested lands (Houghton et al. 1999). The degree to which local estimates of encroachment rates apply across entire regions and the rates at which disturbances may actually be removing trees from formally encroached areas remain largely unquantified. Determination of these rates has been limited by a scarcity of historical inventories or imagery dating back far enough to detect this change.

An increasing presence of juniper in the North American Great Basin during the last century is well documented (Miller and Tausch 2002). Comparison of recent and historical photographs throughout the Intermountain West provide dramatic localized evidence of this encroachment, which is characterized by both expansion and infilling of open-growing juniper into an existing matrix of sagebrush-steppe (Miller et al. 2005). Although some palaeobotanical data suggest that this encroachment began with the end of the Little Ice Age in 1850 (Johnson and Miller 2006), rapid expansion of juniper appears to have coincided with Euro-American settlement during the late 1800s. The three factors most often implicated in the current juniper encroachment are reduced competition by grasses facilitated by livestock grazing (Miller and Rose 1995), reduced fire mortality resulting from lower amounts of surface fuels and active fire suppression (Savage and Swetnam 1990; Miller et al. 2005; Swetnam et al. 2010), and reproductive momentum initiated by favorable climate conditions in the late 1800s (Soule et al. 2004). Since juniper began its encroachment 110–160 yr ago, the total land area occupied by juniper throughout its range is believed to have increased by about 10 times (Miller and Rose 1999), with densities up to 250 trees·ha⁻¹ in areas originally sustaining less than 10 trees·ha⁻¹. Gedney et al. (1999) compared a juniper inventory conducted in 1936 (Cowlin et al. 1942) to a similar one conducted in 1988 (Gedeny et al. 1989) and concluded the land area in Oregon having at least 5% juniper crown cover increased from 170,000 ha to 890,000 ha over this 52-yr period. Clearly, juniper expansion is affecting large land areas, yet the rate at which regional carbon stocks are changing as a result remains unquantified.

In this study, we use a combination of aerial photography from 1985–1986 and 2005 and near-annual Landsat satellite imagery over the same period to assess the rates of encroachment by western juniper (Juniperus occidentalis Hook, var. occidentalis, hereafter referred to as juniper) into the grasslands and shrublands across eastern Oregon. Our specific objectives were to 1) quantify the range and variability of encroachment rates and associated changes in aboveground carbon storage throughout the semiarid regions of Oregon, 2) assess the rates at which juniper is being removed from the region due to natural and human disturbances, and 3) explore the utility of 20 sequential years of Landsat imagery for detecting slow but long-term changes in juniper cover.

METHODS

Remote Sensing of Woody Encroachment

Prior efforts to quantify juniper encroachment with Landsat imagery have met with mixed results. Using Landsat imagery from 1985 and 2005, Sankey and Germino (2008) successfully employed a spectral unmixing technique to map changes in the presence or absence of juniper across an area in southern Idaho, and Bradley (2008) derived a measure of fractional greenness from Landsat images taken in 1985, 1995, and 2005 to map relative changes in juniper cover across an area in central Nevada. Both of these studies achieved a precision of change detection adequate for mapping spatial patterns of juniper encroachment and successfully correlated these patterns with other biophysical parameters. To date, however, the only approaches to mapping juniper encroachment with accuracy necessary to determine changes in biomass have relied on various forms of aerial photography (Weisberg et al. 2007; Strand et al. 2008; Davies et al. 2010). Because of the open-grown nature of juniper throughout most of its range, individual tree crowns are readily discernable in moderate- to high-resolution aerial photography (i.e., ≤1 m). This situation lends itself well to various forms of automated cover assessment based on individual crown detection (see Hill and Leckie 1999; Bai et al. 2005) or binary texture analysis (Strand et al. 2008). When such photographic imagery is available for two points in time, quantitative assessment of encroachment can be quite accurate, but only over relatively small areas (e.g., <1,000 km²).

The use of nearly annual change detection over 20 yr employed in this study was meant to improve the signal-to-noise ratio over previous attempts to map change in juniper cover. Moreover, by building models that relate change in reflectance directly to change in juniper cover, rather than models that relate reflectance to absolute cover at multiple points in time, we reduce the sources of modeling error from two to one, theoretically reducing prediction error.

