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ABSTRACT

The Sinai Peninsula has provided a continuous land bridge connecting northeast Africa and Asia, and particularly Ancient Egypt with the Levant. This paper focuses mainly upon past through recent explorations of Ancient Egypt’s Prehistoric through pharaonic interactions with the Sinai and its environs, including the Negev, and in particular considers our changing perceptions of Egyptian contact and influence, and also examines Near Eastern, Arabian, and other cross-cultural relations with the Sinai. The nature of these cross-cultural relations fluctuates and changes, taking place in three main areas: the North Sinai transit route between Egypt’s East Delta and Southwest Levant, a Red Sea crossing to South Sinai to obtain turquoise, copper, and other materials, and a more complex, adjacent Negev route between the Red Sea and Southwest Levant, which variously accommodated Egyptians, Canaanites, and others mining copper in the southern Arabah and Faynan, obtaining Red Sea shells, and getting aromatics from Arabia.

Ancient Egypt exploited South Sinai chiefly for turquoise (Egyptian mefkat), malachite and copper (bmt), North Sinai provided a land route between Egypt and Asia, and northeast Sinai (Negev-Arabah) contained copper sources and a trade route from the Red Sea. The Sinai’s significance to different cultures and people changes in ancient through classical and Biblical texts, the latter of which formed the initial basis for many conceptions of and interest in the Sinai desert, its inhabitants, and its heritage (figure 1). Beginning in the late Roman period, increasing numbers of pilgrims travelled throughout Sinai, visiting places identified with the Hebrew Exodus and sojourn in Sinai (e.g., Ayun Musa; Gharandel; Feiran), the revelation of the Ten Commandments (Mt. Sinai), the holy family’s passage to Egypt, the appearance of Saint Catherine (Mt. Sinai) and other notable associations. Elgeria detailed her Sinai trip in 383 AD. Pilgrims placed inscriptions in Wadi Mukhattab and elsewhere en-route to the Monastery of St. Catherine. Friar Niccolò recorded his Sinai travels in 1349. Breydenbach illustrated the places, peoples and outlandish animals he saw in 1483. In contrast, the discovery of Egyptian antiquities often occurred as a byproduct of other ventures, including military activity (e.g., 1798–1802 Napoleonic expedition; Israel’s occupation of the Negev [1949 onwards] and Sinai [1956; 1967–82]), the 1859–69 digging of the Suez Canal, ordnance and geological surveys, the North Sinai irrigation project (1990–present), and construction (e.g., 2004 discovery of a Coptic cave at Hammam Pharon). This paper introduces the topic for this particular issue of The Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections (JAEI), namely the past through present rediscovery of the Sinai Peninsula and its immediate environs, focusing mainly upon our evolving perceptions of Ancient Egyptian contact and influence in the regions of South Sinai, indicating the routes to South Sinai, North Sinai, and the Negev and Arabah along the Sinai’s eastern fringe.

SOUTH SINAI

The “rediscovery” of Egyptian antiquities in South Sinai began in 1762: Niebuhr visited Serabit el-Khadim and published three stelae and a schematic plan of its temple, which he interpreted as Egyptian tombstones in a cemetery. In 1809, Seetzen observed pharaonic texts and mines at Wadi Maghara. Champollion’s decipherment of Egyptian hieroglyphs in 1822 led to greater scientific interest in Egypt and more direct knowledge about pharaonic Sinai. Rüppell explored Serabit and Wadis Maghara, Kharig and Nasb in 1817, 1822, 1826 and 1831, studying an Egyptian text, ancient copper mines, mining techniques and smelting at Nasb.

Lepsius toured Sinai in 1845, copying twenty-nine Egyptian texts from Maghara and Serabit. He examined Maghara’s mines and incorrectly interpreted mefkat as ‘copper’. Laval went to Sinai in 1850, describing and drawing thirty-eight texts from Maghara and Serabit and numerous Nabataean inscriptions. Major
Figure 1: Map key: Sites in the Sinai Peninsula and neighboring regions
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1. Abu Zenima
2. Ayn Musa
3. Ayn Sukhna
4. Bir el-Abd
5. Deir el-Balah
6. El-Arish (Rhinocultra)
7. El-Qantarah
8. El-Tor
9. Gebel Abu Hassa
10. Gebel Mounr
11. Kem Wer: Bitter Lakes
12. Kharib el-Gals (Kasion)
13. Kom el-Quzoum
14. Memphis
15. Mount Casisus
16. Mount Sinai
17. Nabi Salih
18. Rumpani
19. Qariir (Per-Ramesses)
20. Serabit el-Khadim
21. Serapeum
22. Sheikh Mukhsein: 1046
23. Site A345 (Haruba)
24. Site 344 (W. Nasb)
25. Site 345 (Rs. Budran)
26. Site 346 (Albright port)
27. Site 364 (El-Mekhare)4
28. Site 367 (W. Feiran)
29. Site 582 (Borot Roded)
30. Site 702B
31. Site 1042 (Watiya)
32. Site 1125
33. Tell Abu Sefah
34. Tell Abu Salima
35. Tell Borg
36. Tell Defennec
37. Tell Daba (Avaris)
38. Tell Farama (Pelusium)
39. Tell Fekaiyat/Ostrakine
40. Tell Hebous
41. Tell Herr (Magdolus)
42. Tell Kheleifch
43. Tell Mahammediya
44. Tell Makhzan
45. Tell Maskhuta
46. Tell Qatif
47. Tell Qawwa
48. Tell Qedeirat/K. Barnea
49. Tell Rafa (Raphia)
50. Tell Retabeh
51. Tell Ridan
52. Tell Rupeish
53. Tell Yarmuth
54. Timna (site 200)
55. Wadi Feiran (Jordan)
56. Wadi Feiran
57. Wadi Gharandel
58. Wadi Khairig
59. Wadi Maghara
60. Wadi Mukhattab
61. Wadi Nasb (Bir Nasb)
62. Wadi Steqa
63. Wadi Umn Thaimm
64. Wadi Wiset (1011)
MacDonald explored Maghara and Serabit in 1845, making squeezes of texts and collecting over 400 diverse votives from Serabit. He gave these materials to the British Museum in 1849 and resided at Maghara around 1854–67, making impressions of texts.

In 1868–69, Captains Wilson and Palmer directed an ordnance survey of the Sinai Peninsula, recording Egyptian materials at Serabit and Wadi Umm Themaim, Maghara and Nasb. They mapped a pharaonic, hilltop mining camp at Maghara and the Middle-New Kingdom temple at Serabit, illustrating twenty-three Egyptian texts. Ebers visited Maghara in 1870–71 and noted a stela of Ramesses II, which remains unverified. Bacekler published a guide to Egypt, summarizing the findings at Serabit el-Khadim, Wadi Nasb and Maghara, with a map of Maghara. In 1888–89 and 1890, Bénédicte recorded over 2,400 Nabataean and other inscriptions in Wads Feiran, Muktahbat, Nasb and Maghara. In 1895, Morgan examined the geology and prehistoric–historic occupation in Sinai, arguing against ancient copper mining and smelting at Serabit, and copied some texts at Maghara.

Borchardt (1879) published a plan and texts from the Middle Kingdom Hathor shrine at Serabit. He argued that it had been initially designed as a tomb. He found a new text of Sneferu at Maghara. In 1904, Weill published the 1869 ordnance survey’s hieroglyphic inscriptions from Maghara and Serabit. This included topographic maps showing ancient huts and texts at Maghara and the distribution of inscriptions on a plan of the Serabit temple. He translated and discussed 194 texts and votives, illustrating 142 items, including the first Proto-Sinaitic text (no. 44) from Maghara.