Study Area

Juniper woodlands exist throughout eastern Oregon, co-occurring with sagebrush (Artemisia spp.) steppe. For this study, we considered the area within four 19,000-km² Thiessen polygons representing the nonoverlapping interior portions of Landsat scenes (path-row) 45-29, 45-30, 43-29, and 43-30 (Fig. 1). We selected these four areas because together they encompass nearly half of the juniper woodlands in Oregon and include all six of the ecological provinces in which juniper woodlands are a significant component, namely the Eastern Cascades, Blue Mountains, Columbia Plateau, Northern Basin Range, Central Basin Range, and Snake River Plain (Omernik 1987). Aerial photo analysis (from which all quantitative...
estimates of juniper cover change were derived) was limited by photo availability to areas classified by the Oregon gap analysis program (GAP) vegetation map (Kagen and Caicco 1992) as potentially containing juniper. Landsat change detection, for which we had full coverage, was performed across all areas classified by the Oregon GAP vegetation map as either juniper woodlands or sage steppe.

**Sampling of Aerial Photography**

A comparison of juniper crown cover in aerial photographs taken in 2005 with those taken in either 1985 or 1986 (hereafter referred to as the 20-yr measurement interval) served both as a means of directly assessing regional rates of juniper encroachment and the basis for interpreting change detection in the Landsat imagery collected over this 20-yr interval.

Our sample unit for aerial photo interpretation was a 1-ha circular plot. For this study we employed two different sampling schemes. The first sampling scheme, designed to assess the full range and variability of juniper encroachment throughout the study area, involved random plot placement requiring only that each fell within areas classified as western juniper woodlands according the Oregon GAP vegetation map (Kagen and Caicco 1992) and contained at least some visible juniper. A lack of quality aerial photos excluded about 15% of juniper woodlands in Oregon. Thiessen polygons A through D represent the nonoverlapping interior portions of Landsat scenes (path-row) 45-29, 45-30, 43-29, and 43-30, respectively.

**Interpreting Aerial Photography**

To insure the highest possible accuracy over a wide range of photographic conditions, we quantified juniper cover by manually tracing individual crowns visible in the aerial photos. Paper photos from the 1980s were first digitized, then uploaded into ArcMap and rectified to 0.5-m accuracy with the 2005 digital photos using, as reference points, at least four trees recognizable in both photos. Once photos were coregistered, crown area was assessed independently in each photo date, not in reference to each other. As shown in Figure 2, crown area in each 1-ha plot was determined by tracing an isosceles triangle over each juniper, with the base spanning crown diameter perpendicular to sun angle and a height spanning crown radius in the direction of the sun. The use of such triangles and Menelaus’ theorem allowed us to approximate individual crown area to the nearest ellipse by marking only three points unobscured by shadow, where crown area = area of traced triangle multiplied by 3.14.

The resolution of the photos afforded point placement precision of approximately 0.5 m. Presuming measurement error is both random and normally distributed, this 0.5-m precision translates into a plot-level crown cover measurement error ranging from 4% (for 1-ha plots containing more than 20 crowns averaging 9 m in diameter) to 10% (for 1-ha plots containing less than 20 crowns averaging 3 m in diameter). Juniper crowns smaller than 1 m in diameter were not reliably detectable in these photos and were excluded from measurement even when their presence was suspected. In some cases, multiple small crowns (detected in the 1985 imagery) had, by 2005, coalesced into a single larger crown.

**Allometry**

Juniper crown cover, as observed in the aerial photography was converted to aboveground biomass for each individual tree using the following equation:

$$\text{Total aboveground biomass (kg)} = e^{0.07 + (1.09 \times \ln[\text{projected crown area} \text{ (m}^2\text{)])}}$$

This relationship ($R^2 = 0.83$) was derived by Sabin (2008) from the harvest of 97 western juniper trees ranging in size from 11 cm to 63 cm basal diameter at three widely dispersed locations in eastern Oregon and northeastern California. Total aboveground biomass was converted to carbon mass by using a factor of 0.5 g C per gram biomass.