In 1904–5, Petrie introduced a broader focus, recording many artifacts in addition to inscriptions from the turquoise mining region in Southern Sinai, as well as examining some Chalcolithic to Early Bronze Age I nawami tombs in southeast Sinai. He provided a topographic map, photographs and descriptions of the Old and Middle Kingdom huts, midden, a wadi wall (flash flood protection?), turquoise mines and spoil heaps at Maghara. Maghara yielded turquoise, pottery, copper smelting evidence (cruicles; slag; ore; an ingot mould), chisels, stone mining tools, grinding stones, flint tools, mollusks and sea urchin spines. Petrie’s photographs document Old through Middle Kingdom kings at Maghara: ‘Semerkhet’ (actually Sekhemkhet), Sanakht, Sneferu, Sahura, Nyeem, Mehemhe and Amenemhet III. Petrie noted the survival of Djoser and Thutmose III’s rock inscriptions, but relates that recent mining had destroyed or obscured the texts of Khufu, Djedkara-Ise, Pepy (I–II) and Amenemhet (III–IV).

Petrie reconnoitered Wadi Nasb briefly, observing slag heaps and a text of Amenemhet III, but concentrated upon Serabit. He examined, mapped and selectively photographed fourteen turquoise mines (with six rock-stelae of Amenemhet II–IV and Thutmose IV), rock shrine Q (New Kingdom), and a series of circular enclosures en-route to the temple. Two enclosures contained an offering slab and stela (Senusert I; Amenemhet III).

Petrie suggested they represented incubation stelae (‘Bethel’ memorials), citing parallels for people sleeping at a sacred place to receive a divine vision. The adjacent mines yielded evidence for Egyptian mining with metal chisels and stone tools.

Petrie produced a more accurate plan and a reconstructed model of the temple. Spatial designations of rooms enabled the recording of artifact and monument distributions. Petrie incorrectly believed Sneferu founded the temple owing to a (commemorative) hawk statuette bearing his name (Instead, the initial installation of the Hathor Temple is best placed in the early Middle Kingdom). The remaining early inscriptions indicate a Middle Kingdom construction of the initial approach way to the temple, a shrine of venerated kings, and the sanctuaries of Hathor (‘Mistress of the Turquoise’) and Sopdu (‘Lord of the East’), while the later extensive New Kingdom building occurs after a gap in construction. Petrie also noted additional examples of Proto-Sinaitic script at Serabit el-Khadim, suggesting an 18th Dynasty date and Syrian origin (They have been suggested more recently to date somewhere from the late Middle Kingdom through Second Intermediate Period). The Egyptian materials cited Senusert I–III and Amenemhet I–IV from the Middle Kingdom and New Kingdom rulers: Ahmose, Amenhotep I–III, Thutmose I–IV, Hatshepsut, Sety I–II, Merenptah, Tawosret, Setnakht and Ramesses I–VI. The Hathor temple produced Egyptian pottery, a copper chisel, a crucible, and stone altars, basins and statuettes. The sanctuary and portico yielded numerous votive offerings: figurines and amulets (e.g., females; Mut; Neith; Sekhmet; Bes; Bastet; felines; other figures), a clay ear, pottery, faience and calcite containers (e.g., jar stands; bowls; cups; jars; anthropoid and zoomorphic vases), jewelry of various materials and forms (e.g., bracelets; amulets; bead necklaces; menat necklace counterpoises), seals (e.g., scarabs; plaques), and faience throw sticks, Hathor sistras, and plaques (displaying car- and Hathor-figures). Petrie also found a Meroitic (?) offering table and some Roman pottery in the environs of the Hathor Temple’s sanctuary.

Harvard and Washington Catholic Universities investigated Serabit el-Khadim in 1927, 1930 and 1935, and briefly visited Maghara. The 1930 expedition rediscovered the ancient ascent to the plateau, uncovering Middle and New Kingdom texts and graffiti at Rod el-Air, and placed new findings on a topographic map of the plateau. They excavated several circular rock shelters near Mines L-M, finding slabs with Proto-Sinaitic script. Butin interpreted them as listing each hut’s occupants. Subsequent translations differ somewhat, citing the receipt of a gift in one case. Starr and Butin cleared Mine M in 1935, producing New Kingdom potsherds, Proto-Sinaitic inscriptions, stone utensils and turquoise. They excavated the temple, retrieving pottery and artifacts. Černý checked the 1905 transcriptions of hieroglyphic texts in preparation for publication. Starr and Butin generated plans, profiles and cross-sections of Mine M, the Hathor and Sopdu sanctuaries and quarry shrines I–II. In 1947–48, the California Africa Expedition explored the Sinai Peninsula: Albright examined the Proto-Sinaitic texts and discovered a New
Figure 2: 2004 excavations of an Old Kingdom fort at Ras Budran (Photo: P. Carstens)

Figure 3: Middle Kingdom camp and mines in Wadi Maghara (Photo: G. Mumford)
Kingdom anchorage in Markha Plain.\textsuperscript{26} The revised and more comprehensive publication of the hieroglyphic texts from the Hathor Temple, mining galleries, and the Serabit plateau in general, reveal that Egyptian New Kingdom expeditions brought offerings of bread, beer, wine, milk, water, oxen, fowl, geese, incense, pure ointment, linen clothing, and perhaps gold and silver for Hathor and Sopdu.\textsuperscript{27}

South Sinai was explored more thoroughly between 1967 and 1982. From 1971–82, Beit-Arieh located 306 sites.\textsuperscript{28} He found Egyptian vessels amounting to one percent of the pottery at three late Chalcolithic to Early Bronze I sites, while sixteen EB II sites yielded similar percentages of 1st Dynasty pottery. Nabi Saleh and Sheikh Mukhsen contained Egyptian beads,\textsuperscript{29} while site 1042 produced a Nile mollusk.\textsuperscript{30} This minimal Egyptian presence in Sinaic and Canaanite artifact assemblages, suggested that Bedouins/Asiatics sent turquoise and copper to Egypt, possibly via Arad (see below for the later discovery of 1st dynasty rock cut texts in South Sinai).\textsuperscript{31} Excavations at Arad and seasonal EB II campsites in parts of southeast Sinai reveal the transmission of pottery and other materials and influences into southern Sinai.\textsuperscript{32}

Rothenberg directed surveys in Sinai during 1956/57 and 1967–73, reporting further pharaonic materials in Markha Plain, Serabit and Wadi Maghara, Umm Themaim, Kharig, Nasb and Reqitah.\textsuperscript{33} He designated Albright's pharaonic "sea port" as site 346 and observed an additional, possible pharaonic site (no. 345) in Markha Plain, but published few details about them (figure 2). During a 1970 visit to Wadi Kharig, Rothenberg's geologist determined that its mines had produced turquoise, not copper. Giveon planned the Old-Middle Kingdom mining camp at Kharig, and observed a rock text of Sahure and a stela of Sesostris I.\textsuperscript{34} Giveon also mapped the pharaonic mining camp at Maghara, rediscovered an inscription of Sekhemhet in 1973 and found two Old Kingdom graffiti in 1978 (figure 3). Albright suggested that an incised pronem at Wadi Nasb might represent Sekhemkhetawy (Sobekhhotep II) of the Thirteenth Dynasty,\textsuperscript{35} which, if confirmed, would represent the last indigenous Egyptian activity in South Sinai during the (late) Middle Kingdom.