**Landsat-Based Change Detection**

We developed maps of possible juniper encroachment using outputs from LandTrendr algorithms and analysis, which are described in detail in Kennedy et al. (2010) and Kennedy et al.
Briefly, a time series of georectified annual Landsat TM/ETM+ images from 1984 to 2007 was acquired from the US Geological Survey Landsat archive for the four path-rows shown in Figure 1. With more than 100 individual images used, dates of individual images are not shown, but images were targeted in each year that were close to 15 August, as vegetation was consistently senesced by this date, maximizing contrast. A single image in each time series was corrected to approximate surface reflectance using the COST approach (Chavez 1996), and all other images were then normalized to that image using the MADCAL relative radiometric normalization of Canty et al. (2004). Tasseled-cap brightness, greenness, and wetness were calculated using reflectance factor coefficients (Crist 1985). After preparing image time series, the LandTrendr temporal segmentation algorithms were applied on a pixel basis. Temporal segmentation uses goodness-of-fit statistics to identify the periods of consistent trends and abrupt changes in a time series, simplifying the often noisy yearly data into simplified segments bounded by vertices that identify directional change. Insofar as spectral trends are caused by changes in the surface condition, these segments correspond to time periods when consistent processes, such as encroachment, could be occurring.

For the purposes of this study we were most interested in identifying locations where long, uninterrupted changes in reflectance were occurring, particularly diminishment in surface brightness that might be caused by increased shadowing of growing juniper crowns. Figure 3 illustrates how LandTrendr classified each pixel into one of two categories. The first category includes pixels exhibiting an uninterrupted decrease in brightness for at least 18 yr. A change magnitude was assigned to each pixel in this first category according to the magnitude of the decrease. The second category includes pixels exhibiting either an increase in brightness or no quantifiable decrease in brightness, due to high noise, low signal, or punctuated changes. All pixels in this second category were assigned a default change magnitude of zero. Such analysis was also performed for the other two primary axes.
of tasseled-cap space (i.e., greenness and wetness), though initial results did not warrant further analysis of these indices.

When comparing the change detected in Landsat imagery to the change in juniper cover observed in the aerial photography, we used the average detected change among the 9 to 12 Landsat pixels whose majority was contained in the 1-ha circular photographic plot.

**RESULTS**

**Aerial Photo Interpretation**

Of the 92 randomly selected sample plots, 62 showed increases in juniper crown cover due to growth and infilling and 30 showed decreases in juniper crown cover due to felling and fire over 20 yr prior to 2005. The changes in juniper cover and aboveground biomass over the 20-yr measurement interval exhibited both positive and negative changes, tending toward small increases (Fig. 4). Among the undisturbed plots, the absolute increase in juniper cover averaged $32 \pm 3$ SE m$^2$·ha$^{-1}$·yr$^{-1}$ (range = 0–135) which translates to an increase of $184$ kg·ha$^{-1}$·yr$^{-1}$ of aboveground juniper carbon (range = 0–715, SE = 17). Among the disturbed plots, losses of juniper cover averaged $62$ m$^2$·ha$^{-1}$·yr$^{-1}$ of juniper cover (range = 0–145, SE = 10), which translates to a loss of $340$ kg·ha$^{-1}$·yr$^{-1}$ of aboveground juniper carbon (range = 0–817, SE = 56), though it is reasonable to assume that these losses were incurred during single disturbance events occurring some time during the 20-yr measurement interval. On balance (including plots where juniper cover was lost), our regional sample exhibited an increase in juniper crown cover and biomass of approximately 23% of initial values over the 20-yr measurement interval (approximately 1% annually). As shown in Figure 5A, plots with low initial juniper cover exhibited greater proportional increases than did those with higher juniper cover. However, the product of high growth rates in low-cover sites and lower individual growth rates in higher-cover sites is such that absolute increases in juniper cover are largely independent of initial cover. The regression line fit in A is $y = 407e^{-0.20x}$ ($R^2 = 0.20$); the regression line fit in B is a flat line where $y = 5.36$ ($R^2 = 0.03$, slope not significantly different than zero).