The 1967–82 investigations also revealed possible Hyksos activity: Serabit yielded several Yahudiyyah ware potsherds, seven Hyksos-style scarabs\textsuperscript{36} and more Proto-Sinaic texts.\textsuperscript{37} The Israeli surveys found additional Egyptian texts (e.g., Hathor as a cow) and produced a detailed topographic map and plan of the temple, clarifying that its "bent axis" followed the dictates of the local terrain, rather than other factors.\textsuperscript{38} The publication of additional votives from the 1905 excavations revealed the presence of Mycenaean and Cypriot pottery and glass vessels,\textsuperscript{39} amongst other offerings brought to the Hathor Temple from Egypt. Beit-Arieh's 1978–79 excavation of Mine L produced New Kingdom pottery, various utensils and evidence for copper working: a stone foot-bellows, crucibles, tuyeres and stone moulds for tools and mirrors. Mine G yielded two new Proto-Sinaic texts, New Kingdom pottery, faience and similar traces of copper working.\textsuperscript{40} At Bir Nasb, Giveon and Rothenberg recovered more evidence for copper mining and smelting in context with turquoise, a rock-text of Ramesses II, a Ramesside scarab and New Kingdom pottery and faience.\textsuperscript{41} Rothenberg noted possible Ramesside copper working at Wadi Reqitah (southwest Sinai),\textsuperscript{42} which requires further investigations and publication.

Neither Rothenberg nor Beit-Arieh found evidence for Iron Age activity in South Sinai (sites 344, 364 and 367 contained a few 'possible' Iron Age sherds), while Beit-Arieh observed only one Hellenistic site (no. 1125). Rothenberg noted many Nabataean-Roman sites, while Stone recorded numerous Armenian and Nabataean inscriptions during his 1979–80 survey.\textsuperscript{43}

Since 1982, different research projects have visited South Sinai.\textsuperscript{44} In 1987, Vinçon and Charter-Raymond produced a detailed plan of the hilltop settlement at Maghara and focused on excavating one structure. This building yielded Middle Kingdom to Second Intermediate Period pottery and other items. In 1992–93, Valbelle and Bonnet initiated excavation and restoration at Serabit (figure 4). They found new inscriptions and examined the Middle Kingdom temple phase, suggesting a pylon entry. The report has many invaluable photographs, plans, isometric drawings and construction phases of the temple. Charter-Raymond examined a Middle Kingdom camp at Serabit.\textsuperscript{45} It contained a crude stone wall securing the approach, stone huts and ore-processing basins. Pinch studied numerous unpublished votives from the 1905 season at Serabit.\textsuperscript{46} The Royal Ontario Museum materials from Serabit contain a votive bearing a pronem purported to be Horemheb,\textsuperscript{47} but it probably actually represents Sery II's pronem.\textsuperscript{48} In 1995, Rothenberg published his discovery of an Old Kingdom copper smelting site: 702B (near Serabit el-Khadim).\textsuperscript{49} In 1996, Eddy and Wendorf surveyed and excavated in east-central Sinai, noting seventy-two sites spanning the Chalcolithic through Bronze Age,\textsuperscript{50} but apparently did not find Egyptian artifacts at these sites. More recently, the Supreme Council of Antiquities (recently renamed the Ministry of Antiquities) investigated a Ptolemaic-Roman period site in Wadi Gharandel.

The last decade has yielded some significant findings in South Sinai and adjacent regions. Surveys along Wadi el-Humur have found a 1st dynasty rock-cut text of King Den, and other Early Dynastic inscriptions, revealing the earliest known Egyptian expeditions came directly to the turquoise mining region, 20–30 km east of Markha Plain.\textsuperscript{51} In 2002, Mumford examined Albright's anchorage (site 346) in Markha Plain, finding a copper smelting furnace, two stone anvils, other stone utensils and pottery that has been recently re-dated to the Middle Kingdom.\textsuperscript{52} In 2002, 2004, and 2008, Mumford also investigated Rothenberg's southern coastal site (345) in Markha Plain.\textsuperscript{53} The initial excavations here revealed that it contained a circular structure (i.e., a fort, or fortified way-station and anchorage), built mostly using rough limestone slabs, and measuring 4.4 m in diameter. The site contains late Old Kingdom potsherds from a spouted bowl, carinated bowls, platters, bread moulds, and jars, a copper mortar chisel, a broken copper bar (perhaps a chisel fragment?), much copper slag and lumps, hammer stones, anvils, reddish mineral debris, rough turquoise chips, Red Sea shells, chiton mollusks, sea
urchin bodies and spines, fish bones, and a few remains from crabs. The fort’s presence in South Sinai during the late Old Kingdom clarifies the changing political climate along Egypt’s frontiers and in such peripheral regions at this time, emphasizing both Egypt’s need and desire to maintain access to the South Sinai turquoise and copper mines. In addition, recent investigations by the Supreme Council of Antiquities/Ministry of Antiquities, to the immediate southwest of Suez, have uncovered a substantial stone structure that reused some Late Period inscribed blocks, including ones bearing the cartouches of Nectanebo, which suggests that the region of Suez may originally have had a 30th dynasty structure—unless these stones had been transported from a much greater distance via the Red Sea canal during one of its post-pharaonic periods of dredging and renewed usage.

ROUTES TO SOUTH SINEI

Although the pharaonic route(s) to South Sinai have long been debated, Sinaic inscriptions attest to maritime and overland transportation, while archaeological evidence is now sufficient to offer various solutions. The 1798–1802 Napoleonic and later surveys revealed Persian period stelae along the Wadi Tumilat to Suez, bolstering Herodotus’ tale of a Saite-Persian canal to the Red Sea and Nekau/Necho (II)’s founding of a trireme base nearby. In 1905, Petrie’s excavations at Retabch (Wadi Tumilat) exposed a Ramesside fort, which secured both Egypt’s eastern frontier and facilitated a major transit route between Egypt and northern Sinai. Clédat explored the southern Isthmus of Suez in the early 1900s, recording a 15 x 15 m stone shrine of Sety I and Ramesses II at Gebel Abu Hassa and a stela of Ramesses II at Gebel Mourt. The shrine at Abu Hassa yielded a stela citing ‘Hathor, Mistress of the Turquoise,’ attesting to the passage of turquoise mining expeditions through this region to and from South Sinai. In 1933–32, Bruyère excavated a Ptolemaic-Roman town (Clysma) at Kom el-Qulzoum (Suez), and observed that an earlier (pharaonic?) occupation lay below this town. In 1949, Bruyère investigated Serapeum, near Lake Timsah, discovering the foundations for a church that contained re-used, ex-situ blocks from a gateway of Ramesses II, the latter of which may have originated from an underlying mud brick wall and opening that approximated the Ramesside gate’s reconstructed dimensions. From 1960–62, Farid uncovered a Ramesside fort at Kom el-Qulzoum, which displayed good preservation, a commandant’s residence, storerooms, 7 m wide exterior walls, and a buttressed gateway on its western side. Between 1978 and 1985, Holladay excavated at Tell el-Maskhuta, uncovering a small, MB II (Second Intermediate Period) Hyksos settlement, and investigated the environs of a Saite period fort, an associated canal dating to both Necho II and the Persians (27th dynasty), and the subsequent Persian through early Roman occupation at this site. During the last two decades our knowledge of Ancient Egypt’s exploitation of the Red Sea has increased exponentially. For instance, from 2000 through recent years Ayn Soukhna, which
lies southwest of Suez, is known to have functioned both as a source for copper mining and as a launching point for some Egyptian turquoise mining expeditions to South Sinai. It has yielded Old Kingdom copper mines, smelting areas, a workshop, pottery, seal impressions, and rock texts (Khafre; Niuserre; Djedkare). The Middle Kingdom activity at Ayn Soukhnā includes numerous copper smelting furnaces, a campsite, mass-produced housing, a bakery, storage galleries, re-fitting installations for ships, and other findings, including ship parts of imported cedar wood, pottery, jar inscriptions, stone tools, and rock texts from the reigns of Mentuhotep IV, Amenemhat I, Senusret I, and Amenemhat III. Some pharaonic activity apparently continued at Ayn Soukhnā in later periods, but this is mostly attested via sporadic rock-cut texts during the New Kingdom (Amenhotep I), Saite (Psammetichus I or Apries), late Ptolemaic, and Coptic periods, and may reflect transitory passage through this area.

In addition, a recent survey and excavation at Wadi al-Jarf have discovered an early 4th dynasty inscribed by early 5th dynasty sea port, which is located on the western side of the Red Sea, 50 km opposite el-Maharka Plain and Ras Budran. This installation contains six distinct areas, with a submerged, L-shaped, rough stone quay (190 m east x 120 m south), an adjacent campsite (featuring a limestone block pile/landmark), a 30 x 60 m rectilinear building to the west, a pottery kiln, 25–30 rock-cut storage galleries, a 40 x 80 m complex with rectilinear buildings, an affiliated midden, and other outlying campsites and guard posts along a ridge.

Hence, this writer suggests that royal mining missions departed the king's residence during the Old Kingdom (i.e., Memphis), Middle Kingdom (i.e., Iti-towy), and 18th dynasty (Memphis). These expeditions headed overland to either Ayn Soukhnā (i.e., in various periods), or Wadi al-Jarf (in the Old Kingdom), initially crossing the Red Sea to an earlier anchorage somewhere along the coast of el-Maharka Plain. They then proceeded to the late Old Kingdom fortified port at Ras Budran (site 345). In later periods expeditions utilized a Middle-New Kingdom site (no. 346) in Markha Plain. Hykosos and Ramesside expeditions may have departed from east delta palaces at Avaris (Tell ed-Daba) and Per-Ramesses (Qantir), respectively, passing MB II and Ramesside sites in the Wadi Tumilat en-route to Qitzoum. The presence of a mayor of Tjaru at Serabit, reveals that some expeditions originated from Tjaru (Tell Hebouna). Ramesside expeditions probably sailed from Qitzoum to Markha Plain, but the presence of a Late Bronze Age copper smelting campsite (no. 1011) and wells in West Sinai, implies periodic overland travel. Although the presence of Saite texts at Ayn Soukhnā and some late amulets at Serabit suggest possible 26th dynasty expeditions to Sinai, the virtual absence of Iron Age through Hellenistic pottery in South Sinai minimizes this scenario. Saite activity might be limited to a Red Sea canal and perhaps a trireme base. The canal operated periodically in the Persian through Ptolemaic-Roman periods. However, the current and future surveys and excavations in the Sinai and the Red Sea region in general hold much promise for changing our views regarding the scope and nature of Egypt's interactions with this region and its inhabitants.

North Sinai

The Napoleonic Expedition and subsequent travelers noted ancient ruins in North Sinai. More detailed knowledge of this region relied initially on textual-pictorial allusions. Pyramid Texts and other Old Kingdom inscriptions mention an (eastern) fortification ('Double Ram gate') to repel the Fenkuh ('Syrians'), an 'Oversee of the Way of Horus' (North Sinai), and a stronghold at Ken-ner (equated with the Bitter Lakes near Maskhuta). Middle Kingdom literary and propagandistic texts (Sinuhe; Neferti) noted an east delta fortification ('the Wall(s) of the Ruler') ascribed to Amenemhet I. Our initial understanding of the 'Way of Horus' relied mainly upon Sety I's captioned depiction of this military road in Karnak Temple, various Egyptian texts, and later classical accounts. The 1840 discovery of a Ramesside monument and other finds (e.g., a bronze sword) at Qantarah, near Tell Abu Safah, led early scholars (i.e., in the late 1800s to early 1900s) to believe that this site represented both New Kingdom Tjaru and later Roman Sile. Aside from general knowledge regarding the traces of Ptolemaic-Roman structures in northwest Sinai, W. M. F. Petrie initiated the first significant excavations in North Sinai in 1935–1936 at Tell Abu Salima (also known as Sheikh Zuweid) in northeast Sinai, finding Late Bronze Age through Hellenistic-Roman structures at a site he identified with "Anthedon." Ancient Biblical, Egyptian, Assyrian and Babylonian sources also alluded to Egypt's eastern frontier and relations with Palestine across North Sinai in the Third Intermediate Period. The tale of Wenamun's journey to Byblus (ca. 1069 BC) implied active international maritime commerce. Assyrian texts mentioned King Esarhaddon dispatching armies against Egypt, presumably crossing North Sinai, and capturing the delta in 671 BC: Ashurbanipal invaded and occupied Egypt briefly in 667 and 664 BC. With such background knowledge, Petrie suggested Assyrian (or Babylonian) architectural parallels for an Iron Age fort he found at Abu Salima.

Herodotus also provides information on Northwest Sinai, including some details on Psammetichus I establishing a garrison with Ionian and Carian mercenaries at Daphnae (east delta). Petrie's 1886 excavation at Defennah (Greek Daphnae; Biblical Tab'panhe) seemingly confirmed and augmented this account. Defennah contained a 375 by 630 m Saite fortification enclosing dwellings, iron smelting installations and a royal fort-tower and storage complex. This royal residence and Judean juglets parallel Biblical texts, which mention a pharaoh's palace and Jewish refugees at Tah'panhe (Jeremiah 43:7–11, 44:1–3).

The 1972–82 Israeli surveys and selected excavations across North Sinai have altered dramatically and clarified our understanding of the prehistoric through later periods in North Sinai. Oren and Gilead found Egyptian Naqada I pottery at a
Chalcolithic site (R48) in northeast Sinai. They also found that Naqada II through Early Dynastic Egyptian pottery (including *serekhs* of Ka, Scorpion? Narmer, Aha and Den) and other Egyptian-type artifacts began to dominate assemblages at many of the 250 EB I-II North Sinai campsites and emerging towns in Southwest Palestine. It continues to be debated, however, whether this intense material presence reflects Egyptian trade, conquest or colonization.

These surveys also showed that Bedouin campsites virtually disappear from the Sinai for most of the Old Kingdom (EB III), coinciding with minimal Egyptian influence in Palestine (e.g., Tel Yarmuth) and increased maritime contact with Syria. By EB IV, over 1,000 Bedouin campsites and several settlements appear throughout Sinai-Negev. This occupation spans the Sixth through mid-Eleventh Dynasties and concentrates in North Sinai and the Negev, Egyptian Meidum war vessels occur at many of the North Sinai campsites, demonstrating continued Egyptian-Bedouin interactions.