**Change Detection in Landsat Imagery**

According to LandTrendr methodology, Landsat pixels tagged as exhibiting steady, uninterrupted change are those in which the annual chronology of reflectance can be fit within specified statistical limits, to a single linear trajectory. As shown in Figure 6, approximately 27% of the total study area and 26% of the area inhabited by juniper exhibited a steady, uninterrupted decrease in tasseled-cap brightness for at least 18 yr between 1984 and 2007, indicating an increase in woody plant cover. These proportions were substantially more than that observed for either tasseled-cap greenness or wetness, indicating that tasseled-cap brightness is the index most sensitive to the steady decadal changes in vegetation occurring in these juniper woodlands.
The spatial patterns of change detection followed some landforms, such as hill slopes and riparian corridors, and some anthropogenic features, such as roadways and agricultural activity (Fig. 6). However, as shown in Figure 7, there was no compelling relationship between these steady brightness changes and the increases in juniper cover observed in the photo plots, nor did juniper crown cover in 2005 (as observed in the photo plots) correlate well with tasseled-cap brightness in 2005.

**DISCUSSION**

**Change Detection in Landsat Imagery**

By using >20 individual years of Landsat imagery, we were able to identify and quantify subtle change trajectories despite what was often high levels of interannual noise. However, these steady changes in surface reflectance did not correlate well with changes in juniper crown cover over the same period.

Several studies have shown a strong negative correlation between both tasseled-cap brightness and greenness and the proportion of conifer cover, relative to low-stature shrubs (Cohen and Spies 1992; Cohen et al. 2001; Song et al. 2007; Healey et al. 2008). These basic relationships form the foundation for mapping long-term change trajectories such as conifer growth following fire and harvest (Cohen et al. 2010; Kennedy et al. 2010) and, to a lesser degree, the slow spread of insect-caused tree mortality (Kennedy et al. 2010). For these reasons, we had expected that increasing juniper cover, in a matrix of grass and low-stature shrubs, would be the primary driver of long-term decreases in brightness. Instead, it appears that our detected changes are being driven by slow, steady changes in other surface features, most likely the soil and nontree vegetation, which make up between 80% and 90% of the reflectance signature. One can assume that juniper crowns have similar reflectance throughout eastern Oregon, but the soil and nontree vegetation that juniper expansion affects is variable as is its response to juniper presence and growth (Miller et al. 2005).

The fact that we can detect widespread low-magnitude changes in vegetation in so many locations is very promising, but more work will be necessary to interpret this rich pattern. At least some of the steady uninterrupted change identified in this study appears to be initiated by anthropogenic activity such as road construction and agricultural conversion (see Fig. 6). It is notable that although some roads show up well in our change detection map, most do not at all.

**Gross Regional Encroachment Rates**

The gross rate at which juniper is encroaching across our study, that is, the increase in juniper cover among our randomly placed photo plots showing no sign of juniper loss (average 32, median 30 m² juniper crown·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹) was within the range reported by other studies. At a single location in eastern Oregon, Knapp and Soule (1998) reported rates of 55 m² juniper crown·yr⁻¹. Working in multiple sites in eastern Oregon and northern California, Miller and Rose (1995) reported rates of 5–20 m² juniper crown·yr⁻¹ depending on tree density. In southern Idaho, Sankey and Germino (2008) reported rates of 9 m² juniper crown·yr⁻¹ and, over a 4000-km² area in southern Idaho, Sankey and Germino (2008) found
rates of 10 m² juniper crown·yr⁻¹. It is worth appreciating that with the exception of Strand et al. (2008), these earlier studies were designed primarily to describe local spatial-temporal patterns of encroachment and not regional rates. As such, they may have been biased toward locations where encroachment was most reliably occurring. This is a point worth considering whenever extrapolating local encroachment studies to regional scales.

Notably, the rate of juniper increase did not appear to slow at higher levels of cover, suggesting that juniper cover across eastern Oregon is not approaching its carrying capacity (as described for woody encroachment by Knapp et al. 2008). This observation is consistent with dendrochronological studies showing that juniper in eastern Oregon often grow at steady rates even at relatively high densities (20–30% cover). Certainly, not all of the juniper woodlands in eastern Oregon are relentlessly marching toward 40% crown cover (most undisturbed sites are experiencing less than 0.1% juniper expansion annually), but the exact carrying capacity of juniper in Oregon remains an open question.

Net Regional Encroachment Rates
What sets this study apart from most woody encroachment research is that it provides a sense for how encroachment rates in undisturbed areas are balanced by tree loss in disturbed areas. Although the frequency of juniper disturbance is relatively small, disturbances often resulted in the complete removal of the aboveground juniper biomass. As such, stand-level disturbance occurring at a landscape-wide frequency of <1% annually counteracted encroachment in undisturbed locations by 35%.

The most common agent of juniper mortality observed in this study was fire. Though we could not distinguish between prescribed fire and wildfire, many burned plots showed signs of juniper felling, indicating that the site was subject to a deliberate juniper control prescription. The next most common agent of juniper mortality was development, particularly residential and agricultural building and associated road construction. The consideration of tree removal in assessing the impacts of woody encroachment is essential; any regional estimate of woody encroachment that fails to account for removals will certainly lead to an overestimate of the effects of encroachment on aboveground carbon accumulation or any such large-scale responses. Similarly, the low-frequency occurrence of very high encroachment rates must not be overlooked in assessing regional rates of change. It is easy and correct to conclude that small changes in biomass multiplied over large areas can amount to large total carbon flux. What is harder to appreciate is that when site-level change tends, even strongly, toward zero, the balance of positive and negative end members can become as important in dictating net regional flux as the typical site-level behavior that ecological studies typically describe.

Juniper Encroachment and the Regional Carbon Balance
Before translating changes in aboveground juniper biomass directly into regional changes in terrestrial carbon stocks, one must make two major assumptions. First, it has to be assumed that changes in aboveground composition are not accompanied by any significant changes in belowground biomass. Second, it has to be assumed that the gains in aboveground juniper mass are not substantially compensated for by decreases in the aboveground mass of grasses and shrub mass.

With respect to the first assumption, we know that encroachment of shrubs (i.e., *Prosopis* and) into semiarid grasslands can result in either increases or decreases in belowground carbon stores (Jackson et al. 2002; Hibbard et al. 2003). Although the rooting depth of western juniper is generally considered to be deeper than that of the sagebrush it is replacing, we have no reliable information suggesting that juniper encroachment in the Great Basin either increases or decreases belowground carbon stores.

With respect to the second assumption, the highest biomass shrubs with which juniper competes in Oregon (namely, *Artemisia* spp.) have an average biomass per unit crown cover of only 8% that of juniper (derived from juniper allometry of Sabin [2008], and sage allometry of Rittenhouse and Sneva [1977]). This means that even when juniper cover replaces sage cover on a one-to-one basis (as reported by Miller et al. 2005), aboveground biomass lost in shrubs is less than 8% that gained in aboveground juniper biomass.

Assuming that the changes in terrestrial carbon stocks associated with juniper encroachment approximate the
observed changes in the aboveground mass of juniper carbon, we can easily compare the impact of encroachment to the impact of other vegetation dynamics in Oregon. For instance, as illustrated in Figure 8A, we estimate the average accumulation of carbon per unit area in undisturbed juniper woodlands to be 2.9 kg C·m⁻²·yr⁻¹, 20% of that modeled for Oregon forest types (Turner et al. 2007). When these flux estimates are scaled up across all of Oregon (Fig. 8B) it becomes apparent how net carbon accumulation is really the small difference between much larger gains and losses (harvest and fire in the case of forests, and tree removal in the case of juniper woodlands). Also apparent in Figure 8B is that the carbon accumulation attributed to juniper encroachment in all of Oregon (about 0.2 Tg C·yr⁻¹) is a very small amount compared to net forest growth or even wildfire emissions.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The area potentially subject to encroachment by juniper in North America is vast. As such, associated changes in aboveground biomass can have a significant impact on continental carbon stocks, even when the changes per unit area are small relative to other terrestrial carbon fluxes. Most of what we know about juniper encroachment rates comes from localized studies designed to identify the drivers of encroachment and has understandably targeted areas where encroachment is known to be occurring. However, as illustrated in this study, the net change in biomass over an entire region is driven as much by the balance of end-members as it is by central tendencies. In other words, locations exhibiting unusually high rates of encroachment and those where juniper has been removed by wildfire or through some management prescription are as important in defining net change as undisturbed locations exhibiting typical encroachment rates. Change detection over 20 sequential years of Landsat imagery showed promise in identifying patterns of vegetation change throughout juniper woodlands and associated range communities of eastern Oregon. However, correlating this change with a single vegetation process remains challenging. Although it would be imprudent to trivialize the capacity of juniper encroachment to alter the function of shrublands ecosystems, when balanced against removal its contribution to regional carbon balance over the last 20 yr appears to be quite small.
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