Oren’s survey found five large Middle Kingdom settlements in northwest Sinai and over 100 Middle Bronze Age II campsites across North Sinai, which contained Egyptian and Canaanite pottery from the Middle Kingdom through Second Intermediate Period. The 1973 excavation at Tell Ridad exposed MB II housing, a pottery kiln and burials, including Egyptian-style pottery and scarabs.

Oren’s excavation at Tell Abu Safah in Northwest Sinai (near Qantara) revealed no evidence for occupation prior to the late Persian period, demonstrating that it could not be New Kingdom Tellu (i.e., postulated previously). However, he detected 150 New Kingdom sites distributed in ten clusters across North Sinai. Each cluster incorporated an administrative complex, or fort, surrounded by campsites for Egyptian troops and other travelers. At Bir el-Aby, one group contained thirty campsites and a 40 by 40 m fort with nearby magazines, a granary and a water reservoir. The buildings produced Egyptian scarabs and pottery, and some Canaanite, Cypriot and Mycenaean pottery. In northeast Sinai, Haruba yielded twenty campsites around an Eighteenth Dynasty complex (A345) and a 50 by 50 m Rameside fort. Site A345 contained buildings, magazines, courtyards and pottery kilns; the fort had storerooms, housing and similar items to those at Bir el-Aby. At the northeast extremity of the Sinai Peninsula, Trude Dothan’s 1972–82 excavations at Deir el-Balah uncovered a similar Eighteenth Dynasty complex, pottery kilns, a possible water reservoir, and a Rameside fort (20 by 20 m) and cemetery. The cemetery yielded a limestone anthropoid coffin (for the commandant?); 50–60 Egyptian ceramic anthropoid coffins, stele and many stone graves.

During Egypt’s Third Intermediate Period, a dramatic decline occurred in Iron IIB sites across North Sinai. Oren located thirty Iron IIB sites between el-Arish and Gaza, spanning the eighth to sixth centuries BC. These settlement patterns and selected excavation findings clarified the nature and extent of Assyria’s domination of Palestine and conflict with Kushite Egypt. For instance, the 1982–84 excavations at Ruqish in Northeast Sinai uncovered a 150 by 650 m fortified settlement from the late eight to seventh centuries BC. Ruqish represents the best candidate for Sargon II’s international trading depot, the ‘sacred harbor (Karun) of Egypt’, and a military headquarters at the southwest border of the Assyrian empire.

Saitite fortifications concentrated at the western side of North Sinai. In 1974–76, Oren excavated a 200 by 200 m fort at Tell Qedwa (northwest Sinai), while the location of an identical Saitite structure at Maskhuza clarified both Maskhuza and Qedwa’s role as frontier forts securing the east delta against Babylonian and (early) Persian attacks. Like Defennhe, these forts yielded Egyptian, Phoenician and Greek pottery, weaponry and other items, while a cemetery near Qedwa produced foreign cremation burials and Phoenician pottery. These findings augment references to Phoenician and Greek trade and the influx of foreign mercenaries during the Saitite period. A series of destruction levels at the Saitite forts also indicated that the historically attested Babylonian attacks (601 and 568 BC) had likely succeeded in breaching Egypt’s frontier, culminating in the 525 BC Persian seizure of Qedwa, Maskhuza and Defennhe (see article on Qedwa/Kedwa in this issue of *JAES*). The loss of the fortification enclosing the sixth century BC town at Ruqish implies the dismantling or abandonment of this Assyrian military headquarters, presumably during the brief period of Saitite imperialism in Syria-Palestine.

Over 200 Persian period sites have been identified in North Sinai. These sites include forts, towns, villages, shrines, cemeteries and seasonal camps, e.g., Tell Wasar, Ruma, Qatib, Rafa, Abu Salama and Ruqish. A small fort at Qatib produced numerous Greek and Phoenician pottery and other imports, indicating flourishing trade across North Sinai. Site R26, near Rafa, contained a shrine with Egyptian, Greek, Phoenician and Cypriot-style figurines, emphasizing the cosmopolitan and diverse nature of its local residents, travelers, and cross-cultural commerce and relations.

In the Ptolemaic-Roman period, settlements increased to over 300 sites, which now included large towns, agricultural farmsteads and irrigation canals; e.g., Abu Sefah (Silc), Farama (Pelisum), Tell Herr (Magdolos), Mahamadiya (Gerra), Keb el-Gal (Kasion), Felisuyat (Ostrakine), el-Arish (Rhoncolura), Rafa (Raphia) and Abu Salama (figure 5). Geological surveys found traces of a 70 m wide ancient canal near Qantara and Pelisum. Although Shea suggested a possible Middle Kingdom and New Kingdom date, equating it with the ‘Dividing Waters’ of Tjaru, the canal’s northern segment clearly crosses the defunct Pelusiac branch, it terminates near the AD 25 (+/- 90) coastline, and lies close to Ptolemaic-Roman Pelisum. This suggests a late Ptolemaic date for the canal, which may have been introduced to counteract the gradual siltation of the Pelusiac branch.

From 1981 to the present, more surveys and excavations have taken place across North Sinai, and in particular in the western portion of this region. In the past two decades, Abd el-Maksoud has conducted extensive excavations at Tell Heboua and its
satellite sites in northwest Sinai, finding a Second Intermediate Period fort below a massive New Kingdom fortified town (at least 300 by 400 m), while the New Kingdom levels have yielded an inscribed block identifying the site with New Kingdom Tjaru. Tell Heboua, with its neighboring, crocodile infested marshland/la-goons, its specific topography and layout (e.g., a natural causeway spanning a western and eastern coastal lagoon), and a nearby coastline during the New Kingdom, parallel other known features identifying it with Tjaru and Sety I's depiction of the 'dividing waters' (Ta-denit) at Karnak Temple.\(^{109}\) To the southeast, Hoffmeier's 1999–2007 investigations at Tell Borg\(^{110}\) have traced the remains of a New Kingdom settlement, part of a probable temple, an associated cemetery, and two phases of fortifications (with baked brick and stone-lined moats) spanning late Dynasty 18 to the Ramesside period.\(^{111}\) Regarding other recent, and significant New Kingdom discoveries, in 2012 a pair of rock-cut cartouches of Ramesses III was found at Abu Gada, 125 km to the southeast of Suez,\(^{112}\) along the Darb el-Hadj trail to Aqaba (see below for the section on the Negev).

Concerning some post-New Kingdom recent findings, in 1983–86 an examination of a submerged anchorage and quays near Tell Ridan\(^{113}\) yielded many anchors and lead and tin ingots, some of which bore Phoenician-Hebrew signs from the seventh–sixth centuries B.C.; this provided evidence for at least one Iron Age anchorage along the North Sinai coast. Donald Redford's 1993 and 1997 investigations at Qedwa\(^{114}\) revealed that this site was composed of multiple Saite period occupation levels and destructions; his team recorded the late Saite leveling and scarping of the previous settlement and debris mound to create a raised platform for the last fort, which was presumably defeated and levelled in-turn by the Persians ca. 525 B.C.\(^{115}\) For the more recent Ministry of Antiquities' excavations at Qedwa, and discovery of two phases of forts, see the article on Qedwa/Kedwa in this issue of JAEI. The Persian through Roman periods have also experienced new findings: In 1985, Valbelle and Bonnet began excavating a Persian–Roman fort at Tell Hert;\(^{116}\) while other projects investigated Ptolemaic-Roman sites, including Tell Makhzan and Pelusium.\(^{117}\) Such on-going projects and studies are refining radically our perceptions regarding activity in and changes to the ancient Mediterranean shoreline in northwest Sinai.\(^{118}\)

**NEGEV AND SOUTHERN ARABIA**

The eastern Sinai merges into the Negev highlands and Arabah rift valley, which are considered here owing to their inextricable, albeit fluctuating and changing ties with both northern and southern Sinai, and neighboring regions and people. Numerous early travelers passed through this region, some of whom observed ancient copper workings, such as Petherick in 1845, Musil in 1902, Frank from 1932–33 and Glueck during 1934–39. One impetus for exploration included a desire to discover traces of the Israelites, King Solomon and Sheshonq I (Biblical Shishak). In 1914, Woolley and Lawrence found an Iron Age fort at Tell Qudeirat, equating it with Biblical Kadesh-Barnea. In 1933, Frank observed an Iron Age fort at Tell Kheleifeh, near
the Gulf of Elat. Glueck excavated Khelifeh in 1938–40, dating the initial occupation to the 10th century B.C. He suggested it represented Solomon’s port of Ezion-Geber (1 Kings 9:26), and equated Khelifeh’s period I destruction to Sheshonq I’s Negev campaign. These identifications were subsequently contested, however, especially owing to the loss of much of the excavated material evidence, the remaining lack of definitive 10th century B.C. artifacts, and recent investigations in adjacent parts of the site that have failed to produce materials from this period (see further below). Insufficient evidence was published and/or survived to confirm 10th century B.C. links between Egypt and Khelifeh; Glueck’s excavation of the later, 8th to 7th centuries B.C. fort levels, however, did find evidence for Egyptian artifacts and influence, consisting of calcite vessels, amethyst beads, scarabs, amulets (e.g., Bes; Bastet), “cartouche-like” clay sealing impressions, and some ostraca that may bear Egyptian-derived, hieratic numerals.

Beginning in the late 1950s to 1960s, increased archaeological surveys and excavations in the Negev (and including the Sinai in 1967) have illuminated the significance and longevity of this region for cross-cultural relations and trade. Red Sea shells appear in the Negev and southern Levant during the Neolithic, reflecting early trade networks. In the Chalcolithic (ca. 4300–3300 B.C.), various groups of semi-nomadic pastoralists (Bedu) apparently obtained Sinaiite hematite and presumably Red Sea shells to exchange for various products (including grain) with more sedentary populations in the southern Levant, where hematite and other hard stones were used as mace heads, grinding stones, and other items.

Excavations at Wadi Fayan in southwest Jordan revealed a major source of copper for export to the Beer Sheba region, where it was smelted at Gassuwan sites and also shipped across the northern Negev and Sinai to Maadi and elsewhere in Egypt during the late Predynastic period. Surveys located an influx of Early Bronze Age II seasonal campsites in several clusters from southeast Sinai to the Negev. These sites probably facilitated commerce in copper, turquoise, and other products between the indigenous Bedu and northern traders, presumably functioning partly as way-stations and containing exported pottery from, and further links with, Arad and other sites in the northern Negev. Of note, Meshel reports finding an Egyptian alabaster (calcite) vessel fragment in an Early Bronze Age I–II(?)) pottery scatter at Yotvada, 40 km to the north of the Gulf of Aqabah (Elat). Wadi Fayan also continued to serve as a copper source for the Levant throughout the Early Bronze Age. The same surveys also reveal a different pattern of seasonal campsites appearing in Early Bronze Age IV (2300–2000 B.C.), when most of Palestine becomes urbanized and over a thousand seasonal campsites (e.g., Beer Reisim), including a few fortified ones, appear in mainly the central-northern Negev and northern Sinai.

Rothenberg directed extensive investigations in the Negev between 1959 and 1983, but found little Egyptian influence prior to the New Kingdom. There appears to be a hiatus in copper mining in the Arabah and southern-central Negev during the Middle Bronze Age IIIA-C, and much of the Late Bronze Age, perhaps owing in part to the felling of acacia trees in the Early Bronze Age and a dramatically depleted indigenous fuel source required in processing copper. Any such fuel loss was apparently sufficiently replenished or resolved by the Late Bronze Age IIIB: Rothenberg located ten Ramesside copper mines, camps and furnaces, and a shrine (site 200) at the foot of a massive rock outcrop at Timna (previously called “the Pillars of Solomon”).

Amongst Rothenberg’s survey sites, site 212 yielded Egyptian (izing) potsherds and a graffito portraying oxen(?)-pulled chariots. Site 2 contained scarab seals. Site 30 had some Egyptian pottery and vertebrate from Nile Catfish. Some Iron Age I(?) sites lie near the copper mining region, including a 50 x 76 m casemate “fort” to the northeast at Yotvata.

At Timna, site 200 encompasses a small shrine to Hathor with votives and texts mentioning Sety I–II, Mernephta, Tawosret, and Ramesses II–V. The shrine’s Egyptian items (27%) included a nearby rock-cut stela of Ramesses III, other stelae, architecture (e.g., Hathor head columns; architraves; a naos shrine; an altar; libation basins), sculpture, pottery, vessels of stone, faience and glass (e.g., bowls; jars; flasks), jewelry (e.g., beads; menat necklace counterpoises), amulets (Horus-the-child; Khonsu; Sekhmet/Mut), seals, sistr, throw sticks, cat figurines, an ushabti, pieces of linen, Nile mollusks, and other items. The shrine also produced evidence for the presence of other participants and prospectors, including numerous and more generic, regional votive offerings (51%) such as many copper artifacts (e.g., jewelry; utensils), a Red Sea shark vertebra, some Mediterranean fish bones, and floral remains (e.g., pistachio nuts; cultivated grape pips), and pottery from many Canaanite types (12%), roughly made indigenous Negevite wares (5%), and some “Midianite” containers (5%) (later re-labelled Quraya ware from Northwest Arabia).

The Late Bronze Age to early Iron Age Negevite, Canaanite, and Northwest Arabian pottery also occur elsewhere in the Timna mining region, alongside Egyptian materials. The dramatic increase in early Ramesside copper mining implies a greater need to supply Egypt’s military machine, especially during Sety I and Ramesses II’s war with Hatti when the Hittite domination of Cyprus may have reduced or halted Cypriot copper exports to Egypt. The 1972 discovery of rock-cut cartouches of Ramesses III at the wells of Borot Roded (site 582) indicated additional Egyptian activity near the Gulf of Elat. This isolated find suggested either the presence of an undetected resource area, or more likely an earlier usage of the Darb el-Hajj (“Pilgrims Way”), a 220 km overland route between the Ramesside fort at Kom el-Qulzoum to Aqaba, and then northeast to Timna (Atika?) (see further below).

The floruit of surveys and excavations during the 1960s through 1980s also greatly clarified the nature and scope of Iron Age activity in the Negev. Regarding questions about the Hebrew Exodus from Egypt and Sojourn in Sinai, extensive investigations by Y. Aharoni and others, in such localities as Tell Qudeirat (often equated with Biblical Kadesh-Barnea) and the
Arad Valley, did not produce any potsherds from the Late Bronze Age through Iron Age I periods (ca. 1550–1000 B.C.) that are most frequently linked with the latter Biblical narrative. \(^{109}\) Of note, at Rothenberg’s site 30 near Timna, his initial report of late New Kingdom pottery (elsewhere dated to Dynasty 22) still awaits publication/confirmation. Otherwise, this period of archaeological exploration has had greater success in tracing a peak in 10th century B.C. activity in the central-northern Negev hill country, which contained around 50 fortified enclosures and forts (e.g., Kadesh-Barnea) with nearby small settlements, farmsteads, and regular water sources. \(^{110}\)

However, the date, nature, and functions of these fortifications and associated settlements have been conjectured variously, ranging from theories about indigenous, semi-nomadic pastoralists settling in this region during the 11th versus 10th century B.C., to an influx of Israelite settlers establishing agricultural holdings in the Negev highlands in the 10th century B.C., or an Israelite securement of a key region facilitating long-distance commerce with the Red Sea; \(^{141}\) Biblical accounts of King Solomon establishing a port at Ezion-Geber on the Red Sea, and maritime ventures along the Red Sea (I Kings 9:26–28; 10:1–13), have met with little confirmed physical evidence for 10th century B.C. activity at Tell el-Kheleifeh, Gezirat el-Fara’un, or elsewhere in the southern Negev. \(^{142}\) However, the 1976–82 resumption of excavations at one of these hill forts, namely Tell Qeudeirat (Kadesh-Barnea), did reveal a late tenth century B.C. level with some Egyptian items (i.e., two wadjet-eyes; an amulet, a faience figurine). \(^{143}\) The destruction and abandonment of most of the Negev hill country forts and associated settlements have been generally ascribed to Sheshonq (Biblical Shishak) I’s well-attested campaign against Israel and Judah. \(^{144}\) The Negev portion of this campaign might have been aimed at neutralizing both any Judaean military counterattack from the south and any Judaean access to, or control over, the lucrative incense trade.

The initial survey and excavation findings for the Iron Age 2B-C period (925–586 B.C.) showed re-settlement in the northern Negev, and an expansion of activity into the central to southern Negev. A substantial succession of forts (50 x 50 m) and architectural modifications appear at Arad (strata XI–VI), which served as a military headquarters for this region. The Arad fort yields evidence for regional and international relations, including the presence of East Greek and Cypriot pottery, ostraca noting supplies for the Kittim (who may represent Cypriot auxiliaries, from Kittim?), a letter noting an Egyptian king (perhaps alluding to a pending attack?), and the dispatch of troops from other Judaean forts (e.g., Horvat Uza) to counter an Edomite threat. \(^{145}\) During the 7th century B.C. Assyrian domination of this region, Assyrian and Edomite pottery are also found at Arad and many other forts and sites within the Negev. One of these Judaean forts, situated to the south at ‘En Haseva in the Arabah, \(^{146}\) is accompanied by a smelting installation (Givat Haseva), a small, 2.5 x 6.5 m Edomite shrine, and an associated pit/favissa; this pit yielded stone altars and numerous ceramic incense burners. \(^{147}\) This reveals that the complex served as a Judaean way-station and local Edomite shrine at the cross-roads between several incense caravan routes, probably catering to both indigenous nomadic pastoralists and caravan traffic, in addition to securing the region. \(^{148}\)

The fortified settlement at Beer Sheba (Strata IV–II; Iron Age IIb-C) \(^{149}\) lay 30 km to the west of Arad, and served as the main Judaean administrative center in the Negev and a link to the fort at Qadeirat (Kadesh-Barnea), which is situated 67 km to the southwest in the central Negev hill country. The middle and upper forts at Kadesh-Barnea (40 x 60 m) span the 8th and 7th centuries B.C., and display broad cross-cultural relations like other Negev forts, including Judaean and Negevite pottery, an incense burner, and Egyptian items and influence from the upper fort (e.g., an alabaster; an ex-situ, Dynasty 25 wadjet-eye amulet; a scarab; and ostraca with Egyptian-derived hieratic numerals). \(^{150}\)

At Kuntillet Ajrud, an isolated, 15 x 25 m fortified hilltop shrine and way-station (ca. 85C–750 B.C.) lay near a series of wells and a cross-roads that facilitated overland traffic between Kadesh-Barnea and the Red Sea port at Tell el-Kheleifeh, and an intersecting trail heading west across the Sinai desert. The structure produced a wide range of foreign products and influences, consisting of Red Sea shells, Henjuk Pistaia wood (from southern Sinai), Judaean and Israelite pottery types (most pottery originated from the region of Jerusalem), inscriptions noting the presence of Israelites, and materials, texts, and art motifs displaying Phoenician and Egyptian influences (e.g., cedar pieces; gazelles flanking a tree; linen; depictions of a Bes-style figure and lotus blossoms). \(^{151}\)

Praetice re-dated periods II–IV (for the 60 x 60 m fort) at Tell el-Kheleifeh to the late 8th through early 6th centuries B.C., \(^{152}\) during which it contained a broad range of foreign materials and influence: Judaean and Negevite pottery (periods II–IV), a Judaean royal seal (King Uzziah [period III]), Egyptian artifacts (e.g., calcite vessels; cartouche-type seals; amethyst and scaraboid beads; Wadjet-Eye, Bes, and Bastet amulets), Edomite pottery, and Assyrian pottery (periods III–IV), and Arabian (?) resins and sherds from a large storage jar (from period IV) bearing a possible, albeit debated, Minean script; perhaps it originally contained Arabian incense. \(^{153}\) The Negev forts apparently both secured the trade routes between the Red Sea to southern Levant, and facilitated the passage of materials, products, and influence from Arabia, Egypt, Philistia, Judah, Israel, Phoenicia, and Assyria.

During the Persian domination of the Near East and variously in Egypt (ca. 540–332 B.C.), several sites continue to be occupied in the northern Negev, and adjacent areas, \(^{154}\) probably facilitating some Red Sea trade. \(^{155}\) Both the Negev and southern Transjordan have yielded sites and trade routes to the Red Sea during this period, \(^{156}\) including key places such as an unfortified outpost at Kadesh-Barnea in the central Negev, the period V seaport at Tell el-Kheleifeh in the southern Arabah, and elsewhere at Tawilan, Busaya, and other sites in southern Transjordan. Although Persia lost control of Egypt by 404 B.C., and its occupation or domination of Taima and Dedan in northwest Arabia appears to have ceased by the early 4th century B.C., \(^{157}\)
Persia seems to have retained some control of, or access to, the Negev: Gaza continued serving as the main terminus for Arabian aromatics entering the Levant,\textsuperscript{158} while other caravan routes branched off to el-Arish to the west, and through Transjordan to Damascus to the northeast.\textsuperscript{159} According to Herodotus (ca. 450 B.C.), it is mainly Arabian tribes that actually facilitated the aromatics trade across the Negev to Gaza during this period.\textsuperscript{160}

In the past two decades, more investigations, publications, and changes in our perceptions have emerged on the Negev and its environs.\textsuperscript{161} For instance, a few late Chalcolithic sites at the Gulf of Aqaba, especially Tell Hujayrat al-Ghuzlan, have revealed early contact with Egypt, including some beads and other items.\textsuperscript{162} Hauptmann asserts that it is less certain whether Wadi Feynan actually exported copper ore across the North Sinai to the Nile Delta during the 4th millennium B.C., versus Egypt obtaining copper ore from Southwest Sinai.\textsuperscript{163} Some evidence supports EB I–II and later third millennium B.C. copper exports from Wadi Faynan to Egypt across the Negev. The continuation of some Early Bronze Age III international contact with southern Transjordan is also emphasized by copper exports to the Levant and Egypt from Wadi Lidan 4 and Khirbet Hamra Lidan (in Wadi Feynan).\textsuperscript{164} More recent evidence has also emerged regarding a Late Bronze Age Bedouin presence and foreign influence at localities several km to the north of the Timna Valley. For example, Avner reports that an open-air cultic site near Har Shani held a “Late (New?) Kingdom” Egyptian ushabti figurine and some Midianite potsherds (i.e., Quarraya ware).\textsuperscript{165} In the last few years, the discovery of Egyptian and Canaanite artifacts from a structure (shrine?) in Tayma’ Oasis, and the occurrence of Ramesside III’s cartouches in a rock-cut inscription near Tayma’ Oasis, now demonstrate that one or more Egyptian expeditions were visiting this region.\textsuperscript{166} The dispersal of northwest Arabian, Egyptian, and Canaanite artifacts and influence in the Negev and adjacent regions during late LB IIIB and the early Iron Age also suggest some Egyptian-Levantine commerce with Northwest Arabia, the latter of which played an increasingly important role in the aromatics trade during the Iron Age.\textsuperscript{167} Regarding evidence for New Kingdom Egyptian overland and Red Sea contact with or influence in southern Arabia, to-date only a few sporadic and mostly ex situ Egyptian amulets and seals appear in this region.\textsuperscript{168}

**ONGOING AND FUTURE WORK**

Although extensive surveys and excavations have occurred throughout Sinai, this peninsula covers 60,000 square km and still retains many secrets. Incomplete excavations or publications (e.g., artifacts from Kharig awat publication), new discoveries (e.g., rock-texts of Den and Rameses III in Southern Sinai; forts at Ras Budran and Tell el-Borg), and evolving methodologies and scientific techniques for locating and recovering archaeological data, emphasize how much work remains to be done, and can be done more effectively, to further our interpretations and reconstructions of Sinai’s heritage. However, increasing construction (e.g., towns; resorts; roads), irrigation projects (e.g., in north Sinai), and other factors threaten both known and unidentified archaeological sites, making further surveys, excavation, and the conservation of Sinai’s rich heritage a key component in preserving and developing Sinai’s resources.
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2 To-date, little archaeological evidence exists for the Hebrew Exodus or sojourn in Sinai (Dever 1997, 71–3); in contrast, see Hoffmeyer 2005.

3 Barron 1907; Fontaine 1955; Wilson 1880.

4 This paper had originally been prepared and formatted during 2005, 2006, and 2009 in its specific and perhaps somewhat awkward outline and coverage of the "Sinai Peninsula" for a Handbook of Egyptology (i.e., following the chronological exploration rather than time periods in the Sinai), which did not materialize, and has been resurrected and updated here, as best as possible, to form the opening paper and set the stage for the various following papers that provide more detailed aspects of the Sinai Peninsula and its environs. Unfortunately, the very busy schedules did not permit several invited authors to submit their invaluable contributions on past through current projects in the Sinai, while the late withdrawal of a couple of other intended papers has created a somewhat lighter issue than intended. In any case, the editor is very grateful to all of the Sinai expeditions and contributors, both past, present, and absent, for their tremendous efforts in exploring and clarifying a most fascinating and important corner of our world and past heritage. The bibliography in this paper has tried to acknowledge some of them, but many more exist.

5 Niebuhr 1774, 206–7.


7 Weil 1908, 304–5.

8 Gardiner et. al. 1952, 22; Lepsius 1853; Weil 1908, 316.

9 Cooney 1972, 281.

10 Weil 1904, 72–3; Wilson 1880.


13 Weil 1904, 82–3.


15 Weil 1904, 95–232.

16 These tombs occur in various clusters in southeastern Sinai, representing circular, rough stone-built tombs that nomadic populations used continuously as family burial places over hundreds of years during the Chalcolithic through EB I (Currell in Petrie 1905, 229–44; Mazar 1990, 82, 100). The contents of the nawamis tombs display some contact with Egypt, including flint tools and other items (Mazar 1990, 100); in a personal communication, Steven Shubert recently relayed to this writer that the Royal Ontario Museum collections contain some Egyptian materials from Currell's collection of artifacts from his investigations of the nawamis. Of interest, various scholars have already suggested that an inverted, V-shaped glyph placed beside a foreigner portrayed on the base of the Narmer Palette may represent the persons associated with the hundreds of similarly shaped desert kites found in the southern Negev and southeast Sinai.

18 Hayes read 'Serekher' (of 'Dynasty 1') as Sekhemkher (Third Dynasty) (Gardiner et. al. 1955, 53 postscript).

19 Petrie 1906, 27.


21 Petrie 1906, figs. 93–4, map 4.


23 Lake et. al. 1928; Lake et. al. 1932, 105–10, 189–97; map; Starr and Butin 1936.


26 Albright 1948, 12–14; Field 1948a, 484–5; idem 1948b, 802.

27 Gardiner et. al. 1952; idem 1955; see also Mumford 2006a.


29 Beit-Arieh 1974, 144–56; idem 2003, 224.


31 For relations between Canaan and Egypt in the late 4th millennium B.C., see Beit-Arieh 1984, 20–23.


34 Gieven 1978a; idem 1977; idem 1978a; idem 1978b, 74–6; idem 1983.

35 Gieven 1978b, 73.

36 Gieven 1978b, 61; Pinch 1993, 55.

37 Proto-Sinaitic spans the Middle Kingdom to early Eighteenth Dynasty (Beit-Arieh in Stern 1993, 1,337–8; Shaw 2003, 132). It is a Semitic language, alphabetically written using 27–29 pictographic signs, of which 23–26 forms derive largely from Egyptian hieroglyphs. This script has been translated variously by Gardiner (1916; 1962), Butin (in Lake et. al. 1928; idem 1932), Cowley (1929), Leibovitch (1934), Albright (1966), Cross (1967), Rainey (1975), Sass (1988), Hinze (1991) and others. Its decipherment remains problematic.


39 Cooney 1976, 54–7; Merrillles 1968, 4; Simpson 1990.


42 Rothenberg 1970, 27; idem 1979, 166.


44 Bonnet et. al. 1994; Charrat-Raymond 1988; Valbelle and Bonnet 1996.


46 Pinch 1993.

47 Mumford 1999b, 725; idem 2006a, 163.
The project ceramicist, Resene Hummel, reports that the pottery from the anchorage (site 346) has good parallels with the Middle Kingdom pottery published from Ayn Sukhna.


For a recent discourse on the pharaonic exploitation of turquoise, see A. M. A. Mansour, 2014, Turquoise in Ancient Egypt: Concept and Role.

This writer visited the site in June 2010, guided by Adel Farouk and officials from the SCA office in Suez, while these findings are also noted in recent reports elsewhere.

Cooper 2009, 37–40, summarizes the post-Persian periods in which the canal was dredged and re-activated, including during the reigns of Ptolemy Philadelphus (282–246 BC) and Trajan (AD 97–116), while he notes that other accounts suggest it remained at least partly active throughout the 3rd to 5th centuries AD, and perhaps into the 6th century AD; the canal was re-activated one more time in AD 643–4, and fractioned into the early Abbasid period.

Bourdon 1925.

Petrie and Duncan 1906, 28–34.

Clédat 1916, 204–12.

Bruyère 1951, 72–73; idem 1966.

Bruyère 1950, 6c–2.


Editor 1962, 33; Léclant 1963, 85; idem 1964. The environs of Suez also produced an ex-situ door jamb of Ramesses II (Roeder 1913, 118, 236 no. 11164), which most likely originated from the Ramesside fort at Quizzoum.
